

HUBUNGAN ANTARA KEPIMPINAN, KOMITMEN  
GURU, KOMPETENSI GURU, AMALAN-AMALAN  
TERBAIK DAN KEBERKESANAN SEKOLAH

RUSMINI BT KU AHMAD

DOKTOR FALSAFAH  
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA  
2006

HUBUNGAN ANTARA KEPIMPINAN, KOMITMEN GURU,  
KOMPETENSI GURU, AMALAN-AMALAN TERBAIK DAN  
KEBERKESANAN SEKOLAH

Oleh

RUSMINI BT KU AHMAD

Tesis ini dikemukakan kepada Pusat Pengajian Siswazah bagi  
memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah, Universiti Utara Malaysia  
2006.



## Pusat Pengajian Siswazah

(Centre for Graduate Studies)

Jabatan Hal Ehwal Akademik

(Department of Academic Affairs)

Universiti Utara Malaysia

### PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI

(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

RUSMINI KU AHMAD

calon untuk Ijazah  
(candidate for the degree of)

DOKTOR FALSAFAH (Ph.D.)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk  
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title)

"HUBUNGAN ANTARA KEPIMPINAN, KOMITMEN GURU, KOMPETENSI GURU,  
AMALAN-AMALAN TERBAIK DAN KEBERKESANAN SEKOLAH"

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of thesis / dissertation)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi  
bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan  
yang diadakan pada : **21 MAC 2006**

that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and a satisfactory knowledge of the  
field is covered by the thesis, was demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination  
held on : **21 MARCH 2006**

Pengerusi Viva  
(Chairman for Viva)

: Prof. Dr. Hajah Ku Ruhana Ku Mahamud

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Penilai Luar  
(External Assessor)

: Prof. Dr. Zakaria Ismail

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Penilai Dalaman  
(Internal Assessor)

: Prof. Madya Dr. Abdullah Hj Abdul Ghani

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Penyelia  
(Supervisor)

: Prof. Madya Dr. Mohamad Hanapi Mohamad

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Tarikh:  
(Date)

## KEBENARAN MENGGUNA

Tesis ini dikemukakan sebagai memenuhi keperluan pengijazahan Doktor Falsafah, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Kedah. Saya bersetuju membenarkan pihak perpustakaan UUM mempamerkannya sebagai bahan rujukan umum. Saya bersetuju bahawa sebarang bentuk salinan sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripada tesis ini untuk tujuan akademik adalah dibolehkan dengan kebenaran penyelia tesis ini atau Dekan Pusat Pengajian Siswazah. Sebarang bentuk salinan dan catatan bagi tujuan komersial adalah dilarang sama sekali tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada penyelidik. Pernyataan rujukan kepada penulis dan UUM perlulah dinyatakan jika sebarang bentuk rujukan dibuat ke atas tesis ini. Kebenaran untuk menyalin atau menggunakan tesis ini sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripadanya hendaklah dipohon melalui:

Dekan Pusat Pengajian Siswazah

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06100, Sintok,

Kedah Darul Aman

## **Abstrak**

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti hubungan antara kepimpinan, komitmen guru, kompetensi guru dengan keberkesanan sekolah. Disamping itu kajian ini juga cuba menjelaskan peranan amalan-amalan terbaik seperti analisis data dan maklumat dan amalan-amalan pengurusan sebagai pemboleh ubah penyederhana (moderator) kepada hubungan antara kepimpinan, komitmen guru, kompetensi guru dengan keberkesanan sekolah. Sebanyak 84 buah sekolah menengah yang terlibat dalam kajian ini, 74 buah sekolah ialah sekolah-sekolah menengah di negeri Kedah dan 10 buah sekolah lagi dari negeri Perlis. Sampel sekolah-sekolah ini dipilih secara rawak berstrata mengikut gred purata peperiksaan Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan analisis statistik korelasi Pearson, analisis regresi dan analisis regresi hierarki. Hasil kajian menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan antara, komitmen guru, kompetensi guru dengan keberkesanan sekolah. Hasil analisis juga menunjukkan bahawa analisis data dan maklumat berperanan sebagai penyederhana antara hubungan kepimpinan dengan keberkesanan sekolah. Dalam konteks hubungan antara komitmen guru, kompetensi guru dengan keberkesanan sekolah, dapatan kajian mendapati analisis data dan maklumat tidak bertindak sebagai penyederhana. Amalan-amalan pengurusan juga tidak bertindak sebagai penyederhana bagi kepimpinan, komitmen guru dan kompetensi guru. Antara pemboleh ubah tak bersandar iaitu kepimpinan, komitmen guru dan kompetensi guru, komitmen guru merupakan pemboleh ubah yang paling banyak menyumbang kepada keberkesanan sekolah. Kesimpulannya kajian ini menyediakan satu kerangka teori yang menunjukkan sumbangan faktor-faktor dalaman sekolah kepada keberkesanan sesebuah sekolah.

## **Abstract**

This research examines the relationships between leadership, teachers' commitment, teachers' competency and school effectiveness. This research also describes best practices such as information and data analysis and management practices as a moderator in enhancing the relationships between leadership, teachers' commitment, teachers' competency and school effectiveness. This study employed a sample of 84 secondary schools which constitutes 74 secondary schools from Kedah State and 10 secondary schools from Perlis State. School samples have been stratified randomly according to the cumulative grades of a public examination (Malaysian Certificate of Education). Pearson correlation, multiple regression and hierarchical moderated regression analysis have been performed to test the suggested hypotheses. The findings of the study reveal that multiple regression analysis tended to support the research hypotheses suggesting a positive association between teachers' commitment, teachers' competency and school effectiveness. Teachers' commitment appeared to be the highest contribution among the predictors to the school effectiveness. However only leadership made a significant contribution to the school effectiveness when using information and data analysis as a moderator. Apparently the research prepares a theoretical framework that reflects the determinants factors of school effectiveness.

## PENGHARGAAN

Penghargaan khusus dan jutaan terima kasih ditujukan kepada penyelia, Prof. Madya Dr. Mohamad Hanapi b Mohamad di atas segala bimbingan dan tunjuk ajar semasa menjalankan tesis ini. Penghargaan juga dirakamkan kepada Pengarah Bahagian Perancangan dan Penyelidikan Dasar Pendidikan, Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia, Pengarah Pendidikan Negeri Kedah dan Pengarah Pendidikan Negeri Perlis kerana memberikan kebenaran bagi menjalankan kajian di sekolah-sekolah menengah di negeri Kedah dan Perlis. Penghargaan dan terima kasih kepada Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Kota Setar, Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Kubang Pasu, Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Pendang/ Padang Terap, Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Langkawi, Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Baling/ Sik, Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Kulim/ Bandar Baru dan Pejabat Pendidikan Daerah Kuala Muda/Yan kerana telah membantu melaksanakan kajian ini. Jutaan terima kasih kepada pengetua dan guru-guru di sekolah-sekolah menengah di Kedah dan Perlis kerana telah memberikan kerjasama yang bersungguh-sungguh semasa kajian ini dijalankan. Penghargaan dan terima kasih juga kepada Pengarah Institut Aminuddin Baki yang telah memberikan dorongan untuk melanjutkan pelajaran ke peringkat Ph.D. Terima kasih yang tidak terhingga kepada rakan-rakan di IAB Dr Ahmad Rafee, Dr Sazali, Pn Norehan, En. Mohd Rosidi, Tn Syed Putra dan ramai lagi yang membantu dan memberikan semangat untuk menyiapkan tesis ini. Akhir sekali penghargaan ikhlas dan terima kasih kepada ibu bapa, suami serta anak-anak yang telah banyak mengorbankan masa sepanjang pengajian Doktor Falsafah.

