#### MOBILE PHONE TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE SHARING MODEL AMONG UNIVERSITY ACADEMICS

· · · ·

#### A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE UUM COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES IN FULL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

BY

#### AYMAN BASSAM ABDELRAHEEM, NASSUORA

© 2010, AYMAN BASSAM ABDELRAHEEM NASSUORA

#### Permission to use

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from University Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of College of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to University Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean (Research and post graduate studies) College of Arts and Sciences University Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

#### ABSTRACT

The potentials of mobile phone technology are huge and it has opened possibilities and avenues for enhancing knowledge sharing activities among academics in Institutions of Higher Learning (IHLs). However, it was found that there was, in general, lack of knowledge sharing activities among academics in IHLs and lack of academic research on the use of mobile phone technologies for knowledge sharing purposes in IHLs. To address these issues, a study was conducted with the main aim of proposing a mobile phone technology knowledge sharing model for promoting knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs. In order to achieve this, a study was carried out to explore the current state of knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs, to identify the potential use of mobile phone technology for knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs, and to identify the factors that could lead to the adoption of mobile phone technology that could be utilized to promote knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs. A series of tests such as factor analysis, correlation, and reliability analysis was conducted to confirm that the instruments used are valid and reliable. This research adapted the triangulation method for collecting data. A survey research and a case study method were adopted to fulfill the research objectives. This study has successfully developed the extended Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) model in mobile phone technology knowledge sharing context. The results reinforced the role of mobility, self efficacy, usefulness, interpersonal influence, ease of use, enjoyment, academics' attitude, academics' subjective norm, and academics' behavior control factors as the fundamental drivers for adoption of mobile phone technology to promote knowledge sharing among academics in Institutions of Higher Learning. This research was conducted in three phases. The first phase was to explore the current state of knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs by conducting a 76-items questionnaire on 143 academics that were randomly selected from different colleges in University Utara Malaysia (UUM). The second phase was meant to identify the potential use of mobile phone technology for knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs. The researcher administered a 57-items-questionnaire on 154 academics that were randomly selected from different colleges of UUM. The third phase was carried out to identify the factors that could lead to the adoption of mobile phone technology that can be utilized to promote knowledge sharing among academics in IHLs. The researcher administered a 48-items-questionnaire on 181 academics that were randomly selected from different colleges of UUM. The outcome of this research also indicates that there are many benefits of adopting mobile phone technology for knowledge sharing purposes among academics in IHLs, and thus this study was a worth while effort and beneficial for the academic world. Among the benefits are, it helps to create awareness of the importance of knowledge sharing and management among academics, and it is also able to shed lights on better ways and avenues on how to manage knowledge, intellectual property, information and data in general. In addition, this study can perhaps inculcate the importance of knowledge sharing to all important stakeholders in the institutions of higher learning. Last, but not least, this study also hopes to elicit ways and avenues on how to make mobile phone technology more accessible to all academics and students and perhaps at a cheaper cost.

#### ABSTRAK

Potensi teknologi telefon bimbit adalah besar dan telah membuka ruang dan kemungkinan untuk meningkatkan aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di Institusi-institusi Pengajian Tinggi (IPT). Namun, secara umumnya didapati bahawa terdapat kekurangan aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT dan kekurangan penyelidikan akademik mengenai penggunaan teknologi telefon bimbit untuk tujuan perkongsian pengetahuan di IPT. Untuk menangani isu-isu ini, satu kajian telah dijalankan dengan tujuan utama untuk mencadangkan sebuah model perkongsian pengetahuan menggunakan teknologi telefon bimbit dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT. Untuk mencapai tujuan ini, sebuah kajian telah dijalankan untuk meneroka status semasa aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT, untuk mengenalpasti potensi penggunaan teknologi telefon bimbit untuk perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT, dan untuk mengenalpasti faktor-faktor yang boleh menyumbang ke arah pengadoptasian teknologi telefon bimbit untuk mempromosikan aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik IPT. Serangkaian ujian seperti analisis faktor, korelasi, dan analisis kebolehpercayaan telah dilaksanakan untuk menentukan bahawa instrumen yang digunakan adalah sah dan boleh dipercayai. Penyelidikan ini menggunakan kaedah triangulation untuk mengumpul data. Sebuah penyelidikan survey dan kaedah kajian kes telah diguna pakai untuk memenuhi objektifobjektif kajian. Kajian ini telah berjaya mengadaptasi model Teori Perilaku Terancang (TPB) dalam konteks perkongsian pengetahuan menggunakan teknologi telefon bimbit. Keputusan kajian menguatkan peranan mobiliti, keampuhan diri, kebergunaan, pengaruh interpersonal, kemudahan penggunaan, kenikmatan, sikap ahli-ahli akademik, norma subjektif ahli-ahli akademik, dan faktor-faktor perilaku kawalan sebagai pemacu asas untuk mengadoptasi teknologi telefon bimbit untuk mempromosi aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT. Penyelidikan ini telah dijalankan dalam tiga tahap. Tahap pertama adalah untuk meneroka situasi semasa aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT. Untuk tujuan ini, satu set soal selidik yang mengandungi 76 item telah digunakan untuk 143 ahli akademik yang dipilih secara rawak dari semua kolej di Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). Tahap kedua bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti potensi penggunaan teknologi telefon bimbit untuk perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT. Satu set soal selidik mengandungi 57 item telah dijawab oleh 154 ahli akademik vang dipilih secara rawak dari semua kolej di UUM. Tahap ketiga dilakukan untuk mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang boleh menyumbang ke arah pengadoptasian teknologi telefon bimbit untuk mempromosikan aktiviti perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik IPT. Penyelidik telah menyediakan soal selidik mengandungi 48 item yang dijawab oleh 181 ahli akademik yang dipilih secara rawak dari semua kolej di UUM. Hasil penyelidikan ini juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat banyak manfaat dari mengadoptasi teknologi telefon bimbit untuk tujuan perkongsian pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik di IPT, dan oleh itu kajian ini adalah satu usaha yang baik dan bermanfaat bagi dunia akademik. Di antara manfaat tersebut, ianya membantu untuk memberi kesedaran tentang pentingnya perkongsian dan pengurusan pengetahuan dalam kalangan ahli-ahli akademik, dan ia juga dapat memberi ilham tentang kaedah dan cara terbaik untuk mengurus pengetahuan, harta intelek, data dan maklumat secara umum. Selain itu, kajian ini mungkin dapat menyedarkan semua pemegang taruh di IPT terhadap peri pentingnya perkongsian pengetahuan. Akhirnya, kajian ini juga berharap untuk mengenalpasti cara dan jalan bagaimana teknologi telefon lebih mudah diakses oleh semua ahli akademik dan mahasiswa dan mungkin dengan kos lebih murah.

#### Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my greatest teacher of all: ALLAH. I feel very fortunate to pursue my studies here in UUM.

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the support and encouragement of several special people. Hence, I would like to take this opportunity to show my gratitude to those who have assisted me in a myriad of ways:

I would also like to express my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shahizan Hassan for his guidance, patience, and faith in me. Thank you for your helpful advice and support. Thank you for your guidance and relaxed, thoughtful insight. You always foster my academic growth by challenging and inspiring me to reach deeper, to learn more, to expand my viewpoint, and to think critically. You allowed me to express my views openly and to disagree even when I was wrong.

I would like to thank my beloved wife Kholoud Yousef Al-Samman, the one person who has been a constant source of support and encouragement and has made an untold number of sacrifices for the entire family, and specifically for me to continue my studies. She is a great inspiration to me. Hence, great appreciation and enormous thanks are due to her, for without her understanding, I am sure this thesis would never have been completed. I have become a better man because of your support. Thank you and I love you.

I would like to thank my parents. They gave me my name, they gave me my life, and everything else in between. Thank you for your support and encouragement.

No words enough to thank my beloved children; Noor, Rahaf, Bassam, Ayah, and Jana for their support and patience during my studies.

Finally, I would like to thank all my brothers (Ahmed, AbdelRaheem, Ibrahim, Mohammed, Mutasem, and Abdullah), my sisters (Maysoon, Arwa, Asma', and Dina), my mother in law (Om Mutasem), father in law (Abu Mutasem), my aunts, and uncles for their supports.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Dr. Ayed Al Muala, Dr. Mohammad Noor Aledwan, Dr Majed Alqurneh, Dr. Mohammed rajab, Dr. Mohammed Shbier, Dr. Alaaeddin Almabhouh, Dr. Mohaideen, Dr. khalil yaghi, Dr. Mohammad Al-Jabari and all other friends.

