

**A FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING
KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER BETWEEN
LEARNERS AND INSTRUCTOR THROUGH
EXTERNALIZATION PROCESS**

KORAWAN SUEBSOM

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2010**

**A FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER BETWEEN
LEARNERS AND INSTRUCTOR THROUGH EXTERLIZATION PROCESS**

A Thesis submitted to the College of Arts and Sciences in full fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

Korawan Suebsom

© 2010, Korawan



Kolej Sastera dan Sains
(UUM College of Arts and Sciences)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

KORAWAN SUEBSON

calon untuk Ijazah _____ PhD
(candidate for the degree of)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**"A FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER BETWEEN LEARNED
AND INSTRUCTOR THROUGH EXTERNALIZATION PROCESS"**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **02 Jun 2010.**

That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on:

June 02, 2010.

Pengerusi Viva:
(Chairman for Viva)

Prof. Dr. Mohd Zaini Abdul Karim

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:
(External Examiner)

Prof. Dr. Peter Charles Woods

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:
(External Examiner)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shahizan Hassan

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

Prof. Dr. Zulkhairi Md Dahalin

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Tarikh:

(Date) **June 02, 2010**



Kolej Sastera dan Sains
(UUM College of Arts and Sciences)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

KORAWAN SUEBSON

calon untuk Ijazah PhD
(candidate for the degree of)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**"A FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER BETWEEN LEARNED
AND INSTRUCTOR THROUGH EXTERNALIZATION PROCESS"**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **02 Jun 2010.**

That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on:
June 02, 2010.

Pengerusi Viva:
(Chairman for Viva)

Prof. Dr. Mohd Zaini Abdul Karim

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:
(External Examiner)

Prof. Dr. Peter Charles Woods

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:
(External Examiner)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shahizan Hassan

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

Prof. Dr. Zulkhairi Md Dahalin

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Tarikh:

(Date) **June 02, 2010**

PERMISSION TO USE

In processing this thesis in full fulfilment of requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia. I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for coping this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part from scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisors or, in their absences, by the Director, Center for Graduate Studies. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia for my scholarly use which may be of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to

Dean of Research and Graduate Studies

College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

Knowledge transfer is important in the educational system. Most universities emphasize the effectiveness of knowledge transfer from the instructors to learners since the effectiveness of knowledge transfer can improve teaching methods. In the process of knowledge transfer from the instructors and learners, the three key characteristics of knowledge, knowledge ambiguity, knowledge disruption and knowledge distribution can cause problems in the knowledge transfer and thus affect the externalization of learners.

This study is aimed in constructing a framework for assessing the knowledge transfer, by way of online learning, from the instructors to the learners and to examine the three key characteristics of knowledge and their relationship with the transfer process and the learning outcomes. The study used a mixed method design using the data collected from three universities in Southern Thailand. The data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple regressions. The study too used the qualitative design for content analysis of the data collected from weblogs. The data was analyzed to determine the knowledge that learners gained and also the learners' reflection of the knowledge transfer. Using this analysis the effectiveness of online instructions from the instructors to learners was determined.

The research findings revealed some significant results involving the three key characteristics of knowledge. The knowledge of learners was explained by 21.5 percent of knowledge ambiguity. In addition, 40.3 percent of perceived knowledge was explained by knowledge distribution. Finally, 41.3 percent was explained the learners'

satisfaction when using tools and teaching techniques for knowledge distribution. The three key characteristics of knowledge together were, therefore, able to explain 64.3 percent of the learning outcomes.

There are major important implications, for theory, as developed from this study: (1) A framework for assessing knowledge transfer can contribute much to the instructors and learners in knowing what knowledge that the instructors can transfer to learners and what knowledge is gained by the learners. This framework is useful for the instructors in their evaluation of knowledge transfer by learners. The knowledge can be transferred through online learning from the instructors to learners using methods and tools that are appropriate for knowledge transfer. (2) The knowledge gained is influenced by knowledge ambiguity. It is hoped that the instructor or instructors first of all find the appropriate methodology, in their efforts, to transfer knowledge to learners in the classroom and thus improve or make clear the knowledge before the transfer occurs.

(3) A strong predictor of learners' behavior is knowledge disruption and as such it would be useful for the instructors to know the behavior of learners when using technology as a tool in transferring knowledge. (4) Another strong predictor for perceiving knowledge is knowledge distribution. The instructors need to know the prior knowledge of learners by testing the level of knowledge of the learners, whether it is low, medium or high before selecting the right methods to transfer knowledge.

(5) Learners' satisfaction of tools and teaching techniques are also influenced by knowledge distribution. The instructors need to create information details which are suitable for the course. The study suggests that the instructors should allow learners time to study and use a program. In addition, the feedback of learners from weblogs do tell

the instructors what the learners think of the knowledge transfer process, and what knowledge that can be externalized into documents from the process of knowledge transfer between the instructors and learners.

ABSTRAK

Pemindahan Pengetahuan adalah sangat penting dalam sistem pengajaran. Kebanyakan universiti menekankan kesan pemindahan pengetahuan dari pengajar kepada pelajar kerana keberkesanan pemindahan ini dapat memperbaiki kaedah pengajaran. Dalam proses pemindahan pengetahuan dari pengajar kepada pelajar, tiga ciri pengetahuan iaitu kekaburan pengetahuan, keganguan pengetahuan dan pengagihan pengetahuan boleh menimbulkan masalah dalam pemindahan dan ini boleh mengganggu pembelajaran para pelajar.

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membentuk satu rangka kerja bagi tujuan menilai pemindahan pengetahuan, melalui pengajian *online*, dari pengajar kepada pelajar dan mengkaji ketiga-tiga ciri pengetahuan serta perhubungan mereka dalam proses pemindahan dan hasil pembelajaran. Kajian ini menggunakan rekabentuk kaedah pelbagai dengan memperolehi data dari tiga universiti di Selatan Thailand. Data ini kemudian dianalisis melalui statistik diskriptif dan angka kandungan regresif. Dalam kajian ini, rekabentuk kualitatif digunakan dalam analisis kandungan dari data yang diperolehi dari *weblog*. Data ini dianalisis untuk menentukan pengetahuan yang pelajar telah perolehi dan juga refleksi pelajar terhadap pemindahan pengetahuan. Melalui analisis ini keberkesanan pengajaran secara *online* dari pengajar kepada pelajar dapat ditentukan.

Kajian ini menunjukkan beberapa keputusan penting yang merangkumi ketiga-tiga ciri pengetahuan. Pengetahuan pelajar dapat menjelaskan 21.5% kekaburan pengetahuan. Manakala, 40.3% dijelaskan melalui pengagihan pengetahuan dan akhir sekali, 41.3%

dijelaskan melalui kepuasan pelajar melalui kegunaan alat dan teknik pengajaran. Ketiga-tiga ciri ini dapat menjelaskan 64.3 % dari keberhasilan pengajaran.

Implikasi-implikasi teori yang diperolehi dari kajian ini ialah:

- (1) Satu rangka kerja untuk mengkaji pemindahan pengetahuan boleh menjelaskan jenis pengetahuan para pengajar dapat dipindahkan kepada pelajar dan apakah pengetahuan yang dapat diperolehi oleh pelajar. Rangka kerja ini sangat penting bagi pengajar dalam penilaian pemindahan pengetahuan oleh pelajar. Pengetahuan dapat dipindah melalui pengajaran *online* dari pengajar kepada pelajar melalui kaedah dan alat-alat yang sesuai dalam pemindahan pengetahuan.
- (2) Pengetahuan yang diperolehi dipengaruhi oleh kekaburan pengetahuan. Oleh kerana itu para pengajar diharap dapat mencari kaedah yang sesuai, dalam usaha mereka, dalam pemindahan pengetahuan kepada para pelajar dalam bilik darjah dan dengan cara ini dapat memperbaiki atau menjelaskan pengetahuan tersebut sebelum pemindahan pengetahuan berlaku.
- (3) Satu ramalan kelakuan pelajar adalah keganguan pengetahuan. Jadi adalah baik untuk para pengajar mengenali kelakuan pelajar dalam kegunaan teknologi sebagai satu alat dalam pemindahan pengetahuan.
- (4) Satu ramalan untuk mengetahui pengetahuan adalah pengagihan pengetahuan. Para pengajar harus tahu pengetahuan yang sediaada pada pelajar dengan menguji tahap pengetahuan mereka; adakah ia rendah, serdahana, atau tinggi sebelum satu pemilihan kaedah yang sesuai dalam pemindahan pengetahuan berlaku.

