

**EVALUATION OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM –  
CURRICULUM INFORMATION DOCUMENT ON-LINE SYSTEM (CIDOS)  
APPLICATION IN POLYTECHNICS**

A project submitted to Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts

and Sciences in partial

Fulfillment of requirement for the degree

Master of Science (Information Technology)

Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

Zaihasrina Binti Zahari

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to

Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences College

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Malaysia

## **ABSTRAK (BAHASA MELAYU)**

Terdapat peningkatan dalam penggunaan web portal sebagai cara untuk berinteraksi dengan pelajar. Institusi pengajian tinggi telah mula membangunkan laman web portal untuk kegunaan pelajar. Hampir setiap institusi mempunyai Learning Management System (LMS) sendiri untuk kegunaan pelajar mereka. LMS yang berjaya bukan sahaja perlu berfungsi dengan baik tetapi juga memerlukan antara muka pengguna yang mudah dan senang difahami.

Antara muka pengguna bertindak sebagai medium untuk membolehkan pengguna berinteraksi dengan sistem. Reka bentuk antara muka pengguna yang sesuai diperlukan untuk membuat pengguna merasa selesa semasa menggunakan LMS.

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai aplikasi Curriculum Information Document On-Line System (CIDOS) di Politeknik berdasarkan empat faktor utama, (1) Teknologi dan Sistem, (2) Aplikasi Interaktif, (3) Ciri-ciri Pensyarah, dan (4) Tingkah Laku dan Sikap Pelajar terhadap aplikasi CIDOS.

Min bagi keempat-empat faktor yang dinilai adalah 4.90. Ini menunjukkan bahawa responden bersetuju bahawa aplikasi CIDOS adalah efektif, efisien dan memuaskan hati pengguna. Kesimpulannya, CIDOS mencapai matlamat untuk menyediakan satu sistem yang berkesan dan cekap bagi proses pengajaran dan pembelajaran di politeknik.

## **ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)**

There has been increasing usage of web portal as a way to connect with students. Institutions of higher education have started developing web portal for student's use. Almost every institutions had its own Learning Management System (LMS) for their students. The successful of LMS is not only in having great functions of the system, but it also need to be well designed and has usable user interface.

User interface acts like a medium when user interacts with the system. A good design of user interface is required to make user feel comfortable and enjoyable while using the LMS. Ease of use makes the portal a place user wants to go.

The purpose of this research is to evaluate Curriculum Information Document On-Line System (CIDOS) LMS in Polytechnics based on four main factors, (1) Technology and System, (2) Interactive Application, (3) Lecturer' Characteristic, and (4) Students' Behavior and Attitude towards CIDOS application.

The mean for four factors are 4.90. This shows that the respondents agree that CIDOS application are effective, efficiency and they are satisfied in using it. As a conclusion, CIDOS is achieving objective of the development that aim to provide an effective and efficient system teaching and learning process in polytechnics.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

By the name of Allah, the Most Compassionate Most Merciful.

I would like to express my gratitude to Allah for providing me the blessing to complete this research. Hence, I deeply gratefulness to my supportive and helpful supervisor, Dr. Mohd Syazwan bin Abdullah for assisting and guiding me in the completion of this research.

I would also like to own the favor to thank my beloved parents and family. My parents, Zahari Abdullah and Zainab Md. Nor who never stop giving me supports, love and prayers, and always been there for me.

My warm thanks also goes to the staffs and students of Politeknik Tuanku Syed Sirajuddin (PTSS), Politeknik Sultan Abdul Halim Muadzam Shah (POLIMAS) and Politeknik Seberang Perai (PSP) for their help and contributions to many moments of insight, inspiration and support throughout my project. I thank my friends Afifa Hanim Binti Mohamad, Yuslina Binti Salleh and Nurul Fathihin Binti Mohd Nor Shah for their support and cooperation. I would like also thank all individuals who are involved in accomplishing this research project.