•

Dedication

To My Mother. Ikram Selmi and My Father Bassam Nassuora

To My Dear Wife Kholoud Yousef

To My Dear Children Noor , Rahaf, Bassam , Ayah and Jana

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
DEDICATION	vii
LIST OF APPENDIXES	xvii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi

# **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.1	Motivation for Research	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Questions	8
1.4	Research Objectives	9
1.5	Scope of the Study	10
1.6	Significance of the Study	11
1.7	General Research Framework	13
1.8	Outline of the Thesis	18

# CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introdu	ction	21
2.1	The Co	ncept of Knowledge Management and Knowledge Sharing	21
	2.1.1	Knowledge Management (KM)	21

	2.1.2	Knowledge Sharing	24
	2.1.3	Benefits of Knowledge Sharing	28
	2.1.4	Knowledge Sharing Technologies	29
	2.1.5	Knowledge Management/ Sharing in Institutions of Higher Learning	35
	2.1.6	Reasons for Applying Knowledge Management Principles in Institutions of Higher Learning	39
	2.1.7	Knowledge Sharing Activities Among Academics	40
	2.1.8	Overall Impact of KM and KS Concept on Study and Focus of Study	42
2.2	Previou	as research on knowledge sharing	44
	2.2.1	Academic Computing in Asia: An Overview and a Case Study.	44
	2.2.2	Factors Effecting Knowledge Sharing and its Effects on Performance: A Study of three Selected Higher Academic	45
		Institutions	
	2.2.3	Implication of Knowledge Management in Higher Learning Institutions	46
	2.2.4	Benchmarking Knowledge Management in a Public Organization in Malaysia	47
	2.2.5	Limitation of Knowledge Sharing in Academia. A Case from Nigeria	47
	2.2.6	Knowledge Strategies of School Administrators and Teachers	48
	2.2.7	Knowledge Sharing among Academic Staff: A Case Study of Business Schools in Klang Valley, Malaysia	49
	2.2.8	Knowledge Sharing Practices in Asian Institutions: A Multi- Cultural Perspective from Singapore	50
	2.2.9	Knowledge-Sharing Patterns of Undergraduate Students in Singapore	50
	2.2.10	Analysis of Knowledge Management (KM) Impact in Higher Learning Institution	51

	2.2.11	Impact of Previous Works on Study	52	
2.3	Mobile Phone Technology			
	2.3.1	Mobile Phone Technology Features	56	
	2.3.2	Types of Mobile Phone Technology	60	
		2.3.2.1 Cell Phone	60	
		2.3.2.2 Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs)	61	
		2.5.2.3 Smart Phone	62	
	2.3.3	Mobile Phone Technology Impact on Study	64	
2.4	Chapter Conclusion		65	

# CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introdu	Introduction			
3.1	Resear	ch Design	67		
3.2	Research Purpose				
	3.2.1	Exploratory Research	67		
	3.2.2	Descriptive Research	68		
	3.2.3	Explanatory Research (Causal)	69		
	3.2.4.	Type of Research for the Study	69		
3.3	Research Approach		69		
3.4	Resear	Research Strategy			
3.5	Data C	ollection Methods	73		
	3.5.1	The Questionnaire	74		
	3.5.2	The Case Study	88		

3.6	Popula	Population 8		
3.7	Sample	Sample Size and Sample Selection		
3.8	Instrument Validation			
	3.8.1	Content Validity	92	
	3.8.2	Construct Validity	93	
	3.8.3	Convergent Validity	93	
	3.8.4	Discriminant Validity	94	
3.9	Reliabi	lity	94	
3.10	Pilot study		96	
3.11	Chapte	r Conclusion	97	

# CHAPTER FOUR: CONCEPTUAL MODEL FORMULATION

4.1	Introd	luction	99	
4.2	Adoption Theories			
	4.2.1	Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)	100	
	4.2.2	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	103	
	4.2.3	Extension of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2)	105	
	4.2.4	Decomposed of Theory of Planned behavior (DTPB)	107	
	4.2.5	Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)	109	
	4.2.6	The Impact of This Section on This Study	111	
4.3	Studie	es on the Adoption of Mobile Phone Technologies	113	
	4.3.1	Summary of the Results used in Adoption Studies	127	
4.4	Mode	l Creation and Hypothesis	129	

	4.4.1	Attitude	Toward Behavior Dimensions	129
		4.4.1.1	Perceived Enjoyment	129
		4.4.1.2	Mobility	131
		4.4.1.3	Perceived Usefulness	133
		4.4.1.4	Perceived Ease of Use	135
	4.4.2	Subjectiv	ve Norm Dimensions	138
		4.4.2.1	Interpersonal Influence and External Influence	138
	4.4.3	Control I	Behavior Dimensions	140
		4.3.3.1	Self efficacy	140
		4.3.3.2	Facilitating Conditions	141
	4.4.4	Summary	y of Hypotheses	142
4.5	Opera	tionalizatio	on of the Research Variables	146
4.6	Chapt	er Conclus	ion	147

# CHAPTER FIVE: THE CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE SHARING AMONG ACADEMICS IN AN INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING

5.1	Introd	Introduction 1		
5.2	Survey Methodology			
	5.2.1	Sampling	149	
	5.2.2	Research Instrument	150	
	5.2.3	The Questionnaire Development	150	
5.3	Study	Findings	151	
	5.3.1	Respondent's Profile and Background Information	151	
		5.3.1.1 Respondents' Gender	152	

		5.3.1.2	Respondents' Status	152
		5.3.1.3	Respondents' Age	153
		5.3.1.4	Respondents' Designation	153
		5.3.1.5	Respondents' Years of Work Experience	154
		5.3.1.6	Respondents' Years of Service in Current	155
			Organization	
		5.3.1.7	Respondents' Years of Experience in Knowledge	155
			Management	
		5.3.1.8	The Impact of Demographics on the Study	156
	5.3.2	Knowle	dge Sharing Activities	161
	5.3.3	Knowle	dge Sharing Technologies	165
	5.3.4	General	Attitude towards Knowledge Sharing	171
	5.3.5	Knowle	dge Sharing Motivators	175
	5.3.6	Barriers	to Knowledge Sharing	177
5.4	Summ	ary of Fir	ndings	180
5.5	Chapte	er Conclu	sion	183

#### CHAPTER SIX: THE POTENTIAL USE OF MOBILE PHONE TECHNOLOGY FOR KNOWLEDGE SHARING AMONG ACADEMICS IN INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING

6.1	Introduction			
6.2	Survey on the Potential Use of Mobile Phone Technology in			
	Institution of Higher Learning			
	6.2.1	Mobile Phone Technology	186	
	6.2.2	Mobile Phone Technologies in Institutions of Higher Learning	187	
	6.2.3	Mobile phone technology and knowledge sharing among	197	
		academics in Institutions of Higher Learning		

	6.2.4	The Impa	act of this Section on the Study	199
6.3	Survey	y Methodol	logy	199
	6.3.1	Sampling	and Data Collection	199
	6.3.2	Research	Instrument	200
	6.3.3	The Ques	tionnaire Development	201
	6.3.4	Pilot Test		201
6.4		Findings		202
0.4	·	rindings		202
	6.4.1	Responde	nt's Profile and Background Information	203
		6.4.1.1	Respondent's Gender	204
		6.4.1.2	Respondent's Status	204
		6.4.1.3	Respondent's Age	205
		6.4.1.4	Respondent's Designation	205
		6.4.1.5	Availability of Devices	206
		6.4.1.6	Period in Using Device	207
		6.4.1.7	Opinion about the Price	207
		6.4.1.8	The Usefulness and Effectiveness Role of Mobile	208
			Phone Technologies in the Work	
		6.4.1.9	Connecting to Internet though Mobile Phone	212
			Technologies	
		6.4.1.10	Academic's Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions	216
	6.4.2	Attitudes	towards the Use of Mobile Phone Technology in	225
		Institution	ns of Higher Leaning	
	6.4.3	Perceived	Usefulness to Use Mobile Phone Technology in	227
		Institution	ns of Higher Leaning	
	6.4.4	Perceived	Ease of Use Mobile Phone Technology in	229
		Institution	ns of Higher Leaning	
	6.4.5	Behaviora	al Intention to Use Mobile Phone Technology in	231

Institutions of Higher

	6.4.6	How will Mobile Phone Technology Change the Work	233
		Situation of Academics in Institutions of Higher Leaning?	
•	6.4.7	Mobile Phone Technology and Knowledge Sharing among	235
		Academics in Institutions of Higher Learning	
6.5	Summ	ary of Findings	240
6.6	Chapt	er Conclusion	243

# CHAPTER SEVEN: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

7.1	Summ	ary of Hypothesis	245
7.2	Pilot Survey		246
7.3	Data N	Aanagement	250
	7.3.1	Missing Data	250
	7.3.2	Outliers	251
	7.3.3	Normality	253
7.4	Descri	ptive Analysis	253
7.5	Respo	ndents' Profile	254
	7.5.1	Respondents' Gender	256
	7.5.2	Respondents' Status	256
	7.5.3	Respondents' Age	257
	7.5.4	Respondents' Designation	257
7.6	Factor	Analysis	258
7.7	Analy	sis of Measurement Validity	265
	7.7.1	Reliability Test	265
	772	Content Validity	265

	7.7.3	Construct Validity	267
	7.7.4	Convergent Validity	268
	7.7.5	Discriminant Validity	268
7.8	Resear	ch Model Evaluation	271
	7.8.1	Hypothesis Testing	271
7.9	Model	Evaluation	287
7.10	Chapte	er Conclusion	288

# CHAPTER EIGHT: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1	Summary		289
8.2	Research Achievements		291
	8.2.1	Hypotheses	291
	8.2.2	Research Questions and Objectives	292
8.3	Contri	butions	308
	8.3.1	Theoretical Contributions	311
8.4	Limita	tions	314
8.5	Future	Research	316
8.6	Conclu	asions	317
Referen	References 3		319

# LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A	357
Appendix B	378
Appendix C	396
Appendix D	400
Appendix E	403
Appendix F	405
Appendix G	407
Appendix H	421

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Global Mobile Subscribers Additions by Regions, 2004-2012	55
Table 3.1	Relevant situations for different research strategies	72
Table 4.1	The Most Important Factors that were Used in Previous Studies	128
Table 5.1	Respondents' Demographic Profile	151
Table 5.2	Age * Years of experience in KM Cross-tabulation	157
Table 5.3	Designation * Years of experience in KM Cross-tabulation	158
Table 5.4	Years of Service in Current Organization * Years of experience in KM Cross-tabulation	160
Table 5.5	Knowledge Sharing Activities for Academic	162
Table 5.6	Knowledge Sharing Activities by Scoring	164
Table 5.7	Technologies to Develop and Gain Knowledge	168
Table 5.8	Knowledge Sharing Technologies by Scoring	169
Table 5.9	General Attitude towards Knowledge Sharing	174
Table 5.10	Knowledge Sharing Motivators	176
Table 5.11	Barriers to Knowledge Sharing by Scoring Mean	1 <b>7</b> 8
Table 5.12	Survey findings	180
Table 6.1	Reliability Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) for Questionnaire's Six Sections	202
Table 6.2	Respondents' Demographic Profile	203
Table 6.3	Usefulness and Effectiveness Role of Mobile Phone Technologies in	210
	the Work Depending on Academics' Designation	
Table 6.4	The Usefulness and Effectiveness Role of Mobile Phone Technologies	211
	in the Work Depending on Academics' Age	
Table 6.5	Academics' Opinion about Connecting to Internet through Mobile	214
	Phone Technologies Depending on their Designation	

