Development and Evaluation of a Decision Model for DBMS Selection to Support Enterprise Information Portal (EIP)

AHMAD FADLI BIN SAAD

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA 2006 Development and Evaluation of a Decision Model for DBMS Selection to Support Enterprise Information Portal (EIP)

> This thesis is presented to Graduate School in fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Science (Information Technology) Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

AHMAD FADLI BIN SAAD

© Ahmad Fadli Bin Saad, September 2006, All Rights Reserved



JABATAN HAL EHWAL AKADEMIK (DEPARTMENT OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS) UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

PERAKUAN KERJA/TESIS (Certification of Thesis Work)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (We. the undersigned, certify that)

AHMAD FADLI SAAD

calon untuk [jazah (candidate for the degree of)

SARJANA SAINS (TEKNOLOGI MAKLUMAT)

telah mengemukakan tesis/disertasinya yang bertajuk (has presented his/her thesis work of the following title)

DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF A DECISION MODEL FOR DBMS SELECTION TO SUPPORT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION PORTAL (EIP)

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis/disertasi (as it appears on the title page and front cover of thesis work)

bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut bolch diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan, dan liputan bidang ilmu yang memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **18 Julai 2006**

(that the thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field covered by the thesis was demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on

Pengerusi Viva (Chairman for Viva)	:	Prof. Madya Dr. Hjh. Norshuha Shiratuddin	da Tandatangan: (Signature)
Pemeriksa Luar (External Examiner)	:	Prof. Dr. Abdullah Embong	Tandatangan:
Pemeriksa Dalaman (Internal Examiner)	:	Dr. Faudziah Ahmad	Tandatangan:
Penyelia Utama (Principal Supervisor)	:	Prof. Madya Nazib Nordin	Tandatangan:
Penyelia (Principal)	:	Encik Azham Hussain	Tandatangan (Signature)
Dekan, Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat (Dean, Faculty of Information Technolog	: y)	Prof. Madya Dr. Hj. Zulkhairi Md. Dahalin	Tandatangan: (Signature)
Tarikh (Date)	:	18 JULAI 2006	

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisors(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean in Faculty of Information and Technology. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole part or in part, should be addressed to:

> Dean of Graduate School Univesiti Utara Malaysia 06010 Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

The past decade has seen an extraordinary increase in the availability and use of DBMS in organizations. Today, DBMS also plays an important role to support Enterprise Information Portal (EIP) applications. However, a task for evaluation and selection from several candidates of DBMS tend to be very complex and difficult since both qualitative and quantitative issues need to be considered. Furthermore, the selection of DBMS must be based on expert perception of the organization. Wrong choice or improper selection of DBMS will negatively affect the implementation of EIP in the organization. This thesis presents the combination of Delphi technique and the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), for selecting appropriate DBMS to support EIP application. The Delphi method has been applied to determine the selection criteria and sub criteria of DBMS for EIP from experts' perceptions. The AHP is proposed to develop and to evaluate the decision model of DBMS selection. It is seen as an effective approach in dealing with DBMS selection decision problems. This method adopts a multi-criteria approach that can be used for analysis and comparison of several aspects within DBMS. Two DBMS products namely ORACLE and SYBASE are examined for the study. The results of this research will be useful to organizations because it provides information and guideline regarding the importance of criteria and the sub-criteria and thus may help organizations to choose appropriate DBMS to match their needs.