## **KANDUNGAN**

### **BAB 1**

1.1	Pengenalan	1
1.2	Prestasi pencapaian sekolah	1
1.3	Klasifikasi sekolah berkesan	4
1.4	Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi keberkesanan sekolah	6
1.5	Pernyataan masalah	9
1.6	Persoalan dan objektif kajian	10
1.6.1	Objektif umum	11
1.6.2	Objektif khusus	11
1.7	Kaedah	11
1.8	Populasi dan sampel	12
1.9	Pengumpulan data	12
1.10	Prosedur kajian	13
1.11	Analisis data	13
1.12	Justifikasi kajian	13
1.13	Organisasi kajian	15
1.14	Skop kajian	16
1.15	Kesimpulan	16

### **Bab 2**

## **TINJAUAN LITERATUR**

2.1:	Pengenalan	17
2.2:	Definisi sekolah berkesan	17
2.3:	Ciri-ciri sekolah berkesan	21
2.4:	Kajian-kajian sekolah berkesan	25

2.5:	Faktor-faktor penentu kepada keberkesanan sekolah	31
2.6:	Teori-teori kepimpinan dan ciri pemimpin berkesan	33
2.6.1	Teori sifat	34
2.6.2	Teori pendekatan kelakuan	36
2.6.3	Teori pendekatan kontigensi	38
2.6.4	Teori kepimpinan karismatik	39
2.6.5	Teori kepimpinan transaksi dan transformasi	40
2.6.6	Kepimpinan berwawasan	41
2.6.7	Ciri-ciri pemimpin sekolah berkesan	41
2.7:	Teori-teori motivasi dan komitmen	47
2.7.1	Teori hierarki keperluan Maslow	48
2.7.2	Teori Erg Aldelfer	49
2.7.3	Teori Dua faktor Herzberg	49
2.7.4	Teori Penetapan Matlamat	50
2.7.5	Teori Pembelajaran Sosial Kognitif	50
2.7.6	Teori Pengayaan Kerja	51
2.7.7	Teori ‘Flow’	52
2.7.8	Komitmen guru	53
2.7.9	Hubungan komitmen guru dengan keberkesanan sekolah	57
2.7.10	Kompetensi guru	61
2.7.11	Ciri-ciri guru berkesan	64
2.8:	Amalan-amalan terbaik sekolah berkesan	68
2.8.1	Aplikasi TQM dalam pendidikan: Model Crosby	74
2.8.2	Aplikasi TQM dalam pendidikan: Model Deming	76
2.9:	Model-model keberkesanan organisasi	80
2.9.1	Model matlamat dan spesifikasi	81
2.9.2	Model sumber-input	81
2.9.3	Model proses	82
2.9.4	Model kepuasan	82
2.9.5	Model sahih (legitimate)	83
2.9.6	Model bebas masalah	83
2.9.7	Model organisasi pembelajaran	84
2.9.8	Model keberkesanan organisasi	
	Hoy dan Miskel: Model matlamat	85

2.9.9	Model keberkesanan organisasi: Model sistem-sumber	87
2.9.10	Model keberkesanan organisasi Model kesepaduan matlamat dan sistem sumber	88
2.9.10.1	Dimensi masa	89
2.9.10.2	Kepelbagaiannya konstitusi	90
2.9.10.3	Kepelbagaiannya kriteria	91
2.10	Kriteria-kriteria output	92
2.10.1	Pencapaian akademik	93
2.10.1.1	Kajian input-output	93
2.10.1.2	Kajian input-proses-output	95
2.10.2	Kepuasan kerja	97
2.10.2.1	Model situasi kepuasan kerja	97
2.10.3	Persepsi keberkesanan organisasi	98
2.11	Sintesis tinjauan literatur	99
2.21	Kerangka konsep sekolah berkesan	101
2.13	Isu-isu berkaitan dengan kepimpinan, komitmen guru kompetensi guru, amalan-amalan terbaik dan keberkesanan sekolah	103
2.14	Kesimpulan	106

### **BAB 3**

#### **KERANGKA TEORI**

3.1	Pengenalan	120
3.2	Isu-isu sekolah berkesan	122
3.3	Faktor-faktor penentu kepada keberkesanan sekolah	125
3.3.1	Ciri-ciri kepimpinan	125
3.3.1.1	Kualiti kepimpinan	125

3.3.1.2 Kepimpinan kurikulum	126
3.3.2 Komitmen guru	127
3.3.2.1 Konstruk komitmen guru	128
3.3.3 Kompetensi guru	128
3.3.3.1 Pengetahuan guru	130
3.3.3.2 Kemahiran guru	130
3.3.4 Dimensi-dimensi amalan-amalan terbaik	131
3.3.4.1 Analisis data dan maklumat	132
3.3.4.2 Perancangan strategik kualiti	133
3.3.4.3 Pengurusan dan pembangunan sumber manusia	133
3.3.4.4 Pengurusan proses kualiti	134
3.3.5 Dimensi keberkesanan sekolah	135
3.3.5.1 Persepsi keberkesanan sekolah	135
3.3.5.2 Dimensi kepuasan kerja	137
a. Produktiviti	137
b. Kadar kehadiran	138
c. Pusing ganti	138
3.4 Kesimpulan	139

## BAB 4

### METODOLOGI

4.1: Pengenalan	140
4.2: Model kajian	140
4.3 : Pembentukan hipotesis	141
4.4: Pemboleh ubah kajian	142
4.5: Reka bentuk kajian	148
4.6: Populasi dan persampelan	149
4.6.1: Persampelan	150
4.7: Instrumen kajian	152

4.7.1:	Reka bentuk instrumen kajian	152
4.7.1.1	Bahagian I: Demografik	155
4.7.1.2	Bahagian II	155
a.	Bahagian II A: Kepimpinan	155
b.	Bahagian II B:(i) Komitmen guru	156
c.	Bahagian II B:(ii) Kompetensi guru	156
4.7.1.3	Bahagian II C: Amalan-amalan terbaik	157
4.7.1.4	Bahagian D: Keberkesanannya sekolah	157
4.7.2:	Kajian rintis	158
4.7.2.1	Analisis kebolehpercayaan	159
4.7.2.2	Analisis kesahan	161
a.	Kesahan kandungan	161
b.	Kesahan konstruk	162
4.8:	Analisis data	164
4.8.1:	Statistik deskriptif	164
4.8.2:	Statistik inferens	165
4.8.2.1 a	Lineariti	166
4.8.2.1 b	Normaliti	167
4.8.2.1 c	Homocedastisiti	168
4.8.2.2	Analisis korelasi	169
4.8.2.3	Menganggar model regresi	170
4.8.2.4	Analisis regresi hierarki	171
4.8.2.5	Analisis laluan	172
4.9	Pengumpulan data	173
4.10	Kesimpulan	174

