

**DETERMINANTS OF AUDIT REPORT LAG AND CORPORATE
GOVERNANCE IN MALAYSIA**

By

KOGILAVANI D/O APADORE

**Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Science
(International Accounting)**

May 2012

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library make a freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project paper.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this project paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

**Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman**

ABSTRACT

An accurate and timely financial statement is considered as an important aspect to the success of all organization. Therefore, financial information needs to be available to users especially investors and shareholders as soon as possible in their decision making. This paper aims to investigate the relationship between the characteristics of corporate governance; board independence, ownership concentration, audit committee independence, expertise, meeting, size, internal audit investment and audit report lag among companies listed under Bursa Malaysia. The samples covered are among 180 companies listed at Bursa Malaysia for 2009 and 2010. The samples are chosen randomly from 843 company of the population. Descriptive statistics was used to provide insight into the time taken by external auditors to complete an audit work of a company. The results show that on average, the companies took about 100 days to complete their audit report with a maximum and minimum day of 148 days and 26 days respectively. In addition regression analysis was used to provide empirical evidence on which variables had significant relationship with audit report lag. The results show that audit committee size, ownership concentration, organization size and profitability are significantly associated with audit report lag. However the other six variables (audit committee independence, meetings, expertise and types of auditors were found to have insignificant relationship with audit report lag.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, my praise to God whose blessing and guidance have helped me to complete my dissertation.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Dr. Mohd. Atef bin Md Yusof, for his invaluable advice, constant guidance, great patience, understanding, knowledge, attention, kindness and encouragement throughout my study. The supervision and support that he gave truly help the progression and smoothness of this report.

My sincere appreciation goes to my beloved parents, Mr. Apadore and Mrs. Ayamah, sister and brother for their endless love, prayers and encouragement. Their cooperation, encouragement, constructive suggestion and full support for the report completion, from the beginning till the end.

Last but not the least, my sincere thanks to all my friends and everyone that has been supporting my work and help during this project progress till it is fully completed. Thanks for the friendship and memories. I really appreciate it.

Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTON

1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Research Question.....	8
1.4 Research Objective.....	8
1.5 Significant of the Study.....	9
1.7 Scope and Limitation	9
1.8 The outline of the study.....	10

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

DEVELOPEMENT

2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Audit Report Lag.....	11
2.3 Agency Theory.....	13
2.4 Transaction Cost Economics.....	15
2.5 Characteristics of Corporate Governance	16
2.5.1 Audit Committee Independence.....	17
2.5.2 Audit Committee Meeting.....	19
2.5.3 Audit Committee Expertise	21
2.5.4 Audit Committee Size	22
2.5.5 Board Independence.....	23
2.5.6 Internal Audit Investment	25
2.5.7 Ownership Concentration	28

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	29
3.2 Theoretical Framework	29
3.3 Variable Measurements.....	30
3.3.1 Dependent Variables	30
3.3.2 Independent Variable	30
3.3.2.1 Audit Committee Independence.....	30
3.3.2.2 Audit Committee Meetings	30
3.3.2.3 Audit Committee Expertise.....	31
3.3.2.4 Audit Committee Size	31
3.3.2.5 Board Independence	31
3.3.2.6 Internal Audit Investment	32
3.3.2.7 Ownership Concentration	32
3.3.3 Control Variable.....	32
3.3.3.1 Organizational Size	32
3.3.3.2 Types of Auditors.....	33
3.3.2.3 Profitability	34
3.4 Data Collection.....	36
3.5 Model Specification	36
3.6 Data Analysis	37
3.6.1 Descriptive Analysis	37
3.6.1 Pearson Correlation.....	37
3.6.1 Multi Regression Analysis	38

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 Descriptive Statistics	39
4.3 Pearson Correlation	46
4.4 Regression Analysis	48

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION

5.1 Introduction	54
5.2 Discussion and Conclusion	54
5.3 Future Research.....	56

REFERENCE	58
------------------------	-----------

APPENDIX	72
-----------------------	-----------

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Summary of variable measurements	35
Table 3.2 Sample selection	36
Table 3.3 Davis scale model	38
Table 4.1 Descriptive statistics for audit report lag	39
Table 4.2 Number of companies and audit report lag for 2009 &2010	40
Table 4.3 Descriptive statistic	42
Table 4.4 Pearson correlation.....	46
Table 4.5 Multiple regression	48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Theoretical Framework.....	29
--	----