ABSTRAK

Dekad terdahulu telah memperlihatkan suatu peningkatan yang luar biasa dalam ketersediaan dan kegunaan Sistem Pengurusan Pangkalan Data (SPPD) dalam organisasi. Pada hari ini SPPD juga memainkan peranan yang penting untuk menyokong aplikasi Portal Maklumat Perniagaan (PMP). Walaubagaimanapun tugas penilaian dan pemilihan calon-calon SPPD adalah kompleks dan sukar memandangkan isu-isu kualitatif dan kuantitatif perlu dipertimbangkan. Selain itu, pemilihan SPPD juga perlulah berdasarkan kepada pandangan pakar dalam sesebuah organisasi. Pemilihan SPPD yang salah atau tidak sesuai akan mengakibatkan kesan yang negatif dalam pelaksanaan aplikasi PMP. Tesis ini mempersembahkan gabungan kaedah Delphi dan AHP untuk memilih SPPD yang sesuai bagi menyokong PMP. Kaedah Delphi digunakan bagi menentukan kriteria dan sub kriteria SPPD bagi menyokong PMP mengikut tanggapan pakar. Kaedah AHP pula digunakan bagi membangun dan menilai model keputusan bagi pemilihan SPPD. Pendekatan ini efektif dalam menangani keputusan bagi pemilihan SPPD. Kaedah ini juga menerima pendekatan multi kriteria yang boleh digunakan untuk menganalisis dan membuat perbandingan beberapa aspek SPPD. Dua produk SPPD iaitu Oracle dan Sybase dikaji dalam penyelidikan ini. Keputusan bagi penyelidikan ini amat berguna kepada organisasi kerana ia menyediakan maklumat dan panduan berhubung kepentingan kriteria dan sub kriteria. Oleh demikian, ini membantu organisasi memilih SPPD yang sesuai bagi memenuhi keperluan mereka.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah... as given a life and time for me to complete this thesis and pursue it as knowledge to others. I would like to take this opportunity to express my special thanks to my supervisors, Associate Professor Nazib Nordin and Mr Azham Hussein, on their supervision, encouragement, and guidance in completing this research.

I wish to thank the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation for sponsoring my study in Universiti Utara Malaysia.

Thanks to Lecturers from Faculty of Quantitative Science Universiti Utara Malaysia, Associate Professor Dr. Engku, Mr Herman, Miss Aida Mauziah and Miss Norhaslinda Zainal Abidin for their help in teaching me especially in AHP technique.

I would like to take this opportunity to convey my deepest gratitude dedicated to lecturers from University of Science Malaysia, Professor Dr. Hj Abdullah Embung and Mr Azham Osman for assisting in data collection and DBMS criteria revision and validation.

My thankfulness also goes to my beloved father and mother, Haji Saad Lazim and Hajjah Rathiah bt Hj Yaacob as well as my member of family for their continues prayer and support in my study.

Last but not least, to my friends and colleagues for their kindness, invaluable support that kept me motivated during difficult time.

Thank you all.

CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	V
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABREVIATIONS	X'

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background	l
	The Problem Statement	3
1.3	The Objectives	4
	The Scope of Study	4
1.5	Organization of the Thesis	5

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	The Overview of Enterprise Information Portal	6
	2.1.1 Enterprise Information Portal Definition	7
	2.1.2 Purpose of an EIP	9
	2.1.3 Architecture of Enterprise Information Portal	10
2.2	The Selection Issue of Enterprise Information Portal	12
2.3	DBMS products for EIP	13
2.4	Evaluation of Information System	15
2.5	Information Systems Performance	16
2.6	Software Products Selection Process	17
2.7	The Analytical Hierarchy Process(AHP)	20
2.8	A Formal Structure of DBMS decision model	24
2.9	Current DBMS decision model	26

2.10	Approaches for selecting Software Products	29
	2.10.1 COTS Evaluation Process	31
	2.10.2 Methods For COTS Evaluation	32
	2.10.2.1 Off-The-Shelf-Option (OTSO)	32
	2.10.2.2 Procurement-Oriented Requirements	
	Engineering (PORF)	36
	2.10.2.3 The Social Technical Approaches	
	Evaluation (STACE)	39
2.11	Summary	42

CHAPTER THREE: THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	The Research methodology framework	44
3.2	Part II: Criteria development	45
	3.2.1 The Delphi Method	46
3.3	Steps in the Criteria Development	49
	3.3.1 Step 1 : Literature review on the evaluative criteria	49
	3.3.2 Step II : Develop and distribute Questionnaire One	50
	3.3.3 Step III : Round One : Identify selection criteria from	
	expert	50
	3.3.4 Step IV : Round Two and Round Three : To Reach	
	Consensus On The relative Importance Of The	
	Evaluative Criteria	51
	3.3.5 Step V : Short listed of nine important criteria	51
3.4	Part III : The Construction of DBMS Decision Model	51
	3.4.1 Step 1 : Establishment Of A Structural Hierarchy	51
	3.4.2 Step II : Establishment Of Comparative Judgments	52
	3.4.3 Step III: Synthesis Of priorities And The Measurement	
	Of Consistency	53
3.5	Part IV: Evaluation	56
3.6	Pilot Test	56
3.7	Data Analysis	57
3.8	Data Collection And Instrumentation	58
3.9	Summary	60