(5) Kepuasan pelajar terhadap alat dan teknik kaedah pengajaran juga dipengaruhi oleh pengagihan pengetahuan. Jadi para pengajar harus membina maklumat terperinci dan sesuai untuk kursus tersebut. Kajian ini berpendapat bahawa para pengajar harus membenarkan para pelajar lebih masa untuk mengkaji dan mengguna sesuatu program. Tamabahan pula, maklumbalas dari para pelajar melalui weblogs memberitahu pengajar apa yang difikirkan oleh pelajar tentang proses pemindahan pengetahuan, dan jenis pengetahuan yang boleh dizahirkan dalam dokumen-dokumen dari proses pemindahan pengetahuan di antara pengajar dan pelajar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I express my thanks to Prof. Dr. ZulKhairi Dahalin, my supervisor, who has supported my efforts and mentored me since I began my work at Universiti Utara Malaysia. I deeply grateful for all of the time and energy you have provided during my doctoral process. This dissertation is the fruition of the kindness, time, dedication, support and knowledge that has been given to me by the faculty and staff from UUM, past and present

Second, I want to say a special thanks my friends who are Ph.D students in UUM encouraging me to have integrity in the research that I do and all of their support idea in journal club. I want to thank my mom and dad for those times the only things you had to give was your mercy to God so that he would bless me. I know the sacrifices you made and I thank you, I love you all deeply.

Third, I want to thank my elder brothers and sister. I would not have made it to this point without you. I would like to thanks my experts from Nakhon si Thammarat Rajabhat University who advice me about the instrument in part of psychology, knowledge management, and research method and the last, I want to say thank you to my special sister who give me the willpower every time when I felt weakness, you made me strong and made me smile and has always been supportive of everything I do. Thank you. To all my friends and family, thank you for all of your support and love throughout years. You are my foundation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	PAGES
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLE	xiv
LIST OF FIGURE	xvii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The reasons for assessing knowledge transfer	3
1.3 Externalization	4
1.4 Using weblog to externalize knowledge	6
1.5 Problem Statement	7
1.6 Research Questions	11
1.7 Research Objectives	14
1.8 Summary of Hypotheses	15
1.9 Scope of the research	18
1.10 Significance of the research	19
1.11 Definition of terms	22

1.11 Organizations of the thesis	26
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	28
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Knowledge Management	31
2.3 Knowledge transfer	35
2.4 The SECI model	38
2.5 The impact of the all various teaching method on SECI model	44
2.6 Externalization in Higher Education	46
2.7 Weblogs	51
2.8 Knowledge transfer in higher education	53
2.9 Characteristics of knowledge	73
2.10 Bloom's Cognitive theory	81
2.11 Learning outcomes	88
2.12 Motivation	95
2.13 Summary	95
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	98
3.1 Introduction	98
3.2 Research Design	104
3.3 Hypotheses	109
3.4 The Instrument	113
3.5 Measurement Instrument and Scales	116
3.6 Pilot study	117

3.7 Sample Population	121
3.8 Data Collection	125
3.9 Independent variables, Dependent variables and Intervening variables	127
3.10 Data Analysis	127
3.11 Translation	131
3.12 Summary	132
CHAPTER 4 : DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	134
4.1 Introduction	134
4.2 Quantitative Analysis	134
4.2.1 Descriptive Analysis	135
4.2.2 Goodness of Measure	135
4.2.3 The Internal Consistency and Reliability of variables	148
4.2.4 Measuring the Knowledge transfer	151
4.3 Correlation between Independent, Dependent and Intervening variables	159
4.4 Multiple Regressions	161
4.5 T-test Analysis	177
4.6 Qualitative Analysis	181
4.7 Summary	191

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATION	193
5.1 Introduction	193
5.2 Summary of the findings	193
5.3 Discussion of the findings	194
5.4 Implication of education	215
5.5 Summary	219
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OF RESEARCH	220
6.1 Introduction	220
6.2 Chapter one: Research questions addressed	220
6.3 Conclusions	229
6.4 Limitation of the research	232
6.5 Research findings and knowledge contribution	233
6.6 Suggestions for future research	234
REFERENCES	235
APPENDIX A: INSTRUMENT	272

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Key focus of researchers on definition of knowledge transfer	37
Table 2.2 Summarized advantage and disadvantage of the SECI model	40
Table 2.3 Summarized the research from knowledge transfer online learning	60
Table 2.4 Summarized the previous studied of traditional lecture	64
Table 2.5 Summarized the previous studied of problem-based learning	71
Table 2.6 Summary of the characteristics of knowledge	80
Table 3.1 Overview of the research method	101
Table 3.2 Measurement Instrument Scales	117
Table 3.3 KMO values of the factor analysis	120
Table 4.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Sample	136
Table 4.2 Normality test	137
Table 4.3 Testing the homogeneity of variance	138
Table 4.4 Minimum, Maximum and Mean Scores of Predictor variables and Dependent variables	139
Table 4.5 Factor loading of ambiguity variable	141
Table 4.6 Factor loading of disruption variable	142
Table 4.7 Factor loading of distribution variable	143
Table 4.8 Factor loading of attitude variable	144
Table 4.9 Factor loading of practice variable	146
Table 4.10 Factor loading of perceiving knowledge	147
Table 4.11 Factor loading of tools and teaching techniques	148
Table 4.12 The internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha of variables)	149
Table 4.13 Measurement level	152

Table 4.14 Knowledge ambiguity	153
Table 4.15 Knowledge disruption	153
Table 4.16 Knowledge distribution	153
Table 4.17 Level of knowledge gain by learners	155
Table 4.18 Attitude of learners	156
Table 4.19 Practice of learners	156
Table 4.20 Summary of perceived knowledge transfer	157
Table 4.21 Summary of the learners's satisfaction of tools and teaching Techniques	158
Table 4.22 Summary of motivation	159
Table 4.23 Correlation between the independent and dependent variables	160
Table 4.24 Skewness and Kurtosis of all variable	162
Table 4.25 Suumary of the results	169
Table 4.26 Coefficient of Predictors in the Best fit model and Collinearity statistics	170
Table 4.27 Summary of the results	170
Table 4.28 Cofficient of predictors in the best fit model	171
Table 4.29 Summary of the results	172
Table 4.30 Coefficient of predictors in the best fit model and Collinearity statistics	172
Table 4.31 Summary of the results	173
Table 4.32 F-test and Anova summary of Predictor of the characteristics Of knowledge and learning outcomes	174

Table 4.33 Coefficient of predictors in the best fit model and Collinearity	
Statistics	174
Table 4.34 Summary of the results	176
Table 4.35 Coefficient of predictors in the best fit model and Collinearity	
Statistics	176
Table 4.36 t-test results of students using weblog	177
Table 4.37 t-test results of students using weblog	178
Table 4.38 Summary of Hypotheses	179
Table 4.39 Descriptive characteristics of students' weblog	182
Table 4.40 Weblog contents	184
Table 4.41 Demographics information of interview participant	188

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Review literature of assessing knowledge transfer	30
Figure 2.2 SECI model	38
Figure 2.3 the learning management process using the SECI model	43
Figure 2.4 Metaphors of MS-Word	47
Figure 2.5 MS-PowerPoint as a metaphor	47
Figure 2.6 the metaphor of computer processing	48
Figure 2.7 Summing up number from 1 to 100	49
Figure 2.8 Knowledge ambiguities in educational system	74
Figure 2.9 Knowledge disruption in educational system	76
Figure 2.10 Inter process distribution	78
Figure 2.11 Intra process distribution	79
Figure 2.12 The cognitive domain	82
Figure 2.13 Blooms' Taxonomy for thinking	83
Figure 2.14 The affective domain	84
Figure 2.15 the Psychomotor domain	85
Figure 2.16 the link between SECI model and learning assessment	86
Figure 3.1 A framework for assessing knowledge transfer between learned And instructor through externalization process	102
Figure 3.2 Overview of research design both quantitative and qualitative Design	105
Figure 3.3 A sample of this study	123
Figure 4.1 A histogram of residual scores in regression model with the The results of assessing knowledge transfer	164

Figure 4.2 Normal probability	165
Figure 4.3 Example scatter plot for examining linearity and Homoscedasticity	166
Figure 4.4 The example of weblog posted by learners	185
Figure 4.5 The example of weblog posted by learners in Thai language	186

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

This thesis looks at the effectiveness of knowledge transfer, when using the assessment model to assess knowledge transfer from the instructors to learners. In addition, this thesis uses weblogs that learners have externalized their knowledge in and reflected on in the process of knowledge transfer in an educational system that aims to offer professional opportunities for the learners. Knowledge, as we know it, not only will affect an individual's capability but will also lead him or her to perform better outside the walls of the university.

