

**HUBUNGAN IKLIM ETIKA ORGANISASI DENGAN
TINGKAHLAKU MENYIMPANG DI TEMPAT KERJA**

Oleh

YOGESWARY A/P SIVARAJA

Kertas Projek Sarjana ini diserahkan kepada Kolej Perniagaan Universiti Utara
Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat keperluan Ijazah Sarjana Sains
(Pengurusan) Universiti Utara Malaysia

© Yogeswary A/P Sivaraja, 2009

Hak Cipta Terpelihara



KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN
(College of Business)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certified that)

YOGESWARY A/P SIVARAJA (803610)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana **SARJANA SAINS (PENGURUSAN)**
(Candidate for the degree of) **MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT)**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**HUBUNGAN IKLIM ETIKA ORGANISASI DENGAN TINGKAHLAKU MENYIMPANG DI TEMPAT
KERJA**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas project
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi
bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of
the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia UUM : **PROF. MADYA DR. HASSAN BIN ALI**
(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan : _____
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia INTAN : **DR. AHMAD JAILANI BIN MUHAMED YUNUS**
(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan : _____
(Signature)

Tarikh : **03 NOVEMBER 2009**
(Date)

KEBENARAN MERUJUK

Kertas projek penyelidikan ini dikemukakan sebagai memenuhi keperluan pengijazahan Program Sarjana Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), Sintok, Kedah Darul Aman. Saya bersetuju membenarkan pihak perpustakaan UUM mempamerkannya sebagai bahan rujukan umum. Saya juga bersetuju bahawa sebarang bentuk salinan sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripada kertas projek ini untuk tujuan akademik adalah dibolehkan dengan keedaran penyelia projek penyelidikan ini atau Dekan Penyelidikan dan Pasca Siswazah, Kolej Perniagaan, Universiti Utara Malaysia. Sebarang bentuk salinan dan cetakan bagi tujuan komersil adalah dilarang sama sekali tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada penyelidik. Pernyataan rujukan kepada penulis dan UUM perlulah dinyatakan bagi sebarang bentuk rujukan ke atas kertas projek ini.

Kebenaran untuk menyalin atau menggunakan kertas projek ini sama ada keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripadanya hendaklah dipohon melalui:

DEKAN PENYELIDIKAN DAN PASCA SISWAZAH

KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

06010 SINTOK

KEDAH DARUL AMAN

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan hubungan di antara iklim etika organisasi dengan tingkahlaku menyimpang di tempat kerja terhadap pekerja-pekerja kesihatan di Hospital Kuala Kangsar, Hospital Taiping dan Hospital Ipoh di Perak. Kajian ini juga ingin menentukan hubungan antara dimensi iklim etika organisasi iaitu *caring*, *independent*, *law and code*, *rules* dan *instrumental* dengan tingkahlaku menyimpang di tempat kerja. Sebanyak 252 responden terlibat dalam soal selidik ini. Responden dipilih secara persampelan bukan kebarangkalian. Iklim etika organisasi sebagai pembolehubah bebas diukur menggunakan skala *Ethic Climate Questionnaire* (ECQ) (Victor & Cullen, 1988) dan tingkahlaku menyimpang di tempat kerja menggunakan *Interpersonal and Organizational Deviance Scale Item* (Robinson & Bennett, 2000). Teknik analisis deskriptif, Korelasi Pearson dan Regresi Linear Berganda digunakan. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan tahap tingkahlaku menyimpang berada pada tahap yang rendah. Bagi tahap kajian iklim etika organisasi pula, dimensi *law and code* berada pada tahap yang tertinggi diikuti oleh dimensi *caring*, *rules*, *instrumental* dan *independence*. Ujian Korelasi Pearson mendapati hanya dimensi *rules* sahaja mempunyai hubungan signifikan yang positif yang lemah dengan tingkahlaku menyimpang di tempat kerja. Ujian analisis regresi pula menunjukkan tiada perhubungan yang signifikan di antara kelima dimensi iklim etika organisasi dengan tingkahlaku menyimpang di tempat kerja.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine the relationship between organizational ethical climate and deviant behavior in the workplace among health care worker in Kuala Kangsar Hospital, Taiping Hospital and Ipoh Hospital in Perak. The study also aims to determine the relationship between five dimensions of organizational ethical climate i.e. caring, independent, law and code, rules and instrumental and deviant behavior in the workplace. A total of 252 respondents involved in this study. The respondents were selected as nonprobability sampling. Organizational ethical climate as independent variables were measured using the Ethic Climate Questionnaire (ECQ) (Victor & Cullen, 1988) and the deviant behavior in the workplace was measured using Interpersonal and Organizational Deviance Scale Item (Robinson & Bennett, 2000). Descriptive, Pearson Correlation and multiple regression being used in this study. The result shows that the level of deviant behavior in the workplace is low. The result also indicates that that level of law and code dimension is the highest followed by caring, rules, instrumental and independence dimension. Analysis using Pearson Correlation shows that only rules dimension have a weak positive significant correlation with deviant behavior in the workplace whereas regression analysis shows that no significant relationship between five dimension of organizational ethical climate with deviant behavior in the workplace.