## **BAB 5**

### **PENEMUAN**

5.1:	Pengenalan	175
5.2 :	Latar belakang sekolah dan responden	176
5.3:	Statistik deskriptif	181

5.4:	Pengujian hipotesis	183
5.4.1:	Analisis korelasi	183
5.4.2:	Analisis regresi berganda	186
5.4.3:	Analisis regresi hierarki	188
5.4.4:	Analisis laluan	189
5.4.5:	Dapatan pengujian hipotesis	190
5.5:	Kesimpulan	193

## **BAB 6**

### **RINGKASAN DAN PERBINCANGAN**

6.1:	Pendahuluan	195
6.2:	Ringkasan kajian	195
6.2.1:	Pernyataan masalah	196
6.2.2:	Objektif kajian	197
6.2.3:	Metodologi	197
6.2.4:	Dapatan kajian	198
6.2.4.1	Profil sampel	198
6.2.4.2	Statistik deskriptif	199
6.2.4.3	Korelasi Pearson	200
6.2.4.4	Analisis regresi berganda	201
6.2.4.5	Analisis regresi hierarki	202
a.	Peranan analisis data dalam hubungan antara kepimpinan dengan keberkesanannya sekolah	202
b.	Peranan analisis data dalam hubungan antara komitmen guru dengan keberkesanannya sekolah	202
c.	Peranan analisis data dalam hubungan antara kompetensi guru dengan keberkesanannya sekolah	203
d.	Peranan amalan pengurusan dalam hubungan antara kepimpinan dengan keberkesanannya sekolah	203
e.	Peranan amalan pengurusan dalam hubungan antara komitmen guru dengan keberkesanannya sekolah	204

f. Peranan amalan pengurusan dalam hubungan antara kompetensi guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	204
6.3: Perbincangan	204
6.3.1: Hubungan kepimpinan dengan keberkesan sekolah	205
6.3.2: Hubungan komitmen guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	207
6.3.3: Kepentingan komitmen guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	209
6.3.4: Hubungan kompetensi guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	210
6.3.5: Kepentingan kompetensi guru terhadap keberkesan sekolah	212
6.3.6: Peranan analisis data sebagai penyederhana	214
6.3.7: Peranan amalan-amalan pengurusan sebagai penyederhana	218
6.3.8: Kesimpulan	221

## **BAB 7**

### **IMPLIKASI,CADANGAN KAJIAN, SUMBANGAN KAJIAN DAN KESIMPULAN**

7.1: Pengenalan	222
7.2: Implikasi kajian kepada sekolah	222
7.3: Cadangan kajian	226
7.4: Sumbangan kajian	228
7.5: Kesimpulan dan penutup	229
Rujukan	231
Lampiran-lampiran	253

## **SENARAI JADUAL**

Jadual 2.1:	Rumusan tinjauan literatur	107
Jadual 4.1:	Jadual persampelan sekolah	151
Jadual 4.2:	Bahagian instrumen kajian dan bilangan item	154
Jadual 4.3:	Nilai koefisien alpha setiap dimensi	160
Jadual 4.4:	Julat kepimpinan, komitmen, kompetensi dan sekolah berkesan	165
Jadual 5.1:	Dapatan sampel kajian	176
Jadual 5.2:	Jawatan	177
Jadual 5.3:	Jantina	178
Jadual 5.4:	Kelayakan akademik	178
Jadual 5.5:	Umur	179
Jadual 5.6:	Pengalaman kerja	180
Jadual 5.7:	Pengalaman di sekolah semasa	180
Jadual 5.8:	Julat kepimpinan, komitmen, kompetensi dan sekolah berkesan	181
Jadual 5.9:	Statistik deskriptif kepimpinan, komitmen, kompetensi analisis data, amalan pengurusan dan sekolah berkesan	182
Jadual 5.10:	Hubungan kepimpinan dengan keberkesan sekolah	184
Jadual 5.11:	Hubungan komitmen guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	185
Jadual 5.12:	Hubungan kompetensi guru dengan keberkesan sekolah	186
Jadual 5.13:	Nilai koefisi regresi kepimpinan, komitmen, kompetensi	187
Jadual 5.14:	Analisis pemboleh ubah penyederhana	188
Jadual 5.15:	Analisis laluan	189

## **SENARAI GAMBARAJAH**

Gambarajah 2.1:	Keseluruhan kerangka konsep sekolah berkesan	102
Gambarajah 3.1:	Kerangka teori kajian terdahulu sekolah berkesan	121
Gambarajah 3.2:	Model kajian sekolah berkesan	124
Gambarajah 5.1:	Analisis laluan	189

## **Bab 1**

### **1.1 Pengenalan**

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti pengaruh ciri-ciri kepimpinan, komitmen guru, kompetensi guru dan amalan-amalan pengurusan terbaik dengan keberkesanan sekolah. Oleh itu perbincangan bab ini akan memberikan penumpuan kepada prestasi pencapaian sekolah secara umum, penjelasan mengenai konsep dan klasifikasi sekolah berkesan serta faktor-faktor penyumbang kepada keberkesanan sekolah. Pernyataan masalah serta objektif dan persoalan kajian dibentuk berasaskan kepada hasil tinjauan literatur kajian-kajian terdahulu serta isu-isu sekolah berkesan. Seterusnya bab ini akan menjelaskan secara ringkas kaedah penyelidikan yang akan dijalankan, kepentingan kajian, skop dan limitasi kajian.

### **1.2 Prestasi pencapaian sekolah**

Prestasi pencapaian sekolah-sekolah di Malaysia sekitar tahun 1970 an adalah jauh dari paras yang memuaskan. Peratus murid-murid yang tidak meneruskan pelajaran hingga ke peringkat sekolah menengah bagi Semenanjung Malaysia ialah 31.49% sementara di Sabah seramai 41% dan Sarawak 55% (Sufean Hussin, 1993). Melalui hasil kajian Jawatankuasa Kajian Keciciran 1973, menunjukkan terdapat pertalian antara keberkesanan sekolah yang dilihat dari aspek pencapaian akademik dan kadar keciciran murid dengan taraf sosioekonomi keluarga, infrastruktur sekolah, jangkaan guru, sikap murid serta kualiti guru. Lanjutan daripada penemuan ini, Kementerian Pelajaran telah mengadakan beberapa langkah untuk memperbaiki ketidakseimbangan penyertaan murid-murid dan mengurangkan kesan ini dalam