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Timeliness is really a pertinent issue that exists in corporate reporting. Timeliness enhances the usefulness of information or otherwise it will decrease its economic value. This is in tandem with recognition that was made by American Accounting Association (AAA, 1955 and 1957), the Accounting Principles Board (1970), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (1973) and so on. There are many ways to define timeliness. Basically timeliness is known as the reporting delay from the company's accounting year end to the date of the audit report completed (Chambers and Penman, 1984). Audit report lag also is considered as an essential dimension for stakeholders and regulatory authorities, professional bodies, academicians, financial analysts, investors and managers in order to identify and get to know about the financial accounting information. In accordance with this, there are a number of studies which were listed with the same conclusion that the audit report lag is imperative for investors to make decisions. These include studies by (Chambers and Penman, 1984; Choi and Choe, 1998; Ball, Kothari and Robin, 2000; Al-Sehali and Spear, 2004).

Besides that, audit report lag also leads the existing shareholders and potential shareholders to postpone their transaction on shares (Ng and Tai, 1994). This would lead a negative effect to the company. So, in order to establish the confidence of investors, reliable and timely accounting information is really needed. The audited financial statement in the annual report seems to be one of the reliable sources of

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Abbott, L. J., and S.Parker. 2000. Auditor selection and audit committee characteristics. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 19 (Fall): 47-66.
- Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., Peters, G. F., & Raghunandan, K. (2003). The association between audit committee characteristics and audit fees. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 22(2), 17–32.
- Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., & Peters, G. F. (2004). Audit committee characteristics and restatements. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 23(1), 69–87.
- Accounting Principles Board, (1970). Basic Concepts and Accounting Principles Underlying Financial Statements of Business Enterprises, *Statement No. 4*, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, New York.
- Adams, M.B. (1994), Agency theory and the internal audit”, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 8: 8-12
- Abdullah, S. N., Mohamad-Yusof, N. Z., & Mohamad-Nor, M. N. (2010). Financial restatement and corporate governance among Malaysian listed companies. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(6): 526–552.
- Afify, H. A. E. (2009). Determinants of audit report lag: Does implementing corporate governance have any impact? Empirical evidence from Egypt. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 10(1): 56–86.
- Ahmad, R.A.R. and Kamarudin, K.A., 2003. “Audit delay and the timeliness of corporate reporting: Malaysian evidence”. *Working paper*, MARA University of Technology, Shah Alam.

- Ahmad-Zaluki, N. A., & Wan-Hussin, W. N. (2010). Corporate governance and earnings forecasts accuracy. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 18(1), 50–67.
- Ajinkya, B., S. Bhojraj and P. Sengupta,(2005). The association between outside directors,institutional investors and the properties of management earnings forecasts. *Journal of Accounting Research* 43(3), 343-376.
- Al-Ajmi, J. (2008). Audit and reporting delays: Evidence from an emerging market. *Advances in Accounting*, 24(1) :217–226.
- Al-Sehali, M., & Spear, N. (2004). The decision relevance and timeliness of accounting earnings in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Accounting*, 39, 197-217.
- American Accounting Association. (1957). Accounting and Reporting Standards for Financial Statements ad Preceding Statements and Supplements, Sarasota.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (1973. Objectives of Financial Statements. New York.
- Ashton, R. H., Graul, P. R., & Newton, J. D. (1989). Audit delay and timeliness of corporate reporting. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 5(2), 657-673.
- Ashton, R.H., Willingham, J.J. and Elliott, R.K., (1987). “An empirical analysis of audit delay”. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 25(2): 275-292.
- Atiase, R.K., Bamber, L.S. and Tse, S., 1988. “Timeliness of financial reporting, the firm size effect, and stock price reactions to annual earnings announcements”. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 5(2): 526-552.