PENGHARGAAN

Saya ingin mengucapkan jutaan terima kasih kepada Prof. Madya Dr. Hassan Bin Ali selaku penyelia kertas projek ini serta Dr. Ahmad Jailani bin Muhamed Yunus penyelia bersama dari Institut Tadbiran Awam Negara (INTAN) di atas tunjuk ajar nasihat dan bimbingan yang diberikan sehingga terhasilnya kertas projek ini dengan jayanya.

Jutaan terima kasih juga diucapkan kepada Prof. Madya Dr. Abu Bakar Hameed serta pensyarah-pensyarah Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) yang telah sudi berkongsi ilmu, kemahiran dan pengalaman serta menyumbang masa dan tenaga dalam menyediakan kertas projek ini.

Penghargaan yang sama ingin saya sampaikan kepada suami tersayang, Sivaraj A/L Sinnathamby yang telah banyak memberi sokongan dan galakan kepada saya sepanjang pengajian ini.

Buat rakan-rakan DSP/SSP sesi 2008/2009, terima kasih yang tidak terhingga kerana banyak membantu samada semasa senang mahupun susah sepanjang pengajian di INTAN. Segala jasa kalian tidak akan saya lupakan.

YOGESWARY A/P SIVARAJA

KANDUNGAN

	Muka Surat
KEBENARAN MERUJUK	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
PENGHARGAAN	iv
KANDUNGAN	v-vii
SENARAI JADUAL	viii-ix
SENARAI RAJAH	x
SENARAI LAMPIRAN	xi
BAB 1 – PENDAHULUAN	
1.1 Pengenalan	1
1.2 Pernyataan Masalah	3
1.3 Persoalan Kajian	12
1.4 Objektif Kajian	12
1.5 Skop Kajian	12
1.6 Signifikasi Kajian	13
BAB 2 – ULASAN KARYA	
2.1 Kajian Terhadap Tingkahlaku Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	14
2.1.1 <i>Production Deviance</i>	18
2.1.2 <i>Property Deviance</i>	19
2.1.3 <i>Political Deviance</i>	20
2.1.4 <i>Personal Aggression</i>	21
2.2 Kajian Terhadap Iklim Etika Organisasi	23

2.3	Kajian Terhadap Tingkahlaku Menyimpang dan Iklim Etika Organisasi	27
-----	---	----

BAB 3 – METODOLOGI KAJIAN

3.1	Pembentukan Kerangka Teori	29
3.2	Definisi Konseptual	30
3.3	Hipotesis Kajian	31
3.4	Sampel Kajian	31
3.5	Definisi Operasi	32
3.6	Soal Selidik Kajian	32
3.7	Teknik Pengutipan Data	34
3.8	Ujian Rintis	34
3.9	Ujian Kebolehpercayaan	34
3.10	Analisis Data	36

BAB 4 – PENEMUAN

4.1	Latarbelakang responden	37
4.1.1	Jantina	37
4.1.2	Pangkat	37
4.1.3	Pengalaman	38
4.1.4	Umur	39
4.1.5	Tahap Pendidikan	39
4.1.6	Gred Jawatan	40
4.1.7	Hospital	41
4.1.8	Jabatan	41
4.2	Tahap Tingkahlaku Menyimpang	42
4.3	Tahap Iklim Etika Organisasi	44
4.3.1	<i>Caring</i>	45
4.3.2	<i>Law and Code</i>	46

