

**THE INFLUENCE OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT, ORGANIZATIONAL
CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT ON
ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE:**

A STUDY OF ZAIN TELCO, SAUDI ARABIA

Al Yamani Manal Abdullah

MASTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

2011

**THE INFLUENCE OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT, ORGANIZATIONAL
CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT ON
ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE:
A STUDY OF ZAIN TELCO, SAUDI ARABIA**

A Project Paper Submitted to Graduate School of Business in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of Master of Human

Resource Management

Universiti Utara Malaysia

BY

Al Yamani Manal Abdullah



KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN
(College of Business)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certify that)
MANAL ABDULLAH AL-YAMANI (804149)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana
(Candidate for the degree of) **MASTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**THE INFLUENCE OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT ORGANIZATIONAL
CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT
ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: A STUDY OF ZAIN TELCO, SAUDI ARABIA**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia : **ASSOC. PROF. DR. MOHMAD YAZAM BIN SHARIF**

DR. MOHMAD YAZAM SHARIF
Associate Professor
Management Studies (HRM)
College of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Tandatangan
(Signature) : _____

Tarikh
(Date) : **14 FEBRUARY 2011**

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a post graduate degree from University Utara Malaysia, I agree that University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project paper in any manner, in whole or part for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of Graduate School of Business. It is understand that any copying of publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understand that due recognition shall be given to me and to University Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project paper.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

DEAN

Research and Innovation

Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

The author is responsible for the accuracy of all opinion, technical comment, factual report, data figures, illustrations, and photograph in this dissertation. The author full responsibility for the checking whether material submitted is subject to copyright or ownership right. University Utara Malaysia (UUM) does not accept any liability for the accuracy of such comment, report and other technical and factual information and copyright claims

The author declares that this dissertation is original and his own except those literatures, quotations, explanations and summarizations which are duly identified and recognized. The author hereby granted the copyright of this dissertation to Graduate School of Business, University Utara Malaysia (UUM) for publishing if necessary.

Al Yamani Manal Abdullah

804149

Student Signature.....

Date.....

Othman Yeop Abdullah

Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

This study conducted to find the relationship of the independent variables, which are employee engagement, organization citizenship behavior and organizational commitment with the dependent variable, which is the organizational performance in Saudi Arabia Telecommunication Company.

This study is limited to identifying some factors that may affect organizational performance. These include employee engagement, organizational citizenship behavior and organizational commitment. The setting for the study is a Telecommunication company in Saudi Arabia called Zain. The total populations for this company were approximately 2.200 employees including all levels. Out of this number, a total number of supervisors level are 110 employees. Otherwise, the researcher will limit the study for only supervisory level in this company; the primary objective of this study is to examine the relationships between employee engagement, organizational citizenship behavior and organizational commitment and organizational performance. This study is important for several reasons. Firstly, recognizing a positive relationship between, employee engagement, organizational citizenship behavior, organizational commitment and organizational performance; secondly, to clarify the problem and barriers encountered in the application of human resource programs in the a Telecommunication company in Saudi Arabia in the context to the case included in this study thirdly, to participate in and contribute to research, resulting in Knowledge increase, and lastly, to assist scholars and other researchers in the HRM field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All PRAISE, gratitude are given to Allah for the help me and blessing in completion my work and giving me such a great strength patience, courage, and ability to complete this project.

Although any learning activity is a lonely personal project, it requires help, support and encouragement of others to be successful. Just as an eagle could not soar without the invisible strength of the wind, I could not have arrived at this place without all invisible hands that provided me that strength. I would like to present my humble appreciation and gratefulness to all the people who made this journey possible. I am in debt to those who knowingly and unknowingly were so helpful and important in the difficult moments.

Firstly, my deepest appreciation goes to *Assoc. prof. Dr. Mohmad Yazam Sharif* who has provided unlimited amount of encouragement and professional support. She valued my commitment to self and lifelong learning and all the while supporting my professional endeavors. Thank you, *Dr. Mohmad Yazam Sharif*, for your always-positive attitude and outlook; you are an incredible supervisor and an outstanding leader.

