Effect of Information Quality on Accounting Firms Performance in Libya

Gumaa Alfaitori E. Omer

Universiti Utara Malaysia

February 2011

The Effect of Information Quality on the Accounting Firms Performance in Libya

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Accountancy in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree master of science (international Accounting)

Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

Gumaa Alfaitori E. Omer

2011

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis as a part fulfillment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I hereby agree that the University Library may make it unreservedly available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copy of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by supervisor Mr. Abdul Manaf Bin Bohari or, in his absence by the Dean of Faculty of Accountancy. It is understood that any coping or publishing or using of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without any written permission. It is also understood mat due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from this thesis.

Requests for the grant of permission to copy or to make other use of material in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addresses.

Dean of Faculty of Accountancy
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman
Malaysia

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Praise and gratitude be given to Allah the Almighty for putting forward me such a great strength, patience, courage, and ability to complete this project.

My excessive gratefulness to my supportive and helpful supervisor, Mr. Abdul Manaf Bin Bohari for assessing and guiding me in the completion of the research. With all truthfulness, without him, the project would not have been a complete one. He has really been for me a center of motivation and guidance. I am truly grateful to his continual support and cooperation, as being prepared to assist me all along the completion of the project.

I would like to present my thanks to my wife that helped me always on study through the provision of means of comfort to me and bear all the trouble, my mother and all my family.

My demonstrative appreciations to all my friends, colleagues, all FPK staff, and everyone who has helped either directly or indirectly to the completion of this project.

ABSTRACT

The relationship between information and decision-making is a complex. More recently, researchers have suggested a relationship between the quality of information and the quality of decision-making, which is important to enhance the firm performance. Thus this study has investigated the impact of information quality on accounting firm performance in Libya. To investigate the relationship of information quality and accounting firm performance researcher has collected 120 samples from different accounting firms in Tripoli in Libya. It has found that there are positive relationship between information quality and accounting firm performance in Libya.

Keywords: Accounting Information Quality, Information Quality, Accounting Firms Performance.

TABLE OF CONTENT

		Page
Permission to	use	I
Acknowledge	ements .	II
Abstract		III
Table of cont	ent	v
List of Table		vii
List of Figure	e	VIII
CHAPTER	1	INTRODUCTION
	1.1	Background of the Study 1
	1.2	Problem Statement 5
	1.3	Research Questions
	1.4	Research Objectives 6
	1.5	Significance of the Research
	1.6	Organization of the Research 8
CHAPTER	2	LITERATURE REVIEW
	2.1	Introduction9
	2.2	Accounting Information Quality 10
	2.3	Organizational Contributors to Information Quality 11
	2.4	Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, and Timelines13
	2.5	Firms Performance and Information Quality17
	2.6	Research Framework
	2.7	Hypothesis Development21

	2.8	Summary	22
CHAPTER 3	3	METHODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introduction	23
	3.2	Type and Nature of study	23
	3.3	Type of Investigation	24
	3.4	Research Interference	24
	3.5	Study Setting	24
	3.6	Unit of Analysis	24
	3.7	Population and sample	25
	3.8	Data Collection Method	25
	3.9	Data Analysis Tools	25
	3.10	Questionnaire Design	26
	3.11	Summary	26
CHAPTER	4	RESULTS	
	4.1	Introduction	27
	4.2	Overview of Data Collected	27
	4.3	Demographic Profile of Respondents	27
	4.4	Analysis	28
	4.4.1	Reliability Test	29
	4.4.2	Descriptive Statistics	29
	4.4.3	Correlation Analysis	30
	444	Regression Analysis	31

		Performance 32
	4.4.4.2	Regression between Completeness and Firm Performance
	4.4.4.3 and Firm	Regression between information Consistency
		Regression between information Timeliness
	4.5	Summary
CHAPTER	5	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION
	5.1	Introduction
	5.2	Discussions of Research Findings
	5.3	Conclusions
	5.4	Recommendations
REFEREN	CES	41
APPENDIX	A	Questionnaire

