

**TINGKAH LAKU KEPIMPINAN PENGAJARAN DAN
KEPIMPINAN TRANSFORMASIONAL DALAM KALANGAN
PENGETUA KANAN DAN PENGETUA BIASA DI SEKOLAH
CEMERLANG SERTA PENGARUHNYA
KE ATAS KOMITMEN GURU**

JORIAH BINTI MD. SAAD

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2009**

**TINGKAH LAKU KEPIMPINAN PENGAJARAN DAN
KEPIMPINAN TRANSFORMASIONAL DALAM KALANGAN
PENGETUA KANAN DAN PENGETUA BIASA DI SEKOLAH
CEMERLANG SERTA PENGARUHNYA
KE ATAS KOMITMEN GURU**

JORIAH BINTI MD. SAAD

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2009**

**TINGKAH LAKU KEPIMPINAN PENGAJARAN DAN KEPIMPINAN
TRANSFORMASIONAL DALAM KALANGAN PENGETUA KANAN
DAN PENGETUA BIASA DI SEKOLAH CEMERLANG SERTA
PENGARUHNYA KE ATAS KOMITMEN GURU**

**JORIAH BINTI MD. SAAD
(88517)**

**TESIS SARJANA YANG DIKEMUKAKAN KEPADA
UUM COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES,
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA SEBAGAI KEPERLUAN UNTUK
IJAZAH SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2009**

KEBENARAN MERUJUK

Penyerahan tesis ini ialah sebagai keperluan untuk pengijazahan Sarjana Pendidikan daripada Universiti Utara Malaysia. Saya bersetuju menjadikan tesis ini sebagai bahan rujukan di perpustakaan. Saya juga bersetuju bahawa kebenaran untuk membuat salinan keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripadanya bagi tujuan akademik mestilah mendapat kebenaran daripada Dekan UUM *College of Arts and Sciences*.

Sebarang bentuk penyalinan, penerbitan atau penggunaan secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripada tesis ini bagi tujuan komersial adalah tidak dibenarkan tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada penyelidik. Pernyataan rujukan kepada penyelidik dan Universiti Utara Malaysia mestilah dinyatakan dalam bentuk rujukan yang terdapat dalam tesis ini.

Kebenaran untuk penyelidikan atau lain-lain kegunaan sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagiannya boleh dilakukan dengan menulis kepada:

Dekan
UUM *College of Arts and Sciences*
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM, Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

PENGHARGAAN

Alhamdulillah, bersyukur saya ke hadrat Allah S.W.T kerana dengan limpah kurnia dan keizinanNya saya dapat menyempurnakan tesis ini.

Ucapan setinggi-tinggi penghargaan ditujukan kepada Dr. Hj. Ishak bin Sin selaku penyelia akademik yang banyak mencurahkan ilmu dan memberi tunjuk ajar kepada saya sepanjang tesis ini dilaksanakan. Dorongan dan bimbingan yang diberikan tanpa jemu-jemu menyemarakkan lagi semangat saya untuk terus berusaha menimba ilmu.

Ucapan terima kasih juga ditujukan kepada pensyarah dan kakitangan UUM *College of Arts and Sciences*, atas bimbingan dan kerjasama yang diberi secara langsung atau tidak langsung sepanjang tempoh saya mengikuti pengajian sarjana di sini.

Penghargaan juga ditujukan kepada Jabatan Pelajaran Negeri Kedah, pengetua-pengetua sekolah dan guru-guru yang terlibat kerana membantu saya menjayakan kajian ini. Tidak lupa juga kepada saudara mara dan rakan-rakan seperjuangan yang sentiasa memberi pertolongan dan semangat.

Akhir sekali, saya juga mengambil kesempatan ini untuk mengucapkan jutaan terima kasih kepada ahli keluarga yang tercinta atas dorongan dan kesediaan memahami cita-cita saya untuk menghayati pembelajaran sepanjang hayat. Semoga Allah memberkati usaha ini.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk mengenal pasti tingkah laku kepimpinan yang diamalkan oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa yang menerajui sekolah menengah cemerlang di negeri Kedah dalam aspek kepimpinan pengajaran dan kepimpinan transformasional. Selain itu, kajian ini juga berhasrat untuk mengetahui tingkah laku kepimpinan manakah yang lebih berpengaruh ke atas komitmen guru di sekolah.

Kaedah penyelidikan yang diguna pakai ialah kaedah tinjauan rentasan menggunakan soal selidik terpiawai. Responden kajian terdiri daripada 419 orang guru yang dipilih secara rawak daripada 12 buah sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan 10 buah sekolah menengah cemerlang.

Alat ukur yang diguna pakai ialah alat ukur *Instructional Leadership Survey Questionnaire* oleh Lahui-Ako (2001) untuk mengukur dimensi kepimpinan pengajaran. Alat ukur *Transformational Leadership Survey Questionnaire* ciptaan Griffith (2003) pula digunakan untuk mengukur dimensi kepimpinan transformasional. Seterusnya, alat ukur *Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment Scales* yang diguna pakai oleh LaMastro (2000) diguna untuk mengukur tahap komitmen guru. Sebanyak empat belas hipotesis nol telah dibina dan diuji dengan menggunakan kaedah statistik ujian-*t* dan ujian regresi *stepwise*.

Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua kategori pengetua yang dikaji mengamalkan kepimpinan pengajaran secara lebih dominan berbanding kepimpinan transformasional. Di samping itu, tiada terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan dalam pengamalan kesemua dimensi kedua-dua kepimpinan ini dalam kalangan kedua-dua kategori pengetua tersebut kecuali dimensi mentafsir dan menyampaikan matlamat sekolah (kepimpinan pengajaran) yang diamal secara lebih tinggi oleh Pengetua Kanan.

Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa komitmen guru-guru di bawah pentadbiran Pengetua Kanan adalah lebih tinggi berbanding komitmen guru-guru di bawah pentadbiran Pengetua Biasa. Seterusnya, kepimpinan transformasional dan kepimpinan pengajaran didapati mempunyai pengaruh yang signifikan ke atas komitmen guru masing-masing melalui dimensi stimulasi intelek dan dimensi mentafsir dan menyampaikan matlamat sekolah. Namun begitu, pengaruh kepimpinan transformasional ke atas komitmen guru adalah secara signifikan lebih tinggi.

Instructional and Transformational Leadership Behaviors Among Pengetua Kanan and Principals of Performing Secondary Schools and Their Influence on Teachers' Commitment

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study is to determine the leadership style of Pengetua Kanan and principals of performing secondary schools in Kedah in respect of instructional and transformational leaderships. The study also seeks to compare the influence of these two leaderships on teachers' commitment in schools.

The cross-sectional survey method using standardized-item questionnaires was employed in this study. The respondents consisted of 419 teachers chosen randomly from 12 Pengetua Kanan schools and 10 performing secondary schools in Kedah.

The *Instructional Leadership Survey Questionnaire* by Lahui-Ako (2001) was used to measure the dimensions of instructional leadership. The *Transformational Leadership Survey Questionnaire* by Griffith (2003) was used to measure transformational leadership dimensions, while the *Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment Scales* by LaMastro (2000) was used to measure teachers' commitment in schools. Fourteen null hypotheses were generated and tested using t-test and stepwise regression statistical methods.

The findings of the study showed that both categories of principals practiced instructional leadership significantly more dominant than transformational leadership. It was also found that there was no significant difference in the practice of the dimensions of these leaderships by both categories of principals. However, defining and communicating the school goals of instructional leadership was found to be more pronounced in the leadership of Pengetua Kanan.

The study also showed that the level of commitment displayed by teachers in Pengetua Kanan schools was comparatively higher. In addition, both transformational and instructional leaderships were found to have significant influence on teachers' commitment through the dimensions of intellectual stimulation and defining and communicating the school goals respectively. However, the impact of transformational leadership on teachers' commitment was found to be significantly more dominant.

KANDUNGAN

PERKARA	HALAMAN
KEBENARAN MERUJUK	i
PENGHARGAAN	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
KANDUNGAN	v
SENARAI JADUAL	x
SENARAI RAJAH	xiii
BAB I: PENGENALAN	
1.1 Pendahuluan	1
1.2 Latar Belakang Masalah Kajian	7
1.3 Pernyataan Masalah Kajian	15
1.4 Objektif Kajian	17
1.4.1 Objektif Am	17
1.4.2 Objektif Khusus	17
1.5 Soalan Kajian	18
1.6 Hipotesis Kajian	19
1.7 Kerangka Kajian	21
1.8 Kepentingan Kajian	22
1.9 Definisi Istilah	24
1.9.1 Kepimpinan Pengajaran	24
1.9.2 Kepimpinan Transformasional	26

1.9.3	Pengetua Kanan	27
1.9.4	Pengetua Biasa	29
1.9.5	Guru	30
1.9.6	Komitmen Terhadap Organisasi	30
1.10	Andaian dan Batasan Kajian	31
1.10.1	Andaian Kajian	31
1.10.2	Batasan Kajian	31
1.11	Rumusan	33
 BAB II : TINJAUAN LITERATUR		
2.1	Pendahuluan	35
2.2	Konsep Kepimpinan	35
2.3	Teori-Teori Kepimpinan	38
2.3.1	Teori Sifat (Tret)	38
2.3.2	Teori Tingkah Laku Kepimpinan	41
2.3.3	Teori Kepimpinan Kontingensi dan Kepimpinan Situasional	46
2.3.4	Teori Pendekatan Kepimpinan Baru	47
2.3.4.1	Teori Kepimpinan Pengajaran	47
2.3.4.2	Teori Kepimpinan Transformasional	55
2.4	Teori Komitmen	64
2.5	Dapatan Kajian Pengaruh Kepimpinan Pengajaran Ke Atas Komitmen	72
2.6	Dapatan Kajian Pengaruh Kepimpinan Transformasional Ke Atas Komitmen	81
2.7	Rumusan	90

BAB III : METODOLOGI KAJIAN

3.1	Pendahuluan	96
3.2	Reka Bentuk Kajian	96
3.3	Populasi dan Pensampelan	98
3.3.1	Bilangan Sampel	98
3.3.2	Kaedah Pemilihan Sampel	101
3.4	Alat Ukur	102
3.4.1	Alat ukur <i>Instructional Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i>	102
3.4.2	Alat Ukur <i>Transformational Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i>	107
3.4.3	Alat Ukur <i>Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment Scales</i>	110
3.5	Kajian Rintis	112
3.6	Prosedur Pemungutan Data	117
3.7	Prosedur Menganalisis Data	119
3.7.1	Kaedah Pengkodan Data	119
3.7.2	Statistik Deskriptif	121
3.8	Menguji Hipotesis	121
3.9	Rumusan	125

BAB IV: KEPUTUSAN KAJIAN

4.1	Pendahuluan	126
4.2	Profil Responden	126
4.3	Analisis Statistik Deskriptif Pemboleh Ubah Kajian	132
4.4	Pengujian Hipotesis	137

4.4.1	Hipotesis Nol Pertama	138
4.4.2	Hipotesis Nol Kedua	139
4.4.3	Hipotesis Nol Ketiga	140
4.4.4	Hipotesis Nol Keempat	146
4.4.5	Hipotesis Nol Kelima	150
4.4.6	Hipotesis Nol Keenam	151
4.5	Rumusan	156