Secondly, to *Dr. Norizan Haji Azizan*, who has provided expertise, knowledge, support and coaching during my undertaking HRD class with her. The highest compliment I can say to a lecturer like you is: I have learned from you.

Thirdly, to *Dr. Norazuwa Mat*, who has provided her expertise, knowledge, support, and coaching. You are so kind person thank you.

I wish to thank **Dr. Ghazali Din** for proof reading my project paper, talking out ideas, providing technical and psychological assistance, and for guiding and supporting my efforts to succeed in this programme.

I am grateful to my friends who know the meaning of friendship and to my class member who only could be described as a great team. Most of all, I extend my gratitude to the Saudi Arabia Telecommunication Company (**Zain**) employees for their willingness to participated in this study.

I again like to thank Allah, The Sublime, The Majestic, for instilling in me the courage and strength to complete this study. May Allah, in His infinite Grace and Mercy, accept this humble effort.

To all those people, thank you so much.

Al Yamani Manal Abdullah

February 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	i
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
<u>LIST OF FIGURES</u>	x
CHAPTER 1	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	3
1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	4
1.6 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	5
1.7 DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS	6
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE CHAPTERS	7
CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 INTRODUCTION	8
2.2 ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE	8
2.3 EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT (EE)	10
2.4 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE	14
2.5 ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR (OCB)	19
2.4. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE	21
2.7 ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENTS	29
2.5 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE	32

2.6 CONCLUSIONS	33
CHAPTER 3	
METHODOLOGY	34
3.1 INTRODUCTION	34
3.2 RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	34
3.2.1 Independent Variable	34
3.2.2 Dependent Variable	35
3.3 HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT	36
3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN	37
3.5 SOURCES OF DATA	38
3.5.1 Primary Data	38
3.5.2 Secondary Data	38
3.6 POPULATION AND SAMPLING	39
3.6.2 Targeted Sample and Sample Size	40
3.7 DATA COLLECTION METHOD	41
3.8 MEASUREMENT OF VARIABLES	41
3.8.1 Organizational Performance	41
3.8.2 Employees engagement	42
3.8.3 Organizational Citizenship Behavior	44
3.8.4 Organizational commitment	46
3.9 QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN	48
3.10 DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES	49
3.11 CONCLUSION	51
CHAPTER 4	52
FINDINGS	52
4.1 INTRODUCTION	52

4.2	OVERVIEW OF DATA COLLECTED	52
4.2.1	Response Rate	52
4.3	RESPONDENTS' PROFILE	53
4.4	RELIABILITY ANALYSIS	55
4.5	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS	56
4.6	MAJOR FINDINGS	57
4.6.1	Pearson Correlation Coefficient	57
4.6.2	Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis (HMRA)	60
4.7	SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS	62
4.8	CONCLUSIONS	63
CHAPTER 5		64
DISCUSSION, RECOMMENDATION, AND CONCLUSION		64
5.1	INTRODUCTION	64
5.2	DISCUSSION	64
5.3	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	67
3.5.1	Financial Constraints	67
3.5.2	Lack of Experience	68
3.5.3	Respondents Co-operation	68
5.4	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	68
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	69
REFERENCES		70
APPENDIX A		76
APPENDIX B		82

LIST OF TABLES

ITEMS	PAC
Table 2.1 Literature review the influence of engagement on organizational performance	19
Table 2.2 Summarize the reason how OCB might influence organizational performance	24
Table 3.1 Total number of employees in Zain Telecommunication Company (Telco)	40
Table 3.2 Distribution of variables for Organizational Performance	41
Table 3.3 Distribution of variables for employee engagement	43
Table 3.4 Distribution of variables for organizational citizenship behavior	45
Table 3.5 Distribution of variables for organizational commitment	47
Table 4.1 Response Rate	53
Table 4.2 Respondents Profile	53
Table 4.3 Reliability Analysis	55
Table 4.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables	56
Table 4.5 Interpreting the R-value for Inter-correlations	58
Table 4.6 Pearson Inter-correlations Matrix Result.	59
Table 4.7 Results of Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis	61
Table 4.8 Summary Findings	62