**Table 6.6**Academics' Opinion about Connecting to Internet through Mobile215Phone Technologies Depending on their Age

 Table 6.7
 Academic's Preference of Mobile Phone Functions
 217

- Table 6.8Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on Academics' 219Age between "20 30"
- Table 6.9Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on Academics'221Age between "31 40"
- Table 6.10Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on Academics'222Age between "40 50"
- Table 6.11Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on Academics'223Age Above 50
- **Table 6.12**Academic's Attitudes towards the Use of Mobile Phone Technology226
- Table 6.13
   Academics' Perceived Usefulness to Use Mobile Phone Technology
   229
- **Table 6.14**Academics' Perceived Ease of Using Mobile Phone Technology231
- Table 6.15
   Academics' Behavioral Intention to Use Mobile Phone Technology
   233
- **Table 6.16**Academics' Opinions in how Mobile Phone Technology can Change234the Work Situation in IHLs by Scoring
- Table 6.17
   Potential Use of Mobile Phone Technology for Knowledge Sharing
   237

   among Academics by Ranking

Table 6.18	Survey Findings	241
Table 7.1	Reliability Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) for Questionnaire's Twelve Sections	249
Table 7.2	Outliers list (Case Number and Count of Outliers)	252
Table 7.3	Respondents Profile Summary	255
Table 7.4	Measures of Sampling Adequacy (MSA)	260
Table 7.5	KMO and Bartlett's Test	261

Table 7.6	Items Dropped During Exploratory Factor Analysis	262
Table 7.7	Exploratory Factor Loadings	264
Table 7.8	Reliability Coefficients for the new set of Variables	266
Table 7.9	Factor Correlations	270
Table 7.10	Hypothesis (H1) Correlations	272
Table 7.11	Hypothesis (H2) Correlations	273
Table 7.12	Hypothesis (H3) Correlations	273
Table 7.13	Hypothesis (H4) Correlations	274
Table 7.14	Hypothesis (H5) Correlations	275
Table 7.15	Hypothesis (H6) Correlations	275
Table 7.16	Hypothesis (H7) Correlations	276
Table 7.17	Hypothesis (H8) Correlations	277
Table 7.18	Hypothesis (H9) Correlations	277
Table 7.19	Hypothesis (H10) Correlations	278
Table 7.20	Hypothesis (H11) Correlations	279
Table 7.21	Hypothesis (H12) Correlations	279
Table 7.22	Hypothesis (H13) Correlations	280
Table 7.23	Hypothesis (H14) Correlations	281
Table 7.24	Hypotheses Summary	282
Table 7.25	Correlations Matrix between Behavioral Intentions and Six Mobile	286
	Phone Technology Knowledge Sharing Factors	
Table 7.26	Regression Analysis Results between Behavioral Intentions and Six	286
	Mobile Phone Technology Knowledge Sharing Factors	
Table 7.27	Parameter Estimates and Determination Coefficients for	287
	Hypothesized and Rival Model	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	General Research Framework	17
Figure 2.1	The Knowledge Cycle of knowledge Management	23
Figure 2.2	Knowledge Management (Three Main Parts)	23
Figure 2.3	The Potential of Mobile Phones.	54
Figure 2.4	Global Mobile Subscribers Additions by Regions, 2004-2012	56
Figure 2.5	Personal Digital Assistant.	62
Figure 3.1	An Illustration of Differences between Reliability and Validity	96
Figure 4.1	Theory of Reasoned Action.	102
Figure 4.2	Technology Acceptance Model.	104
Figure 4.3	Extension of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2)	106
Figure 4.4	Decomposed of Theory of Planned behavior.	108
Figure 4.5	Theory of Planned Behavior.	111
Figure 4.6	Hypotheses Research Model	146
Figure 5.1	Respondents' Gender	152
Figure 5.2	Respondents' Status	152
Figure 5.3	Respondents' Age	153
Figure 5.4	Respondents' Designation	154
Figure 5.5	Respondents' Years of Work Experience	154
Figure 5.6	Respondents' Years of Service in Current Organization	155
Figure 5.7	Respondents' Years of Experience in Knowledge Management	156
Figure 5.8	Age * Years of experience in KM Cross-tabulation	157
Figure 5.9	Designation * Years of experience in KM Cross-tabulation	159
Figure 5.10	Years of Service in Current Organization * Years of experience	160

in KM Cross-tabulation

Figure 5.11	Knowledge Sharing Activities by Scoring	165
Figure 5.12	Issues on Technology	166
Figure 5.13	Technologies to Develop and Gain Knowledge	170
Figure 5.14	Academics Knowledge Sharing Motivators	176
Figure 5.15	Barriers to Knowledge Sharing by Scoring	179
Figure 6.1	Respondents' gender	204
Figure 6.2	Respondents' Status	204
Figure 6.3	Respondents' Age	205
Figure 6.4	Respondents' Designation	206
Figure 6.5	Respondents' Availability of Devices	206
Figure 6.6	Respondents' Period in Using Device	207
Figure 6.7	Respondents' Opinion about Devices Prices	208
Figure 6.8	Academics' Opinion about the Usefulness and Effectiveness Role	209
	of Mobile Phone Technologies n their daily work	
Figure 6.9	Usefulness and Effectiveness Role of Mobile Phone	210
	Technologies in the Work Depending on Academics' Designation	
Figure 6.10	The Usefulness and Effectiveness Role of Mobile Phone	212
	Technologies in the Work Depending on Academics' Age	
Figure 6.11	Academics' Opinions about Connecting to Internet through	213
	Mobile Phone Technologies	
Figure 6.12	Academics' Opinion about Connecting to Internet through	214
	Mobile Phone Technologies Depending on their Designation	
Figure 6.13	Academics' Opinion about Connecting to Internet through	216
	Mobile Phone Technologies Depending on their Age	

Figure 6.14	Academic's Preference of Mobile Phone Functions	218
Figure 6.15	Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on	220
	Academics' Age between "20 – 30"	
Figure 6.16	Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on	220
	Academics' Age between "31 – 40"	
Figure 6.17	Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on	223
	Academics' Age between "40 – 50"	
Figure 6.18	Preferences of Mobile Phone Functions Depending on	224
	Academics' Age Above 50	
Figure 6.19	Academic's Attitudes towards the Use of Mobile Phone	227
	Technology	
Figure 6.20	Academics' Perceived Usefulness to Use Mobile Phone	228
	Technology	
Figure 6.21	Academics' Perceived Ease of Using Mobile Phone Technology	230
	in IHLs	
Figure 6.22	Academics' Behavioral Intention to Use Mobile Phone	232
	Technology	
Figure 6.23	Academics' Opinions in how Mobile Phone Technology can	235
	Change the Work Situation in IHLs by Scoring	
Figure 6.24	Potential Use of Mobile Phone Technology for Knowledge	239
	Sharing among Academics by Ranking	
Figure 7.1	Respondents' gender	256
Figure 7.2	Respondents' Status	256
Figure 7.3	Respondents' Age	257
Figure 7.4	Respondents' Designation	258

Figure 7.5	Research Model with Correlation Coefficients (**) and Squared	284
	Multiple Correlations ( $R^2$ ).	
Figure 8.1	Hypotheses Research Model	300
Figure 8.2	Research Model with Correlation Coefficients (**) and Squared	301

Multiple Correlations( $R^2$ ).

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

#### INTRODUCTION

Introduction and motivation for research, problem statement, research objective, scope of the study, and significance of the research are presented in this chapter. The background information about knowledge sharing in Institutions of Higher Learning and mobile phone technology is also discussed.

#### **1.1 Motivation for Research**

Knowledge management (KM) is not a new discipline. In fact, knowledge management has been researched and studied for many years. Currently, there are more than 15 peerreviewed research journals focusing on aspects of knowledge management, and this proves that knowledge management has existed as an area or disciplines for many years. It serves as an important aspect of any organization regardless of the core business. Today, the total number of knowledge workers is increasing. According to Davenport (2005), it is now estimated that there are almost fifty percent of the workforce in Europe

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

#### **References:**

- Abbad, M. M., Morris, D., & Nahlik, C. d. (2009). Looking under the bonnet: Factors affecting student adoption of e-learning systems in Jordan International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 10(2), 1-25.
- Abdelhakim, M. N. A., & Abdeldayem, M. M. (2009). The role of chief knowledge officer in higher education quality: A case study from university of Dubai. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 35(4), 498-511.
- Abdullah, R., Selamat, M. H., Jaafar, A., et al. (2008). An empirical study of knowledge management system implementation in public higher learning institution.
   International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 8(1), 281-290.
- Abdullah, R., Shahabudin, S. b., Alias, R. A., et al. (2007). Developing knowledge management system for public higher learning in collaborative environment. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 7(7), 331-341.
- Abell, A., Oxbrow, N., & Limited, T. (2001). Competing with knowledge: The information professional in the knowledge management age. London: Library Association Planning.
- Abilene Christian University. (2008). Solutions for the 21st-century university Retrieved January 02, 2009, from http://www.acu.edu/technology/mobilelearning/vision/ solutions/index.html
- Accessoryexport. (2009). Mobile phones: The best way to communication. Retrieved January 02, 2010, from <u>http://www.articlesbase.com/cell-phones-articles/mobile-phones-the-best-way-to-communication-898801.html</u>

- Ader, H., & Mellenbegh, G. (2002). Research methodology in the life, behavioral and social sciences. New York: SAGE Publications India Ltd.
- Ajzen, I. (1990). The theory of planned behaviour. Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding attitudes and predicting social behaviour. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Ajzen, L. (2006). Constructing a TPB questionnaire: Conceptual and methodological considerations [Electronic Version], 13. Retrieved April 12, 2009 from <u>http://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf</u>.
- Al-Gahtani, S. S., & King, M. (1999). Attitudes, satisfaction and usage: Factors contributing to each in the acceptance of information technology. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 18(4), 277-297.
- Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (1999). Knowledge management systems: Issues, challenges and benefits. Communications of the Association for Information Systems 1(7), 45-55.
- Ali, S. (2006). Technology acceptance models. Retrieved September 02, 2010, from <u>http://articles.smashits.com/articles/computers/54462/technology-acceptance-models.html</u>
- Alwi, N. H. M., Hamid, H. A., & Bakar, A. A. (2008, Jun 10-12). Factors contributing knowledge sharing In higher learning institution Paper presented at the KMICE'08: Knowledge Management International Conference and Exhibition Langkawi, Malaysia.

