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Abstrak
Abstract

Self Determination Theory (SDT) postulates that Teacher’s Autonomy Support (TAS) promotes learning motivation and academic outcomes, but cross cultural controversies within SDT question the significance of TAS in Asian classrooms. The present research tests the relevance of TAS on Thai students’ motivation in relation to Thai Education reforms. In a quasi-experimental non-equivalent group design, 103 students (56 girls and 47 boys) of Grade-6, from a Thai public school, participated in the present study. The experimental group underwent an autonomy supportive intervention for seven (7) sessions (60 minutes each) in a regular classroom setting by a trained teacher. Data were gathered for the Pretest, posttest1 and posttest2 using an intrinsic motivation inventory by Ryan (1982), a self-regulation questionnaire by Ryan and Connell (1989) and a learning climate questionnaire by Black and Deci (2000) for variables which include interest, effort, pressure, relatedness, perceived autonomy support, identified and external regulation. An analysis using Multivariate Analysis of Variance in the pretest showed no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group on all variables including gender. However, significant mean differences were observed in the following cases: (i) between both groups at the posttest1, (ii) between the pretest and posttest1 of the experimental group, with the main effects observed for all variables as a result of TAS and (iii) between means of the posttest1 and posttest2 in the experimental group. However, the main effects were only significant for variables such as effort, relatedness and perceived autonomy support. Thus, the findings have strengthened the SDT belief that autonomy is not a culturally bound value and is equally relevant for Thai students and has implications for Thai education and its policies.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

“Motivation is defined as a process whereby goal-directed activity is instigated and sustained” (Pintrich & Schuck, 2002, p.3). It can be explained as a desire, or a force that induces living beings to pursue goals and maintain goal oriented behaviors. Motivation plays a pivotal role in determining optimal school functioning among students. Studying motivation for classroom learning and student performance has always been a major issue for researchers in educational psychology (Urdan & Turner, 2005). It is important for educators to have knowledge of the learner’s motivation in order to develop appropriate condition for them to experience positive motivation. Without this, educators may fail to engage students in learning (Alexander, 2005). Schools, being the primary influence on children’s upbringing, are studied extensively to develop a system which fosters a genuine interest for learning. Several studies have been conducted in the quest of finding out ways and means to promote better academic outcome, to enhance student engagement and reduce the dropout rate (McCombs & Whisler, 1997; Skinner & Belmont, 1993; Wlodkowski & Jaynes, 1990). Numerous theories such as Pavlov’s (1927, 1928) classical theory, Skinner’s (1953) operant conditioning theory, and Festinger’s (1957) cognitive dissonance theory have made attempts to identify the nature and characteristics of motivation. Among these, Self-determination Theory
The contents of the thesis is for internal user only
REFERENCES


Archer, J. (2001, September). “Turning Point: Thailand wants big changes in its teaching, but doing so has meant shaking up the country’s education system from top to bottom.” *Education Week* : 29-35.


262


