



**THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL DISTRESS RISK TO MALAYSIAN
STOCK RETURN**

by

MUHAMMAD NOOR DARWIS BIN NORDIN

(806070)

**A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of
Master of Science (Finance) at the Graduate School of Management,
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the university's library may take it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this dissertation in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in other absence by the Dean, Postgraduate Studies, and College of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due to recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my dissertation.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation, in whole or in parts should be addressed to:

Dean, Postgraduate Studies

College of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

If financial distress risk can be accurately predicted, the stock price of high distress risk companies should be discounted so as to enable investors to earn higher expected returns. Investors which have invested in high risk companies should be rewarded with high returns as the compensation in baring the risk due to the theory of risk and return trade off. This study set out a direct approach to examining the risk-return relationship of Malaysian companies. By applying Z-Score bankruptcy prediction model as the proxy of distress risk and the realized stock returns of the companies, this study found that the distress risk is not statistically significant enough to explain the expected stock returns. This result is contradicted with past research such as Shumway (1996) and Griffin and Lemmon (2002) which is firm with distress risk earn higher positive returns. However the size and book to market equity have shown significant relationship in explaining the stock returns of the companies. The theoretical expectations of the size and book to market equity effect on stock returns are supported in the Malaysian companies. These results are consistent with by Chan and Chen (1991), Fama and French (1992, 1995), Dichev (1998), Chen and Zhang (1998) and Griffin and Lemmon (2002) which found that size and book to market equity can be used in explaining stock returns.

ABSTRAK

Jika risiko kesulitan kewangan boleh diramal secara tepat, harga saham syarikat-syarikat berisiko tinggi seharusnya lebih murah sehingga membolehkan pelabur mendapatkan pulangan yang diharapkan lebih tinggi. Pelabur yang telah melabur di syarikat berisiko tinggi seharusnya mendapat pulangan yang tinggi sebagai pampasan dalam menanggung risiko yang tinggi sebagai menepati teori risiko dan pulangan. Penyelidikan ini dibuat bagi mengkaji hubungan di antara risiko kesulitan kewangan dengan pulangan yang diperoleh melalui aktiviti pelaburan dalam syarikat di Malaysia. Dengan menggunakan Z-Score model sebagai pengukur tahap risiko kesulitan kewangan dan purata pulangan bulanan sebagai pengukur pulangan saham syarikat, kajian ini mendapati bahawa risiko kesulitan secara statistik tidak cukup bermakna untuk menjelaskan pulangan saham seperti yang diharapkan. Keputusan ini bertentangan dengan kajian terdahulu seperti Shumway (1996) dan Griffin dan Lemmon (2002) yang mendapati bahawa dengan risiko kesulitan yang tinggi akan menjana keuntungan saham yang tinggi. Namun saiz dan nilai sebenar berbanding nilai pasaran ekuiti telah menunjukkan hubungan yang bermakna dalam menjelaskan keuntungan saham syarikat. Keputusan ini sejajar dengan keputusan kajian yang di buat oleh Chan dan Chen (1991), Fama dan Perancis (1992, 1995), Dichev (1998), Chen dan Zhang (1998) dan Griffin dan Lemmon (2002) yang mendapati bahawa saiz dan nilai sebenar berbanding nilai pasaran ekuiti boleh digunakan dalam menjelaskan return saham.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All my praises and gratitude to Allah, the Merciful, for His kindness and for meeting me with many wonderful people who, with His Grace, have had helped me tremendously in the successful completion of this research.

This research would not have been possible without the constructive comments, suggestion and encouragement received from my supervisor who has read the various draft. In particular, I would like to acknowledge my debt to Dr. Mohd. 'Atef bin Md. Yusof, without, of course, holding him responsible for any deficiencies remains in this research.

I would like to thank my father, Nordin Bin Samion and my mother, Rosnani Bt Omar, who have been a continuous source of inspiration and encouragement. Thanks for giving a great support throughout the duration of my studies and unceasing prayers for my success.

In addition, thanks to my friends, Norhaniza Bt Saidin, and Rajkumar a/l Manimutu that helped, support and provided insight and useful ideas, constructive comments, criticism and suggestion throughout the duration of completing this research.

Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	Page
Permission to Use	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figure	ix
List of Symbols and Abbreviations	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview of The Study	1
1.2 Overview of Bursa Malaysia	2
1.3 Financial Statement	2
1.4 Financial Distress	5
1.5 Problem Statement	7
1.6 Research Question	9
1.7 Research Objective	9
1.8 Significant of The Study	10
1.8.1 Investor	10
1.8.2 Other Researchers	10
1.9 Limitation of The Study	10

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction	11
2.1 Underlying Theory	12
2.2 Stock Return	13
2.3 Financial distress Risk	14
2.4 Empirical Studies on Financial Distress Risk and Stock Returns	15
2.5 Size and Book to Market Equity	19
2.6 Empirical Studies on Size and Book to market Equity Effect Toward Stock Returns	20
2.7 Theoretical Framework	23

CHAPTER 3: DATA AND METHOD

3.0 Introduction	24
3.1 Population	24
3.2 Elements	24
3.3 Sampling Frame	25
3.4 Sample Selection	25
3.5 Measurement	26
3.5.1 Monthly Average Return	26
3.5.2 Distress Risk	26
3.5.3 Size	29
3.5.4 Book to Market Value	30
3.6 Data Collection Procedures	30
3.7 Data Analysis Techniques	31

3.7.1 Descriptive Statistic	32
3.7.2 Correlation Analysis	32
3.7.3 Multiple Regression Analysis	33

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.0 Introduction	34
4.1 Descriptive Statistic Analysis	34
4.2 Correlation Analysis	36
4.3 Multiple Regression analysis	38
4.3.1 Multiple Regression Model Summary	38
4.3.2 Multiple Regression Coefficients	39
4.4 Summary of Findings	41

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.0 Conclusions	42
5.1 Recommendations	43

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Descriptive statistics for MAR, Z-score, BMV and size

Table 4.2: Pearson correlation for MAR, Z-score, BMV and size

Table 4.3: Table of regression model summary

Table 4.4: Table of multiple regression coefficients

Table 4.5: Summary of empirical findings

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1: Research Framework

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PN17	Practice Note 17 of the Listing Requirements
BMV	Book-to-market equity ratio
Bursa Malaysia	Bursa Malaysia Berhad
BMV	Book to Market value
Z-Score	Altman's (1968) Z-Score bankruptcy prediction model
MKT CAP	Market Capitalisation
MAR	Monthly Average Returns
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

This chapter attempts to provide overview of the study and market condition which related to this study. This chapter also states the problem statement, research question, research objective, significant of the study and also the limitation of the study.

1.1 Overview of the Study

Company failures are common in a competitive business environment. This is synonymous for an event of default refers to the events associated with other places, including the inability to meet the financial difficulties of debt payments, apply for bankruptcy protection or even closure. Risk of financial difficulty is the uncertainty introduced by the method by which the finance company investments. If a company uses only common stock to finance the investment, the company may bare business risk only. It is difference for a company borrows money to finance an investment the company will bare business risk and financial risk in the form of interest and principal which is obligate for them to meet. Thus, the ability to meet financial obligations to creditors determines the company's financial difficulties. In addition, financing costs have priority on the distribution of earnings to shareholders and hence increased uncertainty back to the common equity. Uncertainty of returns will result in increased risk premiums required by investor to the particular stock. As conjectured by the risk and return trade off theory and asset pricing theory

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

Aharony J., Jones C. P., and Swary I. (1980), "An Analysis of Risk Return Characteristics of Corporate Bankruptcy Using Capital Market Data", *Journal of Finance*, Vol 35, No 4, pp 1001-1016

Altman E, Haldeman, R., and Narayanan, P. (1977), "ZETA Analysis: A New Model to Identify Bankruptcy Risk of Corporations", *Journal of Banking and Finance*.

Altman E. (1968), "Financial ratios: discriminate analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy," *Journal of Finance*, 23.

Altman E. (2000), *Predicting Financial Distress of Companies: Revisiting The Z-Score and ZETA Model*, Working Paper, New York University.

Altman E. I., and Brenner M. (1981), "Information Effects and Stock Market Response to Signs of Finance Deterioration", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol 16, pp 35-51.

Banz R. W. (1981) "The Relationship between Return and Market Value of Common Stocks", *Journal of Financial Economics*.

Beaver W. H. (1966), *Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failure*, *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol 5.

Beneish, M., and E. Press (1995), *Interrelation Among Events of Default*, *Contemporary Accounting Research* Volume 12, pp 57–84.

Brealey, R. , & Myers. S. (1981), *Principles of corporate finance*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co, 3rd Edition.

Chan K. C., and Chen, Nai-fu. (1991), "Structural and Return Characteristics of Small and Large Firms", *Journal of Finance*, Vol 46, pp 1467-84.

Chen N., and Zhang F. (1998), Risk and Return of Value Stocks, *Journal of Business*, vol 71, no 4.

