A REVIEW STUDY ON TRUST AND BREACH OF PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRACT

By

SARAVANA KUMAR A/L KARUPPANNAN (805510)

Master's Project Submitted to the Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School Of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science (Management)

DECEMBER 2011



Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK (Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (*I, the undersigned, certified that*) SARAVANA KUMAR A/L KARUPPANNAN (805510)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana (Candidate for the degree of) MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

:

:

A REVIEW STUDY ON TRUST AND BREACH OF PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRACT

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia (Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan (Signature)

DR. RUZITA AZMI

Tarikh (Date)

16 DISEMBER 2011

PERMISSION TO USE (For PhD / MASTER BY RESEARCH CANDIDATE)

In presenting this thesis / dissertation / project paper in fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library make a freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis / dissertation / project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Othman Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis / dissertation / project paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis/ dissertation / project paper.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to :

> Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate school of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji kepercayaan dan pelanggaran kontrak psikologi. Disamping itu, kajian ini untuk mengenal pasti secara terperinci tentang kontrak psikologi dan kepentingan kontrak psikologi kepada majikan dan para pekerja. Ia juga adalah untuk mempelajari kandungan dalam kontrak psikologi dan mengkaji secara terperinci tentang jenis-jenis kontrak psikologi, pelanggaran dan memungkiri kontrak. Kajian ini telah dilaksanakan untuk mengenal pasti reaksi terhadap pelanggaran dan kemungkiran terhadap kontrak psikologi, Sebab-sebab kurang kepercayaan antara pekerja dan mempelajari cara-cara meningkatkan dan mengekalkan kepercayaan terhadap majikan juga telah dikaji. Kajian mengenai kesan kepada majikan apabila kepercayaan pekerja menigkat dan menurun juga telah diketengahkan. Kesan terhadap pekerja jika kontrak psikologi dilanggari oleh majikan juga telah dikaji secara terperinci. Untuk kajian ini, kajian secara qualitatif telah digunakan untuk mengumpul data. Data telah diperolehi hasil daripada kajian sumber jurnal, kajian kes, buku dan majalah. Kajian ini telah membuktikan empat kepentingan utama. Kepentingan pertama, kajian ini telah memberi penerangan secara meluas maksud kontrak psikologi dan kepentingan kontrak psikologi kepada majikan dan pekarja. Kepentingan kedua, kajian telah menerangkan jenis-jenis kontrak psikologi, pelanggaran dan memungkiri kontrak psikologi. Kepentingan ketiga, kajian telah menunjukkan kepercayaan dari perspektif majikan dan pekerja. Kepentingan keempat, kajian telah membuktikan kesan pelanggaran kontrak psikologi. Daripada kajian ini, boleh dirumuskan bahawa pelanggaran kontrak psikologi boleh dikurangkan jika kedua-dua parti, majikan dan juga pekerja berganding bahu bersama. Mereka harus selaras dengan perubahan ekonomi, politik dan juga sosial. Bagi mengurangkan kes pelanggaran kontrak oleh pekerja, majikan harus mengikut kaedah-kaedah yang telah disvorkan dalam kajian ini.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to review on trust and breach of psychological contract. The purpose of the study is to identify briefly on the psychological contract and the important of psychological contract to the employer as well as employees. It is also to learn the content of psychological contract and to study in brief on the types of psychological contract, breach and violation. This study is carried out to identify the reactions to breach and violation of psychological contract, list down the reasons for a lack of trust among the employees and to learn the ways how the employers gain and maintain the trust. The consequences to the organizations when the employee's trust are lacking and what will happen if employees trust is high also has studied to add knowledge on psychological contract aspects. Lastly, the impact to the employees when the psychological contract is violated also has been reviewed. For this research, qualitative research method was used to gather the data. The data obtained from journals, case studies and books and magazines was reviewed to gather the information for this project. The review found that there were four main importances. First it explained in detail the meaning the meaning of psychological contract and its importance to both employers and employees. Secondly, the research has explained briefly on types of psychological contract, types of breach and violation of psychological contract. Thirdly, the research has indicate the trust; from the perspective of employees and employers. Fourthly, the research explains the impacts for violation of psychological contract. From the review it could be concluded that breach of psychological contract can be upgraded if both parties; employees and employers are working together in a line. They have to change because of economical, political and social changes, therefore the working relationship also changing. To improve the employees from breach of psychological contract, the organizations can implement some of the suggested methods to reduce the breach of psychological contract.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Even a modest piece of research such as this, is the product of the work of a number of people. It is my pleasure to acknowledge the contribution to this project of the following people.

