

**COMPARISON OF TWO OPEN SOURCES
CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT: SUGARCRM &
VTIGER ON USABILITY FOR COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

SITI NUR THAZLIAH BINTI MOHD THAZALI

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

2012

DEAN OF AWANG HAD SALLEH GRADUATE SCHOOL
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project in any manner in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project, in whole or in part, should be addressed to

Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School
College of Arts and Sciences
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman
Malaysia

ABSTRAK

Projek ini bertujuan untuk melaksanakan perbandingan terhadap attribut kebolehgunaan bagi Pengurusan Hubungan Pelanggan (CRM) dalam institusi pengajian tinggi terutamanya Kolej Komuniti. Kajian ini tertumpu kepada dua perisian CRM – SugarCRM dan Vtiger. Fungsi CRM disenaraikan menerusi kajian literasi. Penilaian kebolehgunaan bagi kedua-dua sistem telah dilaksanakan melalui ujian kebolehgunaan dan analisis perbandingan. Pengguna diminta menjawab Kaji Selidik Selepas Ujian (PTQ) sejurus selepas menggunakan kedua-dua sistem CRM untuk mengetahui kepuasan subjektif mereka. Analisis perbandingan yang dilakukan berdasarkan keputusan penilaian ini dapat membantu Kolej Komuniti bagi memberi garis panduan dalam memilih antara dua penyelesaian CRM tersebut. Penemuan ini berdasarkan perspektif Pegawai Khidmat Pelanggan dan pelajar sepenuh masa selepas menggunakan sistem CRM. Kesemua Kolej Komuniti di Malaysia masih belum menggunakan CRM. Maka, dengan empat belas minggu yang ada, kajian ini telah dilakukan di lima buah Kolej Komuniti di Wilayah Utara. Dengan melihat pelajar sebagai pelanggan utama memberikan kelebihan yang kompetitif dan memperbaiki kebolehan kolej untuk menarik, mengekalkan dan berkhidmat kepada pelanggan. Kejayaan sesebuah organisasi bergantung kepada kebolehan mereka mengurus pelanggan dengan efektif. Disebabkan keperluan menggunakan CRM di dalam sesebuah organisasi, CRM telah berkembang.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to perform comparison study on usability attribute of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in a Higher education mainly for Community College. This study focus on two CRMs software – SugarCRM and Vtiger. CRM functionalities are listed down from literature. Usability evaluation of both systems was done by conducting usability test and comparison analysis of both systems was performed. The test users were asked to answer Post Test Questionnaire (PTQ) after using both CRM systems to know their subjective satisfaction. The comparison analysis base on the evaluation result may help as a guideline for Community Colleges while selecting between the two CRM solutions to be implemented in the colleges. The finding is base on Customer Service Officers' and the full time students' perspective after using the CRM systems during usability testing. Currently, entire community college in Malaysia has not implement CRM. Hence, with the available time of fourteen weeks, this study was conducted in five Northern Region Community Colleges. Viewing students as main stakeholder provides competitive advantages and enhances the colleges' ability to attract, retain and serve its customers. The success of an organization is depending on their ability to manage their customers effectively. Due to the need of deploy CRM in one organization, CRM will become more pervasive.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all I would like to express my graceful to Allah because of His love I had completed this project report.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to my supervisor of this project, Assoc. Prof. Nazib Bin Nordin who had giving me guidance and assistance in completing this project.

I am thankful to my husband and family who had given me support and courage throughout my academic years.

I also want to express my appreciation to all my friends who always been by my side giving support and assistance while I'm doing this project.

Not forgotten the test users, thanks for participating in the usability test.

