

# **UTILISING VIRTUAL REALITY IN CAR VISUALISATION**

**A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial  
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science (Information Technology),  
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**by  
Tan Teck Eng**

**© Tan Teck Eng, 2003. All rights reserved**



**Sekolah Siswazah  
(Graduate School)  
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK  
(Certification of Project Paper)**

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

**TAN TECK ENG**

calon untuk Ijazah  
(candidate for the degree of) Sarjana Sains (Teknologi Maklumat)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk  
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**UTILISING VIRTUAL REALITY IN CAR VISUALISATION**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan,  
dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.  
(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory  
knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia  
(Name of Supervisor) : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Razak Yaakub

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

: 

Tarikh  
(Date)

: 18 May 2003

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a post-graduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman

## ABSTRAK

Kertas ini menunjuk dan mengkaji potensi menggunakan 3D Studio Max dalam mempelbagai kesan **bagi** kereta “visualisation”. 3D Studio Max merupakan **alat** alternatif dalam mempamerkan kereta ‘tisualisation”. Kertas ini **juga** menerangkan kesan proses implementasi **dan** perkara yang dipelajari menerusi proses pembangunan dengan menggunakan pelbagai perisian. Objektif kertas ini adalah untuk mendapatkan maklumat terhadap proses kelakuan **tanpa** implementasi terhadap mengeluarkan **fizikal** badan kereta dahulu, mengurangkan masa **bagi** menghasilkan kereta “visualisation” melalui teknologi realiti **maya** (VR), mengurangkan kos **tanpa** implementasi terhadap badan kereta tetapi dapat **dilihat** melalui kereta “visualisation” terhadap kereta tersebut, dan melihat perbandingan kelebihan dan kelemahan antara 3D Studio Max **dan** Cortona VRML (bahasa pemodelan teknologi realiti **maya**) Client. Secara keseluruhannya, **objektif-objektif** projek telah dilaksanakan **pada tahap permulaan. Pertama**, ianya membolehkan maklumat terhadap proses kelakuan **tanpa** implementasi yang sebenar terhadap **fizikal badan** kereta. Ini adalah **kerana pada** tahap sebelum **perekaan**, rekaan yang **spesifik** telah ditentukan. Melalui tahap **rekaan** selepas kereta “visualisation” telah dianimasikan, kereta ‘tisualisation” **dapat** dilihat **tanpa** proses pembuatan model kereta dahulu. Projek **ini juga** mengurangkan masa kerana projek **ini** hanya mengambil masa 3 bulan untuk disiapkan. Selain itu, ianya mengurangkan kos kerana kesan akhir yang sebenar dapat dilihat melalui kereta “visualisation”. Akhimya perbandingan antara kelebihan dan kelemahan antara 3D Studio Max dan Cortona dapat dilihat.

Kesignifikan melalui projek ini ialah 3D Studio Max dapat dijadikan sebagai **alat** alternatif **bagi** kereta “visualisation”. Bagaimanapun terdapat limitasi **pada** perisian ini. Sekiranya dibandingkan dengan Cortona ianya merupakan alat yang lebih baik untuk interaksi kerana pengguna dapat menggunakan pilihan “walkthrough”. Cortona juga mempunyai limitasinya sendiri.

**Pada** masa akan datang, kereta “visualisation” dapat diimplementasi dalam mereka produk **baru** industri sebelum pembuatan model produk dilaksanakan.

## ABSTRACT

This paper illustrates and investigates the potential of using 3D Studio Max in enhancing the car **visualisation** effects. 3D Studio Max is an alternative tool that can be used in presenting car visualisation. This paper describes the implementation processes and the lessons learned in the “findings” throughout the development process by using various software. The objectives are to obtain information on the process behaviour without a real implementation of the car physical body yet, shortening set up times of producing a car visualisation by utilising virtual reality (VR), minimising costs in terms of not creating the physical body of the car yet but through car visualisation to view on the car, and comparison of advantages and disadvantages between 3D Studio Max and Cortona VRML (Virtual Reality Modelling Language) Client- Overall the conclusions answer the objectives which have been stated in the early stage. First it allows obtaining information on the process behaviour without a real implementation of the car physical part. This is because from the preliminary design the specific kind of design is being set. Then through the design stage after the car **visualisation** is being animated, the car visualisation can be seen without making the car model yet. Next the project answers the objective of shortening set up times because the project only takes 3 months to do the car visualisation. Besides it also minimise costs because we can see the final outcome through car visualisation. Lastly, the comparison advantages and disadvantages between 3D Studio Max and Cortona had been made.