BAB V: PERBINCANGAN DAN KESIMPULAN

5.1	Pendahuluan	160
5.2	Ringkasan Kajian	160
5.3	Dapatan dan Perbincangan	162
5.3.1	Pengamalan Kepimpinan Pengajaran dan Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	162
5.3.2	Pengamalan Kepimpinan Yang Lebih Dominan Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	164
5.3.3	Pengamalan Dimensi Kepimpinan Pengajaran dan Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	166
5.3.4	Komitmen Guru Di Bawah Kepimpinan Pengetua Kanan dan Komitmen Guru Di Bawah Kepimpinan Pengetua Biasa	167
5.3.5	Pengaruh Kepimpinan Pengajaran dan Kepimpinan Transformasional Ke Atas Komitmen Guru	169
5.3.6	Kesimpulan	172
5.4	Implikasi Dapatan Kajian	172
5.4.1	Implikasi Ke Atas Teori	173
5.4.2	Implikasi Ke Atas Bidang Pendidikan	174

5.4.3	Implikasi Ke Atas Pemimpin Sekolah	176
5.5	Batasan Kajian	177
5.6	Cadangan Kajian Masa Hadapan	178
5.7	Rumusan	180
RUJUKAN		181
LAMPIRAN A:	SOAL SELIDIK	196
LAMPIRAN B:	SURAT KEBENARAN KEMENTERIAN PELAJARAN MALAYSIA	203
LAMPIRAN C:	SURAT KEBENARAN JPN KEDAH	204

SENARAI JADUAL

Jadual	Perkara	Halaman
2.1	Dapatan Kajian Tentang Pengaruh Kepimpinan Pengajaran Ke Atas Komitmen	91
2.2	Dapatan Kajian Tentang Pengaruh Kepimpinan Transformasional Ke Atas Komitmen	93
3.1	Korelasi Antara Dimensi Soal Selidik <i>Instructional Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i> (Lahui-Ako, 2001) dan Soal Selidik <i>Instructional Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i> Yang Telah Ditambah Oleh Penyelidik	106
3.2	Korelasi Antara Dimensi Soal Selidik <i>Transformational Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i> (Griffith, 2003) dan Soal Selidik <i>Transformational Leadership Survey Questionnaire</i> Yang Telah Ditambah Oleh Penyelidik	109
3.3	Keputusan Kajian Rintis	116
3.4	Kaedah Menguji Hipotesis Kajian	123
4.1	Taburan Responden Mengikut Jantina Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	127
4.2	Taburan Responden Mengikut Kelulusan Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	128
4.3	Taburan Responden Mengikut Pengalaman Mengajar Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	130
4.4	Taburan Responden Mengikut Tempoh Bertugas Di Bawah Pengetua Sekarang Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	131
4.5	Keputusan Perbandingan Skor Min Pemboleh Ubah Kepimpinan Pengajaran Antara Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	135
4.6	Keputusan Perbandingan Skor Min Pemboleh Ubah Kepimpinan Transformasional Antara Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	136

4.7	Keputusan Perbandingan Skor Min Pemboleh Ubah Komitmen Antara Guru-Guru Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan Dengan Guru-Guru Di Sekolah Pengetua Biasa.	137
4.8	Keputusan Ujian-t Tahap Pengamalan Kepimpinan Pengajaran dan Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan	138
4.9	Keputusan Ujian-t Tahap Pengamalan Kepimpinan Pengajaran dan Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Biasa	139
4.10	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Tingkah laku Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan Dengan Pengetua Biasa	140
4.11	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Mentafsir dan Menyampaikan Matlamat Sekolah Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	141
4.12	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Mengurus Kurikulum dan Pengajaran Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	142
4.13	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Memupuk Suatu Iklim Pembelajaran Yang Positif Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa.	143
4.14	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Mencerap dan Memberi Maklum Balas Kepada Guru Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	144
4.15	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Menilai Program-Program Pengajaran Kepimpinan Pengajaran Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	145
4.16	Keputusan Ujian-t Tingkah Laku Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	146
4.17	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Karisma/Inspirasi Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	147

4.18	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Pertimbangan Secara Individu Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa.	148
4.19	Keputusan Ujian-t Pengamalan Dimensi Stimulasi Intelek Kepimpinan Transformasional Oleh Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	149
4.20	Keputusan Ujian-t Pemboleh Ubah Komitmen Bagi Guru-Guru Di Bawah Kepimpinan Pengetua Kanan dan Pengetua Biasa	150
4.21	Korelasi Min Pemboleh Ubah Kepimpinan Pengajaran, Kepimpinan Transformasional dan Komitmen	152
4.22	Analisis Regresi Berganda <i>Stepwise</i> Pemboleh Ubah Yang Mempengaruhi Komitmen	155
4.23	Ringkasan Pernyataan Hipotesis, Kaedah Pengujian dan Keputusan Kajian	158

SENARAI RAJAH

Rajah	Perkara	Halaman
1.1	Kerangka Kajian	21
4.1	Taburan Responden Mengikut Jantina Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	128
4.2	Taburan Responden Mengikut Kelulusan Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	129
4.3	Taburan Responden Mengikut Pengalaman Mengajar Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	130
4.4	Taburan Responden Mengikut Tempoh Bertugas Di Bawah Pengetua Sekarang Di Sekolah Pengetua Kanan dan Sekolah Pengetua Biasa	132
4.5	PP-Plot Regresi Residual Terpiawai: Pemboleh Ubah Bersandar Komitmen	134
4.6	Plot Taburan : Pemboleh Ubah Bersandar Komitmen	134