Lastly, thanks to everyone who involved either direct or indirect in making this project complete.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRAK.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLE.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Problem Statement.....	2
1.2 Project objective.....	3
1.3 Scope.....	3
1.4 Significance of the study.....	4
1.5 Structure of the report.....	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Open Source.....	6
2.2 Customer Relationship Management.....	9
2.2.1 Customer Relationship Management in Higher Education.....	10
2.2.2 SugarCRM.....	12
2.2.3 Vtiger	13
2.3 Usability.....	14
2.4 Community College.....	16
2.5 Concluding the chapter.....	17
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY.....	18
3.1 Goal and Objective.....	19
3.2 Test User's Selection.....	19

3.3	Task.....	20
3.4	Evaluator.....	20
3.5	Method of Data Collection.....	21
3.6	Pre-testing.....	22
3.7	Conducting the Usability Test.....	22
3.8	Conclusion of the chapter.....	24
CHAPTER 4 : ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON.....		25
4.1	SugarCRM.....	26
4.1.1	Effectiveness.....	28
4.1.2	Efficiency.....	34
4.1.3	Satisfaction.....	36
4.2	Vtiger.....	37
4.2.1	Effectiveness.....	39
4.2.2	Efficiency.....	44
4.2.3	Satisfaction.....	45
4.3	Comparison Analysis of SugarCRM and Vtiger.....	46
4.4	Conclusion of the chapter.....	52
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION.....		53
5.1	Conclusion.....	53
5.2	Finding.....	53
5.3	Suggestion.....	54
5.4	Future Work.....	55
REFERENCE.....		56
APPENDICES.....		62
Appendix A.....		62
SugarCRM Task: Student.....		62
Vtiger Task: Student.....		63

SugarCRM Task: Customer Service Officer.....	64
Vtiger Task: Customer Service Officer.....	65
Appendix B.....	66
Data Collection Form.....	66
Appendix C.....	67
Post Test Questionnaire: SugarCRM.....	67
Post Test Questionnaire: Vtiger.....	70

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Open Source vs. Commercial (Piroscâ et al., 2009).....	7
Table 2.2: Why organizations are using OSS (Walli, Gynn, & Rotz, 2005).....	9
Table 2.3: Software Factors (Monem, H. et al., 2011).....	16
Table 4.1: SugarCRM average test result on first attempt by student.....	27
Table 4.2: SugarCRM average test result on second attempt by student.....	27
Table 4.3: SugarCRM average test result on first attempt by Customer Service Officer..	27
Table 4.4: SugarCRM average test result on second attempt by Customer Service Officer	27
Table 4.5: SugarCRM : Student's learnability summary (in percentage).....	29
Table 4.6: SugarCRM : CSO's learnability summary (in percentage).....	30
Table 4.7: SugarCRM : Student's memorability summary (in percentage)	30
Table 4.8: SugarCRM : CSO's memorability summary (in percentage).....	31
Table 4.9: SugarCRM : Student's error free ratio summary (in percentage)	32
Table 4.10: SugarCRM : CSO's error free ratio summary (in percentage).....	33
Table 4.11: SugarCRM : Student's Effectiveness summary (in percentage)	33
Table 4.12: SugarCRM : CSO's Effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	33
Table 4.13: SugarCRM: Student's effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	34
Table 4.14: SugarCRM: CSO's effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	34
Table 4.15: SugarCRM: Student's efficiency summary (in minutes).....	35
Table 4.16: SugarCRM: CSO's efficiency summary (in minutes).....	36
Table 4.17: SugarCRM; student's satisfaction; result from PTQ of SugarCRM.....	36
Table 4.18: SugarCRM; CSO's satisfaction; result from PTQ of SugarCRM.....	37
Table 4.19: Vtiger average test result on first attempt by student.....	37
Table 4.20: Vtiger average test result on second attempt by student	38
Table 4.21: Vtiger average test result on first attempt by CSO.....	38
Table 4.22: Vtiger average test result on second attempt by CSO.....	38