## Table of Contents

PERMISSION TO USE .....	I
ABSTRAK (BAHASA MELAYU).....	II
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH) .....	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....	IV
LIST OF TABLES .....	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	X
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1    Problem Statement.....	3
1.2    Research Questions .....	6
1.3    Objectives.....	6
1.4    Scope Of The Research .....	6
1.5    Significance of the study .....	7
1.6    Summary.....	7
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW .....	9
2.1    Introduction .....	9
2.2    LMS : Definition and Concept .....	10
2.3    Usability : Concept and definition.....	13
2.4    Evaluation Model : Concept and definition.....	16
2.4.1    Process to evaluate .....	16
2.4.2    Gather information .....	17
2.4.3    Choose the technique .....	17

2.4.4	Evaluate the website /system.....	18
2.4.5	Analysis in depth, and apply results to actual designing .....	18
2.5	Polytechnics : Concept and Definition.....	18
2.6	CIDOS Interface and Features.....	19
2.7	Summary.....	28
	CHAPTER THREE : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	29
3.1	Introduction .....	29
3.2	Methodology.....	29
3.2.1	Identify the problem .....	31
3.2.2	Adapt the questionnaire.....	32
3.2.3	Sample selection.....	33
3.2.4	Data Collection.....	34
3.2.5	Data Analysis .....	34
3.2.6	Result.....	34
3.3	Summary.....	35
	CHAPTER FOUR : RESULT AND FINDINGS .....	36
4.1	Introduction .....	36
4.2	Respond Rate.....	36
4.3	Demographic Profile of Respondents.....	37
4.4	Usability Evaluation Result.....	45
4.4.1	Factor 1 : Technology and System.....	46
4.4.2	Factor 2 : Interactive Application.....	47
4.4.3	Factor 3 : Lecturers' Characteristic .....	48
4.4.4	Factor 4 : Students' Behaviour and Attitude.....	48
4.5	Summary.....	49

CHAPTER FIVE : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .....	50
5.1    Introduction .....	50
5.2    Achievement of Research Objectives.....	50
5.3    Limitation .....	52
5.4    Recommendation for Future Work.....	52
5.5    Summary.....	52
REFERENCES.....	54
APPENDIX A .....	57

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 3.1 : Total of Respondents .....	33
Table 4.1 : Statistic of Respondents .....	37
Table 4.2 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Polytechnic).....	38
Table 4.3 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Department).....	39
Table 4.4 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Gender).....	40
Table 4.5 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Race).....	41
Table 4.6 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Age).....	42
Table 4.7 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Job Position).....	43
Table 4.8 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Computer Literate) .....	44
Table 4.9 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Experience Using Computer) .....	44
Table 4.10 : Demographic Profile of Respondents (Internet Accessibility) .....	44
Table 4.11 : Descriptive Statistics for Each Criteria.....	45
Table 4.12 : Descriptive Statistics for Each Factor .....	46

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1 : CIDOS Main Interface.....	19
Figure 2.2 : CIDOS Login.....	20
Figure 2.3 : CIDOS Front Page.....	20
Figure 2.4 : CIDOS Main Menu.....	21
Figure 2.5 : List of Polytechnics that use CIDOS .....	22
Figure 2.6 : Department in Polytechnics.....	22
Figure 2.7 : Selecting a Course / Subject .....	23
Figure 2.8 : Selecting Lecturers'.....	23
Figure 2.9 : Interface of Subject / Course .....	24
Figure 2.10 : Teaching and Learning Process in CIDOS.....	24
Figure 2.11 : Learning Process (taking notes and assignments) .....	25
Figure 2.12 : Display Online Quiz .....	25
Figure 2.13 : Main Page of Quiz .....	26
Figure 2.14 : Example of Online Quiz .....	26
Figure 2.15 : Grade for Online Quiz .....	27
Figure 2.16 : Participants Last Access .....	27
Figure 2.17 : Reports and Log Activities .....	28
Figure 4.1 : Bar Chart of Polytechnics.....	38
Figure 4.2 : Bar Chart of Department .....	38
Figure 4.3 : Bar Chart of Gender.....	40
Figure 4.4 : Bar Chart of Race .....	41
Figure 4.5 : Bar Chart of Age.....	42
Figure 4.6 : Bar Chart of Job Position.....	43

