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ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between the accounting students' approaches to learning and their academic performance. The research contributes to the existing literature that investigate the area of students' approaches to learning which addresses the issue of the future accounting recruits into the profession, and how well prepared these students will be to understanding accounting dilemmas. The data have been collected from responses to questionnaires that have been distributed to 100 accounting students from College of Business in Universiti Utara Malaysia. Data were analyzed through t-test, ANOVA, Pearson Correlation and Multiple Regression analysis. The results indicated that there is no significant difference of academic performance based on gender, age, years of learning accounting, and time spent per week in learning accounting. However, the factors of races and method of study were significant with academic performance. Among six approaches that have been tested, achieving motive approach was the dominant factor that contributes towards student academic performance.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Background of Study

The impact of learning approaches on learners' performance in educational settings has widespread recognition among researchers concerning themselves with learning approaches, with research in this area tending to look at the relationships between student's approaches to learning (SAL) and a number of other factors. Examples include approaches to studying (Cassidy and Eachus, 1999; Sadler-Smith, Spicer and Tsang, 1998), learning preferences (Sadler-Smith, 1999), instructional design (Clancy et al., 2000), form of assessment (Au, 1997), and self-management of learning under the National Vocational Qualifications scheme (Priddy and Williams, 2000). There are also a number of limited comparative studies in educational settings that look at differential results across measures of approaches but fail to link or compare these with other factors (e.g. Evans, 2001; Tanova, 2000).

There has, however, been less empirical research into the relationships between learning approaches and performance, and what research has been undertaken is inconsistent in its outcomes. Cassidy and Eachus (1999), having looked at self-assessment of efficiency, approaches to learning, and achievement with 130 health and social policy
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