RISK AND RISK MANAGEMENT DISCLOSURE AMONG MALAYSIAN COMPANIES

A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science (International Accounting), Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Utara Malaysia

by Nor Syafinaz Binti Shaffee

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Nor Syafinaz Shaffee, 2007. All rights reserved.



FAKULTI PERAKAUNAN (Faculty of Accountancy) UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

PERAKUAN KERTAS KERJA PROJEK (Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, yang bertandatangan di bawah, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certify that)

NOR SYAFINAZ BINTI SHAFFEE (84534)

calon untuk Ijazah (candidate for the degree of MSC (INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING) telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk

(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

RISK AND RISK MANAGEMENT DISCLOSURE AMONG MALAYSIAN COMPANIES

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan, dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper is acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia (Name of Supervisor)	:	DR KU NOR IZAH KU ISMAIL
Tandatangan (Signature)	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tarikh (Date)	:	

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes, may be granted by my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail, or in her absence, by the Dean of Faculty of Accountancy. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without any written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean

Faculty of Accountancy
University Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji tahap terkini mengenai pendedahan risiko dan pengurusan risiko di dalam laporan tahunan di Malaysia serta hubungannya dengan pembolehubah agensi iaitu saiz firma, kadar keuntungan firma, kadar kecairan firma, kadar risiko firma, komposisi ahli lembaga pengarah dan jawatankuasa audit. Kajian ini menggunakan data daripada 97 firma dari papan utama Bursa Malaysia bagi tahun kewangan 2005.

Hasil daripada kajian mendapati pendedahan risiko yang tertinggi adalah di dalam risiko membuat keputusan dan manakala yang terendah adalah di dalam risiko persekitaran. Dari perspektif industri, industri pembinaan melaporkan pendedahan tertinggi manakala yang terendah adalah dari industri teknologi. Kriteria-kriteria risiko dan pegurusan risiko yang selalu didedahkan adalah dari segi informasi 'kualitatif/masa lampau' dan sebaliknya untuk informasi 'kuantitatif/masa hadapan'.

Kajian ini juga mendapati terdapat hubungan yang positif dan signifikan di antara saiz firma dengan pendedahan risiko dan saiz firma dengan keseluruhan pendedahan risiko dan pengurusan risiko. Perhubungan ini juga di dapati di antara keuntungan firma dan jawatankuasa audit. Sebaliknya, pembolehubah-pembolehubah yang lain (kadar risiko, kecairan dan komposisi ahli lembaga pengarah) tidak signifikan dan tidak mempunyai hubungan dengan pendedahan risiko dan pengurusan risiko.

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study are to examine the current state of risk and risk management disclosures in annual reports in Malaysia and also to examine the association between risk and risk management disclosures and agency variables (namely size, profitability, leverage, risk, board composition and audit committee). This study used data from 97 main board companies for the year 2005.

This study found that the highest risk disclosure is information for decision making and the lowest is environment risk. In terms of industry, property industry reported the highest volume of risk and risk management disclosures while, the lowest disclosure is displayed by technology companies. The risk and risk management characteristics occurring most frequently is of 'qualitative/past' type and the least disclosed is of 'quantitative/future' type. It is also found that there is greater disclosure of past information as compared to future information.

This study also found that there is a positive and significant association between size and risk disclosure, and between size and overall risk and risk management disclosures. It is also found that there is a positive and significant association between firm profitability and audit committee with the extent of risk management disclosures. In contrast, other variables (namely, risk, leverage and board composition) are found to be statistically insignificant and have no association with the extent of risk and risk management disclosures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise to Allah S.W.T., the creator of the world, and blessing and peace upon our prophet Muhammad S.A.W., for giving me strength and ability to start and complete this research project as required.

First of all, my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail for her patience, guidance, and professionalism, and for the comments and academic support throughout this project development and the writing of this research project.