Knowledge includes both experience and understanding by learners in the educational system and the information artefacts, such as homework, documents, projects and reports available within the university and the world outside (Nonaka et al., 2000; Argote et al., 2000). Knowledge can exist in two primary forms, explicit knowledge and tacit knowledge. While explicit knowledge is expressed and transferred in the form of documents and systematic methods by way of rules and procedures (Nonaka et al., 1995; Gouza, 2006), tacit knowledge is highly personalized and hard to formalize. It is embedded in the human mind and body as ideas, insight, skills and experiences. This type of knowledge, communicated to others, as tacit knowledge is “intangible” and is in the minds of the holders (Gourlay, 2006). To convert tacit knowledge to explicit knowledge

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I & Fishbein, M. Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980.
- Alavi, M. and Tiwana, A. (2002). "Knowledge Integration in Virtual Teams: The Potential Role of KMS," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(12): 1029-1037.
- Alavi, M. (1997). KMPG Peat Marwick U.S.: One giant brain (Case Study) No, 9-397-108). Boston: Harvard Business School.
- Alavi, M., (1999). Knowledge management system: Issue, Challenges, and Benefits. *Journal of Communications of the Association for Information System*, 1(2), 1-37.
- Alias, M., Black, T.R and Gray, D.E., The relationship between spatial visualisation ability and problem solving in structural design. *World Trans. on Engng. And Technology Educ.*, 2, 2, 273-276 (2003).
- Albino, V., Garavelli, A.C., & Gorgoglione, M. (2004). Organization and technology in knowledge transfer. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 11(6), 584-600.
- Ali, A.M. Cucas, C., & Hussain, F. (2004). Managing knowledge effectively, *Journal of knowledge management practice*, (5), 1-13.
- Alkhalidi, M. & Olaimat, M. (2006). Knowledge Conversion and Transfer: A Mathematical Interpretation, *Journal of Information, Knowledge and Management*, 1.

- Alvarez, K., Salas, E., & Garofano, C.M. (2004). An integrated model of training evaluation and effectiveness. *Human Resource Development Review* 3(4), 385-416.
- Andriessen, D. (2006). On the metaphorical nature of intellectual capital: a textual analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 7(1), 93-110.
- Antonius, R. (2003). *Interpreting quantitative data with SPSS*, ISBN 0761973982, SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Archer, N. & Wang, S. (2002). Knowledge Management in the network organization. *24th Int Conf. Information Technology Interfaces, ITI 2002, Croatia*, Retrieve August, 26, 200 from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ielx5/7968/22030/01024690.pdf?arnumber=1024690>
- Argote, L., Ingram, P., Levine, J.M., & Moreland, R. L. (2000). Knowledge transfer in organizations: learning from the experience of others. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 82(1), 1-8
- Argote, P. (2000). Knowledge transfer: a basis for competitive in firms, *Organization Behavior and Human Decision Process*, 82, 150-169.
- Arthur, A and The American Productivity and Quality Center (1996), *The Knowledge Management Assessment Tool: External Benchmarking Version*, Arthur Anderson/APQC, Chicago, IL.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L.C., Razavieh, A., & Sorensen, C. (2006). *Introduction to research in education* (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.
- Assiter K. (2008). Attention and Learning in the connected Classroom, *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges* 24(1) (October 2008) 219-226 ISSN:1937-4771.

- Babbie, E. (2004). *The practical of social research*. 10th ed, USA, New Jersey: Van Nostrand.
- Babbie, Earl. (1990). *Survey research methods*. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Wadworth.
- Bailey,A., Laing,C.C.(2007). The effects of social capital on knowledge sharing within a virtual collaborative learning community, *In Proceedings of the 6th Annual ISOnEworld Conference*, April 11-13, 2007, Las Vegas, NV .www.isoneworld.org
- Balasundaram, R.S.& Ramadoss, B. (2006). Management and Selection of Visual Metaphors for Courseware Development in Web Based Learning. Retrieve August, 26, 2006, from <http://www.ieee.com>,
- Berryman R. (2005). Knowledge Management in Virtual Organizations: A study of A Best Practices Knowledge transfer Model, *Unpublished doctoral Dissertation*, University of North Texas.
- Blood, R. (2002). *The weblog handbook: Practical advice on creating and maintaining your blog*. Cambridge MA: Perseus Publishing.
- Bloom, B.S. (Ed). "Taxonomy of educational objectives: *The classification of educational goals: Handbook I , cognitive domain*", New York; Toronto; Longmans, Green, (1956).
- Boisot, M. (1999), *Knowledge Assets, Securing Competitive Advantage in the Information Age*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Box, I. (2003). Assessing the Assessment: an Empirical Study of an Information Systems Development Subject. *Proceeding of the fifth Australasian conference on Computer education, 20 ACE '03*. Retrieve, April 29, 2007, from <http://www.portal.acm.org>.

- Boud, D. & Feletti, G.I. (Ed) (1991). *The Challenge of Problem-Based Learning*. Kogan Page : London.
- Breeze, R. (2002). Attitudes Towards Learning Autonomy Among Spanish University Students. Retrieve, April 29, 2007, from <http://www.attantisjournal.org/papers/24-1/breeze.pdf>.
- Brislin, R.W. (1970). Back-translation for cross-cultural research, *Journal of Cross-Cultural, Psychology* 1, 185-216.
- Brog R.W., Gall P. J., & Gall D.M. (2007). Educational Research: An Introduction, Pearson Education, Inc, 379-380.
- Buckman, R. (1998). Lions, tigers and bears: Following the road from command and control to knowledge sharing. White Paper. Retrieved August, 26, 2008 from <http://www.knowledge-nuture.com/>
- Bure, Valdimir. (2006). Knowledge management and its contribution to an organization's competitiveness. *Proceeding of Hradecké ekonomické dny, 2006*, Gaudeamus, Hradec Králové, s. 55-60, 2006 ISBN 8070418958
- Burger, A. & Blignaut, P. (2004). A computer literacy course may initially be detrimental to students' attitudes towards computers, *Proceedings of the 2004 annual research conference of the South African institute of computer scientists and information technologists on IT research in developing countries, 10-14, October 04-06, 2004*, Stellenbosch, Western Cape, South Africa. Development: Results of the Tempus project: CD_JEP-16086-2001. Varaždin.
- Bures, V. (2006). Knowledge Management and Its contribution to an organization's competitiveness, *Proceedings of Hradecké ekonomické dny 2006*, Gaudeamus, Hradec Králové, 55-60.

Carlile, R.P. & Rebentisch, E.S. (2003). Into the Black Box: The Knowledge Transformation Cycle. *Journal of Management Science*, 49(9), 1180-1195.

Caver, R.H. (2007). Ambiguity Intolerance: An Impediment to Inferential Reasoning? *ASA Section on Statistical Education*. Retrieve, January, 20,2008, from <http://www.statlit.org/PDF/2006CarverASA.pdf,2248-2255>.

Cavana, R.Y., Delahaye, B. L., & Sekaran, U. (2001). Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods. Singapore: Markono Print Media Pte.

Chantarasorn, A., Suwattananand, N., Suwanwongse, S., Janwityanujit, S., Sonranastaporn, S. (2003). The achievement in English language learning of medical students. *Journal of SLLT*, 12, October.

Chapagain M. L. (1998). Introducing problem-based learning into an organ system programme, *Medical Teacher*, 20 (6) November 1998 , 587 - 589

Chatti, M.A., Klamma, R., Jarke, M., Vana K., Dimitra, P., Milos, K., et al. (2007). *Technology Enhanced Professional Learning*, Retrieve October 30, 2007, from <http://www.I5.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/lehretuh/staff/chatti/download/tepl.pdf>

Choo, C.(1996). An Integrated Information Model of the organization: *The knowledge organization*. Retrieve August,24,2007, from <http://www.fis.u.infornto-ca/people/facelth/choo/FISKO/ko.html/#contents>.

Choudrie, J. (2006). The Consideration of Meta-Abilities in Tacit Knowledge Externalization and Organizational Learning. *Proceeding of the 39th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Retrieve March 29, 2007, from <http://www.ieee.com>

Choy, S.C. (2006). Criteria for Measuring Knowledge Management Implementation: Holistic Approach. Proceeding of Knowledge management International Conference & Exhibition (KMICE) 2006, 123-131.

Choy, S.C. (2006). Criteria for Measuring Knowledge Management Performance Outcomes in Organisations. *Proceeding of Knowledge management International Conference & Exhibition (KMICE) 2006*, 123-131.

Chute A, Thompson M. & Hancock B. (1999). *The McGraw-Hill Handbook of Distance Learning*. USA.: McGraw-Hill.

Coakes, S.J. & Steed, L.G. (2000). *SPSS analysis without anguish*, Singapore: Wiley.

Cohen J. & Patricia C. (1983). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral science* 2nd Ed. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.

Cohen, J. (1969). *Statistical power analysis for behavioral sciences*, New York: Academic Press.

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral science*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Cohen, W.M., & Levinthal, D.A. (1990). Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on learning and Innovation. *Administrative Science Quaterly*, 35, 128-152.

Cook, S. & Brown, J.S. (1999). Bridging Epistemologies: The Generative Dance Between Organizational Knowledge and Organizational Knowing. *Organization Science*, 10(4), 381-400.

Cooper, D.R., & Schindler, P.S. (2001). *Business research method*, Singapore: McGraw-Hill.