- Ayoib C.A. and Shamharir A. (2000). The determinants of audit delay in Malaysia. School of Accountancy. University Utara Malaysia. *Working Paper Series*
- Baiman, S. (1990), "Agency research in managerial accounting: a second look", *Accounting Organizational and Society*, Vol. 4 : 33-75.
- Ball, R., Kothari, S.P., & Robin, A. (2000). The effect of institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings: *International evidence. Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29:1-51.
- Bamber, E. M., Bamber, L. S., & Schoderbek, M. P. (1993). Audit structure and other determinants of audit reporting: An empirical analysis. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 12(1): 1–23.
- Beasley, M. S. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud. *The Accounting Review*, 71(4), 443–465.
- Beasley, M.S., Carcello, J.V., Hermason, D. R. and Lapedes, P.D. (2000), "Fraudulent financial reporting: Consideration of industry traits and corporate governance mechanisms", *The Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 441-454.
- Bebbington, J., & Song, E. (2007). *The adoption of IFRS in the EU and New Zealand: A Preliminary Report*. National Center for Research on Europe, University of Canterbury, Christchurch.
- Bédard J., & Gendron, Y. (2010). Strengthening the financial reporting systems: Can audit committees deliver? *International Journal of Auditing*, 14(2): 1-37.

- Bédard, J., Chtourou, S. M., & Courteau, L. (2004). The effect of audit committee expertise, independence, and activity on aggressive earnings management. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 23(2):13–35.
- Behn, B.K., Searcy, D.L., & Woodroof, J.B. (2006). A within firm analysis of current and expected future audit lag determinants. *Journal of Information Systems*, 20(1), 65-86.
- Brickley, J.A., Coles, J.L., Terry, R.L., 1994. Outside directors and the adoption of poison pills. *Journal of Financial Economics* 35, 371–390.
- Boyle, Edmund J. 1993. A Framework for the Modern Internal Audit Function. *Advances in Management Accounting* 2: 227-254.
- Byrd, J.W., Hickman, K.A., 1992. Do outside directors monitor managers? Evidence from tender offer bids. *Journal of Financial Economics* 32, 195–222.
- Carcello, J., & Neal, T. (2003). Audit Committee Characteristics and Auditor Dismissals Following New Going Concern Reports. *Accounting Review*, 78(1), 95-117.
- Carslaw, C. A., & Kaplan, S. E. (1991). An examination of audit delay: Further evidence from New Zealand. *Accounting and Business Research*, 22, 21–32.
- Cerbioni, F., & Parbonetti, A. (2007). Exploring the effects of corporate governance on intellectual capital disclosure: An analysis of European biotechnology companies. *European Accounting Review*, 16(4), 791–826.
- Chambers, A. E., & Penman, S. H. (1984). Timeliness of reporting and the stock price reaction to earnings announcements. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 22(1), 21-47.

- Che-Ahmad, A., & Abidin, S. (2008). Audit delay of listed companies: A case of Malaysia. *International Business Research*, 1(4), 32–39.
- Chen, C. J. P., & Jaggi, B. (2000). Association between independent non-executive directors, family control and financial disclosures in Hong Kong. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 19(4):285–310.
- Cheng, E. C. M., & Courtenay, S. M. (2006). Board composition, regulatory regime and voluntary disclosure. *International Journal of Accounting*, 41(3), 262–289.
- Choi, J., Choe, C. (1998). Explanatory factors for trading volume responses to annual earnings announcements: Evidence from the Korean stock market. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, Vol 6: 193-212.
- Choi, J. J., Park, S. W., & Yoo, S. S. (2007). The value of outside directors: Evidence from corporate governance reform from Korea. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 42(4), 941–962.
- Courtis, J.K. (1976). Relationships between timeliness in corporate reporting and corporate attributes. *Accounting and Business Research*, 6 (25), 45-56.
- Dahya, J., Dimitrov, O., & McConnell, J. J. (2008). Dominant shareholders, corporate boards, and corporate value: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(1), 73–100.
- Davidson, W.N., Xie, B & Xu, W. (2004). Market reaction to voluntary announcements of audit committee appointments: the effect of financial expertise. *Journal of Accounting & Public Policy*, 23, 279-93.

