

**THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP STYLES/BEHAVIOR AND
COMPETENCIES TOWARDS THE JOB SATISFACTION
OF EMPLOYEES IN
THE ROYAL MALAYSIA POLICE**

BY

VENUGOPAL NAVARETNAM

**Project Paper Submitted to the College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Master of Science (Management)**

June 2011

PERMISSION TO USE

This Project Paper is presented in partial requirements for a post graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia, and I would willingly allow for the Universiti Library to inspect freely its contents. I also agree that permission for copying this Project Paper in any manner, either in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes maybe granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of College of Business. It is also hereby understood that any copying or publication or use of this Project Paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my due permission. It is also strongly insisted that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from this Project Paper.

Any requisition or permission to copy or to take other use of materials in this paper, in whole or in part, should be strictly addressed to:

**Assistant Vice Chancellor
College of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
Sintok, Kedah**

ABSTRACT

This study is to identify the influence of leadership styles/behavior and competencies towards the job satisfaction of the police officers and men in the Royal Malaysia Police. This study is based on samples collected from Officers and Men of various units in the police force, particularly in the Management Departments of the Royal Malaysia Police Headquarters in Kuala Lumpur. This research also analyses the problems of motivation in the police force which has relative relationship between leadership styles or behaviour and their competencies.

In this paper, the leadership style/behaviour was measured by the 20-item Leadership Style Questionnaire developed by Northouse (2001). This instrument has 10 items to measure task oriented leadership style and 10 items relational/people-oriented leadership style. Leaders' competencies were measured by the Eight Universal Management and Leadership Competencies in 18 Skill Sets developed by the Profile Service Centre. This instrument contains three items to measure communication, three items for leadership, two items for adaptability, two items for relationships, two items for task management, two items for production, two items for development of others, and two items to measure personal development. Job satisfaction was measured by using the 5-item questionnaire developed by Brayfield and Rothe (1951). The findings revealed that leadership style and leaders' competencies were significantly related to the overall job satisfaction.

Moreover, it is found that competencies like communication skills, solving problems, listening effectively, processing information, motivating successfully, delegating responsibilities, building personal relationships, focusing on working efficiently, taking action, and achieving results have a significant effect on leaders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report could not be accomplished without assistance and support of many lovely people.

First and foremost, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my Supervisor, Dr. Chandrakantan Subramaniam, who, with patient, understanding, encouragement and sincerity, gave his support and valuable advice throughout preparation of this paper. His effort in coaching and guiding me to the success of the research is undeniable.

My heartiest appreciation also goes to the staffs of Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur City Campus for their assistance, approvals and understanding in making use of office equipments during my research period in this fourth semester of my Masters Studies program.

This report would also not have been completed without the participation of officers and men in the Management Department of the Royal Malaysia Police Headquarters in Bukit Aman. Their cooperation and quick response in completing the questionnaires administered is one of the contributors for the success of this report. My special thanks to the Principal Director of Management, Dato' Mohd. Nizar for his understanding in allowing me to conduct this study and also permitting me to obtain records and secondary data collection within the department.

I also treasure the moment of sharing and learning process with all my course mates in UUM KL City Campus during the process of achieving our Masters Degree since early 2010.

I am also grateful to all my family members especially my beloved wife and my two lovely children, for their understanding, trust and endless support to me in my postgraduate study and research writing.

Last but not least, I would like to place on record my appreciation for the many others who have helped me but not able to mention all of them here.

Venugopal Navaretnam

Matrix No; 806617

June 2011

TABLE OF CONTENT

Title Page	i
Certification of Project Paper	ii
Permission to Use	iii
Abstract	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Content	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
 CHAPTER ONE	 1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objectives	7
1.4 Research Questions	8
1.5 Significance of the Research	8
1.6 The Scope of The Research	8
1.7 The Thesis Outline	9
 CHAPTER TWO	 11
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Leadership	11
2.3 Characteristics of Leaders	12
2.4 Research on Theories Related to Leadership	15
2.4.1 Traits Theorists	15
2.4.2 The Michigan State and Ohio State Universities Studies	16
2.5 The Managerial Grid from A Leadership Perspective	17
2.5.1 Situational Leadership	18
2.5.2 Transformational Leadership	18
2.6 Leadership Styles	19
2.6.1 Consultative, Democratic or Participative Leadership	20
2.6.2 Laissez-Faire Leadership	21
2.6.3 Common Sense Leadership	21
2.7 General Implications	22

