EVALUATE THE ELEMENTS THAT CONTRIBUTETO THE SUCCESS OF *KAIZEN* IMPLEMENTATION IN MANUFACTURING SECTOR

MOHAMAD ISHAK BIN MOHD MOKTI

MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT) UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA SEPTEMBER 2012

EVALUATE THE ELEMENTS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUCCESS OF *KAIZEN* IMPLEMENTATION IN MANUFACTURING SECTOR

By MOHAMAD ISHAK BIN MOHD MOKTI

Thesis Submitted to

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia
In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of
Science (Management)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universities Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be given me and to University Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my dissertation.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this project paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

College of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

DISCLAIMER

The author is responsible for the accuracy of all opinion, technical comment, factual report, data figures, illustrations and photographs in this dissertation. The author bears full responsibility for the checking whether material submitted is subject to copyright or ownership right. Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) does not accept any liability for the accuracy of such comment, report and other technical and factual information and the copyright or ownership rights claims.

The author declares that this dissertation is original and his own except those literatures, quotations, explanations and summarization which are duly identified and recognized. The author hereby granted the copyright of this dissertation to College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) for publishing if necessary.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the relationship between worker attitude, skill, knowledge, and management support and success of kaizen implementation among SRM employees in the manufacturing sector. The relationship between those variables are predicted based on relevant literature, and are tested using survey results from 206 of the SRM employees in Arau, Perlis. Descriptive statistics analysis and inferential statistical analysis are used to analyze the corresponding characteristics of the sample. Reliability of measures is established by testing for both consistency and stability. Pearson's Correlation are used to test the relationships between attitude and success of kaizen implementation, skill and success of kaizen implementation, knowledge and success of kaizen implementation, and management support and success of kaizen implementation. Overall results indicated that all the independent variables i.e. attitude, skills, knowledge and management support were significant influence to the success of kaizen implementation. Hypothesis one is accepted: There were positive relationships between attitude and success of kaizen implementation. Hypothesis two is accepted: There were positive relationships between skills and success of kaizen implementation. Hypothesis three is accepted: There were positive relationships between knowledge and success of kaizen implementation. Hypothesis four is accepted: There were positive relationships between management support and success of kaizen implementation. Attitude has strong influence contribute to the success of kaizen implementation. Skills and knowledge has moderate influence. However management support has less influence contribute to the success of kaizen implementation.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji sejauh mana hubungan di antara sikap, kemahiran, pengetahuan, dan sokongan pengurusan dan kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen di kalangan pekerja SRM dari segi perspektif sektor pembuatan. Hubungan di antara pemboleh ubah-pemboleh ubah berikut adalah berdasarkan ramalan literasi-literasi lepas dan hubungan ini diuji dengan melakukan kaji selidik terhadap 206 pekerja SRM di Arau, Perlis. Ujian 'Descriptive statistics' dan 'Inferential statistics' digunakan untuk menganalisa faktor 'demography'. Ujian 'Reliability' digunakan sebagai langkah untuk menguji konsisten dan kestabilan data. Ujian 'Pearson's Correlation' digunakan untuk menguji hubungan di antara pemboleh ubah bebas seperti sikap, kemahiran, pengetahuan dan sokongan pengurusan dengan kejayaan Keputusan keseluruhan menunjukkan pelaksanaan kaizen. bahawa semua pembolehubah bebas iaitu sikap, kemahiran, pengetahuan dan sokongan pengurusan memberi pengaruh penting kepada kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Hipotesis satu diterima: Terdapat hubungan yang positif antara sikap dan kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Hipotesis dua diterima: Terdapat hubungan yang positif antara kemahiran dan kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Hipotesis tiga diterima: Terdapat hubungan yang positif antara pengetahuan dan kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Hipotesis empat diterima: Terdapat hubungan yang positif antara sokongan pengurusan dan kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Sikap mempunyai pengaruh yang kuat menyumbang kepada kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen. Kemahiran dan pengetahuan yang mempunyai pengaruh yang sederhana. Walau bagaimanapun sokongan pengurusan telah pengaruh kurang menyumbang kepada kejayaan pelaksanaan kaizen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah. My highest gratitude to the Almighty Allah s.w.t. for the blessings in giving me strength, good health and opportunity to complete this task.