LIST OF FIGURES

ITEMS		PAGE
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	35

CHAPTER 1

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays the management paradigms are becoming more human resources. Companies need their employees to contribute their creativity, ideas, and opinions to various issues to improve overall company performance. Employees may be instructed, even forced to do their job, nevertheless, no one can force another person to follow their dreams and passions in a normal work situation. Developing creative ideas and finding passion for one's work requires personal responsibility (Peters & Waterman, 1982). Covey (1997) explained that it is possible to purchase man power but it is not possible to purchase employees' hearts, minds, and souls. These days, the most significant resources of an organization are employees' intelligence and abilities (Marshall, 2000). In order for any organization to utilize the intelligence and the productivity of personnel, the employees must feel a sense of belonging to the organization.

Employees play an important role in achieving organizational performance; therefore, analysis of their psychological characteristics and the impact of these on the organization are crucial. Organizational commitment, citizenship behavior and engagement in particular, have been a major field of study in recent years. So this study attempts to examine the influence of these three variables on the performance of Saudi Arabia Telecommunication Company.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

Angle, H. L., & Perry, J. L. (1981). "An empirical assessment of organization commitment and organizational effectiveness". *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26, 1-13.

Angle, H.L. & Perry, J.L. (1983) "Organizational commitment: Individual and organizational influences". *Work and Occupations*, 10, 123-146.

Bateman, T. & Strasser, S. (1984). "A longitudinal analysis of the antecedents of organizational commitment". *Academy of Management Journal*, 21, 95-112.

Bateman, T. S., & Organ, D. W. (1983). Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee citizenship. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26(000004), 587.

Baumruk, R. (2004) 'The missing link: the role of employee engagement in business success' *Workspan*, Vol 47, pp48-52.

Benson, G. S., & Lawler, E. E. (2003). Employee involvement: Utilization, impacts, and future prospects. In D. Holman, T. D. Wall, C. W. Clegg, P. Sparrow & A. Howard (Eds.), *The new workplace: A guide to the human impact of modern working practices* (155-173). London: John Wiley & Sons.

Bolon, D.S. (1997). "Organizational Citizenship Behavior Among Hospital Employees: A Multidimensional Analysis Involving job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment". *Hospital & Health Services Administration*, 42, 2, 221-241.

Buhler, P. (2006). Engaging the workforce: a critical initiative for all organizations. *SuperVision*, 67(9), 18-20.

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2005) *Reward Management surveys*. Available online at http://www.cipd.co.uk/subjects/pay_rewrdmansurv.htm?IsSrchRes=1 [retrieved on 6 March 2007]

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2006a) *Reflections on employee engagement: Change agenda*. London: CIPD. Available at <http://www.cipd.co.uk/changeagendas> [retrieved on 6 March 2007].

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2007a) *Employee engagement*. Available online at <http://www.cipd.co.uk/subjects/empreltns/general/empengmt.htm?IsSrchRes=1> [retrieved on 6 March 2007].

Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the millennium: a meta-analytic review of 25 years of organizational justice research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86 (3), 425-445.

Cooper-Hakim, A. and C. Viswesvaran, 2005. *The construct of work commitment*. Psychol. Bull., 131:241-259.

Dalal, R.S., 2005. A meta-analysis of the relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and counterproductive work behavior. *J. Applied Psychol.*, 90 : 1241-1255.

DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 24(1), 17-28.

Edwards, P. and M. Wright (2001). "High-involvement work systems and performance outcomes: the strength of variable, contingent and context-bound relationships." *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12(4) 568-585.