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## Rujukan

- Abdul Karim Mohd Noor (1988). Characteristics of effective rural secondary schools in Malaysia. Dissertasi Ph.D University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Agho, R. Muller & Prince. (1993). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Ahmad Mahzan Ayob (1990). Kaedah penyelidikan sosioekonomi. Kuala Lumpur: DBP.
- Alderfer. (1969). Motivation theory In Robbins, S.P.(1998). Organizational behavior. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Al-Jaber, Zeinab. (1996). The leadership requirements of secondary school principals in Kuwait: A post-invasion analysis. Journal of Educational Administration, 34, 24-40
- Allen, N., & Meyer, J.P. (1990).The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and commitment to organizations. Journal of Occupational Psychology, 63, 1-8.
- Anderson, C.H. (1986). Hierarchical moderated regression analysis: A useful tool for retail management decisions. Journal of Retailing, 62, 186 – 202.
- Anderson, A. (1998). Best practice: Building your business with customer focused solutions. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Anderson, L.W. (1991). Increasing Teacher Effectiveness, UNESCO: International Institute for Educational Planning, Paris.
- Arcaro, J.S. (1995). Quality in Education: An Implementation Handbook.USA. St Lucie Press.
- Arif Kasim (1995). Dalam Sharifah Md Noor. Keberkesanan Sekolah. Satu perspektif sosiologi.Serdang: UPM.
- Armour-Thomas, E. (1989). An outline study of elementary and middle schools in New York City: Final Report. New York: New York Board of Education.
- Ashton, P., T., & Webb, R., B. (1986). Making a difference: teachers sense of efficacy and student achievement. New York: Longman
- Austin, G. (1978). Process Evaluation: A Comprehensive Study of Outlines.Baltimore: ERIC

- Ball, D.L.& Mc Diarmid, G.L., (1990). The subject matter preparation of teachers. In W.R. Houston,(ed). Handbook of Research in Teacher Education, 38, 2-8
- Bandura, A. (1977). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Bass, B.M.(1985). Bass and Stodgill's handbook of leadership. New York: Free Press.
- Bass, B.M. (1996). A new paradigm of leadership: An inquiry into transformational leadership. Alexandria, VA: u.s. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Becker, H.S.(1960). Notes on the concept of commitment, American Journal of Sociology, 66: 32-42.
- Becky, H.L., & Priscilla N.W. (1984). The Job Commitment Index. In Sergiovanni, T. J. (2001). The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Belasco. G. & Allutto. (1972). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Benis, J. (1985). In Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Berg, J.A.(1988). Teacher self-concept of teaching ability: Does it make a difference? Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University.
- Berry, G. (1997). Leadership and the development of quality culture in schools. International Journal of Educational Management, 11, 52-64.
- Berry, G. (1998). A quality system model for the management of quality in NSW schools. Managing Service Quality, 8, 97-111.
- Billingsley, R. (1998). Effective schools. Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Blake, R.R., & Mouton, J.S. (1964). Managing intergroup conflict industry. Houston: Gulf Publishing.
- Blase, J. &.Blase, J. (2004). Handbook of instructional leadership. How successful principals promote teaching and learning. USA: Corwin Press.
- Bloom (1964). In Sergiovanni, T. J. (2001). The principalship: A reflective practice perspective.Texas:Allyn and Bacon.

- Blumberg, D. & Greenfield, K. (1980). In Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi. Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Boe lahui-Ako. (2001). The instructional leadership behaviour of Papua New Guinea high school principals: A provincial case study. International Journal of Educational Management, 39, 233-266.
- Bonstingl, J.J. (2001): Schools of quality.USA: Corwin Press Inc.
- Bossert, S. T (1982). The instructional management role of the principal. Educational Administration Quarterly 18(3), 34-64
- Bossert, S. T (1988). School effects. In Boyan N.J. Handbook of research on educational administration. New York: Longman.
- Brandsma, H.P.& Bosker, R.J. (1995). The effects of training programme. In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Brookover, W.B. & Lezotte, L. W. (1979). Changes in School Characteristics Coincidence with Changes in School Achievement. East Lansing: Institute for research on Teaching, Michigan University.
- Brookover, W.B; Erickson, F.J; McEvoy Alan, W. (1997). Creating Effective Schools: An Inservice Program for Enhancing School Learning Climate and Achievement. USA. Learning Publication.
- Brophy, J. (1992). Probing the subtleties of subject-matter teaching. Educational Leadership 49 (7), 4-8.
- Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (1999). Quantitative data analysis with SPSS Release 8 for Windows. London and New York: Routledge.
- Burns, J.M. (1978). Leadership. New York:Harper & Row.
- Buttaram, K. & Krause, M. (1988). In Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Cameron, R. (1978). Organizational models. Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House

- Cameron & Whetton. (1996). Multi models of organizational effectiveness. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Caldwell, B.J. (1998). Strategic leadership, resources management and effective school reform. Journal of Educational Administration, 36, 445-461.
- Camp, (1994). In Davies, J.A. & Kochhar, K.A. Manufacturing best practice and performance studies: A critique. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22, 289-305.
- Campbell, R. (1977). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Campo, C.. (1993). Collaborative school cultures: How principals can make a difference. San Francisco: Jossey- Bass.
- Carolyn J. D.; Larry E. F.; Jeffery J. P. (1993). The quality education challenge. California: Corwin.
- Carpenter, G. (1971). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Charil Marzuki (2002). Kajian sekolah berkesan di Malaysia : Model lima faktor. Tesis PhD yang tidak diterbitkan. UKM.
- Chen Ai Yuen (2000). Towards expert teaching. Improving the qualities of the teaching profession: An international perspective. Singapore, International Council on Education for Teaching
- Cheng, Y.C. (1996). Total teacher effectiveness. The International Journal of Educational Management. 10, 7-17.
- Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M.(1997). Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Cheng, Kai-ming, Wong, K.C. (1996). School effectiveness in East Asia. Journal of Educational Administration, 34, 32-49.
- Chong, W.C., Leng, G.P. Sambasivam, M. (2002). Business statistics. Selangor: Prentice Hall.
- Churchill, G.A., Jr (1979). A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing construct. Journal of Marketing Research. 16 (Feb), pp 64 - 73
- Clark & Chirelli, N. (1994). Work commitment among American's special educators: An exploratory study of what makes a difference. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Harvard University.

- Coakes & Steed. (2000). SPSS analysis without anguish. Brisbane, Australia: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cohen, J., & Cohen, P. (1983). Applied multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interaction. New York: Sage.
- Cohen, (1998). In Davies, J.A. & Kochhar, K.A. Manufacturing best practice and performance studies: A critique. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22, 289-305.
- Coleman, J.S. et al. (1966). Equality of educational opportunity. Washington D.C.: National Center of Educational Statistics.
- Coleman, J.S. (1966). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. In Teddlie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Coleman, J.S.; Hoffer, T. and Kilgore, S. (1982). High school Achievement. New York: Basic Book
- Coladarci, T. (1992). Teachers sense of efficacy and commitment to teaching. Journal of Experimental Education, 60, 323-337
- Cole, B.R., Goldberg, J.S. (2002). Quality management in education: Building excellence and equity in student performance, The Quality Management Journal, 9, 8-23
- Conger, J.A., & Kanungo, R. (1987). Toward a behavioral theory of charismatic leadership in organizational settings. Academy of management Review, 12, 637-647.
- Cotton, J.N.(1996). Influences on teacher self-efficacy for student academic outcomes, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alabama Birmingham.
- Cotton, K. (1995). Effective schools practices: A research synthesis. Portland Oregon: Northwest Regional Educational.
- Council, T (2000). In Sergiovanni, T. J. The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Creemers, B.P.M. (1994). The effective classroom. London: Cassell.
- Creemers, B.P.M. & Reezigt, G.J. (1996). Evaluation of educational effectiveness. Groningen: ICO
- Crosby, P.B (1986). Quality without tears: The Art of Hassle-free Management, Singapore: McGraw-Hill.

- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). Flow: The psychology of optimal experience. New York: Harper & Row.
- Cuban. (1984). School effectiveness. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- David, S. & Anderson, S. (1983). In Berg, J.A. Teacher self-concept of teaching ability: Does it make a difference? Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University.
- Davies, J.A. & Kochhar, K.A. (2002). A framework for the selection of best practices. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 20, 1203-1217.
- Davies, J.A. & Kochhar, K.A. (2002). Manufacturing best practice and performance studies: A critique. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22, 289-305.
- Davis, T.R. & Thomas, L.,F.(1989). A social learning approach to organizational behaviour. Academy of Management Review, 5, 281-290
- De Charms, R. (1968). Personal causation. New York: Academic Press.
- Deming, W.E. (1986). Out of the crisis. Massachusetts: MIT Center Advanced Engineering Study.
- Dillman, D.A. (1978). Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method. New York: A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- Doyle, M.L. (1992). Learning to teach: Case studies of elementary preservice teachers reflective thinking about early field experience. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Northen Colorado.
- Druva, C. & Anderson, R.D. (1983). Science teacher characteristics by teacher behaviour and by student outcome. A meta analysis of research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 20, 467-479.
- Edmonds, R. (1979). Some Schools Work and More Can. Social Policy 9(2), 28-32.
- Etzioni, A.(1991). A comparative analysis of complex organization: Free Press.
- Evertson, C.M., Hawley, W.D.,& Zlotnik, M.(1985). Making a difference in educational quality through teacher education. Journal of teacher Education, 36, 2-10.
- Farber, B.A.(1991). Crises in education: Stress and burnout in the American teacher. San Francisco: Jossey-Boss.

- Fenwick, W. E. & John, C.H. (1993). Total quality in education: Transforming schools into learning places. California: Corwin Press Ins.
- Fergusson, R.F. (1991). Paying for public. Education: New evidence on how and why money matters, Harvard Journal on Legislation, 28, 465-498.
- Fergusson, R.F. & Ladd H.F. (1996). How and why money matters: An analysis in Alabama schools. Holding Schools Accountable, 265-298
- Fiedler, F.E. (1967). A theory of leadership effectiveness. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Finn and Achilles (1991).Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G.Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Firestone, W. A. & Pennel, J.R. (1993). Teacher commitment, working conditions and differential incentive policies. Review of Educational Research, 63(4), 489-525.
- Firestone, W.A. & Rosenblum, S. (1988). Building commitment in urban high schools. Educational Education and Policy Analysis, 10, 285-300
- Fraenkel, J.R. & Wallen, N.E.(2000). How to design and evaluate research in education. San Francisco: McGraw Hill.
- Fresko, M.,Kfir,K., & Nasser (1997). Predictory teacher commitment. Teaching and teacher education, Vol 13, (4),pp429. Pergamon.
- Fuchs, M. (1994). In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Fullan, M.G. & Steigelbeur, S. (1991). The new meaning of educational change(2<sup>nd</sup> ed). New York: Teacher College Press, ix, 401
- Garbutt, S. (1996). The transfer of TQM from industry to education. Education + Traning, 38, 16-22.
- Gerald L. (2003). Student learning in public and private primary schools in Madagascar. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 51, 699-713.
- Gerhardt (1971). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Georgia, P. (2000). School climate in elementary and secondary schools: Views of Cypriot principals and teachers. The International Journal of Educational Managment, 14, 224-238.

- Ghazali Othman (2001).  
<http://www.chs.usm.my/education/publication/jemputan.htm>.
- Ghemawat. (1991). Commitment: The dynamic of strategy. New York: Free Press
- Ghiselli, (1963). Dalam Razali Mat Zain, Teori kepimpinan dalam pengurusan. Kuala Lumpur: DBP.
- Girling, K. & Keith, L. (1989). In Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi . Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Bakri. 6
- Glassin, F. Durick, B (1988). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Glatthorn, A.A. (2000) The principal as curriculum leader: Shaping what is taught & tested. USA: Corwin Press Inc.
- Goens, R. & Clover, M. (1991). In Sergiovanni, T. J. The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Goldhaber & Brewer. (1994). Why should we reward degrees for teachers. In Whitehurst, G.J. Improving teacher quality, Spectrum. Lexicon, 75, 12-16
- Good, T.L. and Weinstein, R. (1986). School make a difference: Evidence, criticism and new directions. American Psychologist, 41, 1090-1097.
- Goodman & Pennings. (1977). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Grassie & Carns. (1973). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Gray, J. (1990). The quality of schooling: Frameworks for judgements. British Journal of Educational Studies, 38, 204-233.
- Greenfield. (1987). In Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Bakri. 6
- Gregory, M. (1996). Developing effective college leadership for the management of educational change. Leadership & Organizational Development, 17, 46-58.
- Greenberg, J. (1996). Managing behavior in organization: Science in service to practice. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Griffin, G. (1986). Issues in student teaching: A review in J.Raths & L Katz (eds), Advances in Teacher Education, Vol (2). Norwood: Ablex

- Griffin, R.W.& Moorhead, G. (1995). Organizational behavior: An applied psychological approach. Texas: Business Publication.
- Griffith, J. (2004). Relation of principal transformational leadership to school staff job satisfaction, staff turnover and school performance. Journal of Educational Administration, 42, 3, 333 – 347.
- Grisay, R. (1997). In Tedllie, C., Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Grover, J,W. (2002). Improving teacher quality. Spectrum, 75, 12-16.
- Hackman, J.R. & Oldham, G.,R. (1980). Work redesign. Reading, M.A.:Addison – Wesley.
- Hailder, (1998). In Davies, J.A. & Kochhar, K.A. Manufacturing best practice and perfomance studies: A critique. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22, 289-305.
- Hair, J.,F.;Anderson, R.,E.;Tatham, R.,L.; Black, W.,C. (1995). Multivariate data Analysis. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hallinger, P. and Murphy, J. (1985). Assessing the Instructional Management Behavior of Principal. The Elementary School Journal 86(2), 217-247.
- Hallinger, P. & Murphy, J. (1986). The social context of effective schools. American Journal of Education, 94, 328-355.
- Hallinger, P. & Heck, R. H. (1996). Reassessing the principals role in school effectiveness: A review of empirical research, 1980-1995, Educational Administration Quarterly, 32, 1, 5-44.
- Hallinger, P. (1998). Educational change in Southeast Asia: The challenge of creating learning systems. Journal of Educational Administration, 36, 492-592.
- Hammond, D. (1999). Educating teachers for the next century. Rethinking practice and policy. Chicago University of Chicago Press.
- Hanusek, E. A. (1981). Throwing money at school. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 11, 19-41.
- Harris, M., & Willower, T. (1998). In Tedllie, C., Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Hedges, L.V., Laine, P. and Greenwald, R. (1994). Does money matter? A meta analysis of studies of the effects of differential school inputs on student outcomes. Educational Researcher, 23, 5-14.

