

**GENERATIONAL COHORT AND STYLE OF LEADERSHIP:  
A STUDY AMONG ADULT LEARNERS AT UUMKL**

**By  
FADZLINA BINTI DATUK KASSIM  
806541**

**Research Project Submitted to the Centre of Graduate Studies,  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master Degree of Human Resource  
Management**



**KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN**  
**College of Business)**  
**Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERTAS KERJA PROJEK**  
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(I, the undersigned, certificate that)

**FADZLINA BINTI DATUK KASSIM (806541)**

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana  
(Candidate for the degree of) **MASTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

Telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk  
(had presented his/her project of the following title)

**GENERATIONAL COHORT AND STYLE OF LEADERSHIP: A STUDY  
AMONG ADULT LEARNERS AT UUMKL**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek  
(at is appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut telah diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.  
(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfaction knowledge on the field is covered by the project paper).

**Nama Penyelia** : **DR. FADZLI SHAH ABD. AZIZ**  
( Name of Supervisor) :

**Tandatangan** : \_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

**Tarikh** : **16 June 2011**

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting the project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirement for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Assistant Vice Chancellor of the College of Business where I did my project paper. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper or parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my project paper.

Request of permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project paper in whole or in part should be addressed to :

Assistant Vice Chancellor

College of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM)

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

## **ABSTRACT**

What would be the leadership style to lead a diverse generational cohort in an organization? Is it a transformational leadership style, transactional leadership style or probably just a laissez-faire leadership style? What we can see in an organization nowadays are the workforces that are being shaped up by three diverse generational cohorts of Baby Boomers, Gen-X and Gen-Y. This study is conducted in the purpose to explore the perception of leadership style of a practitioner in the field of human resource, management and administration by taking the sample of diverse generational cohort of adult learners. The selection on this sample of adult learners in the above particular field is because they are the future leader to their organization which helps in the development of employees' professional management talent. They are also the personnel that involve in the organizational policy making and govern the industrial relations harmony among diverse employees in the organization. In this study the leadership styles were examined using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (Bass & Avolio, 2000). Results showed that transformational leadership had a higher Cronbach's alpha coefficient mean compare with the other two style of leadership of transactional leadership and laissez-faire style of leadership. Differences were found in these three generational cohorts with regards to their preferences of the style of leadership. Further discussion on their leadership approach in managing and leading the employees are explained in the findings.

## **ABSTRAK**

Apakah gaya kepimpinan bagi memimpin kumpulan generasi yang pelbagai di dalam organisasi? Adakah gaya kepimpinan yang berbentuk “transformational atau transactional atau laissez-faire”. Apa yang dapat kita lihat di dalam organisasi hari ini adalah tenaga kerja yang dibentuk daripada tiga kumpulan generasi “Baby Boomers, Generasi-X dan Generasi-Y.” Kajian penyelidikan ini dilakukan dengan mengkaji sudut persepsi gaya kepimpinan bagi golongan pekerja ini yang bekerja di dalam bidang pengurusan sumber manusia, pengurusan dan pentadbiran dengan mengambil contoh kajian segmen ‘pelajar dewasa’ yang terdiri dari berbilang generasi. Pemilihan contoh kajian segmen ‘pelajar dewasa’ di dalam bidang yang dinyatakan adalah kerana mereka bakal pemimpin organisasi di masa hadapan yang dapat membantu di dalam pengembangan bakat profesionalisma para pekerja. Mereka juga adalah pegawai yang bertanggungjawab di dalam penggubalan polisi syarikat dan juga bertanggungjawab memastikan hubungan industri di kalangan pekerja pelbagai generasi adalah harmoni. Di dalam kajian penyelidikan ini gaya kepimpinan adalah diukur menggunakan “Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire” (Bass & Avolio, 2000). Keputusan kajian menunjukkan “transformational leadership” mempunyai “Cronbach’s alpha coefficient mean” yang tinggi berbanding dengan gaya kepimpinan “transactional leadership dan laissez-faire leadership”. Adalah didapati terdapat perbezaan pemilihan di dalam gaya kepimpinan di kalangan pelbagai kumpulan generasi ini. Perbincangan lanjut berhubung dengan cara kepimpinan dan pengurusan pekerja adalah diterangkan di dalam bahagian “findings”.