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>BPPK</b>	Bahagian Pembangunan dan Penilaian Kurikulum
<b>CIDOS</b>	Curriculum Information Document On-Line System
<b>HCI</b>	Human Computer Interaction
<b>IP</b>	Internet Protocol
<b>IS</b>	Information System
<b>ISO</b>	International Standard Organization
<b>IT</b>	Information Technology
<b>JPPKK</b>	Jabatan Pembangunan Politeknik dan Kolej Komuniti
<b>JTMK</b>	Department of Information Technology and Communication
<b>JKE</b>	Department of Electrical Engineering
<b>JKM</b>	Department of Mechanical Engineering
<b>JRKV</b>	Department of Design & Visual Communication
<b>LMS</b>	Learning Management System
<b>MOHE</b>	Ministry of Higher Education
<b>POLIMAS</b>	Politeknik Sultan Abdul Halim Muadzam Shah
<b>PSP</b>	Politeknik Seberang Perai
<b>PTSS</b>	Politeknik Tuanku Syed Sirajuddin
<b>SPM</b>	Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia
<b>SPSS</b>	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
<b>UEMs</b>	Usability Evaluation Methods
<b>WWW</b>	World Wide Web

## **CHAPTER ONE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

The World Wide Web (WWW) continues to grow and the need for discussion on the usability aspects becomes important. So far, in relation to the number of website on Learning Management System (LMS) portal, the usability aspects more or less have been left out. Usability is a key concept in Human Computer Interaction (HCI). It is concerned with making systems easy to learn, easy to use and safe to operate.

The purpose of educational software is to support learning. Clearly, the software should take into account the way students learn and also provide good usability so that student's interactions with the software are as natural and intuitive as possible. In this sense there should be a synergy between the learning process and a student's interaction with the software. Usability features should not only allow people to efficiently manipulated the interactive software, but should also be appropriate for the intended learning task. When this synergy occurs, the use of the software can be thought of as "integrated", in that a seamless link develops between the use of the software and the learning process.

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Almrashdeh, I. A., Sahari, N., Mat Zin, N. A., Alsmadi, M. (2011). Distance Learning Management System Requirements From Student's Perspective. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 24 (1), 17 - 27.
- Altun, A., Gulbahar, Y., Madran, O. (2008). Use of A Content Management System For Blended : Perceptions of Pre-Service Teachers. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE*, 9(4), 138-153.
- Ardito, C., Marsico, M., Lanzilotti, R., Levialdi, S., Roselli, T., Rossano, V., Tersigni, M.(2004). Usability of E-Learning Tools. *Proceedings of AVI 2004*, May 25-28, Gallipoli, Italy, 80 - 85.
- Avison, D., & Shah, H. (1997). *The Information Systems Development Life Cycle: A First Course in Information Systems*. Glasgow, England : McGraw-Hill.
- Berns, T. (2004). Usability and user-centred design, a necessity for efficient learning. *International Journal of The Computer, The Internet and Management*, 12(2), 20-25.
- Chou, C. (2002). A Comparative Content Analysis of Student Interaction in Synchronous and Asynchronous Learning Networks. *Proceedings of the 35<sup>th</sup> Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, January 7-10, Big Island, Hawaii, 134-2-.
- Chua, B. B., Dyson, L. E. (2004). Applying the ISO 9126 Model to the Evaluation of an e-Learning System. *Proc. of ASCILITE 2004*, December 5-8, Perth, Australia, 184-190.
- Coates, H., James, R., & Baldwin, G. (2005). A Critical Examination of the Effects of Learning Management Systems on University Teaching and Learning. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 11(1), 19–36.
- Govindasamy, T. (2001). Successful implementation of e-Learning: Pedagogical considerations. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 4(3-4), 287-299.
- Harrington, T., Staffo, M., Wright, V. H. (2006). Faculty Uses of and Attitudes toward a Course Management System in Improving Instruction. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 5(2), 178-190.
- Hassanzadeh, M., & Navidi, F. (2009). Web site accessibility evaluation methods in action: A comparative approach for ministerial web sites in Iran. *The Electronic Library*, 28(6), 789-803.
- Haugen, B. (2003). Design to Success Learning Management System. Retrieved April 12, 2012, from [http://www.entrepreneurstrategies.com/ilt\\_md/lms/index.htm](http://www.entrepreneurstrategies.com/ilt_md/lms/index.htm)