Table 4.23: Vtiger: Student's learnability summary (in percentage)	39
Table 4.24: Vtiger: CSO's learnability summary (in percentage).....	39
Table 4.25: Vtiger: Student's memorability summary (in percentage).....	40
Table 4.26: Vtiger: CSO's memorability summary (in percentage).....	40
Table 4.27: Vtiger: Student's error free ratio summary (in percentage).....	41
Table 4.28: Vtiger: CSO's error free ratio summary (in percentage)	42
Table 4.29: Vtiger: Student's Effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	42
Table 4.30: Vtiger: CSO's Effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	43
Table 4.31: Vtiger: Student's effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	43
Table 4.32: Vtiger: CSO's effectiveness summary (in percentage).....	44
Table 4.33: Vtiger: Student's efficiency summary (in minutes).....	44
Table 4.34: Vtiger: CSO's efficiency summary (in minutes)	44
Table 4.35: Vtiger; student's satisfaction; result from PTQ of Vtiger.....	45
Table 4.36: Vtiger; CSO's satisfaction; result from PTQ of Vtiger.....	45
Table 4.37: Student; Comparison based on Nielsen's definition (in percentage).....	46
Table 4.38: CSO; Comparison based on Nielsen's definition (in percentage).....	47
Table 4.39: Student; Comparison based on ISO 9241's definition (in percentage).....	49
Table 4.40: CSO; Comparison based on ISO 9241's definition (in percentage).....	50
Table 4.41: Student; Summative usability comparison (in percentage).....	51
Table 4.42: CSO; Summative usability comparison (in percentage)	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: ISO/IEC 9126 (Yilmaz, E., 2011).....	2
Figure 1.2: Strategy Overview (Chen & Popovich, 2003, Berfenfeldt, 2010).....	4
Figure 2.1: What is the most important advantage in the use of open source? (Computer Economics, 2005).....	8
Figure 2.2: SugarCRM interface.....	13
Figure 2.3: Vtiger interface.....	14
Figure 3.1: Method of data collection (Ranjit Kumar, 1999, 2005 & 2011).....	21
Figure 3.2: Project Methodology Flow.....	23
Figure 4.1: Student: Comparison based on Nielsen's usability definition.....	47
Figure 4.2: CSO; Comparison based on Nielsen's usability definition.....	48
Figure 4.3: Student; Comparison based on ISO9241's usability definition and summative usability.....	49
Figure 4.4: CSO; Comparison based on ISO9241's usability definition and summative usability.....	50

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive world, the success of an organization is depending on their ability to manage their customers effectively. Customers nowadays are very demanding on higher level of access to information about the organization. There is no exception for higher education institutions. As one of the higher education institution, Community Colleges should embark the steps to improve their conventional method on managing customer-centric activities to a comprehensive way.

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is parameter-adjustable software packages that are adopted by organization to manage all aspects of customer interactions within the organization and hence improve the ability of the organization to handle customer-centric activities. CRM application is a more comprehensive view of entire customer life cycle (Gary B. Grant and Greg Anderson, 2002). Therefore, Community Colleges are proposed to take advantage of the emerging of CRM application to drive better growth of their services.

There are a lot of study and comparisons drawn on Open Source CRM software (Bruce et al., 2006, Hakala, 2007, Dengate, 2009, Bucholtz, 2010, Yilmaz E., 2011). In general, the comparison focuses on functionality, ease of use, security, extensibility, customization, compatibility, portability, scalability, support and internationalization. According to ISO/IEC9126, there are six criterias in the quality model. The product quality model is an international standard for the evaluation of software quality. The fundamental objective ISO/IEC9126 is to respond on some such human biases like changing priorities after the project start or not having any clear definition of "project success" that may adversely affect the delivery and perception of a software development project. It is clearly stated in the Figure 1.1 that usability is one of the important criteria in the quality model. However, the focus on usability of CRM is inadequate (Monem, H. et al., 2011). Besides, it takes time, effort and cost to implement