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude and greatest appreciation to my supervisor; Dr Amlus bin Ibrahim for his encouragement, guidance, ideas, critiques and comment throughout the period in completing this research.

I wish also to express my gratitude to my wife, Hariati bte Khalid, and my children, Arifah Husna, Fatin Nublah, Nur Insyirah, Nurul Nadhirah and Muhammad Zuhaily Hazazi for their support, encouragement and patience along the way of the journey in completing my master course.

Lastly to the SRM staffs who have been supporting me in gathering data, sharing information, knowledge and idea in order to make this research worthy and useful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS Pa		
PERMISSION TO USE		
DISCI	_AIMER	iii
ABST	RACT	iv
ABST	RAK	v
ACKN	IOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST (OF TABLES	X
LIST (OF FIGURES	xii
LIST (OF ABBREVIATION	xii
СНАР	TER 1 – INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of the study	2
1.3	Problem statement	5
1.4	Research questions	7
1.5	Research objectives.	.8
1.6	Significant of the study	8
1.7	Scope and limitation of study	9
1.8	Organization of the thesis	9
СНАР	TER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	Chapter overview	10
2.2.	Background of research	10
2.3.	Kaizen definition	12
2.4.	Guideline for Kaizen implementation	14
2.5.	Processes and results	14
2.6.	Putting quality first	15
2.7.	Hard data versus hunches and feelings	16

2.8.	The next process is the customer	17
2.9.	Visual management	17
2.10.	Kaizen success implementation in manufacturing sector	20
2.11.	Review of key studies	22
2.12.	Evaluation of key studies	24
2.13.	Review of related study on attitude	24
2.14.	Review of related study on skill.	24
2.15.	Review of related study on knowledge – understanding the need for <i>kaizen</i>	25
2.16.	Review of related study on management support	25
2.17.	Review of related study on success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation - impact area.	
2.18.	Conclusion.	26
	PTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1.	Introduction	27
3.2.	Theoretical framework	27
3.3.	Population and sample	28
3.4.	Research subjects	29
3.5.	Data analysis techniques	29
3.6.	Description of instrumentation.	29
3.7.	Section A	31
3.8.	Section B.	31
3.9.	Pilot test	32
3.10.	Data collection.	33
3.11.	Data analysis	34
	3.11.1 Descriptive statistics	34
	3.11.2 Inferential statistics	35

	3.11.4	Pearson's correlation coefficient.	36
3.12.	Concl	usion	. 37
CHAI	PTER 4	– FINDINGS	
4.1.	Introd	uction	38
4.2.	Descri	ptive analysis of the sample	38
	4.2.1.	Gender	. 39
	4.2.2.	Age	. 39
	4.2.3.	Highest education	40
	4.2.4.	Organizational tenure	.40
	4.2.5.	Job status	. 41
	4.2.6.	Kaizen contribution.	. 42
4.3.	Testin	g goodness of data	42
	4.3.1	Gender and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 43
	4.3.2	Age and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 44
	4.3.3	Highest education and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 46
	4.3.4	Organizational tenure and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 47
	4.3.5	Job status and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 48
	4.3.6	Kaizen contribution and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 50
4.4.	Reliab	ility analysis	51
4.5.	Hypot	hesis testing	. 52
	4.5.1	Hypothesis one $(H1)$; There were positive relationships between attitude and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	53
	4.5.2	Hypothesis two (<i>H2</i>); There were positive relationships between skills and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 54
	4.5.3	Hypothesis three (<i>H3</i>); There were positive relationships between knowledge and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	
	4.5.4	Hypothesis four (<i>H4</i>); There were positive relationships between management support and success of kaizen implementation	. 56
4.6.	Concl	usion	. 57