4.3.3	<i>Rules</i>	46
4.3.4	<i>Instrumental</i>	47
4.3.5	<i>Independence</i>	48
4.4	Hubungan Korelasi Iklim Etika Organisasi Dengan Tingkahtaku Menyimpang	49
4.5	Hubungan Dimensi Iklim Etika Organisasi Dengan Tingkahtaku Menyimpang	50
4.6	Pengujian Hipotesis yang Dibentuk	52

BAB 5 – PERBINCANGAN DAN KESIMPULAN

5.1	Pengenalan	53
5.2	Tingkahtaku Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	53
5.3	Tahap Iklim Etika Organisasi	54
5.4	Hubungan Iklim Etika Organisasi Terhadap Tingkahtaku yang Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	55
5.5	Cadangan	56
5.6	Halatuju Kajian akan Datang	58
5.7	Rumusan	58

RUJUKAN	59
----------------	----

LAMPIRAN	68
-----------------	----

SENARAI JADUAL

Bil	Tajuk	Muka Surat
1.2.1	Statistik Klasifikasi Perkhidmatan Pegawai Yang Dikenakan Tindakan Tatatertib	4
1.2.2	Statistik Jenis Kesalahan Tatatertib	4
1.2.3	Statistik Jenis Hukuman Tatatertib	5
1.2.4	Statistik Aduan Tahun 2009	9
1.2.5	Statistik Aduan Tahun 2009	10
4.2.1	Keputusan Ujian Kebolehpercayaan Ujian Rintis	35
4.2.2	Keputusan Ujian Kebolehpercayaan Kajian Sebenar	35
4.1.1	Jantina	37
4.1.2	Pangkat	38
4.1.3	Pengalaman	39
4.1.4	Umur	39
4.1.5	Tahap Pendidikan	40
4.1.6	Gred Jawatan	40
4.1.7	Hospital	41
4.1.8	Jabatan	42
4.2	Statistik Tingkahlaku Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	43
4.3	Statistik Iklim Etika Organisasi	44
4.3.1	Statistik Dimensi <i>Caring</i>	45
4.3.2	Statistik Dimensi <i>Law and Code</i>	46
4.3.3	Statistik Dimensi <i>Rules</i>	47
4.3.4	Statistik Dimensi <i>Instrumental</i>	48
4.3.5	Statistik Dimensi <i>Independence</i>	49
4.4	Hubungkait Antara Pembolehkan Bebas Dimensi Iklim Etika Organisasi dan Tingkahlaku yang Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	50

4.5	Analisis Regresi Pelbagai Antara Dimensi Iklim Etika Organisasi dan Tingkahlaku Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	51
4.6	Keputusan Ujian Hipotesis Kajian	52

SENARAI RAJAH

Bil	Tajuk	Muka Surat
2.1	Topologi Tingkahlaku Menyimpang	17
2.2	Topologi Iklim Etika Organisasi	25
3.1	Kerangka Teori	29

SENARAI LAMPIRAN

Perkara	Lampiran
Surat Pengesahan Peserta	1
Borang Soal Selidik Kajian	2
Statistik Latarbelakang Responden	3
Statistik Tingkahlaku Menyimpang di Tempat Kerja	4
Statistik Iklim Etika Organisasi	5
Keputusan Ujian Korelasi Pearson	6
Keputusan Ujian Regresi	7
Ujian Kebolehpercayaan	8

BAB 1

PENDAHULUAN

1.1 Pengenalan

Masalah tingkah laku menyimpang oleh pekerja merupakan salah satu masalah yang lazim dan menyebabkan kerugian yang besar kepada organisasi yang terlibat. Tingkah laku menyimpang akan menjejaskan dan mengganggu proses membuat keputusan, produktiviti serta kerugian kepada organisasi tersebut (Coccia, 1998).

Tingkah laku dikatakan menyimpang di tempat kerja adalah tingkah laku atau perbuatan pekerja yang melanggar norma-norma organisasi (Coccia, 1998). Tingkah laku menyimpang merujuk kepada kelakuan seperti mencuri, mengelapkan wang, vandalisme, sabotaj, gangguan seksual, ketidakhadiran, bergosip, menipu dan lain-lain. Pada dasarnya tingkah laku menyimpang lebih kepada kepentingan diri berbanding organisasi (Pulich & Tourigny, 2004).