- Jill, E. Courte (2007). Comparing student acceptance and performance of online activities to classroom activities, *Conference On Information Technology Education (formerly CITE) Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGITE conference on Information technology education*, Destin, Florida, USA SESSION: Learning and teaching in IT 2 185-190 Year of Publication: 2007 ISBN:978-1-59593-920-3
- Cranefield, J.,& Yoong, P. (2006). The role of Translation and Interpretation in Facilitating Inter-organisational Knowledge transfer. Proceeding of Knowledge management International Conference & Exhibition (KMICE) 2006, 232-239.
- Curtis D. (2001). Exploring collaborative online learning. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Network*. v5 i1. 21-34.
- Dahalin, Z. (2000). Factor affecting end-user computing sophistication in small business, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Aston University.
- Darr, E.D.& Kurtzberg, T.R. (2000). An investigation of partner similarity dimensions on knowledge transfer. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process*, Volume 82, Number1.
- David, T. (2003). What do we know about teaching your children? A Professional User Review of UK Research Based on the BERA Academic Review Early Year Research: *Pedagogy, Curriculum and Adult Roles, Training and Professionalism*. Nottingham:British Educational Research Association.
- Davis, M., Curtis, M., & Tschetter, D. (2003). Evaluating cognitive Training Outcomes: Validity and Utility of Structural Knowledge Assessment. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 18(2), 191-206.
- Derry, J. S., Hmelo,S., Cindy, E., Nagarajan, A.J., Halfpap,B.(2005). Making a Mesh of it: A STELLAR Approach to Teacher Professional Development. *Proceeding of ih2005 conference on Computer support for collaborative learning: learning 2005: the next 10 years! CSCL'05*. Retrieve April 29, 2007, from <http://www.portal.acm.org>.

- Dess, G.G. Lumpkin, G.T., & Covin, J.G. (1999). Entrepreneurial strategy making and firm performance: test of contingency and configurational models, *Strategic Management Journal*, 18 (9), 677-695.
- Divjak, B. (Ed). (2005). Aspects of Organization and Information Systems: Curriculum Development: Results of the Tempus project: CD_JEP-16086-2001. Varaždin.
- Dixon, N.M. (2000). Common knowledge: How companies thrive by sharing what they know. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Dziuban, C., Moskal, P., Hartman, J. (2005). In J. Bourne & Moore J.C. (Eds). Elements of Quality Online Education: Engaging Communities, Needham, MA: Sloan Center for Online Education, 2005.
- Doctorow, C. (2002). My Blog, My Outboard Brain, weblog entry, San Francisco, O'Reilly Network <http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/javascript/2002/01/01/cory.html>
- Doctorow, C., Dornfest, R., Johnson, J. S., Powers, S., Trott, B., & Trott, M. G. (2002). *Essential blogging*. Sebastopol: O'Reilly. Dragan,
- DiEbbim, C.M., & Hilton, S.C. (2005). High School Students' Knowledge, Attitudes, and Levels of Enjoyment of an Environmental Education Unit on Nonnative Plants, *Journal of Environmental Education*, v37 n1 p13-25 Fall 2005.
- Dochy F.J.R.C., & Alexander, P.A. (1995). Mapping prior knowledge: a framework for discussion among researchers. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 10, 225-242.
- E.F. Churchill and M. Reddy (Eds) CVE 2000. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Collaborative Virtual Environments, September, San Francisco, USA. New York: ACM Press. 2000.

- Elmore, P.E., Beggs, D.L. (1975), "Salience of concepts and commitment to extreme judgements in response pattern of teachers", *Education*, Vol. 95 No.4, pp.325-34.
- Elmore, Richard. (2002). Hard questions about practice. *Educational Leadership*. 59(8): 22- 25.
- Elmore, Richard, McLaughlin, Milbrey W. (1985). *Strategic choice in federal education policy: The compliance-assistance trade-off. Urban policy in a changing federal system: Proceedings of a symposium*. Chicago: National Academies Press, pp. 159-194.
- Fenwick, J.T. (2002). Problem-Based Learning, Group Process and the Mid-career Professional: Implications for Graduate Education , *Higher Education Research & Development*, 21(1) May 2002 , pages
- Field,A.P. (2005). Factor Analysis Using SPSS, Retrieve Febuary,25,2008 from <http://www.sussex.ac.uk/Users/andyf/factor.pdf>
- Foo,T., Schum,G.,& Rothenberg,S. (2006). Tacit knowledge transfer and the knowledge disconnect. *Journal of knowledge management*, 10(1).
- Frazier, P. A., Tix, A. P., & Barron, K. E. (2004). Testing moderator and mediator effects in counseling psychology research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 51, 115-134.
- Frary, R. (2002). A Brief Guide to Questionnaire Development, Retrieve, December,20, 2008, from <http://ericae.net/ft/tamu/vpiques3.htm>
- Gay, L. R., & Airasian, P. (2000). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and application* (6th ed.).Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Garcia,M.F.E.,De Martin,C.G.,&Lopez,S.P.(2005). A measurement model of technological knowledge in professional firms, Retrieve August,23,2007, from <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/ind/ijstm/2005/00000005/F0020005/art00010>

George D and P Mallery, 2006: *SPSS for Windows- Step by Step*. Pearson Education,Darling Kindersley (India).

Gerzina, M., Worthington, R., & McMahon, C. (2003). Student Use and Perceptions of Different Learning Aids in a Problem-Based Learning (PBL) Dentistry Course, *Journal of Dental Education*, 641-653.

Gerjets P.H. & Hesse F.W. (2005), When are powerful learning environments effective? The role of learner activities and of students' conceptions of educational technology, *International Journal of Educational Research* 41 (2005), pp. 445–465.

Glassberg, V. & Teng, J.T.C. (2006). Information System Research with an Attitude, *The database of Advances in information Systems-Spring-Summer*, 30, 2-3.

Goodall, G. (2003). The Profession of Knowledge Conversion: Continued relevance for information professionals, 1-10. Retrieve October, 29, 2006, from <http://www.deregulo.com/facetaion.html>

Gourlay S. (2004). The SECI model of knowledge creation: some empirical shortcomings. Retrieve October, 25,2006, from <http://myweb.tiscali.co.uk/sngourlay/PDF/Gourlay%202004%/20SECI.pdf>.

Gourlay S. (2006). Conceptualizing Knowledge Creation: A Critique of Nonaka's Theory, *Journal of Manaement Stuiies*, 1-22

- Gouza, A. (2006). Key Factor of Knowledge Transfer within University Spin-offs. Retrieve October, 30, 2006, from <http://www.secience.uab.es/dep-economia>
- Green M. (2005). The representation of a contingency theory in organization management studies: knowledge management in the academy? *Icfaian Journal of Management Research IV* (1) 62-73
- Guizzardi, R., Aroyo, L., & Wagner, G. (2003). Agent-oriented Knowledge Management in Learning Environments: A Peer-to-Peer Helpdesk Case Study. Proceedings of AAAI Spring Symposium on Agent Mediated Knowledge Management (AMKM'03), Stanford University, March/2003.
- Gupta, AK., & Govindarajan V. (2000). Knowledge flows within multinational corporations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(4).
- Gustavo, A. (2006). Measuring the Perceived transfer of learning and training for a customer service training program delivered by line managers to call center employees in a fortune 200 financial services company, Unpublished doctoral Dissertation, University of North Texas.
- Guthrie, J.T., Wigfield, A., Barbosa, P., Perencivich, K.C., Taboada, A., Davis, M.H. et al. (2004). Increasing Reading Comphension and Engagement through concept-oriented reading instruction, *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 403-423.
- Guzman, G.A.C., & Wilson, J. (2003), "Organisational knowledge transfer in modular production networks: experiences from Brazil", in Gregory, M., Shi, Y. (Eds), *Exploiting the Potential of International Supply Networks*, Institute for Manufacturing, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, pp.110-30.
- Hair F. Joseph., Black, C.W., Babin J.B., Anderson E. R., Tatham L. R. *Multivariate Data Analysis* sixth edition, ISBN 0-13-032929-0, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2006.

- Hamilton, H. (2003). Assessment as a policy tool. In R. E. Floden (Ed.), *Review of Research in Education* (Vol. 27, pp. 25-68). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- Hanley, S. (1994). *Maryland collaborative for teacher preparation*. University of Maryland. Retrieved January 18, 2008 from <http://www.inform.umd.edu/UMS%2BState/UMD-Projects/MCTP/Essays/Constructivism.txt>
- Hannu, K. (2004). Knowledge Conversion in Organizational contexts: A Framework and Experiments, Proceedings of the 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. Retrieved October 30, 2007 from <http://w.ieee.org>.
- Hansen, M. Nohria, N., Kierney, T. (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge? *Harvard Business Review*, 7(2), 106-17.
- Hansen, M.T. (1999). The search transfer problem: The role of weak ties in sharing knowledge across organizational sub-units, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44, 82-111.
- Hardy, M. & Bryman, A. (2004). *Handbook of data analysis*, SAGE Publications, London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi, ISBN 079619 66528.
- He Lei & Patricia Brandt (2007). WEAS: A Web-based Educational Assessment System, *ACM Southeast Regional Conference Proceedings of the 45th annual southeast regional conference* Winston-Salem, North Carolina SESSION: 126-131 ISBN:978-1-59593-629-5.
- Heidi J. C. Ellis. (2006). An Evaluation of learning in an Online Project-based Web application Design and Development course, *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges archive* 21 (6).