CHAPTER FOUR: THE SELECTION CRITERIA AND THE EVALUATION OF DBMS DECISION MODEL TO SUPPORT EIP

4.1	The DBMS selection criteria for EIP	61
	4.1.1 The DBMS selection criteria from literature	61
	4.1.2 The result from the pilot test	63
	4.1.3 The criteria and sub criteria from panelist	63

,

	4.1.4 Shortlist on the importance criteria and sub criteria of	
	DBMS for EIP	67
	4.1.5 The Movement towards consensus	70
4.2	A DBMS decision model to support EIP with respect to	
	functional factor	74
4.3	Summary	77

CHAPTER FIVE : EVALUATION

5.1	The Application of the DBMS decision model	78
	5.1.1 An Overview of selected Company for a case study	79
5.2	Evaluation process	80
5.3	The result from the case study	84
	Validation of AHP model	95
5.5	Summary	95

.

CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

6. l	Discussion	96
	Assumption of the study	99
6.3	The contribution of study	100
6.4	Limitation of Study	101
	Conclusions and further research	102

REFERENCES	104
APPENDICES	113
APPENÐIX I 🛛 : QUESTIONNAIRE ONE	114
APPENDIX II : QUESTIONNAIRE TWO	123
APPENDIX III : QUESTIONNAIRE THREE	130
APPENDIX IV : QUESTIONNAIRE FOUR	137

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The main components of an Enterprise information Portal or	
	Corporate Portal	11
Figure 2.2	The Software Selection process	17
Figure 2.3	Formal structure for decision model	25
Figure 2.4	The DBMS decision model by Zahedi(1985)	27
Figure 2.5	DBMS functional aspect and criteria (Level 2)	28
Figure 2.6	The Process in OTSO (Kontio, 1996)	34
Figure 2.7	Four Generic Processes in PORE (after Neube & Maiden)	38
Figure 2.8	STACE Framework	40
Figure 2.9	STACE Evaluation Process	41
Figure 3.1	The framework of Research Methodology	45
Figure 4.1	Movement towards consensus in mean ranking	71
Figure 4.2	Movement towards consensus in standard deviation	72
Figure 4.3	A DBMS decision model to support EIP	74
Figure 5.2	Steps in the evaluation process	81

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Other definition of the Enterprise Information portal
Table 2.2	The Application of AHP
Table 2.3	The DBMS aspect (Zahedi, 1985)
Table 2.4	Comparison of software product selection method
Table 3.1	A list of panelist in Round One
Table 3.2	The Scale of Relative Importance in AHP Approach
Table 3.3	Average Random Index(RI) based on Matrix Size (Adapted from Saaty, 2000
Table 3.4	The Summary of Data Collection.
Table 4.1	DBMS criteria and sub criteria identified from the many sources
Table 4.2	The importance of each criterion in descending Order Based On mean scores
Table 4.3	Ranking data by Delphi method
Table 4.4	Ranking data by Delphi Round in percentage
Table 5.1	Hierarchical representation of DBMS selection
Table 5.2	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with respect to functional strategic Criteria
Table 5.3	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with respect to metadata.
Table 5.4	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with respect to content and document management
Table 5.5	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with scalability
Table 5.6	Pair wise Comparison judgment matrix with respect to replication.
Table 5.7	Pair wise Comparison judgment matrix with respect to Mobile devices
Table 5.8	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with respect to Performance and Tuning

Table 5.9	Pair wise comparison judgment matrix of DBMS with respect to Application Development Support	88
Table 5.10	Pair wise Comparison judgment matrix with respect to	00
	security	89
Table 5.11	Pair wise Comparison judgment matrix with respect to	0.0
	Integration	89
Table 5.12	Composite relative weight of criteria and sub criteria	- 9()
Table 5.13	Pair-wise comparison judgement matrix for five-point rating scale	92
Table 5.14	Overall rating of two DBMS identified by company one	92