## **Acknowledgement**

*Bismillahirrahmaanirrahiim.* I thank Allah SWT for the strength, the will and the courage to go on till the completion of this master project. In this opportunity I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Fadzli Shah bin Abd Aziz who has extended his full commitment, giving valuable guidance and advice, feedback and knowledge as well as support in completing this master project.

I would like to thank also the administrative of Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur (UUMKL) especially to Encik Amin, Director of UUMKL for providing me the required information and assistance to complete this project. I also owe my appreciation and gratitude to all my friends who have voluntarily extend their cooperation and participation in completing the questionnaire distributed for this master project.

*Alhamdulillah,* I am also very grateful to all my family members, especially to my dearest husband Nor Hisham Haji Abbas who has always gives his encouragement, support and prayers in everything I do; to my three lovely daughters Fatin Nabilah, Naadiah Aqilah and Fasha Adilah, thank you for all your prayers, love, sacrifice and understanding. You all are my pillars of strength, and without your patience and support it would be difficult for me to go through all this. I am deeply indebted to all of you. Thank you very much.

My heartfelt appreciation and thank you too to my dearest father Datuk Haji Kassim, my mother in-law Hajjah Che Bi and all my dearest siblings and in-laws for their constant support and understanding. There is no word that I could say of how much I am grateful to all your prayers, love, sacrifice and understanding. This piece of work also I dedicated to the memory of my beloved mother Datin Hajjah Zainon and my beloved father in-law; Tuan Haji Abbas Hashim. May Allah SWT bless us all. AMIN

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Permission to use</b>	<b>(ii)</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>(iii)</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>(iv)</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>(viii)</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>(ix)</b>

### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Research background	1
1.1.1	The New Edge of Workforces	1
1.1.2	Leadership in Malaysia	3
1.2	Scope of the study	5
1.3	Problem Statement	6
1.4	Research Questions	7
1.5	Research Objectives	8
1.6	Significance of the study	9
1.7	Limitations of the study	10
1.8	Definition of key terms	11
1.9	Organization of the Thesis	13

## **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Background of the study	14
	2.1.1 Review of Workforce Generational Groups	14
2.2	Defining A Generation	15
	2.2.1 Baby Boomers	17
	2.2.2. Generation-X	18
	2.2.3 Generation-Y or Millenials	19
2.3	Concepts of Leadership Style and Theory	20
	2.3.1 Transformational Leadership	20
	2.3.2 Transactional Leadership	22
	2.3.2 Laissez-faire Leadership	23
2.4	Adult Learners	25
2.5	Relationship between current problems and research variables	26
2.6	Theoretical Framework	28
2.7	Conclusion	29

## **CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY**

3.0	Introduction	30
3.1	Research Design	30
3.2	Data Collection	32
	3.2.1 Pilot Testing questionnaire	32
3.3	Population and Sample	34
	3.3.1 Population	34
	3.3.2 Sampling	35

3.4	Research Instruments	36
3.5	Data Analysis Method	39
3.6	Conclusion	40

## **CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS**

4.0	Introduction	41
4.1	The Missing Values	41
4.2	Reliability Results	42
4.3	Profile of respondents	43
4.4	Hypotheses Findings	47
4.5	Summary of the Result	51
4.6	Conclusion	53