- Heng, Yi, C. (2005). The study of Differential Relationships between Human Resource Practices and Organizational Commitment: Private Banking Industry In Taiwan. Doctoral Dissertation, University of the Incarnate Word.
- Hilton, C.S. & DiEnno, C.M. (2005). High School Students' Knowledge, Attitude, and Levels of Enjoyment of an Environmental Education Unit of Nonnative Plants. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, Fall 2005, 37 (1).
- Hj Din, M. S. (1997). Entrepreneurship Development in Malaysia Sydney: NSW Agency.
- Ho. R. Handbook of Univariate and Multivariate Data Analysis and Interpretation with SPSS, ISBN 1-58488-602-1, Chapman & Hall/CRC Taylor & Francis Group, 2006
- Hoadley, C.M. & Kilner, P.G.(2003). Using Technology to Transform Communities of Practice into Knowledge-Building Communities, *Siggroun Bulletin* Vol.25,(1)31
- Hristo, T., Wennerberg. P.O. (2008). Learning to Populate an Ontology of Politically Motivated Violent Events. In: Domenico Perrotta, Jakub Piskorski, Françoise Soulié-Fogelman & Ralf Steinberger (eds.): *Mining Massive Data Sets for Security*. IOS Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S.E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277-1288.
- Hussain, F. & Lucas, C. (2004). Managing Knowledge Effectively, *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, 5, Retrieved August, 26, 2007 from <http://www.tlinc.com/article66.htm>.

- Huysman ,B., H.V.D. (1998). Supporting and Frustrating Organizational Learning: Exploring the role of information system in process of organization learning, *Proceeding 3st Annual Hawii International conference on system science*.
- Huysman, M.H.& Van der Blonk, H. (1998). Exploring the role of information systems and organizational learning, an overview", *Proceedings of the "31st Hawaii International Conference on Information Systems"*, Volume I, Kohala Coast, US, January, IEEE Computer Society Press, ISBN 0-8186-82343-71.
- Hwang, Y. & Yi, M., (2003). Predicting the use of web-based information systems: Self-efficacy, enjoyment, learning goal orientation, and the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59, 431-449.
- Ibrahim, M., Ogston, S.C., Alhasso, D., Mukhopadhyay, S. Greater knowledge gain with structured than student-directed learning in Child Health: cluster randomized trial , Retrieve August,29.2007from <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/apl/cmte/2006/00000028/00000003/art0009>
- Inkpen, A.C. & Dinur, A. (1998). The transfer and management of knowledge in the multinational corporation: considering context. In, Timbrell, G. & Andrews, N. (2002). Barriers to Knowledge Transfer.Advance Knowledge Transfer Workshop. AIRJV Conference- 1st October, Queensland University of Technology.
- Jacob, M., & Ebrahimpur, G. (2001). Experience vs expertise: the role of implicit understanding of knowledge in determining the nature of knowledge transfer in two companies. *Journal of intellectual capital*, Volume 2, Number 1
- Jarke, M., Klamma, R., & Chatti, A.M.(2006). Technology Enhanced Professional Learning:Process, Challenges and Requirements. Retrieve October, 30, 2006, from <http://www-i5.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/lehrstuhl/staff/chatti/download/TEPL.pdf>

- Jashapara, A. (2004). Knowledge Management-An integrate approach, Harlow, England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Jennings, G. (2001). Tourism research, John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd, 2001, Singapore.
- Jiang, H., Liu, X., Soh, K.,& Zhang,X. (2005). Electronic Information Technology, IEEE International Conference 5(22-25). Retrieve from June, 23, 2007 from <http://www.ieee.com>.
- Jones, G.H. & Jone, B.H. (2005). A Comparison of Teacher and Student Attitudes Concerning Use and Effectiveness of Web-based Course Management Software. *International Forum of Educational Technology Society (IFETS)*.
- Kaiser, H.F. (1974) An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39, 31-36.
- Kaiser, F.G., Woelfing, S., & Fuhrer, U., 1999. Environmental attitude and ecological behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*,19,1-19.
- Kanagasabapthy, K.A, Radhakrishnan, R., & Balasubramanian, S (2006). Knowledge Management System (KMS) Implementation framework for engineering project application. *KMPro Journal*, 3(1), 25-32.
- Kariuki, P. (2006). The Effects of Drama on the Performance of at-Risk Elementary Math Students, *the Annual Conference of the Mid-South Educational Research Association*, Birmingham, Alabama,Nov(8-10).
- Kellert, S. 1984. "Attitudes Toward Animals: Age-Related Development Among Children." *Journal of Environmental Education*.

- Kellert, S., & Westervelt, M.O. 1980. *Children's Attitudes, Knowledge and Behaviors Toward Animals*. Phase V of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service study. U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C.
- Kazmie, J.L. (1996). *Theory and problems of business statistics: Introduction to business statistics and intermediate business statistics*. Third edition, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.USA.
- Keane, T. M. (2007) Transfer Between Analogies: How to Solving One Analogy Problem Helps to Solve Another. *Journal of the American Physical Society*. Retrieve April, 20, 2007, from <http://link.aps.org/abstract/prestper>
- Kellam,S, Ling,X, Merisca,R. Brown,C.H. & Ialongo, N. (1998). The effect of the level of aggression in the first grade classroom on the course and malleability of aggressive behavior into middle school,. *Development and Psychopathology*,10,165-185.
- Kellert, S.R. and Westervelt, M.O. 1983. *Children's Attitudes, Knowledge, and Behavior Toward Animals*. Government Printing Office report no. 024-010-00641-2.
- Kennedy J.S. (2007). Learning and Transfer compared in two teaching methods: Online Problem-based Learning and Traditional Lecture method, Unpublished, Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University.
- Kess,P., Phusavat, K.,Torkko, M., & Takala, J. (2008). External knowledge: sharing and transfer for outsourcing relationships, *International Journal of Business and Systems Research*, 2(2),196-213.
- Kess,P.,Torkko,M. & Phusavat, K. (2007). Knowledge transfer for effective outsourcing relationships, *Proceedings of the ITI, 2007, 29th Inf. Conference.on Information technology Interface*, June 25-28, 2007.

- Kim, J. & Mueller, C.W. (1990). *Factor analysis: statistical methods and practical issues*. Newsbury Park: *SAGE Publications*.
- King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. Retrieved August, 26, 2008, from www.google.com.
- Kinney, T.C., Taylor, J.R. (1996), *Marketing Research: An Applied Approach*, 5th ed., Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, NY,
- Kivijarvi, H. (2004). Knowledge Conversion in Organization Contexts: A Framework and Experiments, *Proceedings of the 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Retrieved October, 23, 2006, from <http://www.ieee.com>
- Klausmeier, J. H. (1985). *Educational Psychology*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Klein, H.J., Noe, R.A., Wang, C. (2006). Motivation to learn and course outcomes: the impact of delivery mode, learning goal orientation and perceived barriers and enablers. *Personal Psychology*, 59, 665-702.
- Kleinman, J., & Entin, E. B. (2002). Comparison of in-class and distance learning students' performance and attitudes in an introductory computer science course. *The Journal of Computing in Small Colleges*, 17(6), 206-219
- Ko, D.G., Kirsch, L.J., & King, W.R. (2005). Antecedents of knowledge transfer from consultants to clients in enterprise system implementations. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 29(1), 59-85.

- Koskinen, K.U. (2003). Evaluation of tacit knowledge utilization in work units, *Journal of knowledge management*, 7(5), 67-81.
- Koschmann et al., (1996) computers in education. In: Koschmann, T. (Ed.), *CSCL: Theory and practice of an emerging paradigm*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ.
- Koskinen, K.U. (2003). The role boundary objects in tacit knowledge communication, *Proceeding of 3rd, International conference on Researching Work and Learning Tampere*.
- Krippendorff, K. *Content Analysis: an Introduction to its methodology 2nd*, ISBN 0761915443, Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage, 2004.
- Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Laing, C.C & Bailey, A. (2007). The effects of social capital on knowledge sharing behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 19, 1-19
- Laverde, O., Fdez, A., Baragano., & Dominguez, S. (2003). On Overview of the Principal Models, *Proceeding of the 3rd European Knowledge Management Summer School, 7-12 Sept, San Sebastian, Spain*. Retrieved October, 25, 2006, from http://www.Providersedge.com/docs/km_articles/knowledge_Processes-An_Overview
- Lawless, A.K., Scharader P.G., & Mayall J.H. (2007). Acquisition of Information Online: Knowledge, Navigation and Learning Outcomes. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 289-306.

