

**EFFICIENT AND HIGHLY ROBUST HOTELLING T^2 CONTROL
CHARTS USING REWEIGHTED MINIMUM VECTOR VARIANCE**

HAZLINA BINTI ALI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2013**

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to :

Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences

UUM College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Abstrak

Carta kawalan Hotelling T^2 adalah alat yang berkesan bagi kawalan proses berstatistik untuk persekitaran multivariat. Walau bagaimanapun, prestasi carta kawalan Hotelling T^2 tradisional yang menggunakan penganggar lokasi dan serakan klasik biasanya dicemari oleh kesan pelitupan dan *swamping*. Bagi mengurangkan masalah ini, penganggar teguh telah disyorkan. Penganggar teguh yang paling popular dan digunakan secara meluas dalam carta kawalan Hotelling T^2 adalah penentu kovarians minimum (MCD). Terkini, penganggar yang lebih baik dikenali sebagai varians vektor minimum (MVV) telah diperkenalkan. Penganggar ini mempunyai titik kerosakan yang tinggi, varians samaan affin dan pengiraan yang lebih cekap. Oleh kerana cirinya yang baik, kajian ini mencadangkan untuk mengganti penganggar klasik dengan penganggar lokasi dan serakan MVV dalam pembinaan carta kawalan Hotelling T^2 bagi cerapan individu pada analisis Fasa II. Walau bagaimanapun, penganggar MVV didapati mempunyai beberapa kelemahan seperti tidak tekal pada taburan normal, tidak saksama untuk sampel bersaiz kecil dan kurang cekap pada titik kerosakan yang tinggi. Bagi meningkatkan ketekalan dan kesaksamaan MVV, penganggar tersebut telah didarabkan masing-masing dengan faktor ketekalan dan faktor pembetulan. Bagi mengekalkan titik kerosakan di samping mempunyai kecekapan statistik yang tinggi, penganggar MVV berpemberat semula (RMVV) telah dicadangkan. Seterusnya, penganggar RMVV tersebut digunakan dalam pembinaan carta kawalan Hotelling T^2 . Carta teguh Hotelling T^2 yang baharu ini menghasilkan kesan positif dalam mengesan titik terpercil dan pada masa yang sama mampu mengawal kadar penggera palsu. Di samping analisis terhadap data simulasi, analisis ke atas data sebenar juga mendapati carta teguh Hotelling T^2 yang baharu ini dapat mengesan cerapan luar kawalan dengan lebih baik berbanding carta lain yang diselidik dalam kajian ini. Berdasarkan prestasi yang baik terhadap analisis data simulasi dan sebenar, carta teguh Hotelling T^2 yang baharu ini adalah merupakan alternatif yang baik bagi carta Hotelling T^2 yang sedia ada.

Kata kunci: Penganggar Cekap, Kawalan Proses Berstatistik Multivariat, Varians Vektor Minimum Berpemberat Semula, Carta Hotelling T^2 Teguh, Penganggar Multivariat Teguh

Abstract

Hotelling T^2 control chart is an effective tool in statistical process control for multivariate environment. However, the performance of traditional Hotelling T^2 control chart using classical location and scatter estimators is usually marred by the masking and swamping effects. In order to alleviate the problem, robust estimators are recommended. The most popular and widely used robust estimator in the Hotelling T^2 control chart is the minimum covariance determinant (MCD). Recently, a new robust estimator known as minimum vector variance (MVV) was introduced. This estimator possesses high breakdown point, affine equivariance and is superior in terms of computational efficiency. Due to these nice properties, this study proposed to replace the classical estimators with the MVV location and scatter estimators in the construction of Hotelling T^2 control chart for individual observations in Phase II analysis. Nevertheless, some drawbacks such as inconsistency under normal distribution, biased for small sample size and low efficiency under high breakdown point were discovered. To improve the MVV estimators in terms of consistency and unbiasedness, the MVV scatter estimator was multiplied by consistency and correction factors respectively. To maintain the high breakdown point while having high statistical efficiency, a reweighted version of MVV estimator (RMVV) was proposed. Subsequently, the RMVV estimators were applied in the construction of Hotelling T^2 control chart. The new robust Hotelling T^2 chart produced positive impact in detecting outliers while simultaneously controlling false alarm rates. Apart from analysis of simulated data, analysis of real data also found that the new robust Hotelling T^2 chart was able to detect out of control observations better than the other charts investigated in this study. Based on the good performance on both simulated and real data analysis, the new robust Hotelling T^2 chart is a good alternative to the existing Hotelling T^2 charts.