The significant from the project is **that** 3D Studio Max can serve as an alternative tool for car visualisation. However there are limitations of the software. If compared to Cortona, it is a better tool for interaction because the user can interact with the car visualisation by using the “**walkthrough**” option. Cortona also has its own limitations compare to 3D Studio Max.

In future, the car visualisation can be implemented into designing the new industrial product features before implementing it.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This project will not be possible without the participation of many people. There are always others who provide valuable guidance and information that enable the completion of the project.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Associates Professor Dr Abdul Razak Yaakub, for his kind advice, comments, patience and suggestions for this project.

I would also like to express my appreciation to Zakira Othman for her guidance, comments and support throughout this project. Not forgettable my classmates of Msc (IT) for their sharing of knowledge, kindness and advices. I also would like to express my appreciation to Kok for his moral support and guidance.

Besides that I would like to thank my family, for the financial support to complete my Master studies.

Finally, I would like to express my gratefulness to all who have directly or indirectly guided me one way or another throughout all the stages of preparing this project and moral support.

May Buddha bless all the efforts that had been made. Saddhu! Saddhu! Saddhu!

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved father, mother and sister.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PERMISSION TO USE</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>DEDICATION</b>	vi
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION RESEARCH</b>	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	2
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.4 SIGNIFICANT OF THE PROJECT	3
1.5 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT	4
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 DEFINITION OF VIRTUAL REALITY	6
2.2 TYPES OF VIRTUAL REALITY SYSTEMS	11



2.2.1 Presence and Telepresence	12
2.2.2 Networked Text-Based Virtual Worlds	14
2.2.3 Desktop Virtual Reality	14
2.2.4 Immersive Virtual Environment	16
2.2.5 Video Mapping	18
2.2.6 Fish Tank Virtual Reality	19
2.3 HISTORY OF VIRTUAL REALITY	20
2.4 SIGNIFICANT USE BY OTHER COMPANIES	24
 <b>CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 INTRODUCTION	44
3.2 CONCEPTUAL MODELLING	44
3.3 IDEF (INTEGRATED DEFINITION)	47
3.4 PROTOTYPING WITH OBJECTS	50
 <b>CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS</b>	
4.1 INTRODUCTION	65
4.2 CAR FRAME	67
4.2.1 Set Up the Reference Image As a Background	67
4.2.2 Draw the Car Frame Outline	69
4.2.3 Draw a Contour Spline	71
4.2.4 Connecting the Vertices	72

4.2.5 Use Arc Rotate to Look at the Spline Structure	74
4.2.6 Test the Surface Modifier	75
4.2.7 Mirror the Spline Arrangement	76
4.2.8 Attach the Two Halves	76
4.2.9 Connect the Two Halves	76
4.2.10 Compound Objects	77
4.2.11 Modifying Objects	79
4.2.12 Mesh Smooth	80
4.2.13 Map	80
4.3 CATEGORISED OBJECT USING NAMES	82
4.4 ACCURACY OF THE OBJECT	83
4.5 MISSING FILES THROUGH MAPPING	85
4.6 USING LESS SEGMENTS	85
4.7 HIDE OBJECTS	86
4.8 EXPORT FILE TO VR	87
4.9 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CORTONA AND 3D STUDIO MAX	89
 <b>CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	
5.1 CONCLUSION	91
5.2 FUTURE WORK	94
 <b>REFERENCES</b>	95

## **APPENDIX**

APPENDIX 1: CORTONA VRML CLIENT PROGRAMMING SOURCE CODE	104
APPENDIX 2: CAR SKETCH FROM THE LEFT SIDE VIEW	114
APPENDIX 3: CAR SKETCH FROM THE FRONT VIEW	115
APPENDIX 4: CAR SKETCH FROM THE BACK VIEW	116

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1	Qualitative Performance of Different VR Systems	11
2.2	PT Cruiser Specifications.	26
4.1	The Differences between 3D Studio Max and Cortona VRML Client	89