- Henderson, J. (1994). Quality, community and leadership training. The Journal for Quality and Participation, 17, 40-45.
- Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K.H. (1977). The management of organizational behavior. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Herzberg, F. (1966). Work and the nature of man. New York: World Publishing.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B. (1959). The motivation to work. New York: Wiley.
- Hrebiniak, L.G., & Allutto J.A. (1973). Personal and role related factors in development of organizational commitment. Administrative Science Quarterly, 18, 555-573
- Hoppock, R. (1935). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- House, R.J., & Evans (1971). A path goal theory of leader effectiveness. In Robbins, S.P.(1998). Organizational behavior. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (1987). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Hoy, W.K., Tarter, C.J. (2004). A system approach to quality in elementary schools: A theoretical and empirical analysis. Journal of Educational Management, 42, 539-551.
- Hussein Mahmood (1998). Dalam Sharifah Md Noor. Keberkesanan Sekolah. Satu perspektif sosiologi. Serdang: UPM.
- Jacqueline, S. G. & Bryan, R. C. (2002). Quality management in education: Building excellence and equity in student performance. The Quality Management Journal. 9, 8-23.
- James, G. (2001). Principal leadership of parent involvement. Journal of Educational Administration, 39, 162-178.
- Jencks, C.(1971). The Coleman report and the conventional wisdom' in Mosteller, F. and Moynihan, D.P.(ed) On Equality of Educational Opportunity. New York: Vintage Book, pp 69-115
- Jencks, C.S., Smith, M., Ackland, H., Bane, M. (1972). Inequality: A reassessment of the effect of the family and schooling in America. New York: Basics Books.

- Jennifer, L. E., Kathy, E. G., Cherie, A.L. (2002). Personal empowerment, efficacy and environmental characteristics. Journal of Educational Administration, 40, 67-87.
- Jensen, A.R. (1969). How much can we boost IQ & scholastic achievement? Harvard Educational Review. 39 (1), 2-123.
- Johnson & Golomskiis. Effective schools. In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Joseph, C. F. (1994). Total quality for schools: A guide for implementation. Wisconsin: ASQC
- Joseph, W., L., Gerald, W.,H.(1999). Healthy schools, robust schools and academic emphasis as an organizational theme. Journal of Educational Administration, 37, 463-473
- Joyce, B and Weil, S. (1988). Student achievement through staff development. New York: Longman.
- Juhary Ali (1998). Budaya organisasi. Dalam Zainal Abidin Ahmad, Perlakuan Organisasi. Shah Alam: Fajar Bakti.
- Juran, J.M. (1979). Quality control handbook. Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill.
- Kanter, R.M. (1974). Commitment and social organization: A study of commitment mechanism in Utopian communities. American Sosiological Review, 33, 499-517.
- Keith, M. (1998). Management theories for educational change. London: Paul Chapman Publishing
- Kermally, S.(2002). Effective knowledge management. A best practice blue print. England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kerr,S.,& Jermier, J.M. (1978). Substitute for leadership: Their meaning and measurement. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 22, 375-403
- Khalid Johari (2003). Penyelidikan dalam pendidikan. Konsep dan prosedur. Selangor: Prentice Hall
- Kirchoff, P. (1977). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Kounin, J.(1970). Discipline and Group Management in Classrooms. New York: Holt, Rineholt & Winston

- Krejcie & Morgan (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 30, 607-610.
- Kruger, V.(2001). Main schools of TQM. The TQM Magazine, 13, 146-155.
- Kwang Kum Han (1996). Organizational commitment and some related factors. Tesis Sarjana Pendidikan yang tidak diterbitkan, UUM.
- Kwan, P.Y.(1996). Application of total quality management in education: Retrospect and prospect. International Journal of Educational Management, 10, 25-35.
- Labovitz (1970). In Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (1999). Quantitative data analysis with SPSS Release 8 for Windows. London and New York: Routledge.
- Lachland, E.D., Crawford, Paul, S. (1999). Total quality management in education: problems and issues for the classroom teacher. International Journal of Educational Management, 67-73.
- Lagrosen, S. (1999). TQM goes to school; An effective way of improving school quality. The TQM Magazine, 5, 328-332.
- Lam & Van der Griff (1995). In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Lan Poh Chin. (1998). Dalam Sharifah Md Noor. Keberkesanan Sekolah. Satu perspektif sosiologi. Serdang: UPM.
- Larsen, R. & Hartley. (1994). Berry, G. A quality system model for the management of quality in NSW schools. Managing Service Quality, 8, 97-111.
- Laugharne, M. (2002). Benchmarking academic standards. Quality Assurance in Education, 3, 134-138.
- Lee, V & Bryk, A. S., Smith, L.S. (1993). A multi models of the social distribution of high school achievement. Sociology of Education, 62, 172-192.
- Leithwood, K. and Jantzi, D. (1990). Transformational leadership: How principals can help reform school cultures. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 1, 249-280.
- Levine, D.U. & Lezotte, L.W. (1990). Unusually effective schools: A review and analysis of research and practice. Madison: The National Center of Effective Schools Research & Development.
- Levy, T. (1998). In Tedllie, C., Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.

- Little, W.J., & Bird, L. (1987). In Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Little, W.J. (1997). Excellence in professional development and professional community. Working paper: Office of Educational Research and Improvement.US
- Locke, E.A. (1968). Toward a theory of task motivation and incentives. Organizational behavior and union performance, 3, 157-189.
- Louden, W. (1991). Understanding teaching: Continuity and change in teachers' knowledge. London: Casell & New York: Teachers College Press, xvii, 206.
- Manasse. (1985). Dalam Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Mary, M.H. (1998). Principals' optimism and perceived school effectiveness. The International Journal of Educational Management, 36, 353-373.
- Marsha, B., Naftaly, S. G. (1999). Dimensions of teacher in-service training for school improvement. The International Journal of Educational Management, 13, 14-25.
- Martin, S. (2003). Perceptions of effectiveness: A study of schools in Victoria, Australia. Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge. 3, 392-412
- Maslow, A.H. (1954). A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, 50, 2, 370-396
- Mac Donald & Bloom (1983). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Mc Neil, Linda, M. (1987). Exit voice and community: Magnet teachers responses to standardization, Educational policy, 1
- Medley, D.M. (1982). Teacher effectiveness. In Mitzel, H.E., Encyclopedia of Educational Research. The Free Press, New York.
- Michael, S.,O. (1998). Best practices in information technology management: Insights from K12 schools' technology audits. International Journal of Educational Management, 12, 277-288.
- Michael, R.K.,Sower,V.E., Jaideep, M. (1997). A comprehensive model for implementing total quality management in higher education. Benchmarking for Quality Management & Technology, 4, 104-120.