Clark T., and Weinstein M. (1983), "The Behaviour of Common Stock of Bankrupt Firms", *Journal of Finance*, Vol 38, pp 481-504

Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences*, 3rd Ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Conrad G. R. and Plotkin (1968), Risk return: U.S. industry pattern. *Harvard Business Review*, vol 4, No 2.

Cootner. P. H. and Holland, D. M. 1970. Rate of return and business risk. *Bell Journal of Economics*, vol 1, pp 211-226.

Deakin, E. B (1972), A Discriminant Analysis of Predictors of Business Failure, Journal of Accounting Research, 10, pp. 167-179.

Denis D. J., and Denis D. (1995), "Causes of Financial Distress Following Leveraged Recapitalisations", Journal of Financial Economics, Vol 27, pp 411-418

Dichev, I. D. (1998), Is the Risk of Bankruptcy A Systematic Risk?, Journal of Finance, vol 53.

Dugan M. T. and Forsyth T. B. (1995), The Relationship Between Bankruptcy Model Predictions and Stock Market Perceptions of Bankruptcy, The Financial Review, Vol 30, No 3.

Elroy Dimson and Massoud Mussavian (1999), Three Centuries of Asset Pricing, Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 23.

Fama E., and French, K. (1992), "The Cross-Section in Expected Stock Returns", Journal of Finance, Vol 47, pp 427-466.

Fama E., and French, K. (1993), "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds", Journal of Financial Economics, Vol 33.

Fama E., and French, K. (1995), "Size and Book-to-market Factors in Earnings Returns", Journal of Finance, Vol 50.

Fazilah Samad, Mohd Azhar Mohd Yusof and Roselee Shah Shaharudin (2009), Financial Distress Risk and Stock Returns, journal of International Finance and Economics, Vol 9, No 2.

Fisher I. N., and Hall G. R. (1969), Risk and Corporate Rates of Return, Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol 83, pp 79-92.

Griffin J. M., and Lemmon M. L. (2002), "Book-to-market Equity, Distress Risk, and Stock Returns", Journal of Finance, Vol 57, pp 2317-2336.

Jones, S., and D.A. Hensher (2004), predicting firm financial distress: a mixed logic model, Accounting Review, Vol. 79, No. 4, 2004, pp. 1011-1038.

Katz S., Lilien S., and Nelson B. (1985), Stock Market Behaviour Around Bankruptcy Model Distress and Recovery Predictions, Financial Analyst Journal, vol 41.

Lang L., and Stulz R. (1992), "Contagion and Competitive Intra-industry Effects of Bankruptcy Announcements", Journal of Financial Economics, Vol 32, pp 45-60.

Louis K. C. Chan, Yasushi Hamao and Josef Lakonishok (1991), Fundamentals and Stock Returns in Japan, journal of Finance, vol XLVI, no 5.

Megginson, W.L., Smart, S.B. and Gitman, L.J. (2007), Corporate Finance (Second Edition), The Trade-off Between Risk and Return, pp 172-199.

Megginston, W.L., Smart, S.B. and Gitman, L.J. (2007), Corporate Finance (Second Edition), bankruptcy and Financial Distress, pp 931-938.

Merwin, C. L. (1942), Financing Small Corporations: In Five Manufacturing Industries 1926-36, National Bureau of Economic Research.

Misnen Ardiansyah and Abdul Qoyum (2010), Default Risk in Islamic Equity Return (the Case of Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange), journal of Global Business and Economics, vol 1, no 1.

Opler T., and Titman S. (1994), "Financial Distress and Corporate Performance", Journal of Finance, Vol 49, pp 1015 - 1040.

Rosenberg B., K. Reid, and R. Lanstein (1985), "Persuasive Evidence of Market Inefficiency", Journal of Portfolio Management.

Sharpe W. F. (1964), "Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk", Journal of Finance, Vol 19.

Shumway, T (2001), Forecasting bankruptcy more accurately: a simple hazard model, Journal of Business 74.

Taffler, R. J. (1999), "Rational Asset Pricing and Bankruptcy Risk: A Z-Score Perspective". Helsinki: European Finance Association's 26th Annual Meeting, Available from <http://www.cranfield.edu.uk>.

Terry E. Dielman (2005), Applied Regression Analysis, 4th Ed. Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning Inc.

Zaretsky K., and Zumwalt J. K. (2007), "Relation Between Distress Risk, Book-to-Market Ratio and Return Premium", Journal of Managerial Finance, Vol 33, No10, pp 788-796.