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1	An Example of a Telepresence System.	12
2.2	An Example of a Workstation Based Desktop VR System.	15
2.3	An Immersive VR system: The PC VR System from Virtuality and IBM.	17
2.4	A CAVE System.	18
2.5	An Example of Video Mapping System.	19
2.6	Early Promotional Picture of the Sensorama.	22
2.7	A Sensorama Flyer.	22
2.8	Ivan Sutherland's Firstly Invented HMD.	23
2.9	DaimlerChrysler's PT Cruiser Will Be Launched as a Production Vehicle in March 2000, Only 24 Months.	25
2.10	GM Engineer Use An Internal Program Known as VisualEyes to See and Experience Vehicles in VR.	28
2.11	Pontiac Aztec Introduced as Concept Vehicles in 1999.	28
2.12	With Human Modeling Software, Automotive Designers can Ergonomically Analyse Vehicle Interiors Before Costly Design Decisions are Made.	33
2.13	Virtual Test Tracks.	36
2.14	Load Time Histories That are Predicted with Multibody Dynamic Analysis	38

2.15	LMS Sysnoise Analysis	40
2.16	Acoustic analysis results	41
3.1	A simple view of problem solving.	45
3.2	As-Is IDEF0 model.	48
3.3	IDEF0 To-Be model.	49
3.4	Software life cycle – waterfall.	52
3.5	Click Custom 1.	54
3.6	Press Preview Button.	54
3.7	Prototyping before specification.	60
3.8	Prototyping as part of specification.	62
3.9	Prototyping as part of implementation.	63
4.1	Car views in the perspective of “Smooth + Highlight”.	65
4.2	Car views in the “Wireframe” perspective.	66
4.3	Car view from internal part perspective.	67
4.4	Car frame design.	68
4.5	Car photo.bmp.	69
4.6	Drawing car frame outline.	70
4.7	Draw two lines up the center of the car body.	71
4.8	Center splines.	72
4.9	Snap tools.	73
4.10	Connect the remaining lines.	74
4.11	Object that has been extruded.	78
4.12	Result after Subtraction A-B.	79
4.13	Using Edit Mesh to adjust the shape.	80
4.14	Paint.jpg for mapping.	81
4.15	Effects after mapping.	82

4.16	Objects to be selected.	83
4.17	Vertices don't exactly meet at the corners of polygons.	84
4.18	Move one of the vertices so it snaps to its nearby vertices.	84
4.19	Missing Map.	85
4.20	The Hide option.	86
4.21	Save as VRML97.	87
4.22	View in Cortona VRML Client.	88
4.23	The Cortona VRML Client programming source code.	88

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

2D	-	2 Dimension
3D	-	3 Dimension
6DOF	-	6 Degree of Freedom
BMW	-	Bavarian Motor Works
BOOM	-	Binocular Omni-Oriented Monitor
CAD	-	Computer Aided Design
CAESAR	-	Civilian American and European Surface Anthropometry Resource
CAVE	-	CAVE Automatic Virtual Environment
DMA	-	Digital Modeling Assembly
GM	-	General Motor
HITL	-	Human Interface Technology Laboratory
HMD	-	Head Mounted Display
IDEF	-	Integrated Definition
MOOs	-	MUD Object Oriented
MUDs	-	Multi-User Dungeons
OPT	-	Occupant Packaging Toolkit
SAE	-	Society of Automotive Engineers
VAC	-	Vehicle Attitude Control
VR	-	Virtual Reality
VRRV	-	VR Roving Vehicle
VRML	-	Virtual Reality Modelling Language



<b>WoW</b>	-	<b>Window on World</b>
<b>WWW</b>	-	<b>World Wide Web</b>

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION RESEARCH**

## **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION RESEARCH**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

Nowadays, it is not surprising that many organisations, particularly smaller companies, are confused over the application of Virtual Reality (VR) serving as prototyping. It is still the case that many companies are unaware of what VR technology has to offer, many also do not think that it has any applicability to their business needs or simply believe that the technology is too complex and expensive. However, as hardware and software prices continue to fall, and as technologies converge, we are seeing the development of digital and virtual visualisation systems specifically optimised in terms of cost and capability for the needs of small and medium enterprises.

VR serving as prototyping has come a long way in recent years, away from the production of crude images and cumbersome headsets that many still associate with the technology.

An element of the confusion surrounding VR as prototyping is that the technology is synonymous with other technologies already utilised widely across industry and the term itself is loosely applied to a wide variety of activities. The term virtual visualisation is not, in our opinion, restricted to the use of a discrete item of software to visualise the behaviour of a real life product. It also encompasses an approach to product development that takes advantage of individual technologies, such as computer aided design (CAD) and the successful adoption of e-mail technology, to build an efficient product development capability based principally on greater collaboration between designers, engineers, marketers and customers.