Kerugian akibat kesalahan dan tingkah laku menyimpang pekerja adalah di antara \$6 hingga \$200 billion setahun (Murph, 1993) manakala dianggarkan kerugian setiap tahun kepada ekonomi Amerika akibat kecurian oleh pekerja adalah \$50 billion (Henle et al, 2005). Syarikat Enron, WorldCom dan Tyco merupakan antara syarikat yang terjejas teruk akibat tingkah laku menyimpang. (Appelbaum, Deguire & Lay (2005).

Banyak kajian menyatakan tingkah laku menyimpang bukan sahaja memberi impak dari segi kewangan tetapi dari segi sosial dan psikologi juga (Robinson & Greenberg, 1998). Tingkah laku menyimpang bukan sahaja memberi

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

RUJUKAN

- Ambrose, M. L., Seabright, M. A. & Schminke, M. (2002). Sabotage in the workplace: The role of organizational injustice. *Organizational Behavior and Human, 89*, 947-965.
- Analoui, F. (1995). Workplace sabotage: its styles, motives and management. *Journal of Management Development, 14*, 48-65.
- Anderson, L. & Pearson, C. (1999). Tit for tat? The spiraling effect of incivility in the workplace. *Academy of Management Review, 24*, 452-471.
- Appelbaum, S. H., Laconi, G. D. & Matousek, A. (2007). Positive and negative deviant workplace behaviors : causes, impacts and solutions. *Corporate Governance, 7*, 586-598.
- Appelbaum, S. H., Deguire, K. J. & Lay, M. (2005). The relationship of ethical climate to deviant workplace behavior. *Corporate Governance, 5*, 43-65.
- Ashforth, B. (1997). Petty Tyranny in organizations: A preliminary examination of antecedents and consequences. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences, 14*, 126-140.
- Aquino, K., Galperin, B.L. & Bennett, R. (2004). Social status and aggressiveness as moderators of the relationship between interactional justice and workplace deviance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 34*, 1001-1029.
- Aquino, K., Lewis, M. U. & Bradfield, M. (1999). Justice constructs, negative affectivity, and employee deviance: A proposed model and empirical test. *Journal of Organizational Behavior. 20*. 1073-1091.

- Bennett, R. J. & Robinson, S. L. (2000). Development of a measure of workplace deviance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*, 349-360.
- Boye, M. W. & Jones, J. W. (1997). *Antisocial Behavior in Organizations*. Sage: London.
- Cheung, Y. W. (1997). Family, school, peer and media predictors of adolescent deviant behavior in Hong Kong. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 26*, 569-596.
- Coccia, C. (1998). Avoiding a toxic organization. *Nursing Management, 29*, 32-40.
- Cohen-Charash, Y. & Spector, P.E. (2000). The role of justice in organizations: a meta-analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process, 86*, 278-321.
- Cullen, J., Parboteeah, K. & Victor, B. (2003). The effects of ethical climates on organizational commitment: a two-study analysis. *Journal of Business Ethics, 46*, 127-141.
- Deshpande, S. P. (1996). The impact of ethical climate type on facets of job satisfaction: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Business Ethics, 15*, 655-660.
- Dubinsky, A. J., Howell, R. D., Ingram, T. N. & Bellenger. (1986). Salesforce Socialization. *Journal of Marketing, 50*, 192-207.
- Everton, W.J., Jolton, J. A. & Mastrangelo, P.M. (2005). Be nice and fair or else: understanding reasons for employees' deviant behaviors. *Journal of Management Development, 26*, 171-131.