- Davis, R.E. (1997) The continuing search for an anthropogenic climate change signal: Limitations of correlation based approaches. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2319–2322.
- Davies, B., & Whittred, G. P. (1980). The association between selected corporate attributes and timeliness in corporate reporting: Further analysis. *Abacus*, 16(1), 48–60.
- DeZoort, F. (1998). An analysis of experience effects on audit committee members' oversight judgements. *Accounting, Organization and Society*, 23, 1-22.
- Dimitropoulos, P. E., & Asteriou, D. (2010). The effect of board composition on the informativeness and quality of annual earnings: Empirical evidence from Greece. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 24(2), 773–784.
- Ettredge M., Chan L., Sun L., (2005). Internal Control Quality and audit delay in the SOX era. University of Kansas. *Working Paper Series*.
- Ettredge, M. L., Li, C., & Sun, L. (2006). The impact of SOX Section 404 internal control quality assessment on audit delay in the SOX era. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 25(1), 1–23.
- Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency problems and the theory of the firm. *Journal of Political Economy*, 88(2), 228-307.
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301–325.
- Farber, D. B. (2005). Restoring trust after fraud: Does corporate governance matter. *The Accounting Review*, 80(2), 539–561.
- Forker, J. J. (1992). Corporate governance and disclosure quality. *Accounting and Business Research*, 22(8), 111–124.

- Garsombke, H. P. (1981). The timeliness of corporate financial disclosure. In annual reports communication via Courtis, J. K. (Ed.), *AFM Exploratory Series, No. 11*. Armidale: University of New England.
- Gilling, D. M. (1977). Timeliness in corporate reporting: Some further evidence comment. *Accounting and Business Research*, 8, 34–36.
- Givoly, D., & Palmon, D. (1982). Timeliness of annual earnings announcements: Some empirical evidence. *The Accounting Review*, 57(3), 486–508.
- Goodwin-Stewart, J., and P. Kent. (2006). Relation between external audit fees, audit committee characteristics, and internal audit. *Accounting and Finance* 46: 387-404.
- Goh, B. (2009). Audit committees, boards of directors, and remediation of material weaknesses in internal control, *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 26(2), 549-579.
- Habib, A. & Uddin Bhuiyan, M.B, (2011). Audit firm industry specialization and the audit report lag. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 20, 32-44.
- Hair, J.K.Jr., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. & Black, W.C. (1995). *Multivariate Data analysis*, 3rd. edn. New York: Macmillan
- Haniffa, R. M., & Cooke, T. E. (2002). Culture, corporate governance and disclosure in Malaysian corporations. *Abacus*, 38(3), 317–349.
- Haron, H., Chambers, A. and Ismail, R.R., (2004). The Reliance of external auditors on internal auditors. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(9), 1148-1159.

- Hashim,J. & Abdul Rahman,R. (2011). Audit Report Lag the Effectiveness of Audit Committee Among Malaysian Listed Companies. *International Bulletin of Business Administration*, 10, 50-6.
- Hashanah Ismail, Takiah,M.I and Mohd Mohid Rahmat. 2008. Corporate Reporting Quality, Audit Committee and Quality of Audit. *Malaysian Accounting Review*, 7 (1):21-42
- Henderson, B. C., & Kaplan, S. E. (2000). Research notes: An examination of audit report lag for banks: A panel data approach. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, Vol. 19(2): 159–174.
- Hoskisson, Robert E.; Castleton, Mark W.; Withers, Michael C. (2009) Complementarity in Monitoring and Bonding: More Intense Monitoring Leads to Higher Executive Compensation. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23, 57-70
- Ho. S, Hutchinson. M. (2010), Internal audit department characteristics/activities and audit fees: Some evidence from Hong Kong firms. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 19, 2121–2136
- Hoogendoorn, M. (2006). International accounting regulation and IFRS implementation in Europe and beyond-experiences with first-time adoption in Europe. *Accounting in Europe*, Vol. 3:23-26.
- Hossain, M.A., Taylor, P.J. (2008). Relationship between selected corporate attributes and audit delay in developing countries: empirical evidence from Bangladesh. *Working Paper*, University of Manchester.