- Lowyck, J., Elen, J., & Clarebout, G. (2005). Instructional conceptions: analysis from an instructional design perspective. *International journal of educational research*, 41(6), 429-444.
- Lee ,F.T. & Yeap, B.H. (2005). Application of effective teaching and learning metods in engineering education. Retrieve, August, 26, from <http://www.formatex.org/micte2005/19.pdf>
- Lee,J.E. (2007). Design of Blended Train for transfer, Department of Instructional System Technology, Indiana University, Doctor of Philosophy, ISBN 327976, 1-159.
- Little. (1999). The contribution of electrophysiology to knowledge of the acute and chronic effects of ethanol, *Pharmacol*, Retrieve, January,26, 2008, from http://www.psychologie.leidenuniv.nl/cog/content_docs/PublicatiesHommel/alc-ohol_consumption_impairs_feature_binding_fms.pdf
- Li Weiqi, Zhang, H., Li Ping. (2004). Assessing the Knowledge Structure of Information Systems Learners in Experience-Based Learning. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 15 (2), 205-216.
- Manski ,F.C. (1999). Treatment choice under ambiguity induced by Inferential Problems, International Symposium on Imprecise probabilities and their Applications.
- Marwick, A.D. (2001). Knowledge management technology, *IBM SYSTEMS Journal*, 40(4), 814-830.
- Mayring,P. (2000). Qualitative Content Analysis, Forum Qualitative Social Research, 1(2), June, 2000. Retrieve August,28, 2008 from <http://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/1089/2385>

- McComb, B.L. (1997). Self-assessment and reflection: Tools for promoting teacher changes toward learners-centered practices. *National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin*, 81, 1-14.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2006). *Research in education: Evidence-Based Inquiry* (6th Ed.). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc. ISBN: 0-205-45530-1
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education: Evidence-Based Inquiry* (6th Ed.). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc. ISBN: 0-205-45530-1
- McCombs, B. L., & Whisler, J. S. (1997). The learner-centered classroom and school: Strategies for increasing student motivation and achievement. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- McDaniel, C., & Rogers, G. (1999). *Contemporary marketing research*. (4th Ed.) Cincinnati, Ohio: South Western College Publishing.
- Meena M.L., Sharma N.K., Dudi Aishwaraya. (January, 2007). Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure Knowledge of Buffalo Keepers. *Indian Res.J.* , 17-21.
- Meyer C., Chalon R., David B. (2003). Adaptive Hypermedia based on Metaphors as an Instructional Strategy, *Proceedings of the The 3rd IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT'03)* 0-7695-1967-9/03 \$17.00 © 2003 IEEE
- Meyer, B. & Sugiyama, K. (2007). The concept of knowledge in knowledge management: a dimension model. *Journal of knowledge management*, 11(1), 17-35.
- Monin, J. (2006). Tacit to explicit: an interplay shaping organization knowledge. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10(3), 72-85.

- Monroe, C.R. (2005). The cultural contest of disruptive behavior 'an overview of research considerations for school educators. *Improving schools @SAGE Publication* 8(2) July, 2005, 153-1159, ISSN 1365-4802.
- Mosher, R.(1998). Model for Perception, Retrieve, September,23,2007, from <http://serendip.brynmawr.edu/bb/neuro/neuro98/202s98-paper1/Mosher.html>
National Education Commission. (2005). Retrieve, June,27,2007, from www.onec.go.th/Act/acteng/index_acteng.htm
- Namwa Y, & Ratgoo A.(2008). Weblog as a learning tool in higher education, *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE* July 2008 ISSN 1302-6488
 Volume: 9 Number: 3 Article 15. Retrieve August,13,2009 from http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content_storage_01/0000019b/80/3e/58/ad.pdf
- National Education commission, (2002). Retrieve, August,23, 2007 from http://www.edthai.com/publication/edu2002/ed_in_thai.html
- Nardi, B., D. Schiano (2004). Blogging a social Activity, or would you let900 Million People Read your diary? *Conference on computer-supporte Cooperative work, New York ACM Press*.
- Nath, L. & Anderson, L. (2007). The effect of classroom discussion techniques on student satisfaction: A Quasi-experment, *MountainRise, the International Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* Spring 2007
- Net Industries.(2001). Retrieve, January,27,2007, from http://www.med.govt.nz/templates/MultipageDocumentTOC_16387.aspx
- Newell, S. & Galliers, R.(2006). Knowledge Transfer and learning Problem of Knowledge Transfer Associated with trying to Short-Circuit the Learning Cycle. *Journal of information and Technology Management*, 2(3), 275-290.

- Ni, Xiaochuan., Xue, G.R., Ling,X., Yu, Y. Yang, Q. (2007). Exploring in the Weblog Space by Detecting Informative and Affective Articles, Retrieve August, 20, 2008 from WWW 2007 / Track: Industrial Practice and Experience May 8-12, 2007. Banff, Alberta, Canada. WWW 2007 / Track: Industrial Practice and Experience May 8-12, 2007. Banff, Alberta, Canada
- Nissen, M.E. (2005). Developing a knowledge based organizational performance model for discontinuous participatory enterprises, *Proceeding of the 38th, Hawaii International Conference on System Science*.
- Nonaka, I.& Takechi, H.(1995). The Knowledge-Creating Company. New York:Oxford University Press. In Wu.C.F. & Lee, Y.C. (2006). Factors Affecting Knowledge transfer and Absorptive Capacity in Multination Corporations. *Proceeding of Knowledge Management International Conference & Exhibition (KMICE) 2006*, 141-148.
- Nonaka, I. (1991), “ The knowledge-creatig company”, Harvard Business Revie, (69),6,96-104.
- Nonaka, I (1998). The Knowledge-Creating company. In Harvar Busiess Revie on Knowledge Management. Harward Busines School Publish, Boston.
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R., Konno, K.(2000). SECI, Ba and Leadership: a Unified Model of Dynamic Knowledge Creation, *Journal of Long Range Planning*, 5-34.
- Nooteboom, B. (2001). Problems and Solutions in Knowledge Transfer. Erim Report Series Research Management, 1-15. Retrieve October, 20, 2006, from <http://www.irim.eur.nl>.Office of Minority Health Resource Center (2000). Retrieved December,12, 2007 from <http://www.icdri.org/USGOVT/omhrc.htm>.

- Nordtvedt, N.P., Kedia, B.L., Datta, D.K. & Rasheed, A.A. (2008). Effectiveness and Efficiency of Cross-Border Knowledge Transfer: An Empirical Examination, *Journal of Management Studies* 45(4) June, 2008, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2008.
- Norman, G.R., & Schmidt, H.G. (1992, September). The psychological basis of problem-based learning: A review of the evidence. *Academic Medicine*, 67(9), pp. 557-565.
- Oh, E., & Lim, D. H. (2005). Cross Relationships between Cognitive Styles and Learner Variables in Online Learning Environment. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 4 (1), 53-66.
- Owen, W. & Sweeney, R. (2007). Ambiguity Tolerance, Performance, Learning, and Satisfaction: A Research Direction. Retrieve, August, 20, 2008 from <http://www.isedj.org/isecon/2002/242c/ISECON.2002.Owen.pdf>
- Oliver, R. (2007). Using technology supported inquiry learning to engage first year students in large classes. In *Student Engagement. Proceedings of the 16th Annual Teaching Learning Forum*, 30-31 January 2007. Perth: The University of Western Australia.
- Office of Minority Health - The Center for Linguistic and Cultural Competence in Health Care: <http://www.omhrc.gov/cultural/>
- Oravec, J. A. (2003). Blending by blogging: Weblogs in blended learning initiatives. *Journal of Educational Media*, 28 (2-3), pp. 225-233.
- Pfister, H.R., Wessner, M. Holmer, T. Steinmetz, R. Negotiating about shared knowledge in a cooperative learning environment.

Pheiffer G, Holley D, Andrew D & Green M (2005) How Can We use Learning Styles? An Identity Approach. *International Journal of Applied Human Resource Management* 6 (1) 101-117.

Pfister, H.R., Wessner, M., Holmer, T., & Steinmetz. (2000). Negotiating About shared knowledge in a Cooperative Learning Environment, German National Research Center for Information Technology, Integrated Publication and information system Institute.

Philip, W.R. Basic Content Analysis, ISBN 0803938632, Newburg Park, Calif.: Sage Publications, 1990.

Ping L. W. (2006). The Process of University-to-Industry Knowledge Transfer and Influential Factors, Retrieve June, 23, 2007, from <http://www.ieee.com>.

Ping, W.L. (2006). The Process of University-to-Industry Knowledge transfer And Influential Factor. Retrieve October, 25, 2006, from <http://www.ieee.org>.

Polanyi, M. (1967). *The Tacit Dimension*, Doubleday Anchor, Garden City, Ny.

Pongwichai, S. (2006). *Analyzing statistical data with computer*, Chula longkorn University, ISBN: 974-13-2340-9.