I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Ruzita Azmi for her supervision, guidance and advice throughout my project. Her comment and critique helped me sharpen my perspectives in the preparation of this project. I sincerely thank her for being so understanding and patient with my work.

Most importantly, I would like to extend my gratitude to my wife, parents, family members and colleagues for their support and co-operation given to me, which I shall remain forever grateful.

To all my friends and lecturers in Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur campus who helped me contribute towards the completion of this project – I truly appreciate.

I am grateful to all of them in so many ways for their unfailing encouragement and kind assistance that was provided to me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER - INTRODUCTION	.1
1.1 Background of the Study 1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions1.4 Research Objectives1.5 Significance of the Research	4
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Psychological Contract	6
2.2 Types of Psychological Contract	
2.3 Breach or Violation of Psychological Contract	
2.4 Trust and the Breach of Psychological Contract1	
CHAPTER 3 – METHODOLOGY14	1
CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION1	6
4.1 The Content of the Psychological Contract	6
4.2 Type of Psychological Contract, Breach, and Violation2	
4.3 Reaction to Breach and Violation2	3
4.4 The Influence of Trust on the Psychological Contract and	
the Employment Relationship2	
4.5 Reasons for a Lack of Trust	
4.6 Ways to Gain or Maintain Trust	6
4.7 Strategies Other Companies Have Implemented to Gain or	~
Maintain Employee Trust	9
4.8 Impacts of Employee Trust : Why Organizations Should Care and	~
What Happens When They Don't	
4.9 Psychological Contract Violation and Its Impact	
4.10 The Psychological Contract - Organization Obligations	I
CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION5	8
5.1 Conclusion	8
5.2 Recommendation	
	0
REFERENCES6	1

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The term psychological contract has been around since the 1960s and was first used to capture the relationship between a work group of employees and a plant foreperson in terms of what the two parties exchanged in their relationship (acceptable wages and job security in return for higher productivity and lower grievances) (Argyris, 1960 and Schein, 1965). This initial definition of the psychological contract is similar to what is currently known as normative contracts. A number of other definitions of the psychological contract subsequently appeared, giving rise to different views on what the psychological contract was capturing. (Argyris, 1960 and Schein, 1965).

For example, the psychological contract was defined as mutual expectations, an implicit contract, and a set of unwritten expectations between an individual employee and the organization. In the context of the employment relationship, psychological contracts encompass what employees believe their employer has promised to provide in return for certain employee contributions. Whether the employer fulfils these obligations is contingent upon the employee fulfilling its obligations to the employer. For example, an employee may believe that the employer has promised to provide long-term job security, career development, interesting work, and competitive rewards in return for employee loyalty, flexibility, and meeting the formal requirements of the job (in-role performance) as well as going beyond the formal requirements of the job or known as extra-role performance. (Rousseau, 1995).

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

Argyris, C. (1960), *Understanding Organizational Behavior*, Dorsey Press, Homewood, IL.

Rousseau, D.M. (1995), Psychological Contracts in Organizations, Sage, London.

Morrison, E.W. and Robinson, S.L. (1997), "When employees feel betrayed: a model of how psychological contract violation develops", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 226-56.

Robinson, S.L. (1996), *"Trust and breach of the psychological contract"*, Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 574-99.

Levinson, H., Price, C.R., Munden, K.J., Mandl, H.J. and Solley, C.M. (1962), *Men, Management and Mental Health*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Rousseau, D.M. (2000), *Psychological Contract Inventory Technical Report*, Heinz School of Public Policy and Graduate School of Industrial Administration, Pittsburgh, PA.