BAB I

Pengenalan

1.1 Pendahuluan

Kecemerlangan dalam bidang pendidikan dan usaha untuk memartabatkan sistem pendidikan negara di mata dunia adalah merupakan agenda utama Kementerian Pelajaran, khususnya, dan juga Kerajaan Malaysia kini. Matlamat ini dinyatakan dengan jelas dalam Akta Pendidikan 1996 yang menyebut "...untuk menghasilkan sistem pendidikan yang bertaraf dunia dari segi kualiti bagi memperkembangkan potensi individu sepenuhnya dan mencapai aspirasi negara" (Malaysia, 2005: 11). Terkini, sekali lagi Kementerian Pelajaran mempertegaskan perkara yang sama, yang dinyatakan dengan jelas dalam enam teras strategik yang terkandung dalam Pelan Induk Pembangunan Pendidikan (2006 – 2010) yang dilancarkan pada 16 Januari 2007, iaitu membina negara bangsa, membangunkan modal insan, memperkasakan sekolah kebangsaan, merapatkan jurang pendidikan, memartabatkan profesion keguruan, dan melonjakkan kecemerlangan institusi pendidikan (Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia, 2006). Ini jelas merupakan usaha yang menjurus ke arah meningkatkan martabat institusi pendidikan dan juga sistem pendidikan negara.

Untuk mencapai hasrat ini, komitmen yang tinggi daripada guru-guru adalah diperlukan. Kajian-kajian mendapati komitmen guru adalah salah satu faktor penting

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

RUJUKAN

- Abdul Ghani Kanesan Abdullah. (2003). *An investigation of leadership substitutes as moderator of principals' transformational leadership behavior*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Universiti Sains Malaysia P. Pinang.
- Abdul Rafie Mahat. (2002). Ucapan perasmian Majlis Anugerah Pelantikan Pengetua Kanan 2002 di Shah Alam, Selangor, 14 April, 2002.
- Ali Yusob Md. Zain. (1999). A psychometric assessment of the Malay version of Meyer and Allen's organizational commitment measure. *Malaysian Management Review*, 34(1), 418-429.
- Alig-Mielcarek, J. M. (2003). *A model of school success: Instructional leadership, academic press and student achievement*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University, USA.
- Allen, G.(1998). *Leading*. Dicapai pada Jun 27, 2007 daripada [Http://ollie.dcccd.edu/mgmt1374/book_contents/4directing/leading/lead.htm](http://ollie.dcccd.edu/mgmt1374/book_contents/4directing/leading/lead.htm)
- Allen, N.J., & Meyer, J.P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1-18.
- Andrews, R.L., & Soder, R. (1987). Principal leadership and student achievement. *Educational Leadership*, 44(6), 9-11.
- Arbuckle, J.L., & Wothke, W. (1999). *Amos 4.0 user's guide*. Chicago: SPSS.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L.C., & Razaveigh, A. (2002). *Introduction to research in education* (6th ed.). Wadsworth: Thomson Learning.
- Atkinson, J.W. (1964). *An introduction to motivation*. New York: Van Norstrand Reinhold.
- Avolio, B.J., Waldman, D.A., & Yammarino, F.J (1991). Leading in the 1990s: The four I's of transformational leadership. *Journal of European Industrial Training* 15(4), 9-16
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Barnett, K., McCormick, J. & Conners, R.(2001). Transformational leadership in schools – panacea, placebo or problem? *Journal of Educational Administration*, 39(1), 24-46.

- Bass, B.M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. New York: Free Press.
- Bass, B.M. (1990). *Bass and Stogdill's handbook of leadership: Theory, research and managerial applications* (3rd ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Bass, B.M. (1996). *A new paradigm for leadership: An inquiry into transformational leadership*. US Army Research Institute, Alexandria, VA.
- Bass, B.M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 9-32.
- Bass, B.M. & Avolio, B.J. (1990a). Developing transformational leadership: 1992 and beyond. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 14(5), 21-27.
- Bass, B.M. & Avolio, B.J. (1990b). *Multifactor leadership questionnaire-short form 6S*. Birmingham, NY: Centre of Leadership Studies
- Bass, B.M., & Avolio, B.J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Bass, B.M., & Avolio, B.J. (1995). *The multifactor leadership questionnaire*. Palo Alto, C.A: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Bass, B.M., & Avolio, B.J. (1997). *Full range leadership development: Manual for multifactor leadership questionnaire*. Palo Alto, California: Mind Garden.
- Benkhoff, B. (1996). Disentangling organizational commitment: The dangers of the OCQ for research and policy. *Personnel Review*, 26 (1/2), 114-131.
- Bennis, W.G., & Nanus, B. (1985). *Leaders: The strategies of taking charge*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Blasé, J., & Blasé, J. (2000). Effective instructional leadership: Teachers' perspectives on how principals promote teaching and learning in schools. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 130-141.
- Blumer, H. (1969). *Symbolic interactionism: Perspective and method*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Braskamp, L.A., & Maehr, M.L. (1988). *Instructional Climate Inventory: Form 5*. Champagne, IL: Metri Tech.
- Brennen, A.M. (2006). *Leadership styles*. Dicapai pada Jun 27, 2007 daripada <http://www.soencouragement.org/leadership-styles.htm>