- Anckar, B., & D'Incau, D. (2002). Value creation in mobile commerce: Findings from a consumer survey. Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application, 4(1), 43-64.
- Anderson, J. Q., & Rainie, L. (2008). The future of the internet III. Retrieved January 03, 2009, from <u>http://www.pewinternet.org/PPF/r/270/report\_display.asp</u>
- Argote, L., & Ingram, P. (2000). Knowledge transfer in organizations: Learning from the experience of others. Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes, 82(1), 150-169.
- Aswath, L., & Gupta, S. (2009, Oct. 5-8). Knowledge management tools and academic library services. Paper presented at the International Conference on Academic Libraries (ICAL), Delhi University Library System, University of Delhi (North Campus), Delhi, INDIA.
- AT&T. (2008). Wireless data service terms and conditions [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 03, 2009 from http://www.wireless.att.com/learn/ messaging-internet/media-legal-notices.jsp.
- Avenoglu, B. (2005). Using mobile communication tools in web based instruction. Unpublished Master Thesis, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.

Babbie, E. (1992). The practice of social research (6 ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.

Beck, R. J. (2009). "What are learning objects?", Learning objects, center for international education, university of wisconsin-milwaukee [Electronic Version]. Retrieved December 06, 2009 from http://www4.uwm.edu/cie/learning\_ objects.cfm?gid=56.

- Betsy, L. (2007). Webspeak -terms. February 10, 2009, from http://www.encourageeducation.org/showDiary.do?diaryId=191
- Bhatti, T. (2007, June 24-26). Exploring factors influencing the adoption of mobile commerce. Paper presented at the European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain.
- Bisdee, D. (2007). Consumer attitudes review [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 20, 2009 from http://www.consumerdirect-yorkshireandthehumber.gov.uk/ shared oft/reports/consumer protection/oft921e.pdf
- BlackBerry. (2006). BlackBerry case study: Peter symonds college [Electronic Version]. Retrieved March 02, 2009 from http://www.blackberry.com/ products/pdfs/symonds case study.pdf
- Blaikie, N. (2000). Designing social research. New York: Blackwell Publishers Ltd.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). Structural equations with latent variables. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bose, R. (2002). Customer relationship management: Key components for IT success. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 102(2), 89-97.
- Burk, M. (1999). Knowledge management: Everyone benefits by sharing information [Electronic Version]. Retrieved May 08, 2009 from http://www.tfhrc.gov/ pubrds/novdec99/km.htm.
- Burns, R. (2007). Supporting staff development through change in learning technologies in higher education. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham.

- Burton-Jones, A., & Hubona, G. S. (2006). The mediation of external variables in the technology acceptance model. *Information & Management*, 43(6), 706–717.
- Butcher, D. (2009). Bluetooth headset shipments to shrink 28 percent in 2009 [Electronic Version]. Retrieved October 03, 2009 from http://www.mobilemarketer.com/cms/news/software-technology/4512.html.
- Butgereit, L. (2008). Using instant messaging over GPRS to help with school work [Electronic Version]. Retrieved March 09, 2009 from http://researchspace.csir. co.za/dspace/bitstream/10204/3419/1/Butgereit3 2008.pdf.
- Cameron, D. (2006, Dec. 4-7). The rocket in your pocket: How mobile phones became the media by stealth. Paper presented at the 2nd joint JEANZ/JEA conference, Rendevous Hotel, Auckland.
- Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait -multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, 56(1), 81-105.
- Canadian Information Processing Society. (2008). Body of knowledge. Retrieved January 02, 2009, from http://rfabian.com/drupal/system/files/CIPS%20BOK %20(shortform).pdf
- Carlsson, C., Hyvonen, K., Repo, P., et al. (2005, June 6-8). Adoption of mobile services across different platforms. Paper presented at the 18th Bled eCommerce Conference, Bled, Slovenia.
- Cellphones. (2009). 85 cell phone hacks for a more streamlined life [Electronic Version]. Retrieved March 01, 2010 from <u>http://cellphones.org/blog/tips/85-cell-phone-tips-tricks-and-hacks-for-a-more-streamlined-life</u>.

- Chaitra, S. S. (2009). Free GPS cell phone tracking and privacy issues [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 09, 2009 from http://www.buzzle.com/ articles/free-gps-cell-phone-tracking-and-privacy-issues.html.
- Chaudhry, A. S. (2005, Aug. 14-18). Knowledge sharing practices in Asian institutions: A multi-cultural perspective from Singapore. Paper presented at the 7th IFLA General Conference and Council of the World Library and Information Congress, Oslo, Norway.
- Checkland, P. (1981). Systems thinking, systems practice. Chichester: Wiley.
- Chen, Y. H., & Barnes, S. (2007). Initial trust and online buyer behaviour. Industrial Managerial Data Systems, 107(1), 21-36.
- Chew, A. A. (2006). *The adoption of m-commerce in the united states*. Unpublished Honors Thesis, California State University, Long Beach, CA.
- Choi, B., & Lee, H. (2003). An empirical investigation of KM styles and their effect on corporate performance. *Information & Management*, 40(5), 403-417.
- Chou, C.-P., & Bentler, P. M. (1995). Estimates and tests in structural equation modeling. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Chowdhury, N. (2005, July 7-9). People's perception on various KM issues: Case study with a Malaysian oil company. Paper presented at the International Conference on Knowledge Management (ICKM), Putra World Trade Centre (PWTC), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Churchill, G. A. (1979). A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(1), 64-73.

- Cisco. (2008). Cisco mobility solutions for the 21st century university: Higher education in motion: 21st century teaching and learning mobility solutions [Electronic Version], 2009. Retrieved March 09, 2009 from www.cisco.com/en/US/ prod/collateral/wireless/ps5678/ps6973/at\_a\_glance\_c45-480727.pdf
- Coakes, E. (2006). Storing and sharing knowledge. Supporting the management of knowledge made explicit in transnational organizations. *The Learning* Organization, 13(6), 579-593.
- Coakes, S. j., & Steed, L. G. (2003). SPSS analysis without anguish. Sydney: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cohen, J. (1998). Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (2 ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Converanet. (2009a). Mobile computing: What is mobile computing? [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 09, 2009 from http://www.converanet.com/ information-technology/mobile-computing.
- Converanet. (2009b). How cell phones are changing mobile computing [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 09, 2009 from http://www.converanet.com/ information-technology/blog/how-cell-phones-are-changing-mobile-computing.
- Cooper, D., & Schindler, P. (1998). Business research methods (6th ed.). Boston: Irwin/McGraw Hill.
- Corbeil, J. R., & Valdes-Corbeil, M. E. (2007). Are you ready for mobile learning? Retrieved January 23, 2009, from <u>http://educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/eqm0726.pdf</u>
- Coursaris, C., & Hassanein, K. (2002). Understanding m-commerce: A consumercentric model. *Quarterly Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 3(3), 247-271.

- Craig, F., & Carmen, C. (2009). How PDAs work. Retrieved January 20, 2009, from http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/gadgets/travel/pda.htm
- Crask, F. (2000). A sampler on sampling. New York: John Wiley.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed approaches (3 ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Cristian, D., & Madela, M. (2007). Electronic mailing list and internet forums tools for management and marketing within educational organizations. *Management & Marketing*, 1(5), 77-81
- Daneshgar, F., Aurum, A., & Potukuchi, S. (2007, Dec 5-7). Adoption of instant messaging technologies by university students. Paper presented at the 18th Australasian Conference on Information Systems, Toowoomba.
- Davenport, T. H. (2005). Thinking for a living: How to get better performance and results from knowledge workers. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press.
- David, H. (2008). Mms the best messaging technology [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 08, 2009 from <u>http://www.articlesbase.com/technology-articles/mms-the-best-messaging-technology-410230.html</u>.
- Davidtuffel, D. (2008). Smart features of smart phone. Retrieved January 20, 2009, from http://www.streetdirectory.com/travel\_guide/132133/phones/smart\_ features\_of\_smart\_phone.html

- Davis, F. D. (1986). A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new enduser information systems: Theory and results. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 22(14), 1111-1132.
- Davis, R. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 340-1989.
- Deka, K., & Singh, K. (2007). Internet and web technology for library in digital environment with reference to college libraries of Guwahati [Electronic Version]. Retrieved March 03, 2009 from http://ir.inflibnet.ac.in:8080/ jspui/bitstream/123456789/669/1/PLANNER%202007%20(8).pdf
- Dholakia, R. R., & Dholakia, N. (2004). Mobility and markets: Emerging outlines for m-commerce. Journal of Business Research, 57(12), 1391-1396.
- Dickinger, A., Arami, M., & Meyer, D. (2006, Jan 04-07). Reconsidering the adoption process: Enjoyment and social Norms- antecedents of hedonic mobile technology use. Paper presented at the 39th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences Kauai, Hawaii.
- Doctor, G. (2006). Knowledge sharing in academic institutions technology for sharing research output, course ware & learning resources. *Icfai Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4(4), 48-64.