Powell H.T. (2007). A Critical Review of Nonaka's SECI Framework. *Advanced Doctoral Seminar 16th EDAMBA Summer Academy Soreze, France, July 2007.*

- Pollalis, Y. A., & Mavrommatis, G. (2009). Using similarity measures for collaborating groups formation: A model for distance learning environments. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 193(2), 626-636.
- Priestley, J.L.(2004). Knowledge Transfer within Interorganizational Networks. 1-22. Retrieve October, 19, 2006, from <http://science.kennesaw.edu/~jpriest/Research.htm>.
- Priestley, J.L. (2004). Inter-Organizational Knowledge Transfer Difficulty: The Influence of Organizational Network Type, Absorptive Capacity, Causal Ambiguity and Outcome Ambiguity. *Unpublished doctoral dissertation*, Georgia State University.
- Priestley, J.L., & Samaddar, S. (2006). Multi-Organizational Networks: Three Antecedents of Knowledge Transfer. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (in press)*, 1-26.
- Rattakul, R. & Morse, A.G. "An Assessment of eLearning Market Opportunities in the Government Sector in Thailand", *Special Issue of IJCIM Proceedings of the Second International Conference on eLearning for Knowledge-Based Society, Bangkok, Thailand, 4-7 August 2005*, (pp 34.1 to 34.3).
- Rice, B.S. & Rice, J.L. (2001). The applicability of the SECI Model to Multiorganisational Endeavors : An Integrative Review, *International Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, Volume 9 (8), 671-682 ISSN 1440-537.
- Richardson J. & McKenna S. (2000). Metaphorical "types" and human resource management: self-selecting expatriates. *Journal of Industrial and Commercial Training*, 32(6).

- Richardson, S., Guru, K.B., Yu, M.C., Wei, K.K., & Pointon, L. (2005). How to research: A guide for undergraduate & graduate students (pp 40-41). Thomson Learning-Division of Thomson Asia Pte Ltd., Malaysia.
- Rovai, A.P. (2002). Sense of community, perceived cognitive learning and persistence in asynchronous learning networks. *Internet and Higher Education*, 5 (4), 319-32.
- Sabin M. and Higgs B. (2007). Teaching and learning in live online classroom, *Conference On Information Technology Education (formerly CITC) Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGITE conference on Information technology education Destin, Florida, USA SESSION: Technology impacts in teaching 1* Pages: 41-48 Year of Publication: 2007 ISBN:978-1-59593-920-3
- Safran, C. (2008). Blogging in Higher Education Programming Lectures: an Empirical study. Retrieve, March, 20, from <http://www.elgg.org>.
- Schmidt, K. & Brown, D. (2004). A model to integrate online teaching and learning tools into the classroom. Retrieve January, 21, 2009 from <http://www.webct.com/wyw> or <http://www.akiva.com/solutions/community.cfm>
- Schiano, D., Nardi, B., Gumbrecht, M., and Swartz, L. Blogging for the Rest of Us. Forthcoming in *Proceedings CHI 2004*. (April, 2004), Vienna.
- Scott, R.H. & Fisher, D.L. (2001). The Impact of Teachers' Interpersonal behavior on examination results, *Annual conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education 2-6 December 2001*, Perth, Australia. Retrieve August, 26, 2007 from <http://www.aare.edu.au/01pap/sco01018.htm>

- Sears, A. D. (2006). Effects of Innovation versus Efficiency Tasks on Recall and Transfer in Individual and Collaborative Learning Contexts. *Proceedings of the 7th International Society of the Learning Sciences*. Retrieve April 29, 2007, from <http://www.portal.acm.org>.
- Sekaran U. (2005). *Research Methods for Business: A skill Building Approach* (4th ed.), ISBN 9814-12-674-8, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <http://www.wiley.com/college>.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (3rd ed.). U.S.A.: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sherry D(2005), Bayes's Theorem and Reliability:A Reply to Levin, *Informal Logic* . 25(2):167-177.
- Shih Ching-C, Gamon J.(2001). Web-based Learning: Relationships Among student motivation, Attitude, Learning Styles and Achievement, *Journal of Agricultural Education* 42,(4),Retrieve August,18 from <http://pubs.aged.tamu.edu/jae/pdf/Vol42/42-04-12.pdf>
- Siliema, D. (1993). *Interpretig Qualitative data: methods for analysis talk, text and Interaction*:SAGE Publication.
- Shuell, T. J., & Farber, S. L. (2001). Students' perceptions of technology use in college courses. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 24, 119-138.
- Simon, C. J., & Soliman, S. K. (2003). An alternative method to measure MIS faculty teaching performance. *The International Journal of Educational Management*, 17(5), 195-199.

- Simon, H.A. (1996), "Knowledge and the time to attend to it", paper presented at the Carnegie Bosch Institute *International Conference on High Performance Global Companies*, Boca Raton, FL, April 21, available at: <http://cbi.gsia.cmu.edu/work/96-2.htm>, .
- Simonin, B. (1999). Ambituiy and the process of knowledge transfer in strategic alliances, *Strategic Management Journal*, 20, 595-623.
- Sim, S.K & Duffy, A.H.B: Knowledge transformers: A link between learning and creativity, special issue on Learning and Creativity, AI EDAM, v18, n3, 2004, p271-279, ISSN 0890-0609
- Sim, S.K & Duffy, A.H.B: Knowledge transformers: A link between learning: Design Performance, Springer pbs,
- Siritongthaworn, S. 2006). Satisfaction in e-learning:the context of supplementary instruction, *Journal of emeraldinsight*, 23(2),76-91, Retrieve August,11,2008, from <http://www.emeralinsight.com/1065-0741.htm>.
- Smith R.B., Wolczko, M. & Ungar,D. (1997) From Kansas to Oz: Collaborative Debugging when a Shred World Breaks. In *Communications of the ACM*. (40)4:72-78.
- Smith,M.B.R., Sipusic, J.M. & Pannoni, L. R. (1999). Experiments comparing face-to-face with virtual collaborative learning, *Computer Support for Collaborative Learning Proceedings of the 1999 conference on Computer support for collaborative learning Palo Alto, California*. Article No.: 68 Year of Publication: 1999
- Soanes, C., Hawker, S. (2005).*Compact Oxford English Dictionary of Current English 3rd* , ISBN 978-0-19-861022-9, Oxford University Press,2002,2003,2005.

- Spender, J.C. (1996). Organizational Knowledge, Learning and Memory: Three Concepts in Search of a Theory. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 9(1), 63-79.
- Spuzic S (1999) "An Initiative in Improving Knowledge Transfer in Engineering Education", *Forum Proceedings The 2nd Asia-Pacific Forum on Engineering and Technology Education*, The University of Sydney, 4 -7 July '99, ed. Z Pudlowski, p 41
- Sprinthall, R.C., Gregory, T. Schmutte, Sirois,L. (1991). Understanding education research, Englewood Cliffs : Prentice Hall, 1991.
- Sprinthall, R. C. (2007). Basic Statistical Analysis (8th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- St. Leon, M. V. 2002. "Intellectual capital: managerial perceptions of organisational knowledge resources," *Journal of Intellectual Capital* Vo. 3, No. 2, pp. 149-166.
- Sternberg,R.J.(Ed.)(1994).Thinking and problem solving: *Handbook of perception and cognition*. San Diego:Academic Press Inc.
- Sungar, S., & Tekkaya, C. (2006) Effects of problem-based learning and traditional instruction on self-regulated learning. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 99, 307-317, Retrieved October 20, 2007, from EBSCO host database.
- Suanpang, P., Petocz, P., & Kalceff, W. (2004). Student Attitudes to Learning Business Statistics: Comparison of Online and Traditional Methods. *Educational Technology & Society*, 7 (3), 9-20.

Suktrisu, S., & Morse, A. (2006). Introducing eLearning into Secondary Schools in Thailand, Special Issue of the *International Journal of the Computer, the Internet and Management*, Vol. 14 No.SP1, August, 2006.

Sulaiman et al., (2004). Problem-Based Learning: A Study of the Web-Based Synchronous Collaboration, *Malaysian Online Journal of Instructional Technology (MOJIT)* Vol. 1, No. 2, pp 58-66
December 2004,ISSN: 1823-1144

Szulanski,G. (1996). Exploring internal stickiness: Impediments to transfer of best practice within the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17,27-43.

Szulanski, G. (1995a). Appropriating rents from existing knowledge: Intra-firm transfer of best practice. *Unpublished Empirical Dissertation Fontainebleau: INSEAD.*

Szulanski, G. (1995b). Exploring interanal stickiness: Impediments to the transfer of best practice within the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17, 27-43.

Szulanski, G., Jensen, R.J., Lee, T. 2003. Adaptation of Franchising Know-how for Cross- Border Transfer. *Management International Review* 43 (3): 131-150.

Szulanski, 2003 G. Szulanski, Sticky Knowledge. Barriers to Knowing in the Firm, Sage Publications, London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi (2003).

Szulanski, G., Winter,.S.G., Cappetta,.R. & Bulte, C. (2002). Open the Black Box of Knowledge transfer:the role of replication accuracy. 1-33, Retrieve September, 12, 2006, from
http://marketing.wharton.upenn.edu/ideas/pdf/VandenBulte/opening_the_blackbox_2002.pdf

Tabachnick, B.G. & Fidell, L.S. (2001). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (4th ed.), Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Tiwari A and Holtham C (1998). Learning Groupware Through Using Groupware - Computer Supported Collaborative Learning with Face to Face Students, *ITiCSE '98 Dublin, Ireland @ 1998 ACM I-58113-000-7/98/0008*, Retrieve from June, 23, 2007 from <http://www.ACM.org>.

