

**THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON JOB
PERFORMANCE AT THE INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC
COLLEGE KUALA LUMPUR**

By:

GAFOR MOHD NOR

**OTHMAN YEOP ABDULLAH
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA**



THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON JOB PERFORMANCE AT THE INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC COLLEGE KUALA LUMPUR

By:

GAFOR MOHD NOR

Project Paper submitted in partial Fulfilment of the Requirement

For the Master Sciences of Management.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

(2011)

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this Project Paper and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a Project Paper for the Master sciences of Management.

.....

Prof. Dr. Ajay chauhan

Supervisor

This Project Paper was submitted to College of Business, UUM and is accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master Sciences of Management.

.....

Name

Chairperson, COB

Project Paper Committee

COB, UUM

DECLARATION AND COPYRIGHT

Name : Gafor Bin Mohd Nor

Matric Number : 806906

I hereby declare that this research is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by footnotes giving explicit references and bibliography is appended.

Signature.....

Date: 16th June 2011

© Copyright by Gafor Bin Mohd Nor
and Universiti Utara Malaysia

Acknowledgement

This project paper would not been done without assistance and support from many people. First of all, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Ajay Chauhan . His enthusiasm, patience, encouragement and support have kept me working on the right track. He also helped me to focus on my topic during the research methodology class. His critical comments have also helped to improve the quality of this research work. He also reviewed my thesis word-by-word and corrected my English and helped me improve my writing style. Thank you. Prof. Dr. Ajay Chauhan .

I would like to express my special gratitude to the authorities of IIC for allowing me to conduct my study in their place. Besides, I sincerely value their input and without their assistance, there would not be such a research study.

I would like to thank few of my colleagues; Sholihin, and Intan for their helpful guidance and advice. Also, I would like to thank Universiti Utara Malaysia for giving me this great opportunity to achieve my educational goals through this project paper.

And finally, I would like to thank my lovely wife, Mashita Mastor, for her understanding and support through this tough process. I am forever grateful for her constant encouragement and unselfish emotional support.

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of leadership styles on job performance at the International Islamic College among employees with relation to their manager's leadership style in a higher educational environment. It is estimated that leadership styles has an impact on greater than 70% of management decisions. The questionnaire was distributed and collected from 130 respondents to examine how top management manage, and what their concerns are regarding their staff satisfaction. This questionnaire also asked about the risk of vulnerability on creating a leadership style that motivates staff to do their jobs and with the quality of an owner. With regards to vulnerability, it is found that most respondents agreed that leadership styles and their impact can help develop and adapt your own leadership style and so help to become a more effective leader. Leadership is a complex activity involving a process of influence, actors who are both leaders and followers, and a range of possible outcomes, the achievement of goals, but also the commitment of individuals to such goals, the enhancement of group cohesion and the reinforcement of change of organizational culture.

This research was limited to International Islamic College staff and sample is chosen to represent the population. The methods used for this research are data collecting, simple random sampling and measurement of instrument (Likert Scale). Only quantitative data analysis was used in this research.

Keyword : Leadership Styles, job Performance, Effective Leader,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No	Tables of Content	Page
1	APPROVAL PAGE	i
2	DECLARATION AND COPYRIGHT	ii
3	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
4	ABSTRACT	iv
5	Table of Content	v
6	1.0 INTRODUCTION	
7	1.1 IIC Background	1
8	1.2 Research Background	2
9	1.3 Research Objective	3
10	1.4 Research Question	4
11	1.5 Statement of problem	5
12	1.6 Significance of the research	8
13	1.7 Research limitation	9
14	1.8 Dependent variable and Independent variable	10
15	1.9 Definition of term	10
16	1.1 Remainder of the Study	11
17	2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	
18	2.1 Introduction	13
19	2.2 Leadership	14
20	2.2.1 Leadership Theories	15
21	2.2.2 Leadership Style	15
22	2.2.3 Trait theory of Leadership	16
23	2.2.4 Leadership style and leadership Behaviour	17
24	2.2.5 Traditional Leadership Theories	17
25	2.3 Intellectual stimulation	18
26	2.4 Individual consideration	19
27	2.5 Style or behavioral Theory of Leadership	20
28	2.6 Situational / Contingency Leadership	21
29	2.7 transformational leadership factors	24
30	2.8 Job Performance	26
31	2.9 Relationship between Leadership Styles and Job Performances	26