- Brislin, W. R. (1970). Back-translation for cross-cultural research. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 1*(3), Sept. 1970, 185-216.
- Burns, J.M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper Colophon Books.
- Cemerlang – 25 pengetua terima anugerah. (2007, Februari 8). *Utusan Malaysia*, halaman 12.
- Ciculla, J.B. (1995). Leadership ethics: Mapping the territory. *Business Ethics Quarterly, 5*(1), 5-8.
- Covey, S. R. (1999). *Principle-centred leadership*. London: Simon & Schuster UK Ltd.
- Crossman, A., & Harris, P. (2006). Job satisfaction of secondary school teachers. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 34*(1), 29-46.
- Davis, G.A., & Thomas, M.A. (1989). *Effective schools and effective teachers*. Boston: Ally and Bacon.
- DeBevoise, W. (1984). Synthesis of research on principal as instructional leader. *Educational Leadership, 41*(5), 14-21.
- Dimmock, C., & Walker, A. (2000). Developing comparative and international educational leadership and management: A cross-cultural model. *School Leadership and management, 20*(2), 143-160.
- Ebmeier, H. (1990). *Diagnostic assessment of school and principal effectiveness*. Topeka, KS: United School Administrators.
- Ebmeier, H. (2003). How supervision influences teacher efficacy and commitment: An investigation of a path model. *Journal of Curriculum and Supervision, 18*(2), 110-141.
- Education World (2005). *Principals identify top ten leadership traits*. Dicapai pada Disember 2, 2006 daripada http://www.education-world.com/a_admin/admin/admin190.shtml
- Etzioni, A. (1961). *A comparative analysis of complex organizations*. New York: Free Press.
- Evers, C.W., & Lakomski, G (1996). *Exploring educational administration: Coherentist applications and critical debates*. New York: Elsevier Science.
- Fiedler, F.E. (1967). *A theory of leadership effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Firestone, W.A., & Pannell, J. (1993). Teacher commitment, working conditions, and differential incentives. *Review of Educational Research, 63*(4), 489-526.

- Fisher, D.L., & Fraser, B.J. (1990). *Validity and use of the School-Level Environment Questionnaire*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association Boston.
- Fortmann, K., Feinzimer, A.B., Thompson, C., Glover, B., Moraes, A., & Frame, M. (2003, March). *Effects of transformational and transactional leadership on affective organization commitment*. Paper presented at the 24th annual conference of the IOOB in Akron, OH.
- Fullan, M. (2002). The change leader. *Educational Leadership*, 59(8), 16-20.
- Gay, L.R., & Airasian, P. (2003). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and application* (7th ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Geijsel, F., Slegers, P., Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2002). Transformational leadership effects on teachers' commitment and effort toward school reform. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 41(3), 228-256.
- Goddard, R.D., Hoy, W.K., & Hoy, A.W. (2000). Collective teacher efficacy: Its meaning, measure, and impact on student achievement. *American Education Research Journal*, 37(2), 479-507.
- Greenfield, W. (1987). Preface. In Greenfield, W. *Instructional leadership: Concepts, issues, and controversies*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc.
- Griffith, J. (2003). Relation of principal transformational leadership to school staff job satisfaction, staff turnover, and school performance. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 42(3), 333-356.
- Guru dan kelas tuisyen. (2007, Julai 9). *Utusan Malaysia*, halaman 9.
- Guru enggan bertugas di kawasan pedalaman. (2007, Mac 21). *Utusan Malaysia*, halaman 7.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E., & Tatham, R.L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. . New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J.F., Money, A.H., Samouel, P., & Page, M. (2007). *Research methods for business*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Hair, J.F., Rolph, E.A., Ronald, L.T., & William, C.B. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*, (5th ed.). NJ: Prentice Hall

- Hall, J., Johnson, S., Wysocki, A., & Kepner, K. (2002). *Transformational leadership: The transformation of managers and associates*. Dicapai pada September 06, 2007 daripada <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/HR020>.
- Hallinger, P. (1992). The evolving role of American principals: From managerial to instructional to transformational leaders. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 30(3), 35-48.
- Hallinger, P. (2003). Leading educational change: Reflections on the practice of instructional and transformational leadership. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 33(3), 329-352.
- Hallinger, P. (2005a, April). *Instructional leadership: How has the model evolved and what have we learned?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Montreal, Canada.
- Hallinger, P. (2005b). Instructional leadership and the school principal: a passing fancy that refuses to fade away. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 4, 221-239.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (1996). Reassessing the principal's role in school effectiveness: a review of empirical research, 1980-1995. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 32(1), 5-44.
- Hallinger, P., & Murphy, J. (1985). Assessing the instructional management behaviors of principals. *The Elementary School Journal*, 86(2), 217-247.
- Heck, R.H. (1993). School context, principal leadership, and achievement: The case of secondary schools in Singapore. *The Urban Review*, 25(2), 151-166.
- Heck, R.H. (2000). Examining the impact of school quality on school outcomes and improvement.: A value-added approach. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 36(4), 513-52.
- Heck, R.H., Larsen, T.J., & Marcoulides, G.A. (1990). Instructional leadership and school achievement: Validation of a causal model. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 26(2), 94-125.
- Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K.H. (1977). *Management of organizational behavior: Utilizing human resources* (3rd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice Hall.
- Hofstede, G. (1984). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Abridge edition. Beverley Hill: Sage Publications.
- Hollander, E.P., & Julian, J.W. (1969). Contemporary trends in the analysis of leadership processes. *Psychological Bulletin* 71, 387-397.

- House, R.J. (1971). A path-goal theory of leader effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16, 321-339.
- House, R.J., & Mitchell, T.R. (1974). Path-goal theory of leadership. *Contemporary Business*, 3 (Fall), 81-98.
- Howell, J.P., Dorfman, P.W., & Kerr, S. (1986). Moderator variables in leadership research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 11, 88-102.
- Hoy, W.K., & Miskel, C.G. (1991). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice* (4th ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hoy, W.K., & Miskel, C.G. (1996). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice* (5th ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hoy, W.K., & Miskel, C.G. (2001). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice* (6th ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hoy, W.K., & Miskel, C.G. (2008). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice* (8th ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hoy, W.K., Tarter, C.J., & Kottkamp, R.B. (1991). *On schools/Healthy schools: Measuring organizational climate*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Huang, S. L. (2000, April). *Investigating high school teachers' perceptions of school environment*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association in New Orleans.
- Hussein Mahmood. (1993). *Kepimpinan dan keberkesanan sekolah*. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Ingels, S.J., Abraham, S.Y., Karr, R., Spencer, B.D., & Frankel, R.R. (1990). *National educational longitudinal study of 1988 base year: Student component data file user's manual*. Washington, DC: U.S Department of Education.
- Institut Aminuddin Baki. (2005). *Program latihan tahun 2005*. Genting Highlands: IAB.
- Institut Aminuddin Baki. (2006). *Program latihan tahun 2006*. Genting Highlands: IAB.
- Institut Aminuddin Baki. (2007). *Program latihan tahun 2007*. Genting Highlands: IAB.
- Ishak bin Sin (2001). *Pengaruh kepimpinan pengajaran, kepimpinan transformasi dan gantian kepada kepimpinan ke atas komitmen terhadap organisasi, efikasi dan kepuasan kerja guru*. Tesis Doktor Falsafah, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Ishak bin Sin (2004a). Apakah yang tertulis dalam khazanah tulisan ilmiah tentang tret, tingkah laku dan tindakan yang diperlukan untuk menjadi seorang pengetua yang