LIST OF TABLE

4.1	Summary of Demographic Profile	28
4.2	Reliability Coefficient of the Study Variables	29
4.3	Descriptive Statistics of the Study Variables (N=100)	30
4.4	Pearson's Correlation Analysis of the Study Variable	31
4.5	MIS outputs and strategic planning process	32
4.6	Information Completeness and Firm performance	33
4.7	Information Consistency and Firm Performance	34
4.8	Timeliness and Firm Performance	35

LIST OF FIGURE

2.6	Research Framework	21	l
-----	--------------------	----	---

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Quality in an organization is defined by Reeves and Bednar (2008) in terms of quality as excellence, quality as value, quality as conformity to specifications, and quality as meeting customer expectations. Information systems (IS) quality can be understood using Reeves and Bednar's framework of quality (Swanson, 2008). Excellence in IS quality involves using state-ofthe-art technology, following industry "best practice" software standards, and delivering "errorfree" performance. The value of IS can be realized by improving profit margins for the firm, providing easy-to-use and useful applications, and designing easily maintainable software. IS quality as conformance denotes designing systems that conform to the end users' information requirements and adhere to industry standards. Meeting customer expectations of IS quality is accomplished by offering appealing, user-friendly interfaces, entertaining user requests for changes, and satisfying the stakeholders of the IS. The above quality definitions broadly characterize IS quality measures, system quality, information quality, and service quality. For example, system quality represents the quality of information processing itself, which is characterized by employment of state-of-the-art technology, a system offering key functions and features (denoted as IS excellence), and software that is user friendly, easy to learn, and easily maintainable (denoted as IS value). Information quality, a concept that is related to the quality of information system outputs, can be described in terms of outputs that are useful for business users, relevant for decision making, and easy-to-understand (representing IS quality as value) as well as outputs that meet users' information specifications (representing IS quality as conformance to

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. & Nobes, C. (2009). International Introduction to Financial Accounting. Prentice Hall.
- Agmon, N., & Ahituv, N. (2008). Assessing data reliability in an information system. Journal of Management Information Systems, 4(2), 34-44.
- Ali A & Hwang L (2009). Country-specific factors related to financial reporting and the value relevance of accounting data. Journal of Accounting Research 38: 1–22.
- Ballou, D. P., Wang, R. Y., Pazer, H., & Tayi, G. K. (2008). Modeling information manufacturing systems to determine information product quality. Management Science, 44(4), 462-484.
- Ballou, D. P., & Pazer, H. L. (2007). Modeling data and process quality in multi-input, multi-output information systems. Management Science, 31(3), 150-162.
- Ball, R., A. Robin, and G. Sadka, 2008, "Is financial reporting shaped by debt markets or by equity markets? An international study of timeliness and conservatism," Review of Accounting Studies, 13, 168 (205.
- Ball, R., Robin, A., Wu, J.S., (2008). Incentives versus standards: Properties of accounting income in four East Asian countries. Journal of Accounting and Economics 36 (2), 235-270.
- Barney, J. B. (2006). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120.
- Barney, J. B. (2009). Is the resource-based "view" a useful perspective for strategic management research? Yes. Academy of Management Review, 26(1), 41-56.
- Bendoly, E., & Kaefer, F. (2005). Business technology complementarities: Impacts of the presence and strategic timing of ERP on B2B e-commerce technology efficiencies. Omega, 32(5), 395-405.