32	3.0	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN	
33	3.1	Introduction	28
34	3.2	research design	28
35	3.3	Type of Research	28
36	3.4	Data Collection Method	30
37	3.4.1	Primary Data	31
38	3.4.2	secondary data	31
39	3.4.2.1	Reference books	31
40	3.4.2.2	Journal	31
41	3.4.2.3	Internet	31
42	3.4.2.4	Thesis	32
43	3.5	Population and Sample	33
44	3.6	Research framework	34
45	3.7	Hypothesis	35
46	3.8	Sampling Methodology	36
47	3.9	Reliability Analysis	38
48	3.10	Data analysis	39
49	3.11	Descriptive Analysis	39
50	3.11.1	Inferential Analysis	39
51	3.12	Pilot Study	42
52	3.13	Reliability of Transformational Leadership	43
53	3.14	Reliability of Charismatic Leadership	43
54	3.15	Reliability of Job Performance	44
55	3.16	Conclusions	45
56	4.0	DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDING	
57	4.1	Introduction	46
58	4.2	Data Analysis Tools	46
59	4.3	Demographic profile of Respondent	47
60	4.4	Reliability Analysis	47
61	4.4.1	Reliability of Measures	61
62	4.5	Mean Score analysis	63
63	4.6	Bivariate Analysis	65

64	5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
65	5.1 Introduction	67
66	5.2 Major Finding and Recommendation	67
67	5.3 Direction of Future Research	68
68	5.4 Conclusion	68
69	REFERENCES	69
70	APPENDIXES	73

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 IIC Background

The International Islamic College (IIC) was established in 2001 as a garden of knowledge in accordance with its primary objective of moulding and shaping Islamic Professionals. The college has endeavoured to be the best alternative for those who seek for knowledge and professionalism. The International Islamic College imparts noble values to students, which are incorporated in the implementation of academic and administrative system of the college.

The primary objective is to educate and train young men and women to become excellent professional so that they will be sufficiently equipped with Islamic knowledge and skills through carefully designed programmers offered at this college.

To accomplish this objective, we have made it mandatory for the students, without exception, to attend co-curricular programmers comprising “USRAH”, special Ibadah Camp, talks on motivation and leadership.

The International Islamic College has taken a leaf from the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) in matters pertaining to the style and approach in managing higher learning. We believe that IIUM serves as a role model for success and excellence and is worthy emulation.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

1. Agarwal, S.DeCarlo, T.E& Yyas, S.B (1999). 'leadership and organizational commitment: A comparative study of American and Indian salesperson', *Journal of International Business Studies*, 30,40, 727-744.
2. Avery, G. C. (2004). *Understanding leadership: Paradigms and cases*. London: SAGE Publications.
3. Boone, L., & Bowen, D. (1980). *The great writings in management and organizational behavior*. Tulsa, OK: PPC Books.
4. Baldon, J. (2002). *Effective Leadership Communications: It's More Than Talk*. Harvard Business Publishing Corp.
5. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B.J. (1996). *The Team Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (TMLQ)*. PaloAlto: Mind Garden, Inc.
6. Bryman, A. (1992). *Charisma and leadership in organizations*. London: Sage. Campbell, K. S., White, C. D., & Johnson, D. E. (2003). Leader-member relations as a function of rapport management. *Journal of Business Communication*, 40, 170-194.
7. Castaneda, M., & Nahavandi, A. (1991). Link of manager behavior to supervisor performance rating and subordinate satisfaction. *Group & Organization Management*, 16,357-366.
8. Conger, A. (1999). Charismatic and Transformational Leadership in Organizations: An Insider's Perspective on these Developing Streams of Research. *Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 145-179.
9. DeLeon, L., & Taher, W. (1996). Expectations and Job Satisfaction of Local-Government Professionals. *American Review of Public Administration*, 26(4), 401-416.
10. Emery, C. R., & Baker, K. J (2007). The Effect of Transactional and Transformational Leadership Styles on the Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction of Customer Contact Personnel. *Journal of Organizational Culture*, 11(1), 77-90.
11. Fiedler, F. E., & House, R. J. (2002). Leadership theory and research: a report of progress. *Managerial Psychology* (pp. 96-116). Chichester, U.K.: Wiley.
12. Fleishman, E. A. (1973). Twenty years of consideration and structure. In E. A. Fleishman & J. G. Hunt (Eds.), *Current developments in the study of leadership* (pp. 1-37). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