I would like to express my special thanks to my beloved family and husband for their understanding, moral support and patience during the course of my study at UUM. To all those involved directly and indirectly, especially all my friends, fellow classmates and the staff of the Faculty of Accountancy, UUM, thank you very much for your support, guidance, positive criticism, and co-operation in making this research project a valuable experience and a success.

To all of them, thank you very much. May Allah S.W.T bless all of us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page				
Permi	ission to usei				
Abstra	Abstrakii				
Abstra	Abstractjiii				
Acknowledgementiv					
Table	of contentsv				
List o	f tables and figuresviii				
Gloss	ary of abbreviationsix				
СНАІ	PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION				
1.0	Introduction1				
1.1	Risk and risk management				
1.2	Risk and risk management reporting framework in Malaysia				
1.3	Risk and risk management disclosure debates4				
1.4	Problem statement				
1.5	Research question				
1.6	Objectives of the study10				
1.7	Motivation of the study11				
1.8	Scope and limitation of the study11				
1.9	Significance of the study12				
1.10	Organization of the thesis.				

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.0 2.1 2.1.1 Risk and risk management......18 2.1.2 2.1.3 Classification of risk and uncertainty......21 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODS AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT 3.0 Research design......24 3.1 Purpose of study......24 3.1.1 Type of study......24 3.1.2 Study setting......25 Time horizon......25 3.1.4 Research methodology.......25 3.2 Sources of data......25 3.2.1 Population frame......25 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3 Dependent variable......29 Independent variable......31

3.3.2

	3.3.3	Control variable	32		
	3.3.4	Theoretical framework	32		
3.4	Hypot	Hypothesis development			
	3.4.1	Corporate characteristics	34		
	3.4.2	Corporate governance	36		
3.5	Data analysis		38		
СНА	PTER I	FOUR: RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS			
4.0	Introd	uction	40		
4.1	Analy	sis of research findings	40		
	4.1.1	Risk categorization	40		
	4.1.2	Risk and risk management characteristics	44		
	4.1.3	Determinants of risk and risk management disclosure	46		
4.2	Conclusion		52		
СНА	PTER I	FIVE: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIO	N		
5.0	Introd	uction	53		
5.1	Concl	clusion and suggestion for the finding5			
5.2	Furthe	er research	55		
5.3	Conclu	usion	56		
REF	ERENC	ES	57		
A DDI	NDIX		61		

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

	Page
TABLES	
TABLE 2.1	Classification of risk and uncertainty21
TABLE 3.1	Proportionate stratified random sampling27
TABLE 3.2	Disclosure coding grid31
TABLE 4.1	Risk and risk management disclosure40
TABLE 4.2	Mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum risk and risk
	management disclosures
TABLE 4.3	Volume of risk and risk management disclosure for the sample of
	companies according to industries
TABLE 4.4	Number of risk and risk management disclosure characteristics45
TABLE 4.5	Summary characteristics of risk and risk management disclosure46
TABLE 4.6	Descriptive statistics
TABLE 4.7	Distribution of companies by industry and external auditor48
TABLE 4.8	Pearson correlation coefficient
TABLE 4.9	Regression results50
FIGURES	
FIGURES 3.1	The sources of uncertainty of Arthur Anderson Business Risk Model30
FIGURES 3.2	Schematic diagram of the relationship between the independent and
	dependent variables33

GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

•	AAA	American Accounting Association
•	AICPA	Association of International Certified Public Accountants
•	AISB	Accounting International Standard Board
•	BMB	Bursa Malaysia Berhad
•	CEO	Chief Executive Officer
•	CICA	Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants
•	COSO	Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway
		Commission
•	FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
•	FEE	Federation des Experts Comptables Europeens
•	FRR	Financial Reporting Release
•	FRS	Financial Reporting Standards
•	ICAEW	Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales
•	IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
•	ISA	International Standards on Auditing
•	MACPA	Malaysian Association of Certified Public Accountants
•	MDA	Management Discussion and Analysis
•	MIA	Malaysian Institute of Accountants
•	MICG	Malaysia Institute of Corporate Governance
•	OFR	Operating and Financial Review
•	OLS	Ordinary Least Square
•	UUM	Universiti Utara Malaysia