Rousseau, D.M. (1990), "New hire perceptions of their own and their employer's obligations: a study of psychological contracts", Journal of Organizational Behavior, Vol. 11, pp. 389-400.

Herriot, P., Manning, W.E.G. and Kidd, J.M. (1997), "*The content of the psychological contract*", British Journal of Management, Vol. 8, pp. 151-62.

Rousseau, D.M. (1989), "*Psychological and implied contracts in organizations*", Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 121-39.

Robinson, S.L., Kraatz, M.S. and Rousseau, D.M. (1994), "*Changing obligations and thepsychological contract: a longitudinal study*", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 137-52.

Robinson, S.L. (1996), *"Trust and breach of the psychological contract"*, Administrative ScienceQuarterly, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 574-99.

Morrison, E.W. and Robinson, S.L. (1997), "When employees feel betrayed: a model of how psychological contract violation develops", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 226-56.

Robinson, S. and Rousseau, D. (1994), *'Violating the psychological contract: not the exception but the norm*", Journal of Organizational Behavior, Vol. 15, pp. 245-59.

Levinson, H. (1962), *Men, Management and Mental Health*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Schein, E. (1980), Organizational Psychology, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Fiske, J. and Taylor, S. (1984), *Social cognition*, New York: Random House Blackwell.

Rousseau, D. and McLean Parks, J. (1993), *The contracts of Individuals in Organizations*, in Cummings, L., and Straw, B., (Eds.), (1993), Research in Organizational Behaviour, 15, pp1-47.

Kickul, J. (2001), When Organisations Break their Promises: Employee Reactions to Unfair Processes and Treatment, Journal of Business Ethics, 29, pp289-307.

Turnley, W., Bolino, M., Lester, S. and Bloodgood, J. (2003), *The Impact of Psychological Contract Fulfillment on the Performance of In-Role and Organizational Citizenship Behaviors,* Journal of Management, 29 (2), pp187-206. Tekleab, A., and Taylor, M. (2003), Aren't there two parties in an employment relationship? Antecedents and consequences of organization-employee agreement on contract obligations and violations, Journal of Organization Behaviour, 24(5), pp585-608.

Marks, A., Findlay, P., Hine, J., McKinlay, A. and Thompson, P. (1996), *You always hurt the one you love: violating the psychological contract* at United Distillers, Trends in Organisational Behaviour, 1, pp111-136.

McLean Parks, J. and Kidder, D. (1994), *Till Death Us Do Part: Changing Work Relationships in the 1990s*, Trends in Organisational Behaviour, 1, pp111-136.

Blau, P. (1964), Exchange and power in social life, New York, Wiley

Guzzo, R. and Noonan, K. (1994), *Human resource practices as communications and the psychological contract*, Human Resource Management, 33(3), pp447-462.

Thompson, J., and Bunderson, J. (2003), *Violations of Principle: Ideological Currency in the Psychological Contract,* Academy of Management Review, 28, 4, pp571-586.

Sutton, G. and Griffin, M. (2004), Integrating expectations, experiences and psychological contract violations. A longitudinal study of new professionals, Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 77, 493-514.

Coyle-Shapiro, J. (2002), A Psychological Contract Perspective on Organizational Citizenship Behavior, Journal of Organizational Behavior, 23, pp927-94.

Anderson, N.(1996), *Work group socialisation*, in West, M. (Ed.), Handbook of WorkGroups (pp423-450), Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.

Bunderson, J. S. 2001. *How work ideologies shape the psychological contract of professional employees: Doctors' responses to perceived breach*. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 22: 717-741.

Millward, L. J., & Brewerton, P. M. 1999. *Psychological contracts: Employee relations for the twenty-first century*. In C. L. Cooper and I. T. Robertson (Eds.), International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Vol. 15, John Wiley & Son, Ltd.

Rousseau, D. M., & McLean Parker, J., 1993. *The contracts of individuals and organizations.*" *In Cummings*, L. L. and Staw, B. M. (Eds.) Research in Organizational Behavior, 15: 1-43, CT: JAI Press, Greenwich.