It is the desire to reduce time-to-market, cut costs and speed up product development that is driving the exponential development and adoption of virtual visualisation tools. A

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Adobe Systems. (2003). Adobe Photoshop 7.  
<http://www.adobe.com/products/photoshop/main.html>. (9 April 2003).
- Arthur, Kevin W., Booth, Kellog S. and Ware, Colin. (1993). Evaluating 3D Task Performance for Fish Tank Virtual Worlds, *ACM Transactions on Information Systems, Special Issue on Virtual Worlds*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July, pp. 239-265.
- Anderson, J.R. & Freeman, W.M. (1980). **Cognitive Psychology and Its Implications**. San Francisco: California.
- Aukstakalnis, S., & Blatner, D. (1992). **Silicon Mirage: The Art and Science of Virtual Reality**. New York: Peachpit Press, Inc.
- Bak, D. J. (1999, November). **Design News**. Boston: Cahners Magazine Division of Reed Publishing
- Balzer, R., Cheatham, T.E., and Green, C. (1983). **Software Technology in the 1990s**: Using a new paradigm, *Computer*. 16(11):39-45.
- Birn, J. (2000). 3D Studio Max. <http://www.3drender.com/ref/software.htm#max>. (1 April 2003).
- Boehm, B.W. (1976). **Software Engineering**. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*. C-25(12):1226-1241.
- Bricken, M. (1991). Virtual Reality Learning Environments: Potentials and Challenges. **Computer Graphics Journal**, Volume 25, No. 3, pp. 178-184.

Bricken, M. (1993). Summer Students in VR: a pilot study: **Virtual Reality: Applications and Explorations**. USA: Academic Publisher Professional.

Bryne, C. M. (1996). The Use of Virtual Reality as An Educational Tool. University of Washington. <http://www.hitl.washington.edu/publications/dissertations/Bryne>. (23 Feb. 2003)

Boman, M., Bubenko Jr., J.A., Johannesson, P. & Wangler, B. (1997). **Conceptual Modelling**. Great Britain: Prentice Hall.

Bozza, I., Cugini, U., Folini, F. and Lamperini, J.M. (1997). **Process Modelling and Analysis in Practice: the Ferrari experience**. In: Proceedings of 30<sup>th</sup> -ISATA International Symposium on Automotive Technology & Automation, Dedicated Conference on Mechatronics, (Florence, Italy, 16-19 June 1997), Automotive Automation Limited, Croydon, UK, 1997.

Capron, H.L (1998). **Computers: Tools for an Information Age**, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. USA: Addison Wesley Longman.

Carey, T.T. & Mason, R.E.A. (1983). **Information System Prototyping: Techniques, tools and methodologies**. INFOR, 21(3):177-191.

Cheatham, T.E. (1984). **Reusability through Program Transformation**. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, SE-10(5):589-594.

Coates, G. (1992). **Program from Invisible Site-a virtual show**, a multimedia performance work presented by George Coates Performance Works, San Francisco, CA.

Computer Associates International. (2000). Cosmo Software.  
<http://www.cai.com/cosmo/> (9 April 2003)

Coomans, M.K.D. & Timmermans, H.J.P. (1998). A VR-User Interface for Design by Features, Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> Conference on Design and Decision Support System in Architecture and Urban Planning, DDSS'98, Maastricht, The Netherlands, July 26-29. [http://www.ds.arch.tue.nl/Research/publications/marc/MarcCooman\\_DDSS98.htm](http://www.ds.arch.tue.nl/Research/publications/marc/MarcCooman_DDSS98.htm). (23 Feb. 2003)

Cromby, J., Straden, P., Brown, D. (1995). Using Virtual Environments in Special Education. **Journal Virtual Reality in Schools**, Volume 1, No. 3, pg. 1-4.

Costello, Patrick J. (1997). Health and Safety Issues Associated with Virtual Reality – A Review of Current Literature, Advanced VR Research Centre, Dept. Of Human Sciences Loughborough University, July 23, <http://www.agocg.ac.uk/reports/virtual/37/report.37.htm>. (23 Feb. 2003).