2.8 Leadership Competencies and Styles	22
2.9 Leadership Training and Development	25
2.10 Holistic Management / Leadership	27
2.11 Job Satisfaction	27
2.11.1 Fulfillment Theory	28
2.11.2 Discrepancy Theory	28
2.11.3 Equity Theory	28
2.11.4 Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory	29
2.12 Previous Empirical Researches	30
2.12.1 Leadership Competencies and Leadership Behavior/Style	30
2.13 Leadership Behavior/Style and Job Satisfaction	32
2.14 Leadership Competencies on Job Satisfaction	35
2.15 Leadership Competencies, Leadership Behavior and Job Satisfaction	36
 CHAPTER THREE	 38
3. METHODOLOGY	38
3.1 Introduction	38
3.2 Research Hypothesis	38
3.3 Research Design	41
3.3.1 Research Sample	41
3.3.2 Source of Data	42
3.3.3 Primary Data	43
3.3.4 Secondary Data	43
3.4 Variables Measurement	44
3.4.1 Leadership Styles	44
3.4.2 Leaders' Competencies	44
3.4.3 Job Satisfaction	45
3.4.4 Demographic Information	46
3.5 Data Analysis	47
3.5.1 Reliability Testing	47
3.5.2 Frequency Analysis	47
3.5.3 Descriptive Statistic	48
3.5.4 Inferential Statistic	48
3.6 Summary	49
 CHAPTER FOUR	 50
4. FINDINGS	50
4.1 Introduction	50
4.2 The Background of Respondents	50

4.3	Reliabilities of Measures	52
4.4	The Main Variables (Descriptive Statistic)	53
4.4.1	Leadership Style	54
4.4.2	Leaders' Competencies	55
4.4.3	Job Satisfaction	57
4.5	Inferential Statistic	58
4.5.1	Correlation Matrix	58
CHAPTER FIVE		60
5.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	60
5.1	Introduction	60
5.2	Discussion	60
5.3	Implications	62
5.3.1	Theoretical Implication	62
5.3.2	Practical Implication	63
5.4	Limitation to the Study	64
5.5	Recommendation for Future Research	65
5.6	Conclusion	66
REFERENCES		67
APPENDIX A		72

LIST OF TABLES

		Pages
Table 3.1	Summary of Main Instruments Used	47
Table 4.1	Respondents' Background	50
Table 4.2	Reliability of Measures	53
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistics of Main Variables	54
Table 4.4	Descriptive Statistics of Leadership Styles	54
Table 4.5	Descriptive Statistics of Leaders' Competencies	56
Table 4.6	Descriptive Statistics of Employees' Job Satisfaction	57
Table 4.7	Correlation Matrix amongst Main Variables	59

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 2.1 Theoretical Framework (<i>Interrelationship between leaders' competencies, styles, and job satisfaction</i>)	37

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

In the globalized world and in an ever changing environment in today's situation, the Royal Malaysia Police (RMP) has a never ending challenge, be it direct or indirect to the current security state, political, economical and the social situation of the country. These changes have pressurised the Royal Malaysia Police into a state of complexity in the pursuit to strive to undertake its core abilities in providing its services by upholding law and order in the country. The officers and men in the force has been tasked to these challenges to the next level in which their performance is being seen as vital to the image and towards realising the vision and mission of the police force.

As an organization in upholding the Rule of Law, the RMP has two vital conjunctions to its wide range of responsibilities. The first is to be responsible in ensuring in the implementation of rules and regulations provided by the Rule of Law in this country, and secondly, its obligation towards the expectation of the nation. The guidelines for this purpose in outlined in Section 3 (3) of the Police Act, 1967 (Act 344), which states;

“The Force shall subject to this Act be employed in and throughout Malaysia (including the territorial waters thereof) for the maintenance of law and order, the preservation of the peace and security of Malaysia, the prevention and detection of crime, the apprehension and prosecution of offenders and the collection of security intelligence.”