- Hearst, Ivory, M. Y. (2001). The State of the Art in Automating Usability Evaluation of User Interfaces. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 470-516.
- Iahad, N., Kalaitzakis, E., Dafoulas, G.A., & Macaulay, L.A. (2004). Evaluation of Online Assessment : The role of feedback in Learner-Centered e-Learning. *Proceedings of the 37~Hawaii International Conference of System Sciences*, January 5-8, Big Island, Hawaii, 1-10
- Kumar, M., Natarajan, U., Hedberg, J.G. (2006). Usability of Web-based Knowledge Portals to Support Educational Research Organizations : A Case Study. *Journal of Information, Information Technology and Organizations*, 1(13), 24-36.
- Liu, F. (2008). Usability Evaluation on Websites. *9th International Conference on ComputerAided Industrial Design and Conceptual Design*, November 22-25, Kunming, China, 141-144.
- Masek, A. B., & Yamin, P. D. (2009). Nurturing Personal Skills Development: Model of Monitoring and Assessment of Student Centred Learning. *2009 International Conference on Engineering Education (ICEED)*, December 7-8, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 199-202
- Ndubisi, N.O. (2004). Factors Influencing e-Learning Adoption Intention : Examining the Determinant Structure of the Decomposed Theory of Planned Behaviour Constructs. *Proc. of ASCILITE 2008*, November 30 – December 3, Melbourne, Australia, 252-262.
- Nielsen, J. (1993). *Usability Engineering*, Cambridge, MA: Academic Press
- Noverant, M. (2006). ASP Learning Management Systems : Adapting to the Needs of Small to Medium-Sized Life Science Companies. Retrieved on April 13, 2012 from [http://www.noverant.com/press/103106\\_LSNeeds.pdf](http://www.noverant.com/press/103106_LSNeeds.pdf)
- Olsen, F. (2002).The Power of Portals : The Chronicle of Higher Education. Retrieved on April 13, 2012 from <http://chronicle.com/free/v48/i48/48a03201.htm>
- Panigyrakis, G., Chatzipanagiotou, G., Kalliopi, C. (2006). The impact of design characteristics and support services on the effectiveness of marketing information systems: an empirical investigation. *Review of Business Information Systems - Second Quarter*, (10), 91 - 100.
- Powell, P., Gill, C. (2003). Web Content Management Systems in Higher Education, *Educause Quarterly*, 2, 43-50.
- Quinn, J.B. & Baily, M. N. (1994). Information Technology: Increasing Productivity in Services. *Academy of Management Executive*. 8(3), 28-48.
- Salleh, Y. (2006). *Evaluation of Usability of C2C Web Site: A Case Study of eBAY.com*. Universiti Utara Malaysia.

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2003). *Research Methods for Business Students FT*. Harlow, England : Prentice Hall.
- Shneiderman, B. (1997). *Designing the User Interface : Strategies for Effective Human - Computer Interaction*. New York : Addison – Wesley.
- Squires, D., Preece, J. (1996). Usability and Learning: Evaluating the Potential of Educational Software. *Computers and Education*, 27(1), 15-22.
- Ssemugabi, S., & Villiers, R. D. (2007). A Comparative Study of Two Usability Evaluation Methods Using a Web-Based E-Learning Application. *Proceedings of the 2007 Annual Research Conference of the South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists on IT Research in Developing Countries*, September 30-October 3, Port Elizabeth, South Africa, 132-142.
- Sutcliffe, A. (2002). Assessing the Reliability of Heuristic Evaluation for Website Attractiveness and Usability. *35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, January 7-10, Big Island, Hawaii, 1-10.
- Vassileva S. (2011) User Interfaces, Usability and Acceptability. Retrieved from [http://mech-ing.com/journal/Archive/2011/9/89\\_Svetla%20Vasileva.pdf](http://mech-ing.com/journal/Archive/2011/9/89_Svetla%20Vasileva.pdf)
- Yen, B., Hu, P., & Wang, M. (2005). Towards Effective Web Site : A Framework for Modeling, Design Evaluation and Enhacement. *IEEE International Conference on e-Technology, e-Commerce and e-Service*, March 29 – April 1, Hong Kong, 716-721.
- Yusof, U. K., Khaw, L. K., Ch'ng, H. Y., & Neow, B. J. (2010). Balancing between Usability and Aesthetics. *Information Technology (ITSim), 2010 International Symposium*, June 15-17, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 1-6.
- Yusof, M. (n.d.). *Perutusan Ketua Pengarah*. Retrieved from Portal Rasmi Jabatan Pengajian Politeknik: <http://politeknik.gov.my/webjpp2/index>
- Zaharias, P. (2008). Usability in the context of e-learning : A framework augmenting traditional usability constructs with instructional design and motivation to learn. *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction*, 5(4), 14-37.