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ABSTRACT

This paper describes the budgeting process of in 2012 at State Treasury, State Kedah Government. Based on the financial procedures of Federal Government, and State Kedah regulations and policy, all departments and agencies need to follow the financial procedures, as part of the budgeting process.

The collection of data were done by interviews and document analysis at Kedah State Treasury. The interviews were done by asking several officers in Budget Unit and Finance Unit. The document analysis was done by reading the regulation and policy book, and Circulars (including of Federal and State), Treasury Instruction No. 29 and 31, Finance Circular Letter – Annual Expenditure Provision Procedure, Budget Book 2012, Additional Budget File 2012.

The implementation of budget 2012 was only for 10 months periods (March 2011 to January 2012). The budgeting process at State Kedah is divided into 4 (four) components : Preparation, Approves, Execution, and Auditing. Preparation covers providing Circulars by State Treasury, acceptance and checking budget draft from departments, presentation in front of EXCO and DUN for approval. Approves, started with checking the completeness of the budget draft for departments, presentation in front of EXCO and DUN for obtaining approval. Execution, encompasses provision warrant and sub warrant to departments.

Budget in 2012 emphasis on four strategies : (1) Enhancing Economic Growth, which consist of three sub-strategy, Strengthening Agricultural In Business, Increase Tourism Industry Potential, Promoting The Manufacturing Industry, (2) Improving People Livelihood, encompass Affordable Houses and Quality of Life, (3) Improving Human Capital, and (4) Strengthening State Corporate Governance.

The budgetary process at State Kedah Government, follows Malaysian Budgeting System, also known as Modified Budgeting System (MBS). MBS contain 4 (four) components, (i) Expenditure Target; (ii) Program Agreements and Exceptions Reports; (iii) Cycle of Program Evaluations; and (iv) a more Generalized Approach to Expenditure Control.

Some elements that are considered together in the financial management toward public welfare includes: Transparency: This refers to efforts by local governments to make information publicly available in a systematic way at each stage of the budget cycle. Participation: This refers to the involvement of civil society in decision making at every stage of the budget cycle. Accountability: This refers to the extent to which local governments render an account of their management of budgets to both local legislative assemblies (DPRA) and the public generally. Gender Equality: This refers to efforts by local governments to provide space for the poor and women to take part in the budget cycle.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The State Kedah Government financial statement shows a true and fair view of the financial affairs of the State Government as at 31 December 2010. The Statement which includes The Balance Sheet and The Memorandum Accounts were certified as all the items were duly supported (National Audit Department, 2010:4).

According to Auditor General’s Report (2010:4), overall, the Audit analysis showed that the financial position of the Kedah Government for the year 2010 was satisfactory. In 2010, the balance in the Consolidated Account total RM328.28 million compared to RM265.52 million in 2009. An increase in revenue by RM87.64 million or 23.9% in 2010 and an increase in operating expenditure by RM2.55 million or 0.6% has resulted in a surplus in 2010 amounted to RM22.50 million compared to a deficit in 2009 total RM62.89 million i.e. A substantial increase of RM85.39 million or 135.8%. The increase in revenue has reduced the deficit in the Consolidated Revenue Account in 2010 by RM22.50 million or 7% amounted to RM298.23 million compared to RM320.73 million in 2009. The decrease in cash balance is due to a large increase in Fixed Deposits of RM84 million or 45.7% total RM268 million in 2010 compared to RM184 million in 2009. Whereas, loans from the Federal Government has increased by RM249.87 million in 2010 thus resulted in an increase towards the commitment of the State Government in its Public Debts currently amounted to RM2.61 billion compared to RM2.38 billion in 2009. In addition, more assertive actions taken to recover the arrears of revenue. The errors in 2010 total RM172.67 million have decreased by RM27.16 million or 13.6% compared to RM199.83 million in 2009.
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