CHAPTER 5 – DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

5.1.	Introdu	action	58	
5.2.	Discus	sion on the demographic factors	58	
5.3.	Discus	sion on the research hypothesis	60	
	5.2.1	Hypothesis one – there is a significant relationship between attitude and <i>kaizen</i> success implementation	61	
	5.2.2	Hypothesis two – There is a significant relationship between skills and <i>kaizen</i> success implementation	62	
	5.2.3	Hypothesis three – There is a significant relationship between knowledge and <i>kaizen</i> success implementation	63	
	5.2.4	Hypothesis four – There is a significant relationship between management support and <i>kaizen</i> success implementation	63	
5.4.	Conclu	usion	64	
5.5.	Directi	ion of future research.	65	
		PENDICES	67	
APPE	NDIX A	A - Kaizen questionnaires	72	
APPE	NDIX E	3: Inferential statistical analysis	77	
APPE	NDIX C	C – Pearson's correlation	100	
LIST (OF TAE	BLES		
Table 3	3.6: Dis	stribution of the variable	30	
Table 3	3.9: The	e validity and reliability results of the pre-test study	33	
Table 4	4.2.1: D	Distribution of respondents according to gender	39	
Table 4	4.2.2: D	Distribution of respondents according to age	40	
Table 4	4.2.3: D	Distribution of respondents according to highest education	40	
Table 4	4.2.4: D	Distribution of respondents according to organizational tenure	41	
Table 4.2.5: Distribution of respondents according to job status				
Table 4.2.6: Distribution of respondents according to <i>kaizen</i> contribution 42				

Table 4.3.1 (a): Mean result for differences between gender and success of <i>kaize</i> implementation	
Table 4.3.1 (b): Independent sample t-test result for differences between gender and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 44
Table 4.3.2 (a): Mean result for success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation by age group	45
Table 4.3.2 (b): ANOVA result for differences age and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 45
Table 4.3.3 (a): Mean result for success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation by highest education	.46
Table 4.3.3 (b): ANOVA result for differences highest education and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	46
Table 4.3.4 (a): Mean result for success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation by organizational tenure	. 47
Table 4.3.4 (b): ANOVA result for differences organizational tenure and success of kaizen implementation	. 48
Table 4.3.5 (a): Mean result for success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation by job status	.49
Table 4.3.5 (b): ANOVA result for differences job status and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 49
Table 4.3.6 (a): Mean result for success of <i>kaize</i> n implementation by <i>kaizen</i> contribution	.50
Table 4.3.6 (b): T-test result for differences <i>kaizen</i> contribution and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	.51
Table 4.4: Reliability result of independent variables	.52
Table 4.5.1: Relationship between attitude and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 53
Table 4.5.2: Relationship between skills and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	54
Table 4.5.3: Relationship between knowledge and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	.55
Table 4.5.4: Relationship between management support and success of <i>kaizen</i> implementation	. 56
Table 5.3: Summary of the result.	60

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.5:	PDCA cycle and SDCA cycle	15
C	·	
Figure 3.2:	Theoretical framework	29

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

SRM Shorubber (M) Sdn Bhd

CPI Continuous Process Improvement

QCD Quality, Cost and Delivery

KSA Knowledge, Skills, Attitude

KKSA Kaizen, Knowledge, Skills, Attitude

PDCA Plan – Do – Check – Act

SDCA Standardize – Do – Check – Act

IV Independent variable

DV Dependent variable

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction.

Kaizen is a Japanese word that has become common in many western companies. The concept of *Kaizen* (or continuous improvement) has received much attention as a key to Japan's competitive success (Imai, 1986). The way of thinking named *Kaizen* as "Japanese style of quality management" became an object of interest of Europe and American industrialist, when Japanese economy had success in 80-ies of the XXth century. (Wawak, 2004)

Kaizen is a compound word involving two concepts: Kai (change) and Zen (for better) (Palmer, 2001). The term comes from Gemba *Kaizen* meaning continuous improvement (CI). Continuous improvement is one of the core strategies for excellence in production, and is considered vital in today's competitive environment (Dean & Robinson, 1991). It calls for endless effort for improvement involving everyone in the organization (Malik & Ye Zhuang, 2006). *Kaizen* strategy is the single most important concept in Japanese management – The key to Japanese competitive success; *Kaizen* means 'on-going' improvement involving everyone – top management, managers and workers. (Imai, 1986)

Kaizen events are often associated with lean production (Womack et all, 1990), and published practitioner account suggest that they can result in substantial improvement in technical system outcomes, (such as lead time, work process, inventory and productivity) (Kosanda & Faris, 2004) as well as in sosial system outcomes, such as employee knowledge, skill and attitude, aligned with continuous improvement. The strength of *kaizen* events improved the technical system and social system in an