- Bertoletti, M., Missier, P., Scannapieco, M., Aimetti, P., & Batini, C. (2005). Improving government-to-business relationships through data reconciliation and process reengineering. In R. Y. Wang, E. M. Pierce, S. E. Madnick & C. W. Fisher (Eds.), Information quality (pp. 151-166). New York: M. E. Sharpe.
- Bharadwaj, A. S. (2006). A resource-based perspective on information technology capability and firm performance: An empirical investigation. MIS Quarterly, 24(1), 169-196.
- Boulding, K. E. (2005). General systems theory the skeleton of science. Management Science, 2(3), 197-208.
- Bovee, M. W. (2007). Information quality: A conceptual framework and empirical validation. DAI, 65 (07), 2668, (UMI 3141462).
- Bullen, J. I. (2005). Information security in Brazil: Modeling and predicting outsourcing decisions. DAI, 65 (10), 3910, (UMI 3151948.
- Campbell, T., Douglass, K., & Smith-Adams, W. (2009). Using the data quality scorecard as a negotiation strategy. In S. Chengalur-Smith, J. A. Long, L. Raschid & C. E. Seko (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2009 international conference on information quality (pp. 154-163). Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Chung, W. Y., Fisher, C. W., & Wang, R. Y. (2005). Redefining the scope and focus of information-quality work. In R. Y. Wang, E. M. Pierce, S. E. Madnick & C. W. Fisher (Eds.), Information quality (pp. 230-248). New York: M. E. Sharpe.
- Crosby, P. B. (2008). Reflections on quality. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Commons, J. R. (2007). Institutional economics. American Economic Review, 21(4), 648-657.
- Cranfill, S. E. (2008). Recent contributions of John R. Commons to economic thought. Southern Economic Journal, 7(1), 63-79.
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2009). Information systems success: The quest for the dependent variable. Information Systems Research, 3(1), 60-95.

- Deming, W. E. (2006). Quality, productivity, and competitive position. Cambridge, MA: MIT Center for Advanced Engineering Study.
- Dretske, F. (2006). Knowledge and the flow of information, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Elmorshidy, A. (2005). Information systems (IS) success in non-organizational contexts: Examining the DeLone and McLean is success model in the context of an online stock trading environment. DAI, 65 (07), 2410, (UMI 3139268)
- Fisher, C. W., & Kingma, B. R. (2006). Criticality of data quality as exemplified in two disasters. Information & Management, 39(2001), 109-116.
- Huh, Y, U., Keller, F, R., Redman, T, C., & Watkins, A, R. (2008). Data quality information and Software Technology, 32, 559-565.
- Ichijo, K. (2006). Knowledge exploitation and knowledge exploration: Two strategies for knowledge creating companies. In C. W. Choo, & N. Bontis (Eds.), The Strategic Management of
- Kahn, B. K., Strong, D. M., & Wang, R. Y. (2010). Information quality benchmarks: Product and service performance. Communications of the ACM, 45(4), 184-192.
- Kerr, K., & Norris, T. (2009). The development of a healthcare data quality framework and strategy. In S. Chengalur-Smith, J. A. Long, L. Raschid & C. E. Seko (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2009 international conference on information quality (pp. 218-233). Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Koronios, A., Lin, S., & Gao, J. (2005). A data quality model for asset management in engineering organisations. In F. Naumann, M. Gertz & S. E. Madnick (Eds.), Proceedings of the 10th

international conference on information quality (pp. 27-51). Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. Vishny, (2005), \Law and _nance," Journal of Political Economy, 106, 1113{1155.
- Lee, Y. W. (2006-2007). Crafting rules: Context-reflective data quality problem solving. Journal of Management Information Systems, 20(3), 93-119.
- Lee, Y. W., & Strong, D. M. (2007-2008). Knowing-why about data processes and data quality. Journal of Management Information Systems, 20(3), 13-39.
- Lee, Y. W., Strong, D. M., Kahn, B. K., & Wang, R. Y. (2009). AIMQ: A methodology for information quality assessment. Information and Management, 40(2), 133-146.
- Liu, K. (2007). Semiotics in information systems engineering. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Machlup, F, (2007). "Semantic Quirks in Studies of information," in F. Machlup and U, Mansfield (Eds), the study of information, New York; John Wiley.
 Maffei, R. B. (2005). Simulation, sensitivity, and management decision rules. Journal of Business, 31(3), 177-186.
- Matusik, S., & Hill, C. W. L. 1998. The utilization of contingent work, knowledge creation and competitive advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23: 680–697.
- Melville, N., Kraemer, K., & Gurbaxani, V. (2008). Review: Information technology and organizational performance: An integrative model of IT business value. MIS Quarterly, 28(2), 282-322.
- Nonaka (2005), Advances in Information Processing in Organizations, 4, JAI Press.