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCE

- Arnett, D. B., German, S. D. & Hunt, S. D. (2003). The identity salience model of relationship marketing success: the case of nonprofit marketing. *Journal of Marketing* 67(2) 89-105.
- Barnum, C.M. (2002). *Usability Testing and Research, The Allyn & Bacon Series in Technology Communication*. New York: Pearson Education.
- Berfenfeldt, J. (2010). Customer Relationship Management, 2010:111 CIV - ISSN: 1402-1617 - ISRN: LTU-EX--10/111—SE
- Bernardino, J. & Tereso, M. (2011). *OPEN SOURCE CRMSYSTEMS FOR SMES*, Polytechnic of Coimbra – ISEC, Coimbra, Portugal.
- Bevan, N. (1995) Measuring usability as quality of use. *Software Quality Journal* 4, 115-150.
- Bruce, G., Robson P. & Spaven R. (2006). OSS opportunities in open source software — CRM and OSS standards *BT Technology Journal*,. 24(1)
- Bucholtz, C. (2010). The Top 10 Open Source CRM Applications, The CRM Strategy Room Retrieved on 10 February 2012 from:
<http://www.forecastingclouds.com/articles/33058/the-top-10-open-source-crm-applications/>
- Chen, I. & Popovinch, K. (2003). *Understanding Customer Relationship Management. People Process and Technology*. MCB Up Limited.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*, Second. Sage Publication, Inc.

Daradoumis, T., Rodríguez-Ardur, I., Javier Faulin, J., Angel A. Juan, A.A., Fatos Xhafa, F. & Martínez-López, F.J. (2010). CRM Applied to Higher education: Developing an e-Monitoring System to Improve Relationships in e-Learning Environments. *International Journal of Services Technology and Management*, Volume 14, Issue 1, pp. 103-125, 2010.

Deck, S. (2001). What is CRM? Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from <http://www.lamarheller.com/technology/crm/crm101/whatiscrm.htm>

E.S. Raymond. (1998). Homesteading the Noosphere. *First Monday*, 3(10), Retrieved on 30 March 2012, from http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue3_10/raymond/index.html.

Elaine D. S. & Margaret O'Hara, (2006). "Customer relationship management in higher education: Using information systems to improve the student-school relationship", *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, Vol. 23 Iss: 1, pp.24 – 34. Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=1558953&show=abstract>

Folmer, E. & Bosch, J. (2004). "Architecting for usability: a survey," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 61-78

Frekjm E., Hertzum, M. & Hornbm K. (2000). Measuring Usability: Are Effectiveness, Efficiency, and Satisfaction Really Correlated?

Gary B. Grant & Greg Anderson. (2002). *Customer Relationship Management, A Vision for Higher Education*, 23.

Hakala, D. (2007). Inside CRM, Retrieved on 11 March 2012 from <http://www.insidecrm.com/features/top-open-source-solutions-121307/>

Imran Bin Idris. (2009). *Taklimat Jabatan Pengajian Politeknik Dan Kolej Komuniti (jppkk)*, Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi, Malaysia.

- Ingrid Marson. (2004). Vtiger launches open source CRM. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from <http://www.zdnet.co.uk/news/application-development/2004/12/31/vtiger-launches-open-source-crm-39182958/>
- Integrated Technologies Corporation (2005). "CRM benefits", Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from www.intechpr.com/sections/BusinessSolutionsGroup/CRM/Benefits.htm
- ISO 9241-11:1998 Ergonomic requirements for office work with visual display terminals (VDTs) -- Part 11: Guidance on usability. Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=16883
- Jabatan Pengajian Kolej Komuniti. 2012. Retrieved on 14 February 2012 from <http://www.jpkk.edu.my/jpkk/index.php/maklumat-kami/senarai-kolej-komuniti.html>
- Jai Asundi. (2005). The Need for Effort Estimation Models for Open Source Software Projects.
- Jane Hemsley-Brown, Izhar Oplatka. (2006). Universities in a competitive global marketplace: A systematic review of the literature on higher education marketing, *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 19 Iss: 4, pp.316 – 338. Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=1558953&show=abstract>
- Kim, E.E. (2003). An Introduction to Open Source Communities, , Blue Oxen Associates, Technical report, BOA-00007.
- Kincaid, J. (2002). Customer relationship management: getting it right. Upper Saddle River, Prentice Hall PTR.
- King, S. F. (2007). Citizens as customers: exploring the future of CRM in UK local government. *Government Information Quarterly* 24(1) 47-63.