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

AD	 Application Development
AHP	- Analytical Hierarchy Process
AU	- Additional Users
Al	– Artificial Intelligent
API	 Applications Programming Interface
BI	– Business Intelligence
CAT	- Categorization
CBSB	 COTS-based Software Development
CDM	 Content And Document Management
CE	 Comprehensive Evaluation
CFD	- Composite Features Diagramming
CUS	- Customization
CI	– Consistency Index
CISD	 COTS-based Integrated System Development
COTS	 Commercial off-the- Shelf
CR	 Consistency Ratio
DC	 Database Community
DBMS	 Database Management System
DDL	 Data Description Language
DESMET	 Determining Methodology for Software Methods Tools
DML	- Data Manipulation Language
DSS	- Decision Support System
ElPs	 Enterprise Information Portals
ERP	 Enterprise Resource Planning
FEA	 Feature Analysis
FRS	 Functional Requirements Specification
HTML	– Hypertext Markup Language
INT	– Integration

xi

IS	 Information System
IT	 Information Technology
LSM	– Large scale machine
LB	 Load Balancing
MCDM	 Multi Criteria Decision Making
MIS	 Management Information System
OA	– Office Automation
OMSs	 Object Management Systems
OLAP	- On-line Analytical Processing
OTSO	 Off-The-Shelf Option
РМР	— Portał Maklumat Perniagaan
PT	 Performance and tuning
RDBMS	 Relational Database Management Systems
REP	- Replication
RFP	 Request for Proposal
SCL	– Scalability
SE	– Search Engine
SEC	– Security
SEEs	 Software Engineering Environments
SPPD	– Sistem Pengurusan Pangkalan Data
SQL	 Structured Query Language
STACE	– Social-Technical Approach to COTS Evaluation
MD	 Mobile Devices
MT	– Metadata
MIS	 Management Information System
KM	 Knowledge Management
XML	– External Markup Language

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

The past decades have witnessed enormous growth in the number of Database Management Systems (DBMSs) products to support organization functions. One of the critical tasks that top management and IT managers must handle is to make decision in choosing DBMS to support Enterprise Information Portal (E1P). This chapter gives an overview on the background of this research. The problem statements, objectives, project scope and the organization of the thesis are discussed.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The concept of the evaluation and selection of software products tend to be very important and within the scope of enterprise decision making. With the growth of interorganizational projects the evaluation task takes on a broader and more complex characteristic (Sarkis & Talluri, 2003). Some authors have identified several methods for selecting software products. Maiden (1998) proposed PORE (Procurement-Oriented Requirements Engineering), a methods that guides the software selection process through

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Alder, M. & Ziglio, E. (1996). Gazing into the Oracle: The Delphi Method and its Application to Social Policy and Public Health. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers Ltd.
- Alter, S. (1999). A General, yet Useful Theory of Information Systems. Communications. *AIS*, *1*(13).
- Aneja, A., Brooksby, B., & Rowan, C. (2000). Corporate Portal Framework for Transforming Content Chaos on Intranets. *Intel Technology Journal*, 11(1), 21-28.
- Armacost, R. L., Componation, P.J., Mullens, M.A. & Swart, W.W. (1994). An AHP framework for prioriting customer requirements in QFD: An Industrialized housing application. *IIE Transaction*, 26(4), 72-79.
- Azani, H. & Khorramshahgol, R. (1990). Analytic Delphi Method (ADM): a strategic decision making model applied to location planning. *Engineering Costs and Production Economics*, 20, 23–28.
- Badri, M.A., & Davis, D. (2001). A comprehensive 0-1 goal programming model for project selection. *International Journal of Project Management*, 19, 243-252.
- Bell .(1992). Doing your research project. Milton Keynes : Open University Press.
- Biggerstaff.T., & Richter, C. (1987). Reusability Framework, Assessment and Directions, In Frontier Series: Software Reusability : Concepts and Models, ACM Press.1, New York.
- Boloix, G., & Robillard, P., (1995). A Software System Evaluation Framework. IEEE Computer, 28(12),17-26.
- Brancheau, J. C., Wetherbe., & James, C. (1987). Key Issues in Information Systems Management. MIS Quarterly, 11(1), 22-45.
- Brancheau, J. C., Janz, B. D., Wetherbe. & James, C. (1996). Key Issues in Information Systems Management: 1994-95 SIM Delphi Results. *MIS Quarterly*, 20, 225-242.
- Brio Technology (2000,a). *Technical Briefs. Architecture Overview; Brio Technology;* Retrieved May 13, 2003 from the World Wide : http://www.brio.com/library/technical_briefs/portal_architecture.html