- berkesan? *Jurnal Pengurusan dan Kepimpinan Pendidikan, Institut Aminuddin Baki, 14(01), 1-17.*
- Ishak bin Sin (2004b). Sekolah berkesan: Amalan-amalan dalam pengurusan pendidikan. *Jurnal Pengurusan dan Kepimpinan Pendidikan, Institut Aminuddin Baki, 14 (02), 1-21.*
- Ishak bin Sin, (2005). Gaya kepimpinan transformasi dan pengajaran: Gaya yang manakah yang diperlukan oleh pengetua. *Jurnal Pengurusan dan Kepimpinan Pendidikan. Institut Aminuddin Baki, 1-16.*
- Ishak bin Sin (2006). *Memperkasakan kepimpinan sekolah: Teori manakah yang perlu dipakai oleh pengetua?* Kertas kerja yang dibentangkan dalam Seminar Nasional Pengurusan dan Kepimpinan Pendidikan anjuran Institut Aminuddin Baki, Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia pada 19-21 Disember, 2006.
- Jabatan Pelajaran Kedah. (2004). Panduan Penggubalan SKT Untuk Pengetua.
- Jabatan Pelajaran Kedah. (2007a). Laporan pencapaian akademik dan kokurikulum sekolah-sekolah menengah Kedah 2007.
- Jabatan Pelajaran Kedah. (2007b). Analisis keputusan PMR 2006.
- Jabatan Pelajaran Kedah. (2007c). Kursus-Kursus Anjuran JPN Kedah Tahun 2007.
- Jabatan Pelajaran Kedah. (2007d). Data Guru Sekolah Menengah Kedah 2007.
- Jackson, D. (2000). The school improvement journey: Perspectives on leadership. *School Leadership and Management, 20(1), 61-78.*
- Jamil Ahmad, 2002. *Pemupukan budaya penyelidikan di kalangan guru di sekolah: Satu penilaian.* Tesis Doktor Falsafah Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Jerrel, L. & Slavin, D.P. (1989). *The whole manager.* New York, NY: American Management Association
- Joffres, C., & Haughey, M. (2001). Elementary teachers' commitment declines: Antecedents, processes, and outcomes. *The Qualitative Report, 6(1), 1-20.*
- Kanter, R.M. (1968). Commitment and social organizations: A study of commitment mechanisms in utopian communities. *American Sociological Review, 33, 499-517.*
- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia.(1982). Surat Pekeliling Pentadbiran 3/67, Pindaan 1982.
- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia.(1986). Surat Pekeliling Ikhtisas, 4/1986

- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia. (1987). Surat Pekeliling Ikhtisas, 3/1987.
- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia.(2004).Borang Pencalonan Pengetua Kanan (Cemerlang) Malaysia.
- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia. (2006). *Pelan Induk Pembangunan Pendidikan (2006 – 2010)*. Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia: Edisi Pelancaran.
- Keputusan SPM 2005. (2006, Mac 14). *Utusan Malaysia*, halaman 14.
- Kingstrom, P. O., & Mainstone, L. E. (1985). An investigation of the rater-ratee acquaintance and rater bias. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28(3), 641-653.
- Kirkpatrick, S.A., & Locke, E.A. (1991). Leadership: Do traits matter? *Academy of Management Executive*, 5, 48-80.
- Koh, W.L., Steers, R.M. & Terborg, J.R. (1995). The effects of transformational leadership on teacher attitudes and student performance in Singapore. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 16, 319-333.
- Kotter, J. P. (1990). *A Force for Change. How leadership differs from management*. New York: Free Press.
- Kouzes, J.M., & Posner, B.Z. (2003). *The Leadership Challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Krishnan, V.K. (2005). Transformational leadership and outcomes: Role of relationship duration. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 26(6), 442-457.
- Krug, S.E. (1992). Instructional leadership: A constructivist perspective. *Educational Administrative Quarterly*, 28(3), 430-443.
- Kushman, J. W. (1992). The organizational dynamics of teacher workplace commitment: A study of urban elementary and middle schools. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 28(1), 5-42.
- Lagi guru pukul murid. (2007, April 4). *Utusan Malaysia*. Halaman 7.
- Lahui-Ako, B. (2001). The instructional leadership behavior of Papua New Guinea high school principals – A provincial case study. *Journal of Education Administration*, 39(3), 233-265.
- LaMastro, V. (2000). Commitment and perceived organizational support. *National Forum of Applied Educational Research Journal*, 13E (3). Dicapai pada April 11, 2007 daripada <http://www.nationalforum.com>