- Doctor, G., & Ramachandran, S. (2007). Learning object repositories: An emerging knowledge management Tool for sharing and reusing learning resources *ICFAI* Journal of Knowledge Management 5(2), 39-52.
- Downey, J. (2006, Jan. 4-7). Measuring general computer self-efficacy: The surprising comparison of three Instruments in predicting performance, attitudes, and usage. Paper presented at the 39 th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii.
- Duke University. (2005). Duke university iPod first year experience. Retrieved January 22, 2009, from <u>http://cit.duke.edu/pdf/reports/ipod\_initiative\_04\_05.pdf</u>
- Duke University. (2008). Mobile devices in education. Retrieved January 12, 2009, from <u>http://cit.duke.edu/tools/mobile/</u>
- Dutta, D., Chakraborty, S., & Sarkar, P. (2004). Knowledge management in technology education [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 09, 2009 from <u>http://www.aunwesha.com/HRDAP2004Paper\_Knowledge\_Management\_in\_Te</u> <u>chnology\_Education.pdf</u>.
- Dye, A., Solstad, B.-E., & K'Odingo, J. A. (2003). Mobile education- a glance at the future, project report, norwegian school of information technology [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 22, 2009 from http:// www.nettskolen.com/forskning/mobile\_education.pdf.
- Eid, M., & Nuhu, N. A. (2009, June 8-10). The impact of learning culture and information technology use on knowledge-sharing: A case of KFUPM. Paper presented at the 17th European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS 2009), Verona, Italy.

- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. Academy of Management Review 14(4), 532-550.
- Elliot, G., & Phillips, N. (2004). Mobile commerce and wireless computing systems. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Ellis, R. K. (2009). Field guide to learning management systems, ASTD learning circuits [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 22, 2009 from http://www.astd.org/NR/rdonlyres/12ECDB99-3B91-403E-9B15-7E597444645D/23395/LMS fieldguide 20091.pdf.
- Fang, X., Chan, S., Brzezinski, J., et al. (2005). Moderating effects of task type on wireless technology acceptance. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 22(3), 123-157.
- Fengjie, A., Q., Fei, Q., & Xin, C. (2004, Sep. 13-15). Knowledge sharing and webbased knowledge-sharing platform. Paper presented at the E-Commerce Technology for Dynamic E-Business, 2004. IEEE International Conference Beijing, China.
- Ferenc, F., & Ágnes, K. (2009, June 5-6). Knowledge management asymmetries in higher education. Paper presented at the 7th International Conference on Management, Enterprise and Benchmarking, Budapest. Hungary.
- Figueira, A. (2007). Mobile applications for higher education Retrieved January 04, 2009, from http://www.edesigntree.com/resources/white\_papers/Mobile-Application-for-Higher-Education-White-Paper.pdf.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. reading. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- Francis, J. J., Eccles, M. P., Johnston, M., et al. (2004). Constructing questionnaires based on the theory of planned behaviour: A manual for health services researchers: University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Centre for Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne.
- Fredricks, A. J., & Dossett, D. L. (1983). Attitude-behaviour relations: A comparison of the Fishbein-Ajzen and the Bentler-Speckart models. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 501-512.
- Fullwood, R. (2009, March 25-26). An investigation of the operation of knowledge management in higher education. Paper presented at the 12th Annual Doctoral Symposium, Manchester Metropolitan University, Business School
- Gable, G. (1994). Integrating case study and survey research methods: An example in information systems. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 3(2), 112-126.
- Galliers, R. D. (1992). Choosing information systems research approaches. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Gao, S., Krogstie, J., & Gransæther, P. A. (2008, Nov. 11-13). Mobile services acceptance model. Paper presented at the International Conference on Convergence and Hybrid Information Technology, Daejeon, Korea.
- Gartner. (2006). Hype cycle for high-performance workplace. Retrieved February 12, 2009, from http://www.gartner.com
- Gitte, L. (1994). Usability testing and system evaluation (1 ed.). London: Chapman & Hall.
- Gordon, I. (2005). Academic computing in Asia an overview and a case study social science computer review. Sage Publications, 23(2), 167-173.

- Gupta, B., Iyer, L. S., & Aronson, J. E. (2000). Knowledge management: Practices and challenges. *Industrial Management and Data System*, 100(1), 17-21.
- Guriting, P., & Ndubisi, N. O. (2006). Borneo online banking: Evaluating customer perceptions and behavioural intention. *Management Research News*, 29(2), 6-15.
- Haas, M. R. (2006). Different knowledge, efferent benefits: Toward a productivity perspective on knowledge sharing in organizations [Electronic Version]. Retrieved March 07, 2009 from http://knowledge. wharton.upenn.edu/papers/1346.pdf.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., et al. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6 ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Halise, Ş., Nurcan, D., & Tarkan, G. (2008, May 6-9). Knowledge management in higher education: An overview of practices in a department at METU. Paper presented at the 8th International Educational Technology Conference (IETC2008), Ege University, Kuşadası, Aydın, Turkey.
- Hamid, J. A. (2008). knowledge strategies of school administrators and teachers. International Journal of Educational Management, 22(3), 259 - 268.
- Han, B. M., & Anantatmula, V. S. (2006, Jan 04-07). Knowledge management in IT organizations from employee's perspective. Paper presented at the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), Hawaii.
- Han, B. M., & Anantatmula, V. S. (2007). Knowledge sharing in large IT organizations: A case study. *Emerald Group Publishing Limited*, 37(4), 421-439.
- Hardy, I. (2007). Facts of life: How do smart phones work? Retrieved January 22, 2009, from http://www.hardyinst.com/newsletter/march2007/smart phones.html

- Harris, K. (2006). Six practices can increase use of your knowledge management program. Retrieved September 06, 2009, from http://www.gartner.com/Display Document?doc\_cd=127459&ref=g\_fromdoc
- Hew, K. F., & Hara, N. (2006). Identifying factors that encourage and hinder knowledge sharing in a longstanding online community of practice. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 5(3), 297-316.
- Hijazi, S., & Kelly, L. (2003, June 8-12). Knowledge creation in higher education institutions: A conceptual model. Paper presented at the ASCUE Conference, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
- Holsapple, C. W., & Joshi, K. D. (2002). A knowledge management ontology (1 ed.).Holsapple: Handbook on Knowledge Management.
- Hsiu-Fen, L. (2006). Impact of organizational support on organizational intention to facilitate knowledge sharing. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 4(1), 26-35.
- Hung, Shin-Yuan, Ku, C.-Y., et al. (2003). Critical factors of WAP services adoption: An empirical study. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 2(1), 42-60.
- Hwang, Y., & Kim, D. (2007). Understanding affective commitment, collectivist culture, and social influence in relation to knowledge sharing in technology mediated learning. *Professional Communication, IEEE Transactions on*, 50(3), 232-248.
- Hwang, Y., & Yi, M. (2002, Aug. 9-11). Predicting the use of web-based information systems: Intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy. Paper presented at the Eight Americas Conference on Information Systems, Dallas, Texas USA.

- InterData. (2008). CD-ROM / DVD data recovery by InterData recovery services [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 07, 2009 from http://www.interdatarecovery.com/index.php/supported-recovery-media/cdrom/7-supported-recovery-media/19-cd-rom.
- Ishii, K. (2004). Internet use via mobile phone in Japan. *Telecommunications Policy*, 28(1), 43-58.
- Ismail, M. A., & Chua, L. Y. (2005, July 7-9). Implication of knowledge management in higher learning institutions. Paper presented at the International Conference on Knowledge Management, Putra World Trade Centre, Kuala Lumpur.
- Ismail, M. A., & Chua, L. Y. (2006, Feb. 21-23). Analysis of knowledge management (KM) impact in higher learning institution. Paper presented at the International Conference: Knowledge Management in Institution of Higher Learning, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Ismail, M. A., Mashkuri, Y., & Sameem, A. K. (2008, June 10-12). Institutional repositories: Review and knowledge management perspective. Paper presented at the Knowledge Management International Conference, Langkawi, Malaysia.
- ISO. (1998). Ergonomic requirements for office work with visual display terminals (VDTs) -- Part 11: Guidance on usability.
- Jahangir, N., & Begum, N. (2008). The role of perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, security and privacy, and customer attitude to engender customer adaptation in the context of electronic banking. *African Journal of Business Management*, 2(1), 032-040.
- Jay, L. (1999). Knowledge management handbook. Florida, USA: CRC Press.

- Jeannie, H., Lori, S., & Joyce, S. (2009). Wireless equipment. Retrieved January 02, 2009, from http://web.ncifcrf.gov/campus/als/downloads/Wireless\_User\_ Manual.pdf
- Jeffrey, A. S. (2005). More electronic chatter in the workplace [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 12, 2009 from http://www.thoits.com/ resources/gfx/fw jun05.pdf.
- Jeram, K., Meechan, A., & Meechan, A. (2008). Communicating using ICT [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 09, 2009 from http://www.edexcel.com /migrationdocuments/Functional%20skills1/ICT\_Chapter%208%20Learner%20 Materials.pdf.
- Jeremy, L. (2009). Driving leads through mobile internet technology: The importance of adding "mobile" to the marketing mix [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 10, 2009 from http://franchisemarketingdaily.com/2009/09/15/ driving-leads-through-mobile-internet-technology-the-importance-of-addingmobile-into-the-marketing-mix/.
- Jessica, S.-Y. H., Cheng, M. Y., & Lau, P. M. (2008). Knowledge sharing in knowledge-based institutions. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 6(7), 41-48.
- Jhangiani, I., & Smith-Jackson, T. (2007). Comparison of mobile phone user interface design preferences: Perspectives from nationality and disability culture. Paper presented at the 4th Intl. Conf. on Mobile Technology, Applications and Systems.
- Joan, R., & John, L. (2007, Dec 5-7). Trigger iz gr8 4 gen Y: Mobile student administration via a text messaging system. Paper presented at the 18th Australasian Conference on Information Systems, Toowoomba.