Ellis, Christian M. and Sorensen, A. (2007) Assessing employee engagement: the key to improving productivity. *Perspectives* Vol 15 no 1 January 2007.

Farrel, D. and C.L. Stam, 1988. Meta-analysis of the correlates of employee absence. *Hum. Relat.*, 41 : 211-227.

Floyd, S.W. & Wooldridge, R.B. (1994): Dinosaurs or Dynamos? Middle management's strategic role. *Academy of Management Executive*, 8(4), 47-57.

Frank, F.D., Finnegan, R.P. and Taylor, C.R. (2004) 'The race for talent: retaining and engaging workers in the 21st century', *Human Resource Planning*, Vol 27, No 3, pp12-25.

Frank, F.D., Finnegan, R.P. and Taylor, C.R. (2004) 'The race for talent: retaining and engaging workers in the 21st century', *Human Resource Planning*, Vol 27, No 3, pp12-25.

Garland Chow, T. D. H. a. L. E. H. (1994). LOGISTICS PERFORMANCE: DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 24(1), 17-28.

Gleason, J.M. and Barnum, D.T., "Toward Valid Measures of Public Sector Productivity: Performance Measures in Urban Transit", *Management Science*, Vol. 28 No. 4, April 1986, pp. 379-86.

Gonzalez-Roma, V., Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Lloret, S. (2004). Burnout and work engagement: Independent factors or opposite poles? *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 68, 165-174.

Hall, Douglas. (1977) "Organizational identification as a function of career pattern and organizational type". *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17, 340-350.

Hall, R.H. 1991. *Organimtion: Structures, processes, and outcomes* (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Harter, J. K., Asplund, J. W., & Flemming, J. H. (2004). Human Sigma: A meta-analysis. The relationship between employee engagement, customer engagement, and financial performance. *The Gallup Management Journal*, 1-8.

Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.

Harter, J.K., & Schmidt, F.L.(2008). Conceptual versus empirical distinctions among constructs: implications for discriminant validity . industrial and organizational psychology.

Harter, J.K., & Schmidt, F.L.(2008). Conceptual versus empirical distinctions among constructs: implications for discriminant validity . industrial and organizational psychology.

Hewitt Associates. (2004). *Employee engagement higher at double-digit growth companies*. Retrieved April 13, 2008, from http://www.hewittassociates.com/_MetaBasicCMAssetCache/_Assets/Articles/DDGEngagementfull.pdf.

ISR. (2005). www.isrsurveys.com.

Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33, 692-724.

Karambayya, R. 1990. Good organizational citizens do make a difference. *Proceedings of The Administrative Sciences Association of Canada* (pp. 110-119). Whistler, British Columbia.

Katz, D. and R. L. Kahn. 1966. *The Social Psychology of Organizations*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Koch, J. & Steers, R. (1978). Job attachment, satisfaction, and turnover among public sector employees. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 12, 119-128.

Lanphear, S. (2004). Are Your Employees Highly Engaged? *Credit Union Executive*.

Loehr, J., & Schwartz, T. (2003). *The power of full engagement*. The Free Press.

Buhler, P. (2006). Engaging the workforce: a critical initiative for all organizations. *SuperVision*, 67(9), 18-20.

MacKenzie, S.B., Podsakoff, P.M., & Fetter, R. 1993. The impact of organizational citizenship behavior on evaluations of salesperson performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 57: 70-80.

Mathieu, J.E. and D.M. Zajac, 1990. A review and meta-analysis of the antecedent, correlates and consequences of organizational commitment. *Psychol. Bull.*, 108: 171-194.

Melcrum Publishing (2005) *An Independent Research Report . Employee Engagement*, Melcrum, UK.

Melcrum Publishing (2005) *Employee Engagement: How to build a high-performance workforce*. An independent Melcrum Research Report Executive Summary.

Meyer, J.P. & Allen, N.J. (1997). *Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research, and Application*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Mowday, R., Porter, L. and Durbin, R. (1974). Unit performance, situational factors and employee attitudes in spatially separated work units. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 12, 231-248.