Cruz-Neira, C. (1993). Virtual Reality Overview. “**Siggraph’93 Course**”, No. 23, pp.1.1-1.18

Curry, T. (2001, March). **Mechanical Engineering**. New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Dede, C. & Salzman, M. (1996). “Learning Complex Scientific Concepts Via Immersive in Virtual Reality”, Proceedings of Second International Conference of Learning. pp. 22-29.

Earnshaw, R., Guedj, R., van Dam, A., & Vince, J. (2001). **Frontiers of Human-Centred Computing, Online Communities and Virtual Environments**. UK: British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data.

Edmunds, B.St. (1998, June). **Professional Engineering**. UK: Mechanical Engineering Publications, Ltd.

Flowers, J. (2000). **The Technology Teacher**. Reston: International Technology Education Association

Frook, J.E. (1997, March). **Communications Week**. Manhasset: CMP Publications, Inc

Fuchs, H. (1992). **Research Directions in Virtual Environments**. NFS Invitational Workshop, University North Carolina.

Fuchs, H., & Bishop, G. et al. (1992). **Research Directions in Virtual Environments**. NFS Invitational Workshop, Univ. North Carolina.

Gareiss, R. (2002, December). **Information Week**. USA: Manhasset.

Gibson, J.J. (1966). **The Sense Considered as Perceptual Systems**. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Gibson, J.J. (1979). **The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception**. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Greenbaum, P. (1992). The Lawnmowerman. *Film and Video* 9(3), pg. 58-62. Grove, J. (1996) VR and History – Some Findings and Thoughts, **Journal VR in Schools, Volume 2**, No. 1, East Carolina University. pp. 3-9.

Hanson, B. (2002, October). **Fleet Equipment**. Palatine: Maple Publishing.

Hamit, F. (1993). **Virtual Reality and the Exploration of Cyberspace**, Carmel. Indiana: Sams Publishing.



Heeter, C. (1992). Being There: The Subjective Experience of Presence. **Presence: Teleoperators Virtual Environments**, 1 (2). pp. 262-271.

Helsel, S.K. (1992). Beyond The Vision: The Technology, Research, and Business of Virtual Reality. In: Proceedings of Virtual Reality '91-The Second Annual Conference of Virtual Reality, Artificial Reality, and Cyberspace (San Francisco, Sept. 23-25, 1991), UK: Meckler Publishing.

Huang, Milana. (1997). **The ImmersaDesk and Infinity Wall Projection-Based Virtual Reality Displays**. USA: Computer Graphics Inc.

Hudghes, C.E. & Moshell, J.M. (1996). **The Virtual Reality Casebook**. Van Nostrand: Rheinhold Inc.

Isdale, J. (1993). What is Virtual Reality?.  
<http://www.cms.dmu.ac.uk/~cph/VR/whatisvr.html>. (23 Feb. 2003).

Jargon. (1995). Jargon Dictionary.  
<http://www.fwi.uva.nl/~mes/jargon/> (23 Feb. 2003).

Jusko, J. (2002, August). **Industry Week**. Cleveland: Penton Media, Inc.

Kalawsky, Row S. (1996). Exploiting Virtual Reality in Education and Training: Technological Issues. Report prepared for the Advisory Group on Computer Graphics (ADO CG). <http://www.man.ac.uk/MVC/SIMA.vrtech/title.html>. (23 Feb. 2003).

Kelly, K. (2002, November). **Ward's Dealer Business**. Overland Park: PRIMEDIA Business Magazines & Media Inc

Kolansinki, E.M., Goldberg, S. L., Hiller, J. (1995). **Simulator Sickness in Virtual Environments**, U.S Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Sciences.

Krakowiak, S. (1986). **Enseignement du genie logiciel: experiences et perspectives**. In Actes du 3e'me Colloque-Exposition de genie logiciel. Paris: AFCET. pp. 15-31.

Krief, P. (1996). **Prototyping with Objects**. UK: Prentice Hall.

Krueger, M. (1991). **Artificial Reality**, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, New York: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Lingard, B. (1997). Human Interfacing Issues of Virtual Reality.  
<http://www.cs.wpi.edu/~matt/cs563/talks/brian1.html>. (23 Feb. 2003)

Loomis, J. M. (1992). **Distal Attribution and Presence; Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environment I (1)**. pp. 113-119.

Minsky, M. (1980). **Telepresence**. USA: Omni. pp. 45-51.