- Farrell, L.U. (2002). Workplace bullying's high cost: \$180M in lost time, productivity. *Orlando Business Journal*. March 18.
- Giacalone, R. & Greenberg, J. (1997). *Antisocial Behavior in Organizations*. Sage: London.
- Graves, S. M. & Austin, S. F. (2008). Student cheating habits: A predictor of workplace deviance. *Journal of Diversity Management*, 3, 15-22.
- Griffin, R. W., O'Leary-Kelly, A. & Collins, J. (1998). Dsyfunctional work behaviors in organizations. *Trends in Organizational Behavior*, 5, 65-82.
- Heames, J. & Harvey, M. (2006). Workplace bullying: a cross-level assessment. *Management Decision*, 44, 1214-1230.
- Henle, C. A., Giacalone, R. A. & Jurkiewicz, C. L. (2005). The role of ethical ideology in workplace deviance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 56, 219
- Hollinger, R.C., Slora, K.B. & Terris, W. (1992). Deviance in the fast-food restaurant: correlates of employee theft, altruism and counterproductivity. *Deviant Behavior: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 13, 155-184.
- Johns, G. (2001). The psychology of lateness, absenteeism and turnover. *Handbook of Industrial, Work, and Organizational Psychology*, 2, 235-252.
- Joseph, J. & Deshpande, S. P. (1997). The impact of ethical climate on job satisfaction of nurses. *Health Care Management Review*, 22, 76-81.
- Kim, N. Y. & Miller, G. (2007). Perception of the ethical climate in the Korean tourism industry. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82, 941-954.
- Koh, H. C. & Boo. E. H. Y. The link between organizational ethics and job

- satisfaction: A study of managers in Singapore. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 29, 309-324.
- Lawrence, T. B. & Robinson, S. L. (2007). Ain't Misbehavin: Workplace deviance as organizational resistance. *Journal of Management*, 33, 378-394.
- LeBlanc, M. M. & Kelloway, K. (2002). Predictors and outcomes of workplace violence and aggression. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 444-453.
- Lemmergaard, J. & Lauridsen, J. (2007). The ethical climate of Danish firms: A discussion and enhancement of the ethical-climate model. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 80, 653-675.
- Lind, E. A. & Tyler, T. R. (1988). *The social psychology of procedural justice*. Plenum Press: New York.
- Lind, E. A. & Van der Bos, K. (2002). When fairness works: Towards a general theory of uncertainty management. JAI Press: Greenwich.
- Litzky, B. E., Eddleston, K. A. & Kidder, D. L. (2006). The good, the bad and the misguided: How managers inadvertently encourage deviant behaviors. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 91-103.
- Mangione, J. W. & Quinn, R. P. (1975). Job satisfaction, counter-productive behavior and drug use at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60, 114-116.
- Martin, K. D. & Cullen, J.B. (2006). Continuities and extensions of ethical climate theory: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 69, 175-194.
- Martinko, M. J., Gundlach, M. J. & Douglas, S. C. (2002). Toward an integrative

- theory of counterproductive workplace behavior: A causal reasoning perspective. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 10, 36-50.
- Mitchell, M. S. & Ambrose, M. L. (2007). Abusive supervision and workplace deviance and the moderating of negative reciprocity beliefs. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92, 1159-1168.
- Morrison, E. W. & Robinson, S. L. (1997). When employees feel betrayed : A model of how psychological contract violation develops. *Academy of Management Review*, 22, 226-256.
- Murphy, K. R. 1993. *Honesty in the workplace*. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Nonis, S. & Swift, C. O. (2001). An examination of the relationship between academic dishonesty and workplace dishonesty: A multicampus investigation. *The Journal of Education for Business*, 76, 69-77.
- Okpara, J. O. & Wynn, P. (2008). The impact of ethical climate on job satisfaction and commitment in Nigeria. *Journal of Management Development*, 27, 935-950.
- Peterson, D. K. (2002). Deviant workplace behavior and the organization's ethical climate. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 17, 47-61.
- Puffer, S. M. (1987). Prosocial behavior, noncompliant behavior and work performance among commission sales people. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72, 615-621.
- Pulich, M. & Tourigny, L. (2004). Workplace deviance: strategies for modifying employee behavior. *The Health Care Manager*, 23, 290-301.
- Rizal, M. (2008). Hubungan tret personality dengan tingkahlaku menyimpang di

tempat kerja. Thesis M Sc (Management). Universiti Utara Malaysia.

Robinson, S. & O'Leary-Kelly, A. (1998). Monkey see, monkey do: influence of work groups on the antisocial behavior employees. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41, 658-672.

Robinson, S. & Bennett, R. (2000). Development of a measure of workplace deviance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 349-360.

Robinson, S. L & Bennett, R. J. (1995). A typology of deviant workplace behavior: A multi-dimension scaling study. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 555-572.