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

The objectives of the study are to analyze the reporting of risk and risk management information in the annual reports of Malaysian public listed companies and to examine the factors that may influence risk disclosures. The mechanism of this study is illustrated in this first chapter. The first section gives the definition and interrelationships between risk and risk management. It is followed by a review of risk and risk management reporting framework. Then, identification of the research problem is discussed and highlighted. The following section presents the objectives of the study, research questions, and motivation of this study, scope and limitation and also the significance of this study. The last section provides an overview of important areas that need to be discussed in order to complete and achieve the objectives of this study.

1.1 Risk and Risk Management

Risk is defined as the variability or volatility of unexpected outcomes (Jorion and Khoury, 1996 as cited in Khan and Ahmed (2001)). Risk may also be defined as the uncertainty associated with both a potential gain and loss (Solomon, Solomon, Norton and Joseph, 2000). The Financial Committee of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) has defined risk as the "Uncertainty as to the amount of benefits. The term includes both potential gain and exposure to

-

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N. (2005). Risk Management: A preliminary study on selected Malaysian Technology companies. Institute for Research, Development and Commercialisation (IRDC) Universiti Technology Mara. LP1.
- Ahmed, K. and Courtis, J.K. (1999). Association between corporate characteristics and disclosure levels in annual reports: A meta-analysis. *British Accounting Review*, 31, pp. 35-61.
- Aksu, M. and Kosedag, A. (2006). Transparency and disclosure scores and their determinants in the Istanbul Stock Exchange. *Journal Compilation @ Blackwell Publishing*, 14(4), pp. 277-295.
- Alsaeed, K. (2006). The association between firm-specific characteristics and disclosure; the case of Saudi Arabia. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(5), pp. 476-496.
- Astrom, F., Pollari, J. & Niemenmaa, M. (2006). Guiding investors through rocky waters. How well do Finnish companies report on Risk? *Ernst and Young Risk Transparency Survey 2006*.
- Barako D.G., Hancock P., and Izan H. Y. (2006). Factors influencing voluntary corporate disclosure by Kenyan companies. *Journal Compilation @Blackwell Publishing*, 14(2), pp. 107-125.
- Beretta, S. and Bozzolan, S. (2004). A framework for the analysis of firm risk communication. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 39(3), pp. 265-288.
- Blankley, A., Lamb, R. & Schroeder, R. (2002). The disclosure of information on market risk: evidence from the Dow 30. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 17(8), pp. 438-451.
- Botosan, C.A. (1997). Disclosure level and the cost of equity capital. *Accounting Review*, 72(3), pp. 323-349.
- Chavent, M., Ding, Y.,Fu, L., Stolowy, H. and Wang, H. (2005). Disclosure and determinants studies: An extension using the divisive clustering method method(DIV). Retrieved September, 2007 from www.papers.ssrn.com.

- Cheng E, C.M. and Courtenay S, M. (2006). Board composition, regulatory regime and voluntary disclosure. *The International Journal of Accounting*, pp. 260-289.
- Cheung S.Y-L., Connelly, J.T., Limpaphayom, P. and Zhou, L. (2002). Determinants of corporate disclosure and transparency: evidence from Hong Kong and Thailand. Retrieved July, 2007 from http://ccfr.org.cn.
- Combes, T.E., Henneron, S. & Touron, P. (2006). Risk regulations and financial disclosure; An investigation based on corporate communication in French traded companies. *Corporate Communication. Bradford*, 11(3), pp. 303.
- Cooke, T.E. (1989). Voluntary corporate disclosure by Swedish companies. Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, .pp. 171-195.
- Eng, L.L. and Mak, Y.T. (2003). Corporate Governance and voluntary disclosure. Journal of Accounting & Public Policy, pp. 325-345.
- Fama, E. and Jensen, M. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 25(2), pp. 301-325.
- Federation des Experts Comptables Europeens (2005).FEE seeks views on risk reporting. *Financial Management*, pp. 4.
- Gul F, A. and Leung, S. (2004). Board leadership, outside directors' expertise and voluntary corporate disclosures. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 72(3), pp. 323-349.
- Haniffa, R.M. and Cooke, T.E (2002). Culture, corporate governance and disclosure in Malaysian corporations. *Abacus*, 38(3), pp. 317-349.
- Ho, S.S.M and Wong, K.S. (2001). A study of the relationship between corporate governance structures and the extent of voluntary disclosure. Journal of *International Accounting Auditing & Taxation*, 2, pp. 139-157.