In addition to this Act, the duties and responsibilities of the officers and men are further outlined in Section 20 (3) which states;

“Without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing provisions or any other law, it shall be the duty of a police officer to carry out the purposes mentioned in subsection

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Abdul Aziz, Bulat (2005). Human rights and the police force.
Journal of the Kuala Lumpur Royal Malaysia Police College. 4/2005, 7 – 14.
- Acryl Sani Hj Abdullah Sani. (2001). *Tahap Komitmen Terhadap Organisasi: Satu Kajian Kes Di Kalangan Anggota-anggota Polis Pangkat Rendah, Kontingen Polis Kuala Lumpur*. Thesis M.Sc. (Management), Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- AL-Hussami, M. (2008). A study of nurses' job satisfaction: the relationship to organizational commitment, perceived organizational support, transactional leadership, transformational leadership, and level of education. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 22(2), 286-295.
- Allen, N. and Mayer, J.P, (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1-18.
- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1991). *The full-range of leadership development*. Centre for Leadership Studies, Binghamton, NY.
- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-Efficacy. In V.S. Ramachaudran (Ed), *Encyclopedia of Human behavior* (Vol. 4, 71 – 81). New York: Academic Press. (Reprinted in H. Friedman [Ed]. *Encyclopedia of mental health*. San Diego: Academic Press. (1998).
- Bartram, T., & Casimir G. (2007). The relationship between leadership and follower in-role performance and satisfaction with the leader: The mediating effects of empowerment and trust in the leader. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28(1), 4-19.
- Beck, K and Wilson, C (2000), Development of affective commitment: a cross Sectional sequential change with tenure. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 56, 114-136.
- Blau, G.L. (1986). Job involvement and organizational commitment as interactive predictors of tardiness and absenteeism. *Journal of Management*, 12, 577-584.
- Brayfield, A. H., & Rothe, H. F. (1951). An index of job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 35, 307-311.
- Carmeli, A. (2003). The relationship between emotional intelligence and work attitudes, behavior and outcomes. *Journal of Managerial Psychology* 18(8), 788-813.
- Chang, P.I. and Chen, W-L. (2002). The affect of human resource management Practices on firm performance: Empirical evidence from high-tech firms in Taiwan. *International Journal of Management*, 19,4, 622-631.
- Chong, S. F. (2004). *Attitudinal factors contributing to organizational commitment Among employees in an East Malaysia city*. Proceeding of International Borneo Business Conference.

- Chong, S. F. (2006). The influence of employee characteristics and job attitudes on organizational commitment. *Malaysia Management Review*, 41, 63-78.
- Davis, J.A. (1971). *Elementary Survey Analysis*. Eaglewood Cliffs. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Feldman, D. C., & Arnold, H. J. (1983). *Managing individuals and group behavior in organizations*. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Fielder, F. E., Chemers, M. M., & Mahar, L. (1976). *Improving leadership effectiveness: The leader match concept*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Frank, C. (2001). *Building the New Westminster police service as a learning and leadership organization: An employee assessment of leadership skills and competencies*. Royal Roads University, Canada.
- Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchinsion, S., and Sowa, D (1986). Perceived organization support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71, 500-507.
- Ganster D., M. Fox, and D. Dwyer, "Explaining Employees' Health Care Costs: A Prospective Examination of Stressful Job Demands, Personal Control, and Physiological Reactivity," *Journal of Applied Psychology* 86 (May 2001), pp. 954-964;
- Graddick, M. and Farr, J. (1983). Professionals in scientific disciplines: ex related difference in working commitment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 68, 641-645.
- George, J. M., & Brief, A. P. (1996). Motivational agendas in the workplace: The effects of feelings on focus of attention and motivation. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 18(2), 75-109.
- Gibson, J. L., Ivancevich, J. M. D. Jr., & James, H. (2000). *Organizations behavior: Structure and processes* (10th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Guest, D.E. (1998). Beyond HRM: commitment and contract culture in Sparrow, P. and Marchington, M. (Eds), *Human Resource Management: The new agenda*, London, FT Pitman Publishing, p. 37-51.
- Haarr, R. (1997). They're making a bad name for the department: Exploring the link between organization commitment and police occupational deviance in a police petrol bureau. *International Journal of Police Strategies and Management Decisions*, 9, 20-27.
- Hall, D.T.; Scheider, B. and Nygren, H.T (1970), Personal factors in organizational identification, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 15, 176-190.
- House, R. J. (1971). A path-goal theory of leadership effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16(3), 321-338.
- Jabnoun, N., & Al-Rasasi, A. (2005). Transformational leadership and service quality in UAE hospitals. *Journal of Managing Service Quality*, 15(1), 70-81.