- Lee, J. (2005). Effects of leadership and leader-member exchange on commitment. *Leadership & Organizational Development Journal*, 28 (8), 655-672.
- Leithwood, K. (1992). Transformational leadership: Where does it stand? *Educational Leadership*, 49, 8-12.
- Leithwood, K. (1994). Leadership for school restructuring. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 30(4), 498-518.
- Leithwood, K., Aitken, R., & Jantzi, D. (2001). *Making schools smarter: A system for monitoring school and district progress*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 112-129.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2005). A review of transformational school leadership research 1996-2005. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 4, 177-199.
- Leithwood, K., Jantzi, D., & Fernandez, A. (1993, April). *Secondary school teachers' commitment to change: The contribution of transformational leadership*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, in Atlanta, GA.
- Leithwood, K., Jantzi, D., & Steinbach, R. (2002). Leadership practices for accountable schools. Dlm K. Leithwood., P. Hallinger (Eds), *Second International Handbook of Educational Leadership and Administration Part 2*, 849-879. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Likert, R., & Gibson, J. (1976). *New ways of managing conflicts* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Locke, E.A. (1991). *The essence of leadership: The four keys to leading successfully*. New York: Lexington Books.
- Maehr, M.L., & Ames, R. (1988). *Instructional Leadership Inventory*. Champaign, IL: Metri Tech.
- Maehr, M.L., Braskamp, L.A., & Ames, R. (1988). *Instructional Climate Inventory, Form T*. Champaign, IL: MetriTech
- Maehr, M., & Midgley, C. (1996). *Transforming school cultures*. Boulders CO: Westview Press.

- Mahmood Nazar Mohamed, (2005). Cross-cultural limitations in back-translated tests used in management and social science research. *Jurnal Pembangunan Sosial*, 8 (Jun & Dis), 45-62.
- Majlis Pengetua Kanan Kebangsaan. (2005a). Minit Mesyuarat Agong MPKK, 2005.
- Majlis Pengetua Kanan Kebangsaan. (2005b). *Panduan pengurusan sekolah ke arah pendidikan cemerlang: Program jururunding kepengetuaan – isu, punca dan cadangan penyelesaian*. Batu Caves, Selangor: Percetakan Selaseh Sdn Bhd.
- Malaysia. (2005). *Akta Pendidikan 1996*. Petaling Jaya: International Law Book.
- Marks, H. M., & Printy, S. M. (2003). Principal leadership and school performance: an integration of transformational and instructional leadership. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 370-397.
- McGorry, S.Y. (2000). Measurement in a cross-cultural environment: survey translation issues. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 3 (2), 74-81.
- Mendez-Morse, S. (1993). Vision, change and leadership. *Issues about change*. Austin, TX : Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.
- Meyer, J.P., & Allen, N.J. (1984). Testing the ‘side-bet theory’ of organizational commitment : Some methodological considerations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69, 372-378.
- Meyer, J.P. & Allen, N.J. (1988). Links between work experiences and organizational commitment during the first year of employment : A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 61, 195-210.
- Meyer, J.P. & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.
- Meyer, J.P., Allen, N.J., & Smith, C.A. (1993). Commitment to organizations and occupations: Extension and test of a three-component conceptualization. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78, 538-51.
- Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61, 20-52.
- Meyer, M. J., & Macmillan, R. B. (2001). The principal’s role in transition: instructional leadership ain’t what it used to be. *International Electronic Journal For Leadership in Learning*, 5(13). Dicapai pada Jun 26, 2007 daripada <http://www.ucalgary.ca/~iejll>

- Mohd. Majid Konting, (2005). *Kaedah penyelidikan pendidikan*. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Moos, R.H. (1979). *Evaluating educational environment: Procedures, measures, findings, and policy implementations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Mowday, R. T., Porter, L. W., & Steers, R. M. (1982). *Employee-organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism, and turnover*. New York: Academic Press.
- Mowday, R.T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L.W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14, 224-247.
- Murid cedera dipukul guru dengan sepatu tumit tinggi. (2007, Februari 26). *Utusan Malaysia*. Halaman 8.
- Murphy, J. (2002). Reculturing the profession of educational leadership: New blueprints. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 38 (2), 176-192.
- Nanus, B. (1992). *Visionary leadership: Creating a compelling sense of direction for your organization*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Ojasalo, K. (2000). *Customer commitment and key account management*. (Working paper: 421). Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration.
- Othman Mohamed.(2001). *Penulisan tesis dalam bidang sains sosial terapan*. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Painter, B., & Valentine, J. (1996). *Instructional practices inventory*. Columbia, MO: Missouri Centre for School Improvement, University of Missouri.
- Pierce, J. L., & Newstrom, J. W (2006). *Leaders and the leadership process: readings, self-assessment and application* (4th Ed.). Boston: McGraw Hill/Irwin.
- Pinto, J.K., & Slavin, J.K. (1988). Project success: Definition and measurement techniques. *Project Management Journal*, 19(1), 67-72.
- Posdaccoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Moorman, R.H. & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leadership behaviours and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Leadership Quarterly* 1, 107-142.
- Quinn, D.M. (2002). The impact of principal leadership behaviors on instructional practice and student engagement. *Journal of Education Administration*, 40(5), 447-467.