13. Foels, R., Driskell, J. E., Mullen, B., & Salas, E. (2000). The effects of democratic leadership on group member satisfaction. *Small Group research*, 31, 676-701.
14. For an interview with Paul Hersey on the origins of the model, see John R. Schermerhorn, Jr., "Situational Leadership: Conversations with Paul Hersey," *Mid-American Journal of Business* (Fall 1997), pp. 5-12.
15. Hersey, P. (1984). *The situational leader*. Escondido, CA: Center for Leadership Studies.
16. Immengart, G. L. (1988). Leadership and Leader Behavior. In R. T. O'Connell (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Administration*. White Plains, NY: Longman, Inc.
17. Indvik, J. (2004). Women and leadership. In P. Northouse (Ed.), *Leadership: Theory and practice* (pp. 203–225). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
18. Kirkpatrick, S. A., & Locke, E. A. (2000). Leadership: Do traits matter? *Academics of Management Executive*, 5 (2), 48-60.
19. Kotter, J. (1990). *A Force for Change: How Leadership Differs from Management*. The Free Press, New York, NY.
20. Kemelgor, B. H. (1982). Job Satisfaction as Mediated by the Value Congruity of Supervisors and their Subordinates. *Journal of Occupational Behavior*, 3(2) 147-160.
21. Lewis, G. B. (1992). Man and woman towards the top: Background, careers, and potential of federal middle managers. *Public Personnel Management*, 21, 473-491.
22. Lord, R. G., DeVader, C. L., & Alligor, G. M. (1986). A Meta-Analysis of the Relation between Personality Traits and Leadership Perceptions: An Application of Validity Generalization procedures. *Journal Applied Psychology*, 3, 402-410.
23. Mazarella, J., & Smith, S. C. (1989). Leadership Styles. In *School Leadership : Handbook for Excellence*, 28-52, Eugene, Oregon: ERIC.
24. McCall, J. J. (2002). Leadership and ethics: Corporate accountability to whom, for what and by what means. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 38, 133–139.
25. Michalisin, D.M., Karau, J. S., & Tangpong, C. (2007). Leadership's Activation of Team Cohesion as a 95 Strategic Asset: An Empirical Simulation. *Journal of Business Strategies*, 24(1), 1-26.
26. Michaud, L. (2000). Turning the tables on employee turnover. *Franchising World*, 32(4), 18-19.

27. McFarland, L. S., Senn, L. E., & Childress, J. R. (1995). Redefining Leadership for the next Century. In J. T. Wren (Ed.), *The Leaders' Companion: Insights on Leadership through the ages*, 456-463. New York, NY: The Free Press.
28. Michalisin, D.M., Karau, J. S., & Tangpong, C. (2007). Leadership's Activation of Team Cohesion as a Strategic Asset: An Empirical Simulation. *Journal of Business Strategies*, 24(1), 1-26.
29. Northouse, P. A. (2007). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
30. Northouse, P. G., (2004). *Leadership Theory and Practice*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publication Inc.
31. Paul Hersey and Kenneth H. Blanchard, *Management and Organizational Behavior* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1988).
32. Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1975). Determinants of supervisory behavior: A role set analysis. *Human Relations*, 38, 138-153.
33. Scarpello, V., & Vandenberg, R. J. (1992). Generalizing the Importance of Occupational and Career Views to Job Satisfaction Attitudes. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 13(2), 125-140.
34. Schermerhorn, J., Jr. (2002). *Management*. New York: Wiley.
35. Sekaran, Uma. (2007). *Research Method For Business, a Skillbuilding Approach*. 4th ed., New Delhi: John Wiley & Sons.
36. See Paul Hersey, *The Situational Leader* (Escondido, CA: Center for Leadership Studies, 1984).
37. See Claude L. Graeff, "The Situational Leadership Theory: A Critical View," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 8 (1983), pp. 285-291, and the research summary in Gary Yukl, *Leadership in Organizations, Sixth Edition* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, 2006), pp. 223-225.
38. Shaw, K. (2005). Getting Leaders Involved in Communication Strategy. *Strategic Communication Management*, Vol 9, Issue 6, p14-17, 4p. Melcrom Publishing Ltd.
39. Stogdill, R. M. (1948). Personal factors Associated with Leadership: A Survey of the Literature. *Journal of Psychology*, 25, 35-71.

40. Stogdill, R. M. (1974). *Handbook of Leadership*. New York: Free Press.
41. Whitsett, G. (2007). Perceptions of leadership styles of department chairs. *College Student Journal*. Vol. 41 Issues. 2.