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Aldefer, C.S., (1969). An Empirical Test of a New Theory of Human Needs. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 4, pp. 142-175.
- Alsmadi, S. (2009). *Kaizen* strategy and the drive for competitiveness: challenges and opportunities. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal incorporating Journal of Global Competitiveness*, Vol. 19 Iss: 3 pp. 203-211
- Aoki, K. (2008). Transferring Japanese *kaizen* activities to overseas plants in China. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 28 Iss: 6 pp. 518 539
- Bateman, N. and Rich, N. (2003). Companies perceptions of inhibitors and enablers for process improvement activities. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 185-99.
- Bessant, J., Caffyn, S. and Gallagher, M. (2001). An evolutionary model of continuous improvement behavior. *Technovation*, Vol. 21, pp. 67-77.
- Butterworth, C. (2001). From value stream mapping to shop floor improvement: a case study of kaikaku. *Manufacturing Operations and Supply Chain Management: The Lean Approach*. London: Thomson Learning.
- Bradley, J.R. and Willett, J. (2004). Cornell students participate in Lord Corporation's *kaizen* projects. *Interfaces*, Vol. 34 No. 6, pp. 451-9.
- Chan, F.T.S., Lau, H.C.W., Ip, R.W.L., Chan, H.K. and Kong, S. (2005). Implementation of total productive maintenance: a case study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 95 No. 1, pp. 71-94.
- Chase, N. (1998). Kaizen cuts works-in-progress, boots production. *Quality*, Vol. 51–53, p. March.
- Ciferri, L. (2007). *Kaizen* enters the Czech Republic. *Automotive News Europe*, Vol. 12 No. 6, p. 26.
- Cronbach, L. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests. *Psychiatrika*, Vol. 16, pp. 297-334.

- Cronbach, L. (1970). *Essentials of Psychological, Third Edition*. New York: Harper & Row Publishers.
- Doolen T.L., Van Aken E.M., Farris J.A., Worley J.M., Huwe, J., (2008). *Kaizen* events and organizational performance: a field study. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 57 Iss: 8, pp. 637 658
- Doolen, T.L., Hacker, M.E., & Van Aken, E.M. (2003). The Impact of Organizational Context on Work Team Effectiveness: A Study of Production Teams. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 285-296.
- Fishman, F. (2006). Continuous training, continuous improvement. *Industrial Maintenance and Plant Operation*, Vol. 67 No. 5, pp. 9-12.
- Farris, J., Van Aken, E. M., Doolen, T. L., and Worley, J. (2006). Learning from *Kaizen* Events: A Research Methodology for Determining the Characteristics of More and Less Successful Events. *Engineering Management Journal*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 10-20.
- Farris, J.A., Van Aken, E.M., Doolen, T.L. and Worley, J. (2009). Critical success factors for human resource outcomes in *kaizen* events: an empirical study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 117 No. 1, pp. 42-65.
- Farris, J.A (2006). An Empirical Investigation of *Kaizen* Event Effectiveness: Outcomes and Critical Success Factors. *Ph.D. Dissertation* (2006). Virginia Tech.
- Harari, O. (1997). Kaizen is not enough. Management Review, September, pp. 25-9.
- Imai, M. (1986). *Kaizen: The Key to Japan's Competitive Success*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- iSixSigma LLC (2004), Six Sigma quality resources for achieving Six Sigma results dictionary, *available at: http://www.isixsigma.com/dictionary/kaizen/* (accessed October 20, 2012).

- Johnston, R., Fitzgerald, L., Markou, E. and Brignall, S. (2001). Target setting for evolutionary and revolutionary process change. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 21 No. 11, pp. 1387-403.
- Jorgensen, F., Boer, H. and Gertsen, F. (2003). Jump-start continuous improvement through self-assessment. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 23 No. 10, p. 1260.
- Kajiwara, K. (2002). *Toyota Way: Shinka Su Ru Saikyo No Keieijutu*. Tokyo: Business Sha.
- Kosandal, P. and Farris, J. (2004). The strategic role of the *kaizen* event in driving and sustaining organizational change. *Proceedings of the 2004 American Society for Engineering Management Conference*, Alexandria, VA, pp. 517-26.
- Lawler, E.E., and Mohrman S.A. (1987). Quality Circles: After the Honeymoon. *Organizational Dynamics*, 15:4, pp. 42-54.
- Laraia, A.C., Moody, P.E. and Hall, R.W. (1999). The *Kaizen Blitz: Accelerating Breakthroughs in Productivity and Performance. The Association for Manufacturing Excellence*, New York, NY.
- LeBlanc, G. (1999). *Kaizen* at Hill-Rom. *Center for Quality of Management Journal*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 49-53.
- Lyu, J. (1996). Applying *Kaizen* and automation to process re-engineering. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 125-32.
- Magnier-Watanabe R., (2011). Getting ready for *kaizen*: organizational and knowledge management enablers. *The journal of information and knowledge management systems*, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 428-448
- Malloch, H. (1997). Strategic and HRM aspects of *Kaizen*: a case study. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 108-22.
- McNichols, T., Hassinger, R., & Bapst, G.W. (1999). Quick and Continuous Improvement Through *Kaizen Blitz*. *Hospital Materiel Management Quarterly*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 1–7.