- Jocelyn, W., Andy, R., & Angela, M. (2008a). PDAs and Handhelds: ICT at your side and not in your face [Electronic Version].
- Jocelyn, W., Andy, R., & Angela, M. (2008b). PDAs and handhelds: ICT at your side and not in your face Retrieved February 22, 2009, from http://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/docs/00/19/00/14/PDF/WishartJocelyn2007PotentialforITT.pdf
- John, S. (2001). Research and the knowledge age. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 7(3), 227-230.
- Jones, M., Cline, M., & Ryan, S. (2006a). Exploring knowledge sharing in ERP implementation: An organizational culture framework. *Decision Support Systems*, 41(2), 411–434.
- Jones, N. B., Provost, D. M., & Pascale, D. (2006b). Developing a university research web-based knowledge portal. *International Journal of Knowledge and Learning*, 2(1), 106-118.
- Joseph, M. F. (2001). Key issues in knowledge management, knowledge and innovation. Journal of the Knowledge Management Consortium International, 1(3), 8-38.
- Junior, B., Batista, J., & Pereira, C. (2008, April 11-13). The use of mobile technologies in higher education in Portugal: An exploratory survey. Paper presented at the IADIS International Conference Mobile Learning 2008, Minho University, Braga, Portugal.
- Kaba, B., N'Da, K., & Mbarika, V. (2008, Jan.-10). Understanding the factors influencing the attitude toward and the use of mobile technology in developing countries: A model of cellular phone use in guinea. Paper presented at the 41st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii.

- Kamal, K. J., Manjit, S. S., & Gurvinder, K. S. (2007). Knowledge sharing among academic staff: A case study of business schools in Klang Valley, Malaysia. UCSI JASA, 2(January), 23-29.
- Kanana, P., & Balasubramanian, S. (2005). A social-economic-psychological model of technology adoption and usage: An application to online investing. *Decision Support Systems*, 39(3), 505-524.
- Kankanhalli, A. (2008). Enabling KM in large decentralized organizations: A case study of an institution of higher learning. Retrieved February 01, 2009, from http://www.kmtalk.net/article.php?story=20080512092346759
- Kargin, B., & Basoglu, N. (2006, Jun 26-27). Adoption factors of mobile services. Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Business (ICMB'06), Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Kargin, B., & Basoglu, N. (2007, Aug. 5-9). Factors affecting the adoption of mobile services. Paper presented at the Portland International Center for Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), Portland, Oregon - USA.
- Kargin, B., Basoglu, N., & Daim, T. (2008, July 27-31). Exploring mobile service adoption: A conjoint model. Paper presented at the PICMET Cape Town, South Africa.
- Kaseniemi, E., & Rautiainen, P. (2002). Mobile culture of children and teenagers in Finland. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kassim, N. M. (2001). Determinants of customer satisfaction and retention in the cellular phone market of Malaysia. Unpublished PhD thesis, Southern Cross University, Australia.

- Kayne, R. (2009). What is a PDA (Personal Digital Assistant)? Retrieved April 20, 2009, from http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-a-pda-personal-digitalassistant.htm
- Kennedy, G., Dalgarno, B., Bennett, S., et al. (2008a, Dec.08). Immigrants and natives: Investigating differences between staff and students' use of technology. Paper presented at the 25th annual conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education (ASCILITE) Deakin University, Melbourne, Australia.
- Kennedy, G. E., Judd, T. S., Churchward, A., et al. (2008b). First year students' experiences with technology: Are they really digital natives? *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 24. (1), 108-122.
- Keramati, A., & Azadeh, M. (2007, May 24-27). Exploring the effects of top management's commitment on knowledge management success in academia: A case study. Paper presented at the 21 International Conference on Computer, Electrical, and Systems Science, and Engineering, Vienna, Austria.
- Khalifa, M., & Shen, K. N. (2008). Explaining the adoption of transactional B2C mobile commerce. *Enterprise Information Management*, 21(2), 110-124.
- Kim, S., & Lee, H. (2006). The impact of organizational context and information technology on employee knowledge-sharing capabilities. *Public Administration Review*, 370-385.
- Kim, S. H., Mims, C., & Kerry, P. H. (2006). An introduction to current trends and benefits of mobile wireless technology use in higher education. AACE Journal, 14(1), 77-100.

- Klamma, R., Cao, Y., & Spaniol, M. (2007, March 26-28). Watching the blogosphere: knowledge sharing in the Web 2.0. Paper presented at the International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM), Boulder, Colorado, USA
- Kleijnen, M., Ko de, R., & Martin, G. M. W. (2003). Factors influencing the adoption of mobile gaming services. In *Mobile Commerce: Technology, Theory, and Applications*. (pp. 202-217): GI Publishing.
- Kline, R. B. (1998). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (1994). Principles of marketing. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Kripanont, N. (2007). Examining a technology acceptance model of internet usage by academics within Thai business schools. Unpublished PhD, Victoria University Melbourne, Australia.
- Kroski, E. (2009). On the move with the mobile Web: Libraries and mobile technologies [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 23, 2009 from http://www.docstoc.com/docs/2346321/On-the-Move-with-the-Mobile-Web-Libraries-and-Mobile-Technologies.
- Kurnia, S., Smith, S. P., & Lee, H. (2008). Consumers' perception of mobile internet in Australia. *e-Business Review*, 5(1), 19-32.
- Lederer, A. L., Maupin, D. J., Sena, M. P., et al. (2000). The technology acceptance model and the World Wide Web. *Decision Support Systems*, 29(3), 269-282.
- Lee, J. N., Huynh, M. Q., Chi-wai, K. R., et al. (2000, Jan 4-7). The evolution of outsourcing research: What is the next issue? Paper presented at the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on, Hawaii, USA.

- Lefoe, G., & Olney, I. (2007, Oct. 16-19). New technologies, new pedagogies: Using scenarios for staff development with mobile technologies. Paper presented at the 6th Annual International Conference on Mobile Learning, Melbourne Australia.
- Lefoe, G., Olney, I., & Herrington, A. (2008, 30 Nov-3 Dec). Enabling teaching, enabling learning: How does staff development fit the educational technology landscape? Paper presented at the ascilite 2008, Melbourne.
- Leony, L., Sukrisno, M., Iping, S. S., et al. (2007, June 17-19). Empowering the knowledge of university: A roadmap toward smart campus. Paper presented at the International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia.
- Liao, S. H., Chang, J. C., Cheng, S. C., et al. (2004). Employee relationship and knowledge sharing: A case study of a Taiwanese finance and securities firm. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 2, 24-34.
- LibraryClips. (2007). Km 2.0 enablers: Blogs, wikis, and social networks. Retrieved February 02, 2009, from http://libraryclips.blogsome.com/2007/09/28/km-20enablers-blogs-wikis-and-social-networks/
- Lichtenstein, S., & Brain, M. (2006). Understanding the impact of organisational downsizing on knowledge sharing [Electronic Version]. Retrieved February 23, 2009 from http://is2.lse.ac.uk/asp/aspecis/20060184.pdf.
- Liu, Y. (2008, July 22-27). *An adoption model for mobile learning*. Paper presented at the IADIS e-Commerce 2008 conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Logan, D. (2006a). Knowledge management is critical to organizing and accessing a company's intellectual assets. Retrieved February 22, 2009, from http://www.gartner.com

- Logan, D. (2006b). Using technology to create and capture knowledge. Retrieved February 01, 2009, from http://www.gartner.com
- Lu, J., Yu, C., Liu, C., et al. (2003). Technology acceptance model for wireless internet. Internet Research. *Electronic Networking Applications and Policy*, 13(3), 206-222.
- Lu, X., & Viehland, D. (2008, Dec 3-5). Factors influencing the adoption of mobile learning. Paper presented at the 19th Australasian Conference on Information Systems, Christchurch.
- Ma, Q., & Liu, L. (2004). The technology acceptance model: A meta-analysis of empirical findings. Journal of Organizational and End User Computing, 16(1), 59-72.
- Madden, T. J., Ellen, P. S., & Ajzen, I. (1992). A comparison of the theory of planned behaviour and the theory of reasoned action. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 18*, 3-9.
- Malladi, R., & Agrawal, D. P. (2002). Current and future applications of mobile and wireless networks. *Communications of the ACM, 45*(10), 144-146.
- Mallat, N., Rossi, M., Tuunainen, V. K., et al. (2008). An empirical investigation of mobile ticketing service adoption in public transportation. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 12(1), 57 - 65.
- Mallat, N., Rossi, M., Tuunainen, V. K., et al. (2006, Jan. 4-7). The impact of use situation and mobility on the acceptance of mobile ticketing services. Paper presented at the 39th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences Hawaii.

- Maponya, P. M. (2004). Knowledge management practices in academic libraries: A case study of the University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg Libraries [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 20, 2009 from http://mapule276883.pbworks.com/ f/Knowledge+management+practices+in+academic+libraries.pdf.
- Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting user intention: Comparing the technology acceptance model with the theory of planned behavior. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 173-191.
- Mathwick, C., Malhotra, N., & Rigdon, E. (2004). Experiental value conceptualization measurement and application in the catalog and internet shopping environment. *Journal of Retailing*, 77(1), 39-56.
- Mckenzie, J., Winkelen, C. V., & International Thomson Business. (2004). Understanding the knowledgeable organization: Nurturing knowledge competence (1 ed.). Sydney: Cengage Learning.
- Mennecke, B., & Strader, T. (2003). Mobile commerce technology, theory and applications. Hershey, PA: Idea Gruop Publishing.
- Mohamed, M. (2008). The 'continuumization' of knowledge management technology. The Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems, 38(2), 167-173.
- Mohayidin, M. G., Azirawani, N., Kamaruddin, M. N., et al. (2007). The application of knowledge management in enhancing the performance of Malaysian universities. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 5(3), 301 - 312.
- Moon, J.-W., & Kim, Y.-G. (2001). Extending the TAM for a World-Wide-Web context. Information & Management, 38(4), 217-230.