- Nunnally, J. C. (2007). Psychometric theory (Second ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oman, R. C., & Ayers, T. B. (2005). Improving data quality. Journal of Systems Management, 39(5), 31-35.
- Paradice, D. B., & Fuerst, W. L. (2009). An MIS data quality methodology based on optimal error detection. Journal of Information Systems, 5(1), 48-66.
- Parssian, A. H. (2005). Assessing information quality for relational databases. DAI, 64 (04), 1330, (UMI 3087738)
- Pierce, E. M. (2009). Developing, implementing and monitoring an information product quality strategy. In S. Chengalur-Smith, J. A. Long, L. Raschid & C. E. Seko (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2004 international conference on information quality (pp. 13-26). Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Pipino, L. L., Lee, Y. W., & Wang, R. Y. (2005). Data quality assessment. Communications of the ACM, 45(4), 211-218.
- Pipino, L. L., Wang, R. Y., Kopsco, D., & Rybolt, W. (2007). Developing measurement scales for data quality dimensions. In R. Y. Wang, E. M. Pierce, S. E. Madnick & C. W. Fisher (Eds.), Information quality (pp. 37-51). New York: M. E. Sharpe.
- Porter, M. E. (2005). Towards a dynamic theory of strategy. Strategic Management Journal, 12, 95-117.
- Porter, M. E. (2008). What is strategy? Harvard Business Review, 74(6), 61-78.

- Reeves, D.A. Bednar (2008). Defining Quality: Alternatives and Implications"Total Quality" (July 1994), pp. 419-445.
- Redman, T. C. (2007). Improve data quality for competitive advantage. Sloan Management Review, 36(2), 99-107.
- Redman, T. C. (2008). The impact of poor data quality on the typical enterprise. Communications of the ACM, 41(2), 79-82.
- Redman, T. C. (2010). Measuring data accuracy: A framework and review. In R. Y. Wang, E. M. Pierce, S. E. Madnick & C. W. Fisher (Eds.), Information quality (pp. 21-36). New York: M. E. Sharpe.
- Seth, A., & Thomas, H. (2007). Theories of the firm: Implications for strategy research. Journal of Management Studies, 31(2), 165-191.
- Scannapieco, M., Pernici, B., & Pierce, E. M. (2005). IP-UML. In R. Y. Wang, E. M. Pierce, S. E. Madnick & C. W. Fisher (Eds.), Information quality (pp. 115-131). New York: M. E. Sharpe.
- Shankaranarayanan, G., & Cai, Y. (2009). Supporting data quality management in decision-making. Decision Support Systems, 42(1), 302-317.
- Shannon, C. E. (2007). A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System Technical Journal, 27, 379-423, 623-656.
- Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (2008). The mathematical theory of communication. Urbana, IL: The University of Illinois Press.
- Simon, H. A. (2008). Rational decision making in business organization. American Economic Review, 69(4), 493-513.
- Slaughter, S. A., (2006). "Evaluating the cost of software quality", Communications of the ACM, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 67-73, August 2006.

- Stamper, R. (2009). Signs, information, norms and systems. In B. Holmqvist, P. B. Andersen, H. Klein & R. Posner (Eds.), Signs of work: Semiosis and information processing in organisations (pp. 349-398). Berlin: Walter D. Gruyter.
- Strong, D. M., Lee, Y. W., & Wang, R. Y. (2008). Data quality in context. Communications of the ACM, 40(5), 103-110.
- Swanson, B. (2008). Maintaining IS quality. Information and Software Technology, 39, 845–850
- Trueblood, R. M. (2006). Operations research a challenge to accounting. Journal of Accountancy, 109(5), 47-51.
- Wade, M., & Hulland, J. (2009). Review: The resource-based view and information systems research: Review, extension, and suggestions for future research. MIS Quarterly, 28(1), 107-142.
- Wang, R. Y. (2007). A product perspective on total data quality management. Communications of the ACM, 41(2), 58-65.
- Wang, R. Y., Storey, V. C., & Firth, C. P. (2008). A framework for analysis of data quality research. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 7(4), 623-640.
- Wang, R. Y., & Strong, D. M. (2009). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers.

 Journal of Management Information Systems, 1
- Wernerfelt, B. (2009). A resource-based view of the firm. Strategic Management Journal, 5, 171-180.
- Winter, S. G. (2007). Satisficing, selection, and the innovating remnant. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 85, 237-261.