- Huafang, X., & Jianguo, Y. (2007). Ownership structure, board composition and corporate voluntary disclosure: Evidence from listed companies in China. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 22(6):604–619.
- Ishak, I, Muhamad Sidek, A.S., Rashid, A.A (2010), The Effect of company ownership on the timeliness of financial Reporting: Empirical evidence from Malaysia. *UNITAR e- Journal*, Vol.6, No.2:20-35
- Jaggi, B., & Tsui, J. (1999). Determinants of audit report lag: Further evidence from Hong Kong. *Accounting and Business Research*, 30(1), 17–28.
- Jaggi, B., Leung, S., & Gul, F. (2009). Family control, board independence and earnings management: Evidence based on Hong Kong firms. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 281–300.
- Jensen, M., & Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the Firm: managerial Behavior, Agency Costs, and Capital Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, 305-60..
- Karamanou, I. and Vafeas, N. (2005), The Association between Corporate Boards, Audit Committees, and Management Earnings Forecasts: An Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43: 453–486.
- Kent, P., Routledge, J., & Stewart, J. (2010). Innate and discretionary accruals and corporate governance. *Accounting and Finance*, 50(1), 171–195.
- Kirk, D. J. (2000). Experience with the Public Oversight Board and corporate audit committees. *Accounting Horizons*, 14(1), 103–111.
- Kinney and L. Mc Daniel, 1993. Audit delay for firms correcting quarterly earnings. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* (Fall): 135-142

- Klein, A. (2002). Economics determinants of audit committee independence. *Accounting Reviews*, 77(2), 435-452.
- Krishnan, J. (2005). Audit committee quality and internal control: An empirical analysis. *The Accounting Review*, 80(2), 649-675.
- Lee, H. (2009). Do lengthy auditor tenure and the provision of non-audit services by the external auditor reduce audit report lags? *International Journal of Auditing*, 13, 87-104.
- Leventis, S., Weetman, P., & Caramanis, C. (2005). Determinants of audit report lag: Some evidence from the Athens Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Auditing*, 9(1), 45-58.
- Liftschutz. S, Jarobi. A and Feldshtein (2010), Corporate Governance Characteristics and External Audit Fees: A study of Large Public Companies In Israel. *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol.5, No.3:109-116
- Listing Requirements Bursa Malaysia (2009). Retrieved 1st January 2012 from <http://www.bursamalaysia.com.my>
- Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance, Revised 2007. Retrieved 1st January from www.sc.com.my
- Mc Daniel, L., R. D. Martin, and L. A. Maines. 2002. Evaluating financial reporting quality: The effects of financial expertise vs. financial literacy. *The Accounting Review* 77 (Supplement): 139-167.
- Mohamad-Nor., Shafie., & Wan Hussin, W.N., (2010). Corporate Governance and Audit Report Lag in Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 6(2), 57-84.

- Mohamad, A. A. (1995). A study of factors determining to audit report delay. *Economic and Business Review*, 2, 913-43.
- Nelson.S.P, Shukeri. S. N (2011), Corporate Governance and Audit Report Timeliness: Evidence from Malaysia, in S. Susela Devi, Keith Hooper (ed.) *Accounting in Asia (Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies)*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Vol.11: 109-127
- Newtown, J. D. & Ashton, R. H., Graul, P. R., (1989). Audit delay and the timeliness of corporate reporting. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 5(2), 657–673.
- Ng, P. P. H., & Tai, B. Y. K. (1994). An empirical examination of the determinants of audit delay in Hong Kong. *British Accounting Review*, 26(1), 43–59.
- Nunally,J.C. (1978). Psychology Theory. 2 edn. New York: Mc Graw Hill.
- Owusu-Ansah, S. (2000). Timeliness of corporate financial reporting in emerging capital markets: Empirical evidence from the Zimbabwe stock Exchange. *Accounting and Business Research*, 30, 241-254.
- Owusu-Ansah, S., & Leventis, S. (2006). Timeliness of corporate Annual Financial Reporting in Greece. *European Accounting Review*, 15/2, 273-287.
- Patelli, L., & Prencipe, A. (2007). The relationship between voluntary disclosure and independent directors in the presence of a dominant shareholder. *European Accounting Review*, 16(1), 5–33.
- Peasnell, K.V., Pope, P.F. and Young, S. (2000), “Accrual management to meet earnings targets: U.K. evidence pre- and post-Cadbury”, *British Accounting Review*, Vol. 32 : 415-45.