Koch, S. and Schneider, G. (2000). Results from Software Engineering Research into Open Source Development Projects Using Public Data, Vienna University of Economics and BA, Augasse 2-6, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

KPT. (2011). Community College Overview. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from <http://www.mohe.gov.my/portal/institusi/kolej-komuniti.html>

Lewis, J. R. (1993). IBM computer usability satisfaction questionnaires: psychometric evaluation and instructions for use, *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 7, no.

Measuring usability - balancing agility and formality: for stakeholders needs in software development (Licentiate by Jeff Winter) - *Electronic Research*

Monem, H., Hussin, A.R.C., Sharifian, R. & Shaterzadeh, H. (2011). CRM software implementation factors in hospital: Software & patient perspectives, *Software Engineering (MySEC)*, 2011 5th Malaysian Conference in, pp 159-164.

Muhammad Qadir & Muhammad Adnan. (2010). Comparative Analysis of two Open Source Network Monitoring Systems: Nagios & OpenNMS, Blekinge Institute of Technology, SE – 371 79 Karlskrona, Sweden.

Neville, K., Adam, F. & McCormack, C. (2002). Mentoring Distance Learners: An Action Research Study – The European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS 2002), Gdańsk, Poland.

Nielsen, J. (1993). *Usability Engineering*. San Diego: Academic Press.

Open Source Initiative. Definition of Open Source. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from <http://www.opensource.org/docs/osd>

Pan, S. L., Tan, C. W. & Lim, E.T.K. (2006). *Customer relationship management*

- (CRM) in e-government: a relational perspective. *Decision Support Systems* 42(1) 237-250.
- Piroscă, G., Serban, O. G., Serban, O. T., & Curea, C. (2009). A Survey on Economics of Open Source. *Open Source Science Journal*, 1(2), 58-81.
- Preece, J. , Rogers, Y. & Sharp, H. (2002). *Interaction design: beyond human-computer interaction*. New York: J. Wiley & Sons.
- Rubin J. & Chisnell D. (2008). *Handbook of Usability Testing, How to Plan, Design and Conduct Effective Tests*, Second Edition. Indianapolis: Wiley Publishing, Inc.
- Sauro, J. & Kindlund, E. (2005). A method to standardize usability metrics into a single score,” in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, pp. 401-409.
- SourceForge, 2012. Retrieved on 30 March 2012 from <http://sourceforge.net/softwaremap/?&fq%5B%5D=trove%3A579>
- SugarCRM. (2012). SugarCRM overview. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from <https://www.sugarcrm.com/crm/products/crm-products.html>
- Trustum, L. B. & Wee S. L. (2007). *Relationship Marketing Strategy in Higher Education Asia Pacific University College of Technology & Innovation*. pp. 1-11
- von Hippel, E. (2001). Innovation by user communities: Learning from open-source software. *Sloan Management Review*(Summer), 82–86.
- von Hippel, E. & von Krogh, G. (2002). *Exploring the Open Source Software Phenomenon: Issues for Organization Science*. Cambridge, MA: Sloan School of Management, MIT.

- von Hippel, E. & von Krogh, G. (2003). Open Source Software and the "Private-Collective" Innovation Model: Issues for Organization Science. *Organization Science*, 14(2), 209–213.
- Vtiger. (2012). Vtiger overview. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from <http://www.vtiger.com/products/crm/index.html>.
- Walldorf. (2001). SAP. mySAP Customer Relationship Management. White paper, SAP AG, Germany, Available at www.sap.com/solutions/crm/crm_over.htm.
- Walldorf. (2002). SAP. mySAP Customer Relationship Management. White paper, SAP AG, Germany, 2002. Retrieved 16 February 2012, from www.sap.com/solutions/crm
- Xu, M. & Walton, J. (2005). Gaining Customer Knowledge through analytical CRM Industrial Management & Data System, 105(7), 955-971.
- Yilmoz, E. (2011). Comparison of Open Source Customer Relationship Management Software for Small and Medium Enterprise, 24-41.