Robinson, S. L. & Greenberg, J. (1998). Employees behaving badly: dimension, determination and dilemmas in the study of workplace deviance. *Trends in Organization Behavior*, 5, 1-30.

Schat, A. C. & Kelloway, K. (2003). Reducing the adverse consequences of workplace aggression and violence: the buffering effects of organizational support. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 8, 110-122.

Schwarzwald, J., Koslowsky, M. & Shalit, B. (1992). A field study of employees' attitudes and behaviors after promotion decision. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77, 511-514.

Schweper, C. H., Ferrell, O. C. & Ingram, T. N. (1997). The influence of ethical climate and ethical conflict on role stress in the Sales Force. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal*, 25, 99-108.

Schweper, C. H. Jr. (2001). Ethical climate's relationship to job satisfaction,

organizational commitment and turnover intention in the salesforce.
Journal of Business Research, 54, 39-52.

Statistik Tahun 2009 (2009). Biro Pengaduan Awam Malaysia. Retrieved March 28, 2009 from www.bpa.ipm.my.

Statistik Tahun 2008 (2009). Biro Pengaduan Awam Malaysia Malaysia.

Thau, S., Bennett, R. J., Mitchell, M. S. & Marrs, M. B. (2009). How management style moderates the relationship between abusive supervision and workplace deviance: An uncertainty management theory perspective.
Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process. 108. 79-92.

Trevoni, L. K. (1986). Ethical decision making in organizations: A person-situation interactionist model. *Academy of Management Review*, 11, 601-617.

Trevoni, L. K., Butterfield, K.D. & McCabe, D. I. (1998). The ethical context in organizations: Influences of employee attitudes and behaviors. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 8, 447-476.

Trevoni, L. K. & Youngblood, S. (1990). Bad apples in bad barrels: A causal analysis of ethical decision-making behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75, 378-388.

Tsai, M. T. & Huang, C. C. (2008). The relationship among ethical climate types, facets of job satisfaction and the three components of organizational commitment: a study of nurses in Taiwan. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 80, 565-581.

Turnipseed, D. L. (1988). An integrated, interactive model of organizational

- climate, culture and effectiveness. *Leadership & Organizational Development Journal*, 9, 17-21.
- Tyler, T. R. (1999). Why people cooperate with organizations: An identity-based perspective. *Research in organizational behavior*, 21, 201-246.
- Tyler, T. R. & Bladder, S. L. (2003). The group engagement model: Procedural justice, social identity and cooperative behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7, 349-361.
- Tyler, T.R. & Lind. E. A. (1992). *A relationship model of authority in groups*. Academic Press: New York.
- Upchurch, R. S. (1998). Ethics in the hospitality industry: an applied model. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 10, 227-233.
- Van den Bos, K., Lind, E. A. & Wilke, H. A. M. (2001). *The psychology of procedural and distributive justice viewed from the perspective of fairness heuristic theory*. Erlbaum: Mahwah, NJ.
- Van den Bos, K. & Miedema, J. (2000). Towards understanding why fairness matters: The influence of mortality salience on reactions to procedural fairness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79, 355-366.
- Van Yperen, N.W., Hagedoorn, M. & Geurts, S.A. (1996). Intent to leave and absenteeism as reactions to perceived inequity: the role of psychological and social constraints. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 69, 367-372.
- Vardi, Y. & Wiener, Y. (1996). Misbehavior in organizations: a motivational

framework. *Organizational Science*, 7, 151-165.

Vardi, Y. (2001). The effect of organizational and ethical climates on misconduct at work. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 29, 325-337.

Victor, B. & Cullen, J. B. (1987). A theory and measure of ethical climate in organization. JAI Press Inc: Greenwich.

Victor, B. & Cullen, J. B. (1988). The organizational bases of ethical work climates. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33, 101-125.

Webb, S. L. (1991). *Step forward: Sexual Harassment in the workplace*. MasterMedia Press: New York.

Wimbush, J. C. & Shepard, J. M. (1994). Toward an understanding of ethical climate: Its relationship to ethical behavior and supervisory influence. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 13, 637-647.

Zoghbi-Manrique de Lara, P. & Verano-Tacoronte, D. (2007). Investigating the effects of procedural justice on workplace deviance. *International Journal of Manpower*.28. 715-729.