- Michael & Weller. (1996). Quality management. In Kwan, P.Y.(1996). Application of total quality management in education: Retrospect and prospect. International Journal of Educational Management, 10, 25-35.
- Michael & Tucker. (1996). In Yukl, G. Leadership in organizations. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Miller,K.L. & Monge ,P.R. (1986). Participation, satisfaction and productivity: A meta analytic review: Academy of Management Journal, 29, 727-753
- Miskel, C.G. Feverly, R. and Steward, J. (1979). Organizational structures and processes, perceived school effectiveness, loyalty and job satisfaction. Educational Administration Quarterly. 15, 97-118.
- Miskal, C. & Wilcox. (1983). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Miskel, C., Bloom, S. Mc Donald, D. (1983). Structural coupling, expectancy, climate and learning strategies. In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Mohd Majid Konting (1998). Kaedah Penyelidikan Pendidikan. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Mohd Nawi Ab Rahman (2000). Teras Penyelidikan. Serdang: UPM.
- Mohamad Rashid Navi Bax. (2003). Personal communication.
- Mohrman, H. & Cooke, M. (1978). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Monk, D.H. (1999). Subject area preparation of secondary mathematics and science teachers and students achievement. In Whitehurst, G.J. (2002). Improving teacher quality, Spectrum. Lexicon, 75, 12-16
- Morris, C. N. (1995).Hierarchical models for educational data: An overview. Journal of Educational and Behavior Statistics, 20, 190-200.
- Mortimore, P.(1988). School matters: The junior Years,Wells. London: Paul Chapman.
- Mortimore, P. (1991). School effectiveness research: Which way at the cross roads? School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 2, 190-200.
- Mortimore, P. (1995). Key characteristics of effective schools: A review of school Effectiveness research. Effective Schools Seminar Ministry of Education.

- Mortimore, P. (1998). The road to improvement. Lisse: Swets and Zeitlinger.
- Martinez, R.A. (1999). TQM and business innovation. European Journal of Innovation Management, 2, 12-19.
- Mott, P.E. (1972). The characteristics of effective organizations. New York: Harper & Row.
- Mowday, R.T., Steers, R.W., Porter, L.W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. Journal of Vocational Behaviour, 14(2), 224-247.
- Mulford, B., Kendall, L., Kendal, D. (2004). Administrative practice and high school students' perceptions of their school, teachers and performance. Journal of Educational Administration, 42, 1, 78 - 91
- Muller (1993). Parent involvement and academic achievement: An analysis of family resource available to the child. In B. Scheneider and J.S. Coleman, Parents, their children and school, p77
- Mun Lee, P. (2002). Sustaining business excellence through a framework of best practices in TQM. The TQM Magazine, 14, 142-149.
- Murgatroyd, S., & Morgan, C. (1994). Total Quality Management and The School. Buckingham. Open University Press.
- Needless, M.C. & Cage, N.L. (1991). Essence and accident in process-product research on teaching in Waxman, H.C. and Walberg, H.J. Effective Teaching: Current Research, McCutchan Publishing Corp. Berkeley
- Neufeld, M., & Freeman, L. (1992). In Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Newman, W.L. (1994). Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Norasimah Ab Rasid (1995). Ciri-ciri pengurusan sekolah-sekolah menengah cemerlang di Kelantan. Tesis Sarjana. Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Nunally, J.C. (1978). Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw Hill
- Nuttal, D. et al. (1989). Differential school effectiveness. International Journal of Education Research. 13 (7), 764-776
- Ong Chon Sooi (1995). Komitmen dan prestasi organisasi: Cabaran kepada pengurus pendidikan dalam masalah pendidikan, Fakulti Pendidikan, Universiti Malaya, Jld 19, 43-63.

- Ornstein, A.C.(1986). Research on teacher behavior: trends and policies. High School Journal, 69, 399-402
- Ornstein, A.C.(1991). Teacher effectiveness research: theoretical consideration, in Waxman, H.C. and Walberg, H.J. Effective Teaching: Current Research, McCutchan Publishing Corp. Berkeley
- Owings, W.A. & Kaplan, L.S. (2003). Best practices, best thinking and emerging issues in schools leadership.USA: Corwin Press.
- Pang & Nicholas, S.K. (1996). Schools values and teachers' feeling: A LISREL model. Journal of Educational Administration, 34, 64-81
- Pang & Nicholas, S.K. (1998). The binding forces that hold school organizations together. Journal of Educational Administration, 36, 314-333
- Peters, C., and Waterman, R.H. (1982). In search of excellence: Lesson from America's best run companies. In Gary, L.K. Organizational communication. New York: Longman.
- Peter, R. (1997). Education and education of teachers. London: Routledge & Kagon Paul.
- Peter, J.P.(1981). Construct validity: A review of basics issues and marketing practices. Journal of Marketing Research, 18(May), pp 133 - 145
- Peter, T. (2002). Teacher quality and the future of America. Eastern Economic Journal, 28, 285-311.
- Poston, W.K. (1997). Comprehensive study of factors impacting perceived quality in school organization, finding from research on quality assessment in Iowa school districts. Educational Policy and Analysis Archives. 5
- Prunty, J., & Hively, W. (1982). The principals role in school effectiveness: An analysis of the practices of four elementary school leaders. Washington DC: National Institute for Education (G-8-01-10) and CEMRL Inc.
- Purkey, S.C. & Smith, M.S. (1983). Effective schools. A Review Elementary School Journal, 83, 427-452.
- Quarstein, T. Mc Afee & Glassman. (1992). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Ramaiah, A.L. (1995). Penyelidikan Keberkesanan Sekolah: Masalah Konsepsi dan Metodologi. Kertas kerja Seminar Pendidikan, Fakulti Pendidikan, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

- Razali Mat Zain (1989). Teori kepimpinan dalam pengurusan. Kuala Lumpur: DBP.
- Reichers, A.E. (1995). A review and reconceptualization of organizational commitment. Academy of Management Review, 10, 465-476
- Reid, K. ; Hopkins, D. and Holly, P. (1987). Towards the effective schools. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Reid, K & Holly, P. (1988). In Tedllie, C. Reynolds, S. (2000). School make a difference: Lesson learned from 10 years of school effects. New York: teachers College Press.
- Rete, G. (1997). In Hammond, D. (1999). Educating teachers for the next century. Rethinking practice and policy. Chicago University of Chicago Press.
- Reyes, P.(1990). Teachers and their work place: Commitment, performance and productivity. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Reynolds, D. (1982). The search for effective schools. School Organization.
- Ritter, M., & Sahal, S.A. (1995). Manufacturing best practices: Observations from study tours to Japan, South Korea, Singapore and Taiwan. Benchmarking for Quality Management & Technology, 2, 4-14.
- Robbins, S.P.(1998). Organizational behavior. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Rodha Krishnan (1995). Relationship between leadership, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and academic performance. Tesis Sarjana Pendidikan yang tidak diterbitkan, UUM.
- Romzek, B.S. (1990). Employee investment and commitment: The ties bind. Public Administration Review, 50(3), 99-106
- Ronald, H. Heck, & Paul, R., Brandon. (1995). Teacher empowerment and the implementation of school-based reform. Empowerment in Organization, 3, 10-23.
- Ronald W. R. (1985). Educational administration: A management approach.USA: Prentice Hall
- Roscoe, (1975). In Sekaran, U. Research methods for business. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rosenberg, J.M., (2001). E-learning: Strategies for delevering knowledge in the digital age. U.S.A: McGraw-Hill.
- Rosenholtz, S.J.(1989). Teachers' workplace: The social organization of school. New York: Longman.