Ticehurst, G.W., Veal, A.J. (1999), *Business Research Methods: A Managerial Approach*, Addison Wesley Longman, Melbourne, .

Tikka, P.M., Kuitunen, M.T., & Tynys, S.M. (2000), "Effect of educational background on students' attitude, activity levels and knowledge concerning environment", *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 31(3), 12-19.

Timbrell G. & Andrews, N. (2002). Advanced Knowledge Transfer Workshop for the AIRJV Conference, 1st October: A Background Paper Describing Barriers to Knowledge transfer, Queensland University of Technology. Retrieve June, 23, 2007, from <http://www.ieee.com>

Timbrell, G. & Andrews, N. (2002). Barriers to Knowledge Transfer. Advance Knowledge Transfer Workshop. AIRJV Conference- 1st October, Queensland University of Technology.

Tran, H.T., Teresa, L.J., Patricia, H.J., Wang, D. (2006). Antecedents to knowledge transfer in higher education effective teaching, *Proceeding International conference 2006: Knowledge management in Institutes of Higher Learning, 21st -23rd*, February, Suan Dusit Rajabhat University.

- Tretiakov, A. Kaschek, R., Kinshuk. (2004). Adaptive Metaphor-Enabling of Web-Based Courses, *International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT'04)*. Retrieve from June, 23, 2007, <http://www.ieee.com>
- Tsai, M.T. & Tsai, L.L. (2005). An empirical study of the knowledge transfer methods used by clinical instructors. *Internal Journal of Management*, 22(2).
- Tsoukas, H. & Vlaimirou, E. (2001). Hat is Organzational Knowledge? *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(7), 973-993.
- Ugur, U. (2003). Choice of Knowledge Transfer Mechanisms and Difficulty of Knowledge Transfer within the Firm: An Inquiry to the characteristic of Knowledge. Retrieve October, 29, 2006, from <http://www.jobfunctions.bnet.com/whitepaper.asp>.
- Urdan, T. A., & Weggen C. C. (2000). *Corporate e-Learning: Exploring a new frontier*. WR Hambrecht + Co.
- Ury G. (2004). A comparison of undergraduate student performance in online and traditional courses, *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges* 19(4): 99 – 107.
- Van der Spek, R. & Spijkervet, A. (1997). "Knowledge Management: Dealing Intelligently with Knowledge. Retrieve August, 20, 2006, from [http://www.cibit.com/site-en.nsf/0/1E9CE559561CE8DCC12572AA004BAA45/\\$file/CIBIT_Knowledge_Management_Booklet_05.pdf](http://www.cibit.com/site-en.nsf/0/1E9CE559561CE8DCC12572AA004BAA45/$file/CIBIT_Knowledge_Management_Booklet_05.pdf)
- Verkasalo, M., & Lappalainen, P. (1998). A method of measuring the efficiency of the knowledge utilization process. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 45(4), 414-423.

- Von Krogh, G., Ichijo, K., & Nonaka, I. (2000). Enabling knowledge creation: How to unlock the mystery of tacit knowledge and release the power of innovation. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Von Krogh, G., Ichjo, K. & Nonaka, I. (2000). Enabling knowledge creation: How to unlock the mystery of tacit knowledge and release the power of innovation. New York: Oxford University. In Newell, S. (2006). Knowledge transfer and learning: Problems of Knowledge transfer Associated with trying to short-circuit the learning cycle. *Journal of Information Systems and Technology management*, 2(3), 275-290.
- Von Krogh, G., Ichijo, K., and Nonaka, I., 2001. Bringing care into knowledge development of business organizations. In: I. Nonaka, and T. Nishiguchi, ed., Knowledge emergence: social, technical, and evolutionary dimensions of knowledge creation. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001, pp. 30-52.
- V. P. Dennen and T.M. Paulus, in: T. Koschman, T. W. Chan and D. D. Suthers (eds.), *Proceedings of Computer supported collaborative learning 2005: The next 10 Years!*, Taipei, Taiwan, 30 May - 4 June 2005, retrieved October 1, 2008, from <http://css.cscl2005.org/Fullpapers.aspx>
- Wang, J. & Fang, Y. (2005) Benefits of cooperative learning in weblog networks. Online Submission, 2005 (ED490815) Retrieved October 7, 2008, from ERIC database in EBSCOHost
- Ward, J. D., & Lee, C. L. (2002). A review of problem-based learning. *Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences Education*, 20(1), 16-26.
- Wasonga, T.A & Murphy, F.J. (2006). Learning from tacit knowledge: the impact of the internship, *International Journal of Educational Management*, 2(20), 153-163.
- Weaver, A.A. (2002). Determinants of environmental attitudes, *International Journal of Sociology* 32(1), 77-108.

- Weems, G. H., and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2001) The impact of midpoint responses and reverse coding on survey data. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 34(3), pp166-176.
- Wentling and e. al (2000), e-learning: a review of the literature, University of Illinois learning styles motivation learning skills
<http://learning.ncsa.uiuc.edu/papers/elearnlit.pdf>
- Wickes, M., Leslie, A., Cranfield, F.L. (2003). A perspective of Nonaka's SECI Model from Programme Management: Combining Management Information Performance Measurement and Information,
- White, Tatiana (2004) Knowledge Management in an academic library. In *Proceedings IFLA International Federation of Library Associations 70th Annual Conference*, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Retrieved August, 27, 2007 from
http://eprints.ouls.ox.ac.uk/archive/00000815/01/Tatiana_White_KM_article.pdf
- Wickramasinghe, N. (2003). Do we practice what we preach? Are knowledge management systems in practice truly reflective of knowledge management system in theory? *Business Process Management Journal*, 9(3), 295-316.
- Wiig, K. (1993), *Knowledge Management Foundations: Thinking about Thinking – How People and Organizations Create, Represent and Use Knowledge*, Schema Press, Arlington, TX.,
- Wiig, K.M. (1999a). The Intelligent Enterprise and Knowledge Management. Retrieve October, 29, 2006, from http://www.km-forum.org/what_is.htm within a virtual collaborative learning community, In *Proceedings of the 6th Annual ISOnEworld Conference*, April 11-13, 2007, Las Vegas, NV www.isoneworld.org. Retrieved, August, 27, 2007, from <http://www.information-quarterly.org/ISOWProc/2007ISOWCD/PDFs/66.pdf>

- Warren, R.M., Bashford, J.A., Jr., & Lenz, P.W. Intelligibilities of 1-octave rectangular bands spanning the speech spectrum when heard separately and paired. *Journal of The Acoustical Society of America*, 2005, 118, 3261 - 3266.
- Wolters, C. (2004). Advancing achievement goal theory: Using goal structure and goal orientation to predict students' motivation, cognition, and achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 236-250.
- Yacef, K. (2002). Intelligent Teaching Assistant Systems, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computers in Education (ICCE'02)*. Retrieve June, 23, 2007, from <http://www.ieee.com>.
- Yao, J.T. (2006). Supporting research with weblogs: A study on Web-based Research Support System, *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology (WI-IAT 2006 Workshops (WI-IATW'06))*.
- Yeh,C.(2003).Knowledge Management: Creating a knowledge sharing culture in a company. Retrieved October,21,2006.
- Yeo, J., Tan, C.S. & Lee, J.Y. (2006). A Learning Journey in Problem-based Learning, Retrieve, August, 23, 2007, from <http://www.ACM.org>
- Yi, J. (2006).Externalization of Tacit Knowledge in Online Environments. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 5 (4), 663-674.
- Yin,H., & Freeman,R.T. (2005). Web content management by self-organization, *Journal of Neural Network, IEEE, Transactions* , 16(5) 1256-1268, ISBN"1045-9227.

Yong, J.(2005). Workflow-based e-Learning Platform, *The 9th International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design Proceedings*,2005.

Yoo J, , Cen L, Chrisila P.(2005). Adaptive Teaching Strategy for Online Learning_ *International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces archive Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*, 266 - 268 .

Yucel, G. (2007). The Effectiveness of a Parent Education Programme Offered Through Distance Education about Independent Autistic Children Education Centre (IACEC), *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE*, 8 (1).

Zhao J. Leon., Kumar Akhil., Stohr A. Edward. (2000). A workflow-centric Study of Organizational Knowledge Distribution. *Proceedings of the 33rd Hawaii international conference on System Sciences* , 1-10.

Zikmund W.G. Business Research Methods (6thed.), Dryden Press, Harcourt College Publisher, New York, 2002.

Zikmund, W.G. (1991). Business Research Methods (3nd ed.). USA.: The Dryden Press

Zikmund,W.G. (2003). Business research Methods (7th ed.). USA: South-Western, Division of Thomson Learning.

Zollo, M., Winter, S. (2002), "Deliberate learning and the evolution of dynamic capabilities", *Organization Science*, Vol. 13 No.3, pp.339-52.