Keywords: Efficient Estimators, Multivariate Statistical Process Control, Reweighted Minimum Vector Variance, Robust Hotelling T^2 Chart, Robust Multivariate Estimator

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to those who have contributed to this thesis and supported me in one way or the other during this amazing journey.

Firstly, my sincere appreciations to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Sharipah Soaad Syed Yahaya without whose guidance, support, patience and encouragement, this study could not have materialized. I am indeed deeply indebted to her. My sincere thanks also to my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Zurni Omar for his encouragement and support throughout this study. I would also like to thank Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) for sponsoring my study.

Thanks to Professor Dr. Maman A. Djauhari, for his guidance and all the useful discussions and brainstorming sessions, especially during the conceptual development stage. To all of my friends who had directly or indirectly lend me their friendship, moral support and endless encouragement during my study, thank you from the bottom of my heart.

I am deeply grateful to my husband Zainuddin Mohamad for his personal support and for being the good listener I could ever wish for and above all is his great patience at all time. Words cannot express the feelings I have for my parents (Ali Salim and Halimah Akob) and my siblings for emotionally constant support. Finally, to my beloved children Nurqistina, Muhammad Aqil and Nurqaisara that have been a constant source of strength and inspiration. I would not have been here if it is not for you all.

Table of Contents

Permission to Use	i
Abstrak.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Contents.....	v
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Appendices	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xvii
Declaration Associated with this Thesis	xix
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Phase I vs. Phase II	4
1.1.2 Hotelling T^2 Control Chart.....	6
1.2 Problem Statement	12
1.3 Objective	17

1.4 Significance of the Study	18
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Multivariate Outliers	21
2.3 Robust Estimators	26
2.3.1 Properties of Robust Estimators.....	26
2.3.2 Types of Robust Estimators	30
2.3.3 Minimum Volume Ellipsoid (MVE) Estimator	30
2.3.4 Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD) Estimator	31
2.3.5 Reweighted MCD Estimator.....	35
2.4 Minimum Vector Variance (MVV)	37
2.4.1 Vector Variance	39
2.5 Multivariate Control Chart for Individual Observations.....	42
2.6 Robust T^2 Chart.....	45
CHAPTER THREE METHODOLOGY.....	53
3.1 Introduction.....	53

3.2 Minimum Vector Variance (MVV) Estimators	55
3.2.1 MVV Algorithm.....	57
3.2.2 Computational Efficiency	60
3.3 Robust Hotelling T^2 Control Charts Based On MVV Estimators (T_{MVV}^2)	62
3.3.1 Estimation of Control Limits	64
3.3.2 Implementation Procedures.....	65
3.4 Performance Evaluation	66
3.4.1 Choice of Sample Size and Number of Quality Characteristics	67
3.4.2 Types of Contamination and Process of Evaluation	68
3.5 Consistency and Unbiasedness	70
3.6 Reweighted Minimum Vector Variance (RMVV).....	71
3.7 Robust Hotelling T^2 Control Charts Based On RMVV Estimators (T_{RMVV}^2)	72
CHAPTER FOUR ROBUST HOTELLING T^2 CHART WITH MINIMUM VECTOR VARIANCE	73
4.1 Introduction	73
4.2 Simulated Data Analysis	74
4.2.1 Estimation of Control Limits	75

4.2.2 Probability of Detection of Outliers.....	77
4.2.3 False Alarm Rates	78
4.3 Real Data Analysis	92
4.4 Discussion	99
CHAPTER FIVE THE EFFECT OF CONSISTENT MINIMUM VECTOR VARIANCE ESTIMATORS ON HOTELLING T^2 CONTROL LIMITS.....	102
5.1 Introduction.....	102
5.2 Consistency Factor	103
5.3 Correction Factor	105
5.4 Investigation through Simulation Experiment	107
5.5 Estimation of Control Limit	113
5.6 Performance of T_{MVV}^2 Control Chart	116
5.7 Real Data Analysis.....	122
5.8 Discussion	124
CHAPTER SIX A ROBUST AND EFFICIENT REWEIGHTED ESTIMATOR OF MULTIVARIATE LOCATION AND SCATTER.....	127
6.1 Introduction.....	127