- Brio Technology (2000,b): *Brio. Portal. Delivering Results to the Enterprise; Brio Technology*; Retrieved from the World Wide : http://www.brio.com/products_solution/brio_portal/pdfs/portal.pdf
- Brosché, C. (2002). *Designing corporate portal*, Masters Thesis, University of Gothenburg, Sweden.
- Canada, S. & White. (1996). *Capital Investment Analysis for Engineering and Management*. NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Carney, D. J., & Wallnau, K.C. (1998). A basis for evaluation of commercial software. Information and Technology, 40, 851-860.
- Choi, J. S., & Scacchi, W. (2000). *Modelling and Simulating Software Acquisition Process Architectures.* Paper presented at Workshop on Software Process Simulation and Modelling (ProSim 2000), London, UK.
- Choy, J. (2003),' Growing interest in business performance management', Asia Computer Weekly, 28,1.
- Cheng, E.W.L., & Li, H.(2001). Information priority-setting for better resource allocation Using analytic hierarchy process (AHP). *Information Management and Computer Security*, 9(2), 61-70.
- Conover, W. J. (1971). *Practical Nonparametric Statistics*, Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, New York.
- Codd, E.F. (1982). Relational Database: A practical foundation for productivity. *Communications of the ACM*, 25, 117-127.
- Crowe, T. J., Noble, J. S., & Machimada, J.S. (1998). Multi-attribute analysis of ISO 9000 registration using AHP. International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, 5(2), 205-222.
- Dalkey, N. C., & Helmer, O. (1963). An Experimental Application of the Delphi Method to the Use of Experts. Management Science, 9, 458-467.
- Damsgaard, J., & Scheepers, R. (1999). Power, Influence and Intranet implementation: A Safari of South African Organizations. Information Technology & People, 12(4), 333-358.
- Dekleva, S., & Zupancic, J. (1996). "Key Issues in Information Systems Management: A Delphi Study in Slovania." *Information & Management*, 31, 1-11

Delbecq. A. L., Van de Ven, A.H., & Gustafson, D.H. (1975). Group Techniques for Program Planning: A Guide to Nominal Group and Delphi Processes. Glenview. IL., Scott-Foresman.

Denning, P. (2002). Internet Time Out. Communication of the ACM, 45(3), 15-18.

- Detlor, B. (2000). The corporate portal as information infrastructure: towards a Framework for portal design. *International Journal of Information management*, 20, 91-101.
- Dewal, S., Emmerich.W., & Lichtinghagen, K. (1992). A Decision Support Method for the selection of OMSs. In : Proc. Of 2nd International Conference on Systems Integration, Morristown, N. J, Computer Society Press, 32-40.
- Dexter, S. A., Janson, A.M., Kiudorf, E., & Laast-Laas, J. (1993). Key Information Technology Issues in Estonia. *International Journal of Information Management*, 139-153.
- Dorfman, M., & Thayer, R.H. (1990). Standard, guidelines, and examples on system and Software requirements Engineering.Los Alamitos, *IEEE Computer Society Press.*
- Doke, E. R., & Swanson, N.E. (1995). Decision Variables for Selecting Prototyping in Information Systems Development: A Delphi Study of MIS Managers. Information & Management, 9, 173-182.
- Eckerson, W. (1999). Business Portals: Drivers, Definitions, and Rules, The Data Warehousing Institute, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Eckerson, W. (1999a). *15 rules for enterprise portals. Oracle Magazine. 13*(4), 13–14, (July/August). Retrieved May 30, 2003 from the World Wide Web: http://www.oracle.com/oramag/oracle/99-Jul/49ind.html ·
- Eckerson, W. (1999b). Business portals: drivers, definitions, and rules. Boston, MA: Patricia Seybold Group. Retrieved May 3, 2003 from the World Wide Web : http://www.viador.com/pdfs/SeyboldWhitePaper.pdf.
- Eckerson, W. (1999c). Plumtree blossoms: New version fulfils enterprise portal requirements. Boston, MA: Patricia.
- Edmunds, A., & Morris, A. (2000). The problem of information overload in business organizations : a review of the literature, *International Journal of Information Management*, 20, 17-28.
- Feagin, Orum., & Sjoberg. (1991). A case for study. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.