Morrone, T. (1991). Sonic Foundry.  
<http://www.sonicfoundry.com/products/showproduct.asp?PID=704>. (9 April 2003).

Multimedien.com. (2000). Ahead Nero 5 Burning Rom.  
<http://multimedien.com/reviews/nero5.html>. (9 April 2003).

Murray, C.J. (1999, October). **Design News**. USA: Cahners Magazine Division of Reed Publishing.

Pantelidis, V. (1993). Virtual reality in the classroom, Educational Technology 33, pp. 23-27.

Pape, D. (1999). The CAVE Virtual Reality System.  
<http://www.evl.uic.edu/pape/CAVE/> (9 April 2003).

- Parallel Graphic. (2000). **Cortona VRML Client**.  
<http://www.parallelgraphics.com/products/cortona/> (9 April 2003).
- Parks, E. (1999, May). **Machine Design**. Cleveland: Penton Publishing and Marketing.
- Parsch, H. & Streinbruggen, R. (1983). **Program Transformation System**. Computing Surveys 15(3):199-239.
- Pimental, Ken and Teixeira, Kevin. (1993). **Virtual Reality, Through the New Looking Glass**. USA: Winderest Books.
- Poon, J. (2002, November). **Car Plus Magazine**. Hong Kong: South China Media.
- Ranky, P.G. (1998). **Assembly Automation**. Bedford: MCB UP Limited.
- Reeves, B.R. (1991). **Being There: Television as a Symbolic vs. Natural Experience**. Stanford University, Institute for Communication Research, Stanford. CA.
- Rey, A. and Rey-DeBove, J. (1988). **Dictionnaire de la Langue Francaise**. Paris: Le Robert Inc.
- Rheingold, H. (1992). **Virtual Reality**. Great Britain: Mandarin Paperback.
- Robinett, W. (1992). Synthetic Experience: A Proposal Taxanomy. **Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environment I (1)**. pp. 229-247.
- Sawyer, C. A. (2002, June). **Automotive Design & Production**. Cincinnati: Gardner Publications, Inc.

Schmitz, B. (1999, July). **Computer - Aided Engineering**. Cleveland: Penton Media, Inc.

SearchTechTarget.com. (2003). IDEF.  
[http://searchwebservices.techtarget.com/sDefinition/0,,sid26\\_gci831251,00.html](http://searchwebservices.techtarget.com/sDefinition/0,,sid26_gci831251,00.html). (23 Feb. 2003).

Seymour, R. (1997). **Technology Education Design Portfolio: Monuments**. Muncie, IN: Center For Implementing Technology Education, Ball State University.

Sheridan, T.B. (1992). Musings on telepresence and virtual presence. **Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments**, 1 (1), pp. 120-126.

Sheridan, T.B., & Furness, T.A. (1992). **Presence, Teleoperators and Virtual Environments**, (1), 1. Cambridge, MA:MIT Press.

Stone, Robert J. (1995). The Reality of Virtual Reality. **World Class Design to Manufacture. Volume 2**, No.4. pp.11-17.

Thomke, S. (2001). **Design Management Journal**. Boston: Design Management Institute Spring

Topper, A., Ouellette, D. & Jorgensen, P.(1994). **Structured Methods**. USA: McGraw-Hill, Inc, pp 79.

Torrance. (2003). Media Studio Pro 7. <http://www.ulead.com/runme.htm>. (9 April 2003)

Ulead System. (2000). The Simplest Way to Create Eye-Catching Titles and Animations. [http://www.webutilities.com/products/C3D/c3d2\\_new.htm](http://www.webutilities.com/products/C3D/c3d2_new.htm). (9 April 2003).

Vince, J.(1995). **Virtual Reality System**. USA: Addison Wesley.

Von Schweber, L. & E. (1995). Virtual Reality. "**PC Magazine**"-March 14, 1995, pp. 168-198.

Webomedia.com. (2000). 'Virtual Reality'.  
[http://e.com.webomedia.com/TERM/v/virtual\\_reality.html](http://e.com.webomedia.com/TERM/v/virtual_reality.html). (9 April 2003).

Winn, W. (1993). "A Conceptual Basis for Educational Applications of Virtual Reality", University of Washington, Human Interface Technology Laboratory, Washington Technology Center, Seattle. Washington: Technical Publication.

Zoia, D.E. (2000, March 2000). **Ward's Auto World**. Detroit-: PRIMEDIA Intertec Inc.