- Motiwalla, L. F. (2007). Mobile learning: A framework and evaluation. Computers & Education., 49(3), 581-596.
- Muhanna, W. N., & M.Abu-Al-Sha'r, A. (2009). University students' attitudes towards cell phone learning environment. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 3(4), 35-40.
- Naismith, L., Lonsdale, P., Vavoula, G., et al. (2005). Literature Review in Mobile Technologies and Learning. A Report for NESTA Future Lab [Electronic Version], . Retrieved April.02.2009 from http://elearning.typepad.com/ thelearnedman/mobile\_learning/reports/futurelab\_review\_11.pdf.
- NCTE. (2008). Mobile phones [Electronic Version], 1-3. Retrieved February 22, 2009 from http://www.ncte.ie/documents/advicesheets/31MobilePhonesNov08.pdf.
- New Media Consortium. (2007). The 2007 horizon report is a collaboration between the new media CoNsorTiuM and the educause learning initiative an educause program. Austin: The New Media Consortium (NMC).
- New Media Consortium. (2008). The 2008 horizon report is a collaboration between The new media consortium and the educause learning initiative, an educause program. Austin: The New Media Consortium (NMC).
- Nie, M. (2006). The potential use of mobile/handheld devices, audio/podcasting material in higher education – a draft review. Retrieved March 10, 2009, from http://www2.le.ac.uk/projects/impala/presentations/Berlin/The%20Potential%20 Use%20of%20Mobile%20Devices%20in%20Higher%20Education.
- Nisha, G. (2009). Mobile videos a way towards infotainment [Electronic Version]. Retrieved September 22, 2009 from http://www.articlesbase.com/cell-phonesarticles/mobile-videos-a-way-towards-infotainment-1132638.html.

- Nokia. (2007). Nokia nseries [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 20, 2009 from http://www.nseries.com/index.html.
- Nonaka, & Aben, M. (2001). Making the most of your company's knowledge: A strategic framework. *Long Range Planning*, 34(1), 421-439.
- Norizah, S., Ibrahim, A., Mohamed, Z., et al. (2005, July 7–9). Factors affecting knowledge sharing and its effects on performance: A study of three selected higher academic institutions. Paper presented at the International Conference Knowledge Management (ICKM) Putra World Trade Centre (PWTC) Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Nysveen, Lexhagen, M., & Hem, L. E. (2005a). Festival coordination an exploratory study on intention to use mobile devices for coordination of a festival. *Event Management*, 9(3), 133-146.
- Nysveen, Pedersen, P. E., & Thorbjornsen, H. (2005b). Explaining intention to use mobile chat services: Moderating effects of gender. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 22(5), 247-256.
- Nysveen, Pedersen, P. E., & Thorbjørnsen, H. (2005c). Intentions to use mobile services: Antecedents and cross-service comparisons. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 33(3), 330-470.
- O'Hare, G. M. P., O'Grady, M. J., Muldoon, C., et al. (2006). Embedded agents: A paradigm for mobile services. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, 2(4), 379-405.
- Offsey, S. (1997). Knowledge management: Linking people to knowledge for bottom line results. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 1(2), 113-122.

- Oscar, P. (2007). Social psychological determinants of mobile communication technology use and adoption: A comparison of three models to explain and predict mobile communication technology behavior. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Twente, Enschede, NL.
- Osunade, O., Phillips, O. F., & Ojo, O. (2007). Limitation of knowledge sharing in academia. A case from Nigeria. Knowledge Management for Development Journal, 3(1), 26-34
- Pagani, M. (2004). Determinants of adoption of third generation mobile multimedia services. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(3), 46-59.
- Pallant, J. (2003). SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for Windows (1 ed.). Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Pavlou, P. A., & Lie, T. (2007, June 25-29). What drives mobile commerce? A model of mobile commerce adoption. Paper presented at the Twenty Seventh International Conference on Information Systems, Milwaukee.
- Pedersen, P. E. (2005). Adoption of mobile Internet services: An exploratory study of mobile commerce early adopters. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 15(3), 203-243.
- Pedersen, P. E., & Nysveen, H. (2003, June 9-11). Usefulness and self-expressiveness: Extending TAM to explain the adoption of a mobile parking service. Paper presented at the 16th Electronic Commerce Conference, Bled, Slovenia.
- Peters, K. (2007). M-learning: Positioning educators for a mobile, connected future. International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 8(2), 113-132.

- Plant, S. (2001). On the mobile. The effects of mobile telephones on social and individual life: Motorola, US.
- Polat, E., Basoglu, N., & Daim, T. (2009, Jan 5-8). Effects of adaptively and other external variables on mobile service adoption. Paper presented at the 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences Hawaii.
- Portio Research. (2009). Worldwide mobile industry handbook 2009-2014: Research and Markets.
- Prestholdt, P. H., Lane, I. M., & Matthews, R. C. (1987). Nurse turnover as reasoned action: Development of a process model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72, 221-227.
- Ranjan, J., & Khalil, S. (2007). Application of knowledge management in management education: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 3(3), 15-25.
- Rao, S., & Troshani, I. (2007). A conceptual framework and propositions for the acceptance of mobile services. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 2(2), 61 - 73.
- Rasmus, D. W. (2003). Don't bother looking for a Knowledge management market. Giga Research, Planning Assumption.
- Ratcliffe-Martin, V., Coakes, E., & Sugden, G. (2000). Enhancing knowledge acquisition and transfer in the university sector. Retrieved March 07, 2009, from http://users.wmin.ac.uk/~coakese/knowledge/bit2000.htm

- Rawat, M. S. (2008, June 10-12). Launching knowledge management projects in higher education. Paper presented at the Knowledge Management International Conference, Langkawi, Malaysia.
- Rawat, M. S. (2009). Leveraging technologies to facilitate change in education systems [Electronic Version]. Retrieved June 02, 2009 from http://www. digitallearning.in/articles/articledetails.asp?articleid=2397&typ=PERSPECTIVE.
- Riege, A. (2005). Three-dozen knowledge-sharing barriers managers must consider. Journal of Knowledge Management., 9(3), 18-35.
- Rigallo, A., & Valente, G. (2002, Sep. 16-18). Operational knowledge management: A way to manage competence. Paper presented at the International Conference on Information and Knowledge Engineering, Crema, Italy.
- Roberts, G. K., & Pick, J. B. (2004, Jan. 5 -8). Technology factors in corporate adoption of mobile cell phones: A case study analysis. Paper presented at the 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), Big Island, HI, USA.
- Robinson, J., Shaver, P., & Wrightsman, L. (1991). Criteria for scale selection and evaluation, in measures of personality and social psychological attitudes. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Rogers, E. M. (1996). Diffusion of innovation (4 ed.). New York: The Free Press.

Ronald, K. H., & Liane, P. (1999). Increasing the validity of adapted tests: Myths to be avoided and guidelines for improving test adaptation practices. *Journal of Applied Testing Technology*, 1(1), 1-30.

- Rubio, d. M., Berg-Weger, M., Tebb, S. S., et al. (2003). Objectifying content validity: Conducting a content validity study in social work research. Social Work Research, 27, 94-104.
- Rugullies, E. (2003). Team collaboration best practices: Getting people to share their knowledge: Forrester Research.
- Rusli, A., & Mohd, H. S. (2007). Facilitating knowledge sharing with groupware among faculty communities in higher learning institution. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security (IJCSNS)*, 7(5), 220-228.
- Ruzaif, A. M., & Shahizan, H. (2008, June 10-12). Knowledge management systems for decision makers in public universities Malaysia. Paper presented at the Knowledge Management International Conference, Langkawi, Malaysia.
- Salim, J., Othman, M. S., & Zawani, S. (2005, July 7-9). Integrated approach to knowledge management initiatives programme: Towards designing and effective knowledge management system. Paper presented at the International Conference on Knowledge Management (ICKM) Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Sarantakos, S. (1993). Social research. Melbourne: Macmillan Education Australia.

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2000). Research methods for business students (2 ed.). London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Sekaran, P. B. (2003). Research methods for business a skill building approach (4 ed.): John Wiley & Sons.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). Research methods for business (3 ed.). New York: Hermitage Publishing Services.

- Sendecka, L. (2006). Adoption of mobile services moderating effects of service's information intensity Unpublished Master, NORGES HANDELSHØYSKOLE.
- Setiarso, B. (2009, March 6-8). Knowledge management and knowledge sharing in Indonesia institute of sciences (LIPI): Facing lot of challenges to disseminate scientific knowledge for the society. Paper presented at the Asia-Pacific Conference on Library & Information Education & Practice, Tsukuba, Japan.
- Sharimllah, D. R., Chong, S. C., & Ismail, H. (2009). The practice of knowledge management processes: A comparative study of public and private higher education institutions in Malaysia. *Emerald Group Publishing Limited*, 39(3), 203-222.
- Sharimllah, D. R., Chong, S. C., & Lin, B. (2007). Organisational culture and KM processes from the perspective of an institution of higher learning. *International Journal of Management in Education*, 1(1), 57-79.
- Sharimllah, D. R., Chong, S. C., & Lin, B. (2008). Perceived importance and effectiveness of KM performance outcomes: Perspectives of institutions of higher learning. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 5(1), 18-37.
- Sharratt, M., & Usoro, A. (2003). Understanding knowledge-sharing in online communities of practice. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2(1), 187-196.
- Sheng, H., Nah, F. F. H., & Siau, K. (2005). Strategic implications of mobile technology: A case study using value-focused thinking. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 14(3), 269-290.