- Finkelstein, A. G., & Spanoudakis, M.R. (1996, March). Software package requirements and procurement. Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Software Specification and Design. *IEEE Computer Society. Press*, Los Alamitos, 141-145.
- Firestone, J. M., (1999a). DKMS Brief No. Eight : Enterprise information portals and enterprise knowledge portals. Retrieved July, 2004 from the World Wide Web: <u>http://www.dkms.com/EKPandEIP.html</u>
- Firestone, J. M., (1999b,July). White paper no. Thirteen: Defining the enterprise information portal. Retrieved July, 2004 from the World Wide Web: http://www.dkms.com/EIPDEF.html
- Gates, L. (2001). The second Portal wave. *Application Development Trends*, 8(1), 29-32.
- Germany, J., & Freeman, R. (2004). Oracle Replication Snapshot, multi-master & Materialized. Burleson Enterprise, Inc.
- Greward, R., Stackowiak, R., & Stern, J. (2001). *Oracle Essentials*(2nd ed.).O'Really & Associates, Inc.
- Gilchrist, A. (2001). Corporate taxonomies: report on a survey of current practice. *Online Information Review*, 25(2), 94-102.
- Haines, C.G., Carney, D., & Foreman, J. (1997). Component-Based Software Development/ COTS Integration, Software Technology Review. Retrieved July, 2004 from the World Wide Web : http://www.sei.cmu.edu/str/descriptions/cbsd_body.html
- Hall, K.(2000). "Enterprise Information Portal: Hot Technology?" Cutter Information Corp.
- Hazra, T.K.(2002b), ' The perfect portal', *Application Development Advisor*, 6(1), 16-20.

Helmer, O. (1981). Reassessment of cross-impact analysis. Futures, 389-400.

- iFocus(2003). "Enterprise Information Portals": What they do and how they do it", iFocus pty. Ltd.
- IEEE. (1993). IEEE Recommended Practice for the Evaluation and Selection of Case Tools, *IEEE*, 1209-1992, New York.

- Inmon, W. H., & Hackathorn, R. D. (1997). *Como usar o data warehouse. Rio de Janeiro*: Infobook.
- ISO CD 14598. (1996). Software Product Evaluation, part 1-6.
- Ives, B., & Olson, M. H. (1984). User involvement and MIS success: A review of research. *Management Science*. 30(5), 586-603.
- Jeffery, D., Ley, A., Bennun, I., & McLaren, S. (2000). Delphi Survey of Opinion on Interventions, Service Principles and Service Organization for Severe Mental Illness and Substance Misuse Problems. *Journal of Mental Health*, 4, 373-384.
- Jiang, J.J., & Klein, G. (1999). Project Selection Criteria By Strategic Orientation. Information and Management, *36*, 63-75.
- Keller, A. (2001). Future Development of Electronic Journals: A Delphi Survey. *The Electronic Library*, 9(6), 383-396.
- Kitchenham, B., Linkman, S., & Law, D. (1997, June). DESMET: a methodology for evaluating software engineering methods and tools. *Computing & Control Engineering Journal*, 8(3), 120-126.
- Kontio, J. (1996). A Case Study in Applying a Systematic Method for COTS Selection, Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Software Engineering, *IEEE Computer Society*, 201-209.
- Kontio, J., Caldiera, G., & Bassili, V. R. (1996). *Defining Factors, Goals and Criteria for Reusable Component Evaluation*. Paper Presented at the CASCON'96 conference, Toronto Canada.
- Kotorov, R., & Hsu, E. (2001). A model for enterprise portal management. *Journal of Knowledge management*, 5(1), 86-93.
- Kunda, D., & Brooks, L. (1999). Applying Social-Technical Approach For COTS selection. Paper presented at The Proceedings of 4th UKAIS Conference, University of York, McGraw Hill.
- Lamm, H., & Rommsdorf, G.T. (1973). Group versus individual performance on tasks requiring ideational proficiency(brainstorming) : A review. *Europe. J. Social Psychology*, 3, 361-388.
- Lai, M. J., Wong, B. K., & Cheung, W. (2002).Group decision making in a multiple Criteria environment: A case using the AHP in software selection. European Journal of Operational Research, 37, 134-144.