- Melnyk, S.A., Calantone, R.J., Montabon, F.L., & Smith, R.T. (1998). Short-term action in pursuit of long-term improvements: introducing *kaizen* events. *Production & Inventory Management Journal*, Vol. 39 No. 4, pp. 69-76.
- Mika, G. L. (2002). *Kaizen Event Implementation Manual Second Edition*. Wake Forest, NC: *Kaizen* Sensei.
- Minton, E. (1998). Profile: Luke Faulstick 'Baron of Blitz' Has Boundless Vision of Continuous Improvement. *Industrial Management*, 40:1, pp. 14-21.
- Mohr, M. L. & Mohr, H. (1983). *Quality Circles*. Menlo Park, CA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Modarress, B., Ansari, A. and Lockwood, D. (2005). *Kaizen* costing for lean manufacturing: a case study. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 43 No. 9, pp. 1751-60.
- Muchinsky, P.M. (2000), *Psychology Applied to Work Sixth Edition*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/ Thomson Learning.
- Neese, M. (2007). A foundation for continuous improvement. *Circuits Assembly*, Vol. 18 No. 7, pp. 50-1.
- Oliver, N. and Wilkinson, B. (1992). *The Japanisation of British Industry: New Developments in the 1990s.* Blackwell: Oxford.
- Oakeson, M. (1997). *Kaizen* Makes Dollars & Sense for Mercedes-Benz in Brazil. *IIE Solutions*, Vol. 29 No. 4, pp. 32-35.
- Rod,M. and Ashill, N. J. (2009). Symptons of burnout and service recovery performance; the influence of job resourcefulness. *Managing Service Quality*. Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 60-84.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach Fourth Edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sekaran, U. and Bougie, R. (2010). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach Fifth Edition*. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.

- Sheridan, J.H. (1997). Kaizen blitz. Industry Week/IW, Vol. 246 No. 16, pp. 18-27.
- Storz, C. (2008). Dynamics in innovation systems: evidence from Japan's game software industry. *Research Policy*, Vol. 37 No. 9, pp. 1480-91.
- Taninecz, G. (1997). Cooper Automotive-Wagner Lighting. *Industry Week/IW*, Vol. 246, No. 19, pp. 32-5.
- Tanner, C. and Roncarti, R. (1994). *Kaizen* leads to breakthroughs in responsiveness and the Shingo Prize at Critikon. *National Productivity Review*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 517-31.
- Trostel, A. and Light, A. (2000). Carrier Mexico SA de CV. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 50 No. 1, pp. 97-110.
- Van Scyoc, K. (2008). Process safety improvement: quality and target zero. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Vol. 159 No. 1, pp. 42-8.
- Vasilash, G.S. (1997). Getting better- fast. *Automotive Manufacturing & Production*, Vol. 109 No. 8, pp. 66-8.
- Womack, J., Roos, D., & Jones, D. (1990). *The Machine that Changed the World*, New York: Rawson and Associates.
- Wawak, S. (2004). *Quality management. Theory and practice*. Warsaw: Onepress Published.
- Wuensch, K.L. (2005). Using SPSS to Screen Data. available at: http//core.ecu.edu/psyc/wuenschk/SPSS/Screen-SPSS.doc (accessed on December 10, 2012)
- Zickmund, W.G., Babin, B.J., Carr, J.C., & Griffin, M. (2010). *Business Research Method Eighth Edition*, Canada: South-Western Cencage Leraning.