## **CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

5.0	Introduction	54
5.1	Discussion	54
5.2	Conclusion	56
5.3	Further Research	58

<b>REFERENCES</b>	60
-------------------	----

<b>APPENDICES</b>	67
-------------------	----

## **List of Tables**

Table 4.2.1	Reliability Results	43
Table 4.3.1	Year of Birth	44
Table 4.3.2	Gender	45
Table 4.3.3	Respondents Type of Working Organization Sector	46
Table 4.4.1	Relationship Between Diverse Generational Cohort and Transformational Leadership	47
Table 4.4.2	Relationship Between Diverse Generational Cohort and Transactional Leadership	48
Table 4.4.3	Relationship Between Diverse Generational Cohort and Laissez-faire Leadership	49
Table 4.4.4	Post Hoc Table: Laissez-faire Leadership	50
Table 4.5.1	Summary of the Result (Mean)	51
Table 4.5.2	Summary of the Style of Leadership Result	52

## **List of Figures**

Figure 2.6.1: Theoretical Framework

28

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.0 Introduction**

In this chapter the background of what the research project is concern about, the problem on the issues, the objectives of the research project, its scope of study and the limitations will be presented and explained. The research question will also be discussed and clarified herein and the outline of the research project will be explained.

### **1.1 Research Background**

#### **1.1.1 The New Edge of Workforces**

In this new edge of globalization diversity play a vital role in organization performance. The workforces are becoming more diverse not just in terms of gender, race, and ethnicity but also include the generation (age). As in Malaysia we are also moving towards the globalization process facing the new edge challenges. Malaysian youngsters are also experiencing what popularly known nowadays as the transformational process.

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Razak, M.N. (2006). *Globalising Malaysia towards building a developed nation*. Selangor. MPH Group Publishing
- Adams SJ. 2000. Generation X: how understanding this population leads to better safety programs. *Professional Safety* 45: 26–29.
- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). Multifactor leadership questionnaire sampler set: Manual, forms and scoring key (3<sup>rd</sup>. ed.). Menlo Park, CA: Mind Garden.
- Avolio, B.J., Bass, B.M. & Jung, D.I. (1999). Re-examining the components of transformational and transactional leadership using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, Vol.72, pp. 441-462.
- Azzam, T., & Riggio, R. (2003). Community based civic leadership programs: A descriptive investigation [Electronic Version]. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 10 (1), 55.
- Barbuto, J.E., Fritz, S.M., Matkin, G.S. & Marx, D.B. (2007). Effects of gender, education, and age upon leaders' use of influence tactics and full range leadership behaviors. *Sex Roles*, Vol. 56, pp.71-83.
- Bass, B. M., 1990. From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. *Organisational dynamics*: 19-31.
- Bass, B.M. (1998). *Transformational leadership: Industry, military and educational impact*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B.J. (1997). *Full Range of Leadership Development Manual for the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire*. California: Mind Garden.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2000). *MLQ – Multi-factor leadership questionnaire, technical report*. Redwood City, CA: Mind Garden.
- Benshoff, J., & A. Lewis, (1992). Nontraditional college students. Retrieved September 2, 2001, from [www.ericae.net/edo/ED347483.htm](http://www.ericae.net/edo/ED347483.htm)
- Bradford FW. (1993). Understanding 'Generation X.' *Marketing Research* 5: 54.

- Bridgers, M., & Johnson, H. (2006). The aging workforce: The facts, the fiction, the future! *ASHRAE Journal*, 48(1), pp. 6-9. Retrieved from ProQuest database.
- Burns, J. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Calhoun, S.K. (2005). Generations at work. *AAOHN Journal*, 53 (11), 469 – 471. Retrieved from ProQuest database
- Cascio, W .F. (1995). *Managing Human Resources, Productivity, Quality of Work Life, Profits*. (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.
- Chen, P. J. & Choi, Y. (2008). Generational differences in work values: a study of hospitality management. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 20 (6), 595-615.
- Chew B.P. (2011). Prime minister Dato' Sri Najib. The Brand Laurette Business World Review. Cover story, 010-014.
- Conger, J. (2006). How Gen X's Manage. *Organizational Behavior Reader*, 9: 10-19
- Cooper, D.R. & Schindler, P.S. (2001). Business research methods. 7<sup>th</sup> edition. New York: McGraw-Hill
- Daft, R. L. (2002). *The Leadership Experience*. Thomson-Southwestern, Canada.
- D'Amato, A., & Herzfeldt, R. (2008). Learning orientation, organizational commitment and talent retention across generation. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23 (8), 925-953
- Duckett, H., & Macfarlane, E. (2003). Emotional intelligence and transformational leadership in retailing. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 24 (5/6), 309-317.
- Eisner, S. P. (2005). Managing generation Y. S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, 70 (4), 4-15. Retrieved from ProQuest database.
- Eskildsen, J., Westlund, A.H., & Kristen, K. (2003). The predictive power of intangibles. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 7 (2), 46-54.