- Liberatore, M.J. (1987). An Extension of the Analytical Hierarchy Process for Industrial R & D Project selection and resource Allocation. *IEEE Transaction on Engineering Management*, 34(1), 12-18.
- Liberatore, M.J., Monahan, T.F. & Stout, D.E. (1992). A framework for integrating capital budgeting analysis with strategy. *The Engineering Economist*, *38*(1), 31-43.
- Lin, C.T., & Hsu, P.F. (2003). Selection of Internet Advertising Networks Using an Analytical Hierarchy Process and Grey Relational Analysis. *Information and Management Science*, 14(2), 1-16.

Macaulay, L.A. (1996). Requirement engineering. Springer-Verlag London Limited.

- Maiden, N.A., & Ncube, C. (1998). Acquiring COTS Software Selection Requirements. *IEEE Software*, 15(2),46-56.
- Maidin, N.A., & Ncube, C. (1999). PORE : Procurement Oriented Requirements Engineering Method for The Components-Based System Engineering Development. Paper presented at the Proceesing of the. 2nd International Workshop on Components-Based Software Engineering, Los Angeles, USA.
- Martciniak, J. J., & Reifer, D.J. (1990). Software Acquisition Management. Managing the Acquisition of Custom Software System. Willey Series In Industrial Software Engineering Practice.
- Marshak, D. S., & Seybold, P.B. (2003). *An Executive's Guide to Portals What Customer-Centric Executives Need to Know about Portal.* Patricia Seybold Group.
- Murray, G. (1999). *The portal is the desktop*. Intraspect, (May/June). Retrieved January 25, 2002 from the World Wide Web : <u>http://archives.groupcomputing.com//index.cfm?fuseaction=viewarticle&Content1</u> <u>D=166</u>

Martino, J. P. (1993). *Technological forecasting for decision making*. New York : McGraw-Hill.

- Niederman, F., Brancheau., James, C., & Wetherbe. (1991). Information Systems Management Issues for the 1990s." *MIS Quarterly 15*(4): 474-500.
- Oberndorf, P. A., Brownsword, L., & Morris., Ed. (1997). *COTS based System*. Paper Presented at the Workshop on COTS-Based Systems, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Special Report CMU/SEI-97-SR-019.

- Oracle.(2000a). Oracle Corporation : Oracle Fastforward Enterprise Portal. Retrieved January 2003 from the World Wide Web : <u>http://www.oracle.com/fastforward/enterprise_portal/index.html?ep_brochure.pdf</u>
- Oracle (2000b). Oracle Corporation : Oracle Portal 3.0 Production Release. Retrieved February 2003 from the World Wide Web : http://www.oracle.com/products/iportal/pdf/oportal_prod_oview_8_22.pdf
- Plumtree software (2000). *Plumtree corporate portal 3.0*. Retrieved January 25, 2003 from the World Wide Web : <u>http://www.plumtree.com/products.html</u>
- Plumtree software (2000,a). Corporate portals in brief : A simple overview of corporate portals benefits, technologies and markets. Retrieved January 25, 2003 from the World Wide Web : http://www.plemtree.com/moreinfo/specialoffer.html
- Plumtree software (2000,b). *The currency of business-to-business commerce: Syndicating gadgets from corporate portals to the world*. Retrieved January 25, 2003 from the World Wide Web : <u>http://www.plemtree.com/moreinfo/specialoffer.html</u>
- Punter, T., Solingen, R.T., & Trienekens, J. (1997). Software Product Evaluation. Current status and future needs for customers and industry. Paper Presented at The Proceedings of the 4th IT Evaluation Conference (EVIT-97), 30-31 October, The Netherlands, Delft.
- Raghavan, P. (2002). Social Networks: from the Web to the Enterprise, *IEEE Internet Computing*. Industry Report.
- Reynolds. H., & Koulopoulos, T. (1999, March). Enterprise knowledge has a face. *Intelligent Enterprise*, 2(5), 29–34.
- Saaty, T.L., & Ramanujam, V. (1983). An objective approach to facility promotion and tenure by the analytic Hierarchical Process. *Research in Higher Education*, 8(3), 311-331.
- Saaty, T.L. (1990). The Analytic Hierarchy Process. New York : McGraw-Hill.
- Saaty, T.L: (1992). Highlights and critical points in the theory and application of the Analytical Hierarchy Process. *Europeon Journal of Operational Research*, 48, 426-447
- Saaty, T. L. (2000). *Fundamentals of Decision Making and Priority Theory*. 2nd ed. Pittsburgh, PA: RWS Publications.
- Saaty, T.L. (2001). Decision making for leaders. RWA publications, Pittsburg, PA.