Murphy, G. B., J. W. Trailer, and R. C. Hill. (1996). "Measuring Performance in Entrepreneurship Research." *Journal of Business Research* 36: 15-23.

Organ, D. W. (1988). Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.Organ, D.W. 19?&. *Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

Podsakoff, P.M., & MacKenzie, S.B. 1994. Organizational citizenship behaviors and sales unit effectiveness. *Journal of Marketing* feiearc/i, 31(3): 351-363.

Porter, L.W.,Crampon, W. & Smith, F. (1976). Organizational commitment and managerial turnover: A longitudinal study. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 15, 87-98.

Porter, L.W.; Steers, R.M.; Mowday, R.T.; & Boulian, P.V. (1974) Organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1974, 59, 603-609.

Reichers, Arnon (1985). "A review and reconceptualization of organizational commitment". *The Academy of Management Review*, 10, 3, 465-476.

Richman, A. (2006) 'Everyone wants an engaged workforce how can you create it?' *Workspan*, Vol 49, pp36-39.

Right Management (2006) *Measuring True Employee Engagement*. Philadelphia: Right Management.

Riketta, M., (2002). Attitudinal organizational commitment and job performance. *J. Organ Behav.*, 23: 257-266.

Robert J. Vance (2006). *Employee Engagement and Commitment A guide to understanding, measuring and increasing engagement in your organization*.

Robinson, D., Perryman, S. and Hayday, S. (2004) *The drivers of employee engagement*. Brighton: Institute for Employment Studies.

Rogers, E. W., & Wright, P. M. (1998). Measuring Organizational Performance In Strategic Human Resource Management: Problems, Prospect, and performance Information Markets. *Human Resource Management Review*, 8(3), 311-331.

Rutledge, T.(2005). Getting Engaged: the new workplace loyalty Toronto, Canada : IQ partners Inc.

Salkey, K. (2005) Employee Engagement: Global Survey. An Independent Melcrum Research Report, Executive Summary, UK.

Schappe, S.P., (1998). The influence of job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior. *J. Psychol*, 132: 277-290.

Schaufeli, W.B. and Bakker, A.B. (2004) Job demands, job resources and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, 25, pp. 1-23.

Shaw, K. (2005) 'An engagement strategy process for communicators', *Strategic Communication Management*, Vol 9, No 3, pp26-29.

Sink, D.S., Tuttle, T.C. and DeVries, S.J., "Productivity Measurement and Evaluation: What Is Available? *National Productivity Review*, Vol. 4 No. 3, 1984, pp. 265- 387.

Stinglhamber, F., & Vandenberghe, C. (2003). Organizations and supervisors as sources of support and targets of commitment: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24 (3), 251-270.

The Gallup Organisation, (2004). www.gallup.com.

Perrin, T. (2007). Closing the engagement gap: A road map for driving superior business performance. *Global Workforce Study*, 1–21.

Truss, C., Soane, E., Edwards, C., Wisdom, K., Croll, A. and Burnett, J. (2006) *Working Life: Employee Attitudes and Engagement 2006*. London, CIPD. US: SHRM Foundation.

Venkatraman, N. and V Ramanujam. (1986). "Measurement of Business Performance in Strategy Research: A Comparison of Approaches." *Academy of Management Review* 11(2): 801-814.

Weiner, Y. (1982). "Commitment in Organization: A Normative View". *Academy of Management Review*, 7, 418-428.

Wellins, R.S., Bernthal, P. and Phelps, M. (2005) *Employee Engagement: The key to realizing competitive advantage* [Internet]. Available from: http://www.ddiworld.com/pdf/ddi_employeeengagement_mg.pdf [Accessed October 31st, 2005].

Williams, L. J., & Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 17(3), 601-617.

Worland, D., & Manning, K. (2005). Strategic Human Resource Management and Performance. *Victoria University*, Melbourne City, MC 8001.