- Katzell, R. A. (1964). *Personal values, job satisfaction, and job behavior* (1st ed.). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Ladwig, H. R., F. (2000). Southern extension leadership development: Leadership development for a learning organization. [Electronic Version]. *Journal of Extension*, 28 from <http://joe.org/joe/2000june/a2.html>.
- Locke, E.A. (1986). Job attitude in historical perspective. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 1986 supplement, p5, 7p I chart.
- Madlock, P. E. (2008). The link between leadership style, communicator competence, and employee satisfaction. *Journal of Business Communication*, 45(1), 61-78.
- Mastrangelo, A., Eddy, E. R., & Lorenzet, S. J. (2004). The importance of personal and professional leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25(5), 435-451.
- Mcfarlane, L.A. (1985). *A comparison of two work attitudes: satisfaction verses commitment*. Dissertation for the Doctor of Philosophy, Universiti Microfilms International, Michigan.
- Meltcalfe, B. and Dick G. (2000). Is the force still with you? Measuring police commitment. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 15, 812-832.
- Mosadegh, R. A., & Yarmohammadian, M. (2006). A study of relationship between managers' leadership style and employees' job satisfaction. *Journal of Leadership in Health Services*, 19(2), 11-28.
- Mottaz, C.J. (1988). Determinant of organization commitment. *Human Relations*, 41 (6), 467-482.
- Naidoo, P. (2008, July 14). *Job satisfaction of sport administrators employed at tertiary institutions in South Africa*. Paper presented at the Marketing & Management Development, Rio De Janeiro
- Owen, R. (1825). *A new view of society*, in H. Merrill (Ed., 1970) *Classical in Management* (New York, American Management Association).
- Porter, L. W., Steers, R.M., Mowday, R. T., and Boulian, P.V. (1974). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction and turnover among psychiatric technicians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59, 603-609.
- Ramey, J. W. (2002). *The relationship between leadership styles of nurse managers and staff nurse job satisfaction in hospital settings*. Marshall University.
- Ramsey, R., Lassk, F.G., and Marshall, G. W. (1995). A critical evaluation of a Measure of job involvement: The use of the Lodahl and Kejner (1965) scale with salespeople. *The Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*. 15(3), 65-74.

- Report of the Royal Commission to enhance the operation and management of the Royal Malaysia Police. (2005). Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad.
- Rimm, H. and Jerusalem, M. (1999). Adaptation and validation of Estonian version of The General Self-Efficacy Scale. *Anxiety, stress and coping*, 12, 329-345.
- Sekaran, U. (2007). *Research methodology for business: A skill building approach* (4th ed.). Australia: Wiley & Sons.
- Schaffer, R. H. (1953). Job satisfaction as related to need satisfaction in work. *Psychological Monographs*, 67(14), 3.
- Schwarzer, R. and Jerusalem, M. (1995). *Generalized Self-Efficacy*. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, and M. Johnston, Measure in health psychology: A user's portfolio, Causan and control beliefs, 35-37, Windsor, UK: NER-NELSON.
- Steers, R. M., and Spencer, D.G. (1977). The role of achievement motivation in job design. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62, 472-479.
- Wilson, T. (2006). *The power of coaching and mentoring*. Continuous Professional Development Talk at Malaysia Institute of Management, Jalan Ampang, Kuala Lumpur.
- Thorn, M. (2002). Leadership in international organizations: Global leadership competencies [Electronic Version], from www.academy.umd.edu/publications/global_leadership
- Tokunaga, H. T., and Staw, B.M. (1983). Organizational commitment: A review and critique of current theory and research. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Los Angeles.
- Upenieks, V. V. (2003). The interrelationship of organizational characteristics of magnet hospitals, nursing leadership and nursing job satisfaction. *Health Care Management*, 22(2), 83-98.
- Vance, C., & Larson, E. (2002). Leadership research in business and health care. *Nurse Scholarship*, 34(2), 165-171.
- Yukl, G. (1999). An evaluative essay on current conceptions of effective leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 33-48.
- Quick J.C. et al., *Preventive Stress Management in Organizations* (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1997), pp. 3-4;