- Fleming, J.E., & Caffarella, R. (2000). Leadership for adult and continuing education. In T.J. Sork, V.L. Chapman, & R. St. Clair (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 41<sup>st</sup> Annual Adult Education Research Conference* (pp. 118-122). Vancouver, Canada: The University of British Columbia.
- Fyock CD. 1990. America's Work Force is Coming of Age. Lexington Books: Toronto.
- Garman, A.N., Davis-Lenane, D. & Corrigan, p.W. (2003). Factor structure of the transformational leadership model in human service teams. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24 (6), 803.
- Glass, A. (2007). Understanding generational differences for competitive success. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 39(2), 98-103
- Guglielmino, P., J. & Guglielmino, L., M. (2001). *Learner preference assessment*. Retrieved from <http://www.guglielmino734.com/prod02.htm>
- Hackman, M.Z. & Johnson, C.E. (2009). *Leadership: A communication perspective*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.
- Hair, J. F. Jr., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. and Black, W. C., (1998). Multivariate data analysis. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hart, K.A. (2006). Generations in the workplace: Finding common ground. [www.mlo-online.com](http://www.mlo-online.com).
- Howe, N., & Strauss, W., (2007). The Next 20 Years: How Customer and Workforce Attitudes Will Evolve (July-August, 2007) Harvard Business Review.
- Hoyle, R.H., Hariss. M.J., & Judd, C.M. (2002). Research methods in social relations, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. US: Wadsworth
- Hunter, D. (2006). Leadership resilience and tolerance for ambiguity in crisis situations. *The Business Review, Cambridge*, 5 (1), 44-50.
- Huntley, r. (2006). The world according to Y: Inside the New Adult Generation, Allen & Unwin. Australia.
- Jenkins, M. (2004). A question of leadership. *Leadership in Action*, 24 (5), 12. Retrieved from EBSCOhost database.

- Jennings, A. T., (2000). Hiring Generation-X. *Journal of Accountancy*, 189: pp. 55-59.
- Jung, D. I. & Avolio, B. J. (2000). Opening the black box: An experimental investigation of the mediating effects of trust and value congruence on transformational and transactional leadership. *Journal of organizational Behavior*, 21, 949-964.
- Jurkiewicz CE, Brown RG. 1998. GenXers vs. boomers vs matures: generational comparisons of public employee motivation. *Review of Public Personnel Administration* 18: pp. 18–37.
- Karp H, Sirias D, Arnold K. 1999. Teams: Why generation X marks the spot. *The Journal for Quality and Participation* 22: pp. 30–33.
- Kogan, M., 2007. Human resources management: bridging the gap. Retrieved from <http://www.govexec.com/features/0901/0901s1.htm>.
- Kostka, J. (2005). Three generations unite in name of patient care. *AORN Journal*, 3(3), 15-17. Retrieved from ProQuest database
- Kupperschmidt, B.R., 2000. Multigeneration employees: strategies for effective management. *The Health Care Manager* 19, 65–76.
- Kyles, D. (2005). Managing your multigenerational workforce. *Strategic Finance*, 87(6), 52-55. Retrieved from ProQuest database.
- Lancaster, L.C. & Stillman, D. (2002). *When generations collide*. NY: Harper-Collins.
- Leschinsky, R. M., & Michael, J. H. (2004). Motivators and desired company values of wood products industry employees: Investigating generational differences. *Forest Products Journal*, 54(1), 34-39. Retrieved from ProQuest database
- Macaleer, W.D. & Shannon, J.B. (2002). Emotional intelligence: How does it affect leadership? *Employment Relations Today*, 29 (3), 9-19
- Malhotra, N.K. (2004). *Marketing research: An applied orientation* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.) Upper Sadle River, NJ: Pearson Education Inc.
- Marceau, G. (2003). Professional development in adult basic education. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 98, 67-74.