- Penno, M. (1990), “ Auditing for performance evaluation”, *Accounting Review*, Vol 2: 520-536
- Persons, O. S. (2009). Audit committee characteristics and earlier voluntary ethics disclosure among fraud and no-fraud firms. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 6(4), 284–297
- Petra, S. T. (2007). The effects of corporate governance on the informativeness of earnings. *Economics of Governance*, 8(2), 129–152.
- Pizzini, M., Lin, S., Vargus, M., & Ziegenfuss, D., (2011). The Impact of Internal Audit Function Quality and Contribution on Audit Delays.
- Prawitt, D.F., Sharp, N.Y. and Wood, D.A., (2010). Reconciling archival and experimental research: Does internal auditing contribution affect the external audit fee? *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, Working Paper, Brigham Young University.
- Raghunandan, K., Read, W.J., & Rama, D.V. (2001). Audit Committee Composition, Gray Directors and Interaction with Internal Auditing. *Accounting Horizons*, 15(2).
- Raja-Ahmad, R. A., & Kamarudin, K. A. (2003). Audit delay and the timeliness of corporate reporting: Malaysian evidence. *Paper presented at the Hawaii International Conference on Business Program*.
- Razman, S.R., and Iskandar M.T., 2004. “The Effectiveness of Audit Committee in Monitoring the Quality of Corporate Reporting”, A Chapter in *Corporate Governance: An International Perspective*. MICG Publication: 154-175.

- Saleh, N., Iskandar, T., & Rahmat, M. (2007). Audit committee characteristics and earnings management: evidence from Malaysia. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 15(2), 147-163.
- Schwartz, K. B., & Soo, S. B. (1996). The association between auditor changes and reporting lag. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 13(1), 353–370.
- Sekaran, U.M. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. USA, New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sengupta (2004). Disclosure timing: Determinants of quarterly earnings release dates. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 23(6), 457–482.
- Stewart, J., & Munro, L. (2007). The impact of audit committee existence and audit committee meeting frequency on the external audit: Perceptions of Australian auditors. *International Journal of Auditing*, 11(1), 51-69.
- Stovall, D. C. (2010). Transition to IFRS: What can we learn? *The Business Review, Cambridge*, 16(1), 120-126.
- Spraakman, G. (1997). Transaction cost economics: a theory for internal audit. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 12:323-330
- Taliyang S. M. & Jusop, M. (2011). Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Corporate Governance Structure: Evidence in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6, No.12:109-117

- Tauringana, V., Kyeyune, M. F and Opio P. J., 2008.” Corporate governance, dual language reporting and the timeliness of annual reports on the Nairobi Stock Exchange”. *Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 8, 13-37.
- Vinten, G. (1996). *Internal Audit Research: The First Half Century*, Certified Accountants Education Trust, London.
- Wan-Hussin, W. N. (2009). The impact of family-firm structure and board composition on corporate transparency: Evidence based on segment disclosures in Malaysia. *International Journal of Accounting*, 44(4), 313–333.
- Weisbach, M., 1988. Outside directors and CEO turnover. *Journal of Financial Economics* 20, 431–460.
- Wermert, J.G., Dodd, J.L., & Doucet, T.A. (2000). An empirical examination of audit report lag using client and audit firms cycle times. Available at: www.cbpa.drake.edu/dodd/research/mbaa/MBAA%20ARL%20Paper.%.
- Williamson, O.E. (1975), *Markets and Hierarchies*, Free Press, New York
- Yaacob, N. & Che Ahmad, (2011). IFRS Adoption and Audit Timeliness: Evidence from Malaysia. *The Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*, 17(1):112-118.
- Yaacob, N. & Che Ahmad, A. (2012). Adoption of FRS and Audit Delay in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(1), 167-176.