- Raju, P. M., & Srivastava, R. C. (1994). Factors contributing to commitment to the teaching profession. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 8(5), 7-13.
- Ranjit, K. (1999). *Research methodology*. California: Thousand Oaks.
- Razali Mat Zain (1989). *Teori kepimpinan dalam pengurusan. Sekolah Ekonomi dan Pentadbiran Awam*. Sintok: Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Reyes, P. (1992). *Preliminary models of teacher organizational commitment: Implications for restructuring the workplace*. Report number R117Q0005-92. Centre on Organization and Restructuring of Schools, Medison, WI. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED349680).
- Richards, J. (2003, April). *Principal behaviors that encourage teachers to stay in the profession: Perceptions of K-8 teachers in their second to fifth year of teaching*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Chicago. ERIC no. ED 477523.
- Robson, C. (2000). *Real world research: a resource for social scientists and practitioner-researchers*. Massachusetts: Blackwell Publishers Inc.
- Rokeach, M. (1973). *The nature of human values*. New York: Free Press.
- Rosenholtz, S. J (1989). Workplace conditions that affect teacher quality and commitment: Implications for teacher induction programs. *The Elementary School Journal*, 89(4), 421-439
- Ross, J. A., & Gray, P. (2004, April). *Transformational leadership and teacher commitment to organizational values: The mediating effects of collective teacher efficacy*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association in San Diego, CA.
- Ross, J.A., Hannay, L., & Brydges, B. (1998). District-level support for site-based renewal: A case study of secondary school reform. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 44(4), 349-368.
- Rousseau, D. (1985). Issues of levels in organizational research: Multi-level and cross-level perspectives. In Cummings & B. Staw (Eds.), *Research in organizational behaviour*, 1-37. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- Royse, D. (2008). *Research methods in social work* (5th ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson Higher Education.
- Salancik, G. (1977). Commitment is too easy. *Organizational Dynamics*, 6(1), 62-80.
- Salkind, N. J. (2000). *Exploring research* (4th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

- Sekaran, U. (2005). *Research methods for business: a skill-building approach* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (1990). *Value-added leadership: How to get extraordinary performance in schools*. Orlando: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (1992). *Moral Leadership: Getting to the heart of school improvement*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (1998). Leadership as pedagogy, capital development and school effectiveness. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 1(1), 37-46.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (2000). *The life-world of leadership: Creating culture, community, and personal meaning in our schools*. San Francisco : Jossey-Bass.
- Sergiovanni, T.J. (2001). *The principalship: A reflective practice perspective* (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Sergiovanni, T.J & Starratt, R.J (1979). *Supervision: Human perspectives*. New York: McGraw Hill
- Shamir, B., Zakay, E., Breinin, E., & Popper, M. (1998). Correlates of charismatic leader behaviour in military units: Subordinates' attitudes, unit characteristics, and superiors' appraisals of leader performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(4), 387-409.
- Shimniok, L.M., & Schmoker, M. (1992). How we made the transition from junior high to middle school. *Educational leadership*, 49(5), 27-29.
- Singh, K., & Billingsley, B. S. (1998). Professional support and its effects on teachers' commitment. *Journal of Educational Research*, 91(4), 229-239.
- Smircich, L., & Morgan, G. (1982). Leadership: The management of meaning. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 18 (3), 257-273.
- Smith, C.A., Organ, D.W., & Near, J.P. (1983). Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature and antecedents. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 68, 653-663.
- Smith, F.J. (1976). The Index of Organizational Reaction (IOR). *JSAS Catalog of Selected Documents in Psychology*, 6, No. 1265.
- Southworth, G. (2002). Instructional leadership in schools: reflections and empirical evidence. *School leadership and Management*, 22(1), 73-91.

- Speed, N.W. (1979). *Decision involvement and job satisfaction in middle and junior high schools that individualize instruction*. Madison: Wisconsin Research and Development Centre for Individualizing Schooling.
- Spencer, E. (2004). *Leadership models and theories: A brief overview*. Dicapai pada Februari 25, 2007 daripada <http://www.webhostingpal.com/lectures/apel/leader.htm>
- Staw, B.M., & Fox, F.V. (1977). Escalation: The determinants of commitment to a chosen course of action. *Human Relations*, 30(5), 431-450.
- Stewart, J. (2006). Transformational leadership: An evolving concept examined through the works of Burns, Bass, Avolio, and Leithwood. *Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy*, 54, 2-29.
- Stogdill, R.M. (1963). *Manual for the leader behavior description questionnaire form XII*. Columbus: Ohio State University, Bureau of Business Research
- Stogdill, R.M. (1974). *Handbook of leadership: a survey of the literature*. New York: Free Press.
- Tajeda, M.J., Scandura, T.A., & Pillai, R. (2001). The MLQ revisited: Psychometric properties and recommendations. *Leadership Quarterly*, 12(1), 31-52.
- Ubben, G.C., & Hughes, I.W. (1992). *The principal: Creative leadership for effective schools* (2nd ed.). Needham Heights MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Vroom, V.H., & Yetton, P.W. (1973). *Leadership and decision making*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Webb R. (2005). Leading teaching and learning in the primary school: From educative leadership to pedagogical leadership. *Educational Management Administrative and Leadership*, 33(2), 69-91.
- Weber, J.R. (1989). *Leading the instructional program*. In Smith, S.C., Piele, P.K. (Eds), *School Leadership: Handbook for Excellence*, 3rd ed., Educational Resources Information Centre, Clearinghouse on Educational Management. Eugene, Oregon. 191-224.
- Weber, J.R. (1997). *Leading the instructional program*. In Smith, S.C., Piele, P.K. (Eds), *School Leadership: Handbook for Excellence*, (3rd ed.). Educational Resources Information Centre, Clearinghouse on Educational Management. Eugene, Oregon. 253-278.
- Weber, M. (1947). *The theory of social and economics organizations*. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Werner, D. & Cambell, D.T. (1970). Translation, wording through interpreters, and the problem of decentering. In R. Naroll & R. Cohen (Eds). *A handbook of method in cultural anthropology* (pp 398-420). New York: Natural History Press.
- Yammarino, F.J., & Bass, B.M., (1990). Transformational leadership and multi-level analysis. *Human Relations*, 43(10), 975-995.
- Yu, H., Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2002). The effects of transformational leadership on teachers' commitment to change in Hong Kong. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 40(4), 368-389.
- Yukl, G. (1989). *Leadership in organizations*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Yukl, G. (1998). *Leadership in organizations* (4th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Yukl, G. (2002). *Leadership in organizations* (5th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Yukl, G. (2006). *Leadership in organizations* (6th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.