- Sheppard, B. H., Hartwick, J., & Warshaw, P. R. (1988). The theory of reasoned action: A meta-analysis of past research with recommendations for modifications and future research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15, 325-343.
- Shih, Y., & Fang, K. (2004). The use of a decomposed theory of planned behaviour to study Internet banking in Taiwan. *Internet Research: Electron, 14*(3), 213-223.
- Shin, Y., Jeon, H., & Choi, M. (2008, Sept 2-4). Analysis on the mobil IPTV adoption and moderator effect using extended TAM model. Paper presented at the Fourth International Conference on Networked Computing and Advanced Information Management.
- Siau, K., Sheng, H., & Nah, F. (2004, Dec 10-11). The value of mobile commerce to customers. Paper presented at the Third Annual Workshop on HCI Research, in MIS, Washington, DC.
- Small, C., & Sage, A. (2006). Knowledge management and knowledge sharing: A review. Information Knowledge Systems Management, 6(1), 153-169.
- Smidts, M., Hordijk, R., & Huizenga, J. (2008). The world as a learning environment playful and creative use of GPS and mobile technology in education playful mobile [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April.20.2009 from http://www.mobieleonderwijsdiensten.nl/attachments/session=cloud\_mmbase+1 765201/World\_as\_learningenvironment.pdf.
- Sohail, M. S., & Daud, S. (2009). Knowledge sharing in higher education institutions perspectives from Malaysia. The Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems, 39(2), 125-142.
- Stenmark, D. (2001). Leverage tacit organizational knowledge. Journal of Management Information Systems, 5(3), 9-24.

- Stephen, D. (2001). The springboard: How storytelling lgnites action in knowledge-era organizations (1 ed.). Boston, London: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Suhaimeea, S., Abu Bakar, A. Z., & Alias, R. A. (2005, Nov. 21-22). Knowledge management implementation in Malaysian public institution of higher education.
   Paper presented at the International Conference on Intellectual Capital, Knowledge Management and Organisational Learning, Dubai
- Suhaimeea, S., Abu Bakar, A. Z., & Alias, R. A. (2006, May 24-25). Knowledge sharing culture in Malaysian public institution of higher education: An overview. Paper presented at the UTM Skudai., Postgraduate Annual Research Seminar (PARS 2006).
- Syed, O. S. b. S.-I., & Fytton, R. (2004). Benchmarking knowledge management in a public organization in Malaysia benchmarking. *Emerald Group Publishing*, 11(3), 238-266.
- Symonds, J., McCullough, G., Oliver, G., et al. (2003, July 10-13). Use of IT to support knowledge sharing: The New Zealand perspective. Paper presented at the 7th Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems, Adelaide, South Australia.
- Tabachnick, B., & Fidell, L. (2001). Using multivariate statistics (4 ed.). New York: HarperCollins.
- Takeuchi, H., & Nonaka, I. (2004). *Hitotsubashi on knowledge management*. Singapore:J. Wiley & Sons (Asia).
- Tan, M., & Teo, T. S. H. (2000). Factors influencing the adoption of internet banking. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 1(1), 1-44.

- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. A. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models. *Information Systems Research*, 6, 44-176.
- Teo, T. (2001). Demographic and motivation variables associated with internet usage activities. *Electronic Networking Applications & Policy*, 11(2), 125-137.
- Teo, T. S. H., & Pok, S. H. (2003). Adoption of WAP-enabled mobile phones among internet users. Omega, 31(6), 483-498.
- Terry, D. J. (1993). Self-efficacy expectancies and the theory of reasoned action. Oxford, U.K: Pergamon.
- Thakur, D. S. (2007, July 16-19). Knowledge communication in academic and research environment. Paper presented at the Knowledge Communication and Conferences, Orlando, FL, USA
- Thomas, R. (1999). Doing quantitative research in the social sciences: An integrated approach to research design, measurement and statistics. London: Sage.
- Ticehurst, G., & Veal, A. (2000). Business research methods: A managerial approach: Pearson Education Australia, NSW.
- Timko, C. (1987). Seeking medical care for a breast cancer symptom: Determinants of intentions to engage in prompt or delay behavior. *Health Psychology*, 6, .305-328.
- Ting, J. Y., & Majid, M. S. (2007). Knowledge-sharing patterns of undergraduate students in Singapore. *Emerald Group Publishing*, 56 (6), 485-494.

Triandis, H. (1971). Attitude and attitude change. New York: John Wiley.

- Triandis, H. C. (1980). Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behavior. beliefs, attitudes, and values. Paper presented at the Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, University of Nebraska Press., Lincoln.
- Trifonova, A. (2006). Mobile learning: Wireless and mobile technologies in education. Towards hoarding content in m-learning context. Unpublished PhD Dissertation, DIT - University of Trento.
- Tsui, E. (2005). The role of IT in KM: Where are we now and where are we heading? Journal of Knowledge Management, 9(1), 3-6.
- Van der Heijden, H. (2003). Factors influencing the usage of websites: The case of a generic portal in the netherlands. *Information & Management*, 40(6), 541-549.
- Van, L., J. P., & Fridqvist, S. (2002, July 27-31). Design knowledge sharing through internet application. Paper presented at the International Conference on Concurrent Engineering 2002, Cranfield, UK.
- Veal, A. J. (2005). Business research methods: A managerial approach (2 ed.). Frenchs, Forest: Pearson Addison Wesley.
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186-204.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., et al. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478.
- Vivek, K. (2007). Using a simple and useful template for creating flash Lite wallpaper [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 08, 2009 from http://www.adobe.com /devnet/devices/articles/flashlite\_wallpaper\_templates.html.

- Voyager. (2008). Benefits of having smartphone. Retrieved February 02, 2009, from http://voyager8.blogspot.com/2008/09/benefits-of-having-smartphone.html
- Wang, C.-Y., Yang, H.-Y., & Chou, S.-c. T. (2007). Using peer-to-peer technology for knowledge sharing in communities of practices. *Decision Support Systems*, 45(3), 528-540
- Wang, S. (2008). Ontology of learning objects repository for pedagogical knowledge sharing Interdisciplinary Journal of E-Learning and Learning Objects, 4, 1-12.
- Wang, Y.-S., & Liao, Y.-W. (2008). Understanding individual adoption of mobile booking service: An empirical investigation. *Cyberpsychology & behavior 11*(5), 603-605.
- Web Conferencing Solutions. (2008). Glossary of terms [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 09, 2009 from http://www.wcswebconferencing.com/glossary.html.
- Webster, J., & Trevino, L. K. (1995). Rational and social theories as complementary explanations of communication media choices: Two policy-capturing studies. *Academy of Management Journal 38*(6), 1544-1572.
- Wenger, E., McDermott, R., & Snyder, W. M. (2002). Cultivating communities of practice: A guide to managing knowledge. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Wilen-Daugenti, T. (2007). The 21st century learning environment: Next-generation strategies for higher education [Electronic Version]. Retrieved April 14, 2008 from http://ftp.ucv.ve/Documentos/Evento\_Cisco/The\_21st\_Century\_Learning\_ Envir onment\_paper.pdf.

- Wilen-Daugenti, T., & McKee, A. G. R. (2008). Dual-mode phones: A smart call for higher education. Retrieved January 03, 2009, from www.cisco.com/web/about/ac79/docs/wp/Dual\_Mode\_Phones\_WP\_0808a.pdf
- Wilkins, L., Holt, D., & Swatman, P. (2007, June 14-15). Through a glass darkly': Can theoretical models for technology adoption add value to enterprise wide system implementations? Paper presented at the CollECTeR Conference 2007 (Collaborative Electronic Commerce Technology and Research), North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
- Willett, C. (2002). Knowledge sharing shifts the power paradigm. Knowledge Management: Classic and Contemporary Works Retrieved February 02, 2009, from http://www.kmadvantage.com
- Wirelessbyeaccess.ccom. (2009). BlackBerry Solutions Higher Education. Retrieved Fab.20, 2009, from http://www.wirelessbyeaccess.com/index.asp
- WirelessGuide. (2007). Cell phone features. Retrieved January 21, 2009, from http://www.wirelessguide.org/phone/features.htm
- World Declaration on High Education for the Twenty-first Century: Vision and Action.
   (1998, Oct. 9). World declaration on high education for the twenty-first century: Vision and action. Paper presented at the World Conference on Higher Education.
- Xiang, Y., Wu, X., & Chen, Q. (2008, Sep. 21-24). Personal innovativeness and initial adoption of m-commerce: Toward an integrated model. Paper presented at the 4th International Conference on Management of Innovation and Technology (ICMIT), Bangkok, Thailand.

- Yahya, S., & Goh, W. (2002). Managing human resources toward achieving knowledge management. Journal of Knowledge Management, 6(5), 457-468.
- Yang, C. L., & Ismail, M. A. (2008). KNOWLEDGELINK: The knowledge management system (KMS) for higher learning institutions (HLIS). *KMTALK.net- Malaysian KM Community Portal.*
- Yeh, Y. M. (2005). The implementation of knowledge management system in Taiwan's higher education. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning*, 2(9), 35-52.
- Yi, M. Y., Jackson, J. D., Park, J. S., et al. (2006). Understanding information technology acceptance by individual professionals: Toward an integrative view. *Information & Management*, 43(3), 350-363.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Discovering the future of the case study methods in evaluation research. *Evaluation Practice*, 15, 283-290.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research design and methods (3 ed.). California: Sage Publication.
- Zahrawi, A. A., & Yahya, Y. (2009, Aug. 5-7). A framework for knowledge management system in higher learning institution: A case study of National University of Malaysia. Paper presented at the International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Zeti, D. B. E., & Saidatul, R. B. H. (2008, Dec 12-13). Communities of practice and knowledge sharing in a preparatory institution of higher learning. Paper presented at the International Conference on Science & Technology: Applications in Industry & Education, University Teknologi MARA Pulau. Penang. Malaysia.

Zhu, F., Wang, A., & Ju, Y. (2004, July 15-17). A framework to develop a university information portal. Paper presented at the International Conference on Information Acquisition, Hong Kong, China.

Zikmund, W. G. (2000). Business research methods. South-Western: The Dryden Press.