- Rowan, B., Bossert, S., Wyer, D. (1983). Research on effective schools: Cautionary Note. Educational Research 124, 24-31.
- Rumberger, R.W., Palardy, G.J. (2005). Test scores, dropout rates and transfer rates as alternative indicators of high school performance. American Educational Research Journal, 42, 3 – 22.
- Rutter, M. et al (1979). Fifteen thousand hours: Secondary schools and their effects on children. Cambridge Mass: Harvard University Press and London: Open Book.
- Ryan, F. (1986). Effective principals. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Sagor, R. & Barnett, B.G. (1993). The total quality in education principal: A transformed leader. California: Corwin Press.Inc.
- Sammons, G. & Hillman, J. (1995). In Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Schreens, J. (1992). Effective schooling: Research, theory and practice. London: Cassell.
- Schreens, J. & Bosker, R. (1997). The foundation of educational effectiveness. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Schlock, L., Cowart, M., Staebler, L. (1993). In Sergiovanni, T. J. (2001). The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Sclan, E.M. (1993). The effect of perceived workplace conditions on beginning teachers' work commitment, career choice commitment and planned retention. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Colombia University Teachers College.
- Scott, J (1977). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Sculli, (1996). In Kwan, P.Y.(1996). Application of total quality management in education: Retrospect and prospect. International Journal of Educational Management, 10, 25-35.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). Research methods for business. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1987). Moral leadership: Getting to the heart of the school improvement. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1991). In Sergiovanni, T. J. The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (2001). The principalship: A reflective practice perspective. Texas:Allyn and Bacon.
- Siegal, P. & Byrne, S. (1994). Using Quality to Redesign School System : The Cutting Edge of Common Sense. San Francisco. Jossey-Bass.
- Silins, H.C., & Harvey, K. (2000). In Martin, S. (2003). Perceptions of effectiveness: A study of schools in Victoria, Australia. Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge. 3, 392-412
- Singh, K., & Billingsley, B.S. (1998). Professional support and its effects on teachers' commitment. The Journal of Educational Research. Vol 91 (4): 229 - 239
- Shanker (1989). In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House
- Sharifah Md Noor (2000). Keberkesanan Sekolah. Satu perspektif sosiologi. Serdang: UPM.
- Sharpe, D.R., (2002). Teamworking and managerial control within a Japanese manufacturing subsidiary in the UK. Personnel Review, 31, 267-282.
- Shulman, L.S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. Educational researcher, 15(2), 4-14.
- Smith, D.M., Holdaway, Edward, A. (1995). Constraints on the effectiveness of schools and their principals. The International Journal of Educational Management, 9, 31-40.
- Smith, P.A. (2002). The organizational health of high schools and student proficiency in Mathematics. The International Journal of Educational Management, 16, 98-105.
- Spector. (1997). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Spector. (1997). Effectiveness and quality of schools. In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Steers,K. (1977). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Steers,K. & Porter (1984). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.

- Stevenson, W.J. (1999). Production operations management.U.S.A: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- Stool, A. & Fink, D. (1996). Changing our schools. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Stone-Romero, E.F., Alliger, G.M., and Aguinis, H. (1994). Type II error in the use of moderated multiple regression for the detection of moderating effects of dichotomous variable. Journal of Management, 20 (1), 167-178.
- Sufean Hussin (1996). Pendidikan di Malaysia. Sejarah, sistem dan falsafah. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Sulaiman Shamsuri (2004). Research methods for the social sciences.Made simple. Selangor: DSS Publishing.
- Sweeney, L. (1982). In Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Tan Siew Lan (1998). Work values and organizational commitment. Tesis sarjana Pendidikan yang tidak diterbitkan, USM.
- Tedllie, C., & Stringfield, F. (1993). In Quek, Khatijah & Azmi (1996). Indikator pengetua cemerlang. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Tedllie, C., Reynolds, S. (2000). School make a difference: Lesson learned from 10 years of school effects. New York: teachers College Press.
- Tedllie, C., Reynolds, S. (2000). The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Thompson, S, Mc Namara, Hoyle (1997). In Hoy, W.K., Miskel, C.G. (2001). Educational administration: Theory, research and practice. New York: Random House.
- Tribus, M. (1996). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Tsui. (1990). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Ubbens and Hughes, (1987). Dalam Quek, Khatijah dan Azmi. Jurnal Kepimpinan dan Pendidikan Institut Aminuddin Baki. 6
- Uline, C.L., Miller, D.M., Tschanen-Moran, M. (1988). School effectiveness: The underlying dimensions. Educational Administration Quarterly, 34, 462-483.

- Van Vught, F.A., Westerheijden, D.F. (1992). Quality Management and Quality Assurance in European Higher Education: Methods and Mechanisms, Center for Higher education Policy Studies, University of Twente.
- Veenman, S. (1984). The perceived problems of beginning teachers. Review of Educational Research, 54, 143-178
- Verdis, A., Kriemadis, T., Pashardis, P. (2003). Historical, comparative and statistical perspectives of school effectiveness research: rethinking educational evaluation in Greece. International Journal of Educational Management, 17, 155-169.
- Victor, L. (1998). Disparities between Arabs and Jews in school resources and student achievement in Israel. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 47, 175-193.
- Vroom, V.H. (1964). In Yukl, G. (2002), Leadership in organizations. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Vroom, V.H., & Yetton, P.W. (1973). Leadership and decision making. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Walberg, H.J. (1984). Improving school sciences in advanced and developing countries. Review of Educational Research, 61, 25-69.
- Weber, G. (1971). Inner city children can be taught to read: Four successful schools, Washington DC: Council for basic education.
- Weber, G. & Omamomi. (1994). In Teddlie, C. Reynolds, S. The international handbook of school effectiveness research. London and New York: Falmer Press.
- Weller, L.D.(1995). Quality teams: Problems, causes, solutions. TQM Magazine, 7, 45-50.
- Weller, L.D.(1996). Benchmarking: A paradigm for change to quality education. The TQM Magazine, 8, 24-29.
- Weller, L.D.(1996). Return on quality: a new factor in assessing quality efforts. International Journal of Educational Management, 10, 30-40.
- Weller, L.D.(1998). Unlocking the culture for quality schools: reengineering. International Journal of Educational Management, 12, 250-259.
- Whitehurst, G.J. (2002). Improving teacher quality, Spectrum Lexicon, 75, 12-16
- Wilkins, R. (2002). Schools as organizations: some contemporary issues. International Journal of Educational Management, 16, 120-125.

- Wilson, S.M., Shulman, L.S., & Richett A.E. (1987). “150 different ways” of knowing: Representations of knowledge in teaching. In F Colderhead (Ed.), Exploring teachers’ thinking. Sussex: Holt, Rinehart and Wilson.
- Yoshida, K. (1994). The Deming approach to education: a comparative study of the USA and Japan. International Journal of Educational Management, 8, 29-40.
- Yuchman & Seashore. (1967). In Cheng, Y.C. & Tam W.M. Multi-models of quality in education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5, 22-31.
- Yukl, G. (2002), Leadership in organizations. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Zaidatun Tasir & Mohd Salleh Abu (2003). Analisis Data Berkomputer. SPSS 11.5. Kuala Lumpur: Venton Publishing.
- Zikmund, W.G. (2003). Business research method. U.S.A: Thomson South Weston.