- Hossain, M., Tan, L. M. and Adams, M (1994). Voluntary disclosure in an emerging capital market: Some empirical evidence from companies listed on the Kuala Lumpur stock exchange. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 29(3), pp. 334-351.
- Hutajulu, S. (2002). Content analysis of the evolution of discretionary disclosure in Texaco Inc.: an agency theory perspective. *Case Western Reserve University*. Retrieved July, 2007 from www.case.edu/pubs/cnews/2001/12-13/12-13 cal.htm
- Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) (1997). Financial reporting of risk- proposals for a statement of business risk.
- Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) (1999). No surprises: The case for better risk reporting.
- Jensen, C.M., and Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency cost and capital structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, pp. 305-360.
- Kala, A. (2001). Corporate governance: A practice approach Singapore: Butterworth Asia.
- Khan, T. and Ahmed, H. (2001). Risk management: an analysis of issues in Islamic financial industry. Retrieved September, 2007 from www.irti.org/downloads/Distance learning Files/
- Korosec, B. & Horvat, R. (2005). Risk reporting in corporate annual reports. *Economic and Business Review for Central and South Eastern Europe. Ljubljana*, 7(3), pp. 217-239.
- Lajili, K. & Zeghal, D. (2005). A content analysis of risk management disclosures in Canadian annual reports. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 22(2), pp. 125-142.
- Linsley, P.M ,Shrives, P.J & Crumpton, M. (2006). Risk disclosure: An exploratory study of UK and Canadian Banks. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 7(3), pp. 268 283.

- Linsley, P.M. & Shrives, P.J (2005). Examining risk reporting in UK public companies. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 6(4), pp. 292-306.
- Mangena, M. & Pike, R. (2005). The effect of audit committee shareholding, financial expertise and size on interim financial disclosures. *Accounting and Business Research*, 35(4), pp. 327-349.
- Mohd Ghazali, N.A. and Weetman, P. (2006). Perpetuating traditional influences: Voluntary disclosure in Malaysia following economic crisis. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 15, pp. 226-248.
- Schmit, J.T. and Roth, K. (1990). Cost effectiveness of risk management practices. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 57(3), pp. 455-470.
- Schrand, C.M. & Elliot, J.A. (1998). Risk and financial reporting: A summary of the discussion at the 1997 AAA/FASB conference. *Accounting Horizons*, 12(3), pp. 271-283.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). Research method for business, (3rd ed). USA: *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*
- Shleifer, A and Vishny, R.W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *Journal of finance*, 52(2), pp.737-83.
- Solomon, J., Solomon, A., Norton, S.D and Joseph, N.L. (2000). A conceptual framework for corporate risk disclosure emerging from the agenda for corporate governance reform. *British Accounting Review*, 32, pp. 427-478.
- Stanton P. and Stanton J. (2002). Corporate annual reports: research perspectives used. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 15(4), pp. 478-500.
- Tsamenyi, M., Enninful-Adu, E. and Onumah, J. (2007). Disclosure and corporate governance in developing countries: Evidence from Ghana. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 22(3), pp. 319-334.
- Watts, R. and Zimmerman, J.L. (1983). Agency problems, auditing and theory of the firm: some evidence. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 12(26), pp. 613-633.