- Sarkis, J., & Talluri, S. (2003). Evaluating and Selecting e-commerce software and communication systems for a supply chain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 1-12.
- Seddon, P.B., Staples, S., Patnayakuni, R., & Bowtell, M. (1999). Dimensions of Information System Success," *Communications of AIS*, 2(20), November 1999. Retrieved January 15, 2004 from the World Wide Web: <u>http://cais.isworld.org./articles/2-20/</u>

Sekaran, U. (2000). Research method for business. New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Shilakes, C. C., & Tylman, J. (1998). *Enterprise Information Portals*, Merrill Lynch, Inc., New York, NY.
- Steves, M. P., & Scholtz, J. (2005). A Framework for Evaluating Collaborative Systems in the Real World. Paper presented at the Proceeding of the 38th Hawaii International Conference on Systems Science.

Sommerville, I. (1996). Software Engineering, Addison Wesley Longman Limited, Essex

- Sybase.(2004). *Sybase Enterprise Portal : A technical White paper*. Retrieved December 2004, from the World Wide Web: <u>http://www.sybase.com</u>
- Szyperski, C. (1998). Component Software: Beyond Object-Oriented Programming, Addison Wesley Longman Limited, Essex.
- Ta, H. P., & Har, K. Y. (2000). A study of bank selection decision in Singapore using Analytical Hierarchy Process. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 18, (4), 170-180.
- Tam, M.C.Y., & Tummala, V.M.R. (2001). An application of the AHP in vendor selection of a telecommunications system. *Omega*, 29(2), 171-182.
- Teltumbde, A. (2000). A framework of evaluating ERP projects. *International Journal of Production Research*, 38, 4507-4520.
- The Delphi Group.(1999). Enterprise Information Portals : In Perspective White paper. Retrieved December 2004, From the World Wide Web: <u>http://www.delphigroup.com</u>
- Turoff, M., Rao, U., & Hiltz, S.R. (1982, January). Computer Support for Group Versus Individual Decisions. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 30(1), 82-90.

- Vargas, L. G. (1990). An overview of the Analytic Hierarchy Process and its application. *European Journal of Operational Research.* 48, 2-8.
- Viador. (1999). Enterprise information portals: Realizing the vision of `information at your fingertips'. Retrieved May 24, 2003 from the World Wide Web : <u>http://www.viador.com/pdfs/EIP white paper 1 99.pdf</u>
- Watson, J., & Fenner, J. (2000). Understanding Portals. *Information Management Journal*. 34(3), 18-22.
- Wah, L. (2000). Give ERP a change. Management Review. 89(3), 20-24.
- Wei, C.C., Chien, C. F., & Wang, MJ.J. (2004). An AHP-based approach to ERP system selection. *Journal of production economics.*
- White, C. (1999b). Enterprise information portal requirements. Decision processing brief, Morgan Hill, CA: Database Associates International. Retrieved May 24, 2003 from the World Wide Web : http://www.decisionprocessing.com/papers/eip2.doc
- White, C. (1999c). The enterprise information portal marketplace. Decision processing Brief, DP-99-01. Morgan Hill, CA: Database Associates International, (January). http://www.decisionprocessing.com/papers/eip1.doc
- William, L.G., & Smith, C. U. (1998). Performance Evaluation of Software Architectures .*ACM*, 164-177.
- Witt, R. S. L. (1999). *Making Sense of Portal Pandemonium*. Knowledge Management.
- Yang, J. & Lee, H. (1997). An AHP decision model for facility location selection. Facilities, 15(9-10), 241-254.
- Zahedi, F., (1986). The Analytic Hierarchy Process: A Survey of the Method and its Applications. *Interfaces*, *16*(4), 96-108.
- Zahedi, F. (1985). Application and Implementation of Database Management System Evaluation and Selection Decisions. Management Science Department, University of Massachusetts at Boston.
- Zeleny, M. (1982). Multiple Decision Making, New York. McGraw-hill.
- Zviran, M. A. (1993). Comprehensive methodology for computer family selection. *Journal System Software*, 22, 17-26.