- Martin, C.A. (2003). Transcend generational timelines. *Nursing Management*, 34 (4), 24-28
- Martin, C.A., & Tulgan, B. (2006). *Managing the generation mix 2007*. Rainmaker Thinking, Inc.
- Nenty, H. J. (2009). Writing a Quantitative Research Thesis. *Int. Journal Education Sci*, 1 (1): 19-32
- Northouse, P.G. (2004). *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (3<sup>rd</sup>. ed.). London: Sage Publications
- O'Bannon G. 2001. Managing our future: the generation X factor. *Public Personnel Management* 30: pp. 95–109.
- Oshagbemi, T. 2004. Age influences on the leadership styles and behaviour of managers. *Employee Relations* **26**(1).
- Oppenheim, A. N. (2004). *Questionnaire Design, Interviewing and Attitude Measurement, New Edition*, Continuum, New York, NY
- Raines, C. (2003). *Connecting Generations: The Sourcebook for a New Workplace*. San Francisco: Thompson Crisp Learning
- Reynolds, D. (2003). *On-site foodservice management: A best practices approach*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons
- Roscoe, J. T. (1975). *Fundamental Research Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston
- Rubin, R.S., Munz, D.C. & Bommer, W.H. (2005). Leading from within: The effects of emotion recognition and personality on transformational leadership behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48 (5), 845 – 858.
- Sarros, J.C., & Santora, J.C. (2001). The transformational-transactional leadership model in practice. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 22 (8), 383-393.

- Scott, J. (2000). Is it a different world to when you were growing up: generational effects on social representations and child-rearing values. *British Journal of Sociology* 51: pp. 355–376.
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research Methods for Business. A Skill Building Approach* (5<sup>th</sup> Edition), John Wiley & Sons Ltd. West Sussex, United Kingdom.
- Sessa, Valerie, Robert K., Jennifer D. & Heather B. (2007). Generational Differences in Leader Values and Leadership Behaviors. *The Psychologist-Manager Journal* 10 (1), 47-74.
- Smola, K.W., Sutton, C.D., 2002. Generational differences: revisiting generational work values for the new millennium. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 23, 363–382.
- Snodgrass, J. & Shachar, M. (2008). Faculty perceptions of occupational therapy program directors' leadership styles and outcomes of leadership. *Journal of allied Health*, 37 (4): *ProQuest Education Journals*.
- Terry, M. (2006). Self-directed learning by undereducated adults. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 29(4), 28-38.
- The Brand*. (2011), Issue 02. Feb-March
- Westerman, J.W. & Yamamura, J.H. (2007). Generational preferences for work environment fit: Effects on employee outcomes. *Career Development International* 12 (2), 150-161
- Weston, M. J. (2006). Integrating generational perspectives in nursing. *OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 11(2), 1-10. Retrieved, from ProQuest database.
- Wu, F.Y. & Shiu, C. 2009. The relationship between leadership styles and foreign english teachers job satisfaction in adult English Cram Schools : Evidences in Taiwan. *The Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*, 14 (2).
- Young, t (1997). Leading projects. In M.Preedy, R. Glatter & R. Levacic (Eds.), *Educational management: Strategy, quality and resources*. Philadelphia: *Open University Press*, 247-257
- Yu, H. C., & Miller, P. (2003). The generational gap and cultural influence – a Taiwan empirical investigation. *Cross Cultural Management*, 10 (3), 23-41

Yulk,G. (2006). *Leadership in Organization*. Sixth Edition. New York: Pearson Prentice-Hall.

Zemke, R., Raines, C., Filipczak, B., (2000). *Generations at Work*. American Management Association, New York.