6.2 The Statistical Efficiency of MVV Estimators for Different Breakdown Points	128
6.3 Reweighted Minimum Vector Variance (RMVV) Estimator	130
6.3.1 The Distribution of Robust MSD Based On MVV Estimators.....	131
6.3.2 The Estimator.....	135
6.3.3 Algorithm.....	137
6.4 Efficiency	140
6.5 Finite-Sample Robustness.....	142
6.6 Discussion	148
CHAPTER SEVEN ROBUST HOTELLING T^2 CHART BASED ON REWEIGHTED VERSION OF MVV ESTIMATOR.....	150
7.1 Introduction.....	150
7.2 RMVV Hotelling T^2 Control Chart.....	151
7.3 Estimation of Control Limits for RMVV Hotelling T^2 Control Chart.....	152
7.4 Performance Evaluation.....	153
7.5 Simulation Results	154
7.5.1 Probability of Detecting Outliers	155

7.5.2 False Alarm Rates	161
7.6 Real Data Analysis	174
7.7 Conclusion	179
CHAPTER EIGHT CONCLUSION AND AREA OF FURTHER RESEARCH	181
8.1 Conclusion	181
8.2 Implications.....	185
8.3 Limitation.....	186
8.4 Areas for Further Research	186
REFERENCES.....	188

List of Tables

Table 3.1: The number of operations	61
Table 3.2: Average number of iterations to compute robust MSD	61
Table 3.3: The values of n and p	68
Table 4.1: Control limits	76
Table 4.2: False alarm rates for dimension, $p = 2$	87
Table 4.3: False alarm rates for dimension, $p = 5$	88
Table 4.4: False alarm rates for dimension, $p = 10$	89
Table 4.5: False alarm rates for dimension, $p = 15$	90
Table 4.6: False alarm rates for dimension, $p = 20$	91
Table 4.7: Historical data set (Phase I data)	95
Table 4.8: Estimates of location vector, covariance matrix and UCL.	96
Table 4.9: The Hotelling T^2 values for the future data (Phase II)	97
Table 5.1: Values of $\vartheta_{p,n}^\alpha$ for $c(h)S_{MVV}$	107
Table 5.2: The slope and R-square for $T_{MVV(o)}^2$ and $T_{MVV(I)}^2$	112
Table 5.3: Control limits	115

Table 5.4: Probability of detection and false alarm rates of the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 2$	117
Table 5.5: Probability of detection and false alarm rates for independent case with dimension, $p = 5$	118
Table 5.6: Probability of detection and false alarm rates for dimension, $p = 10$	119
Table 5.7: Probability of detection and false alarm rate for dimension, $p = 15$	120
Table 5.8: Probability of detection and false alarm rate for dimension, $p = 20$	121
Table 5.9: Estimates of location vector, covariance matrix and UCL.	123
Table 5.10: Hotelling T^2 values for future data (Phase II)	124
Table 6.1: Asymptotic relative efficiency of MVV scatter matrix estimator w.r.t classical covariance estimator for normal model.	130
Table 6.2: Slope and R-square for MSD_{MCD} and MSD_{MVV}	132
Table 6.3: Asymptotic relative efficiency of the scatter matrix for RMVV estimator with different breakdown point (BP=0.25 and 0.5) w.r.t MVV estimator with (BP=0.5) for normal model.	141
Table 6.4: Asymptotic relative efficiency of the scatter matrix for MVV and RMVV estimator with BP=0.25 with relative to MVV and RMVV estimator with BP=0.5 respectively.	141
Table 6.5: Location estimator: 10% outliers with mean shift 3 (mild contamination)	145
Table 6.6: Location estimator: 10% outliers with mean shift 5 (moderate contamination).	146

Table 6.7: Location estimator: 20% outliers with mean shift 3 (moderate contamination).	147
Table 6.8: Location estimator: 20% outliers with mean shift 5 (extreme contamination)...	148
Table 7.1: Probability of detection for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 2$	156
Table 7.2: Probability of detection for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 5$	157
Table 7.3: Probability of detection for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 10$	158
Table 7.4: Probability of detection for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 15$	159
Table 7.5: Probability of detection for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 20$	160
Table 7.6: False alarm rate for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 2$	164
Table 7.7: False alarm rate for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 5$	166
Table 7.8: False alarm rate for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 10$...	168
Table 7.9: False alarm rate for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 15$...	170
Table 7.10: False alarm rate for the corresponding control charts with dimension, $p = 20$.	172
Table 7.11. Estimates of location vector, covariance matrix and UCL.	175
Table 7.12. Hotelling T^2 values for future data (Phase II)	176

List of Figures

Figure 4.1: Probability of signal when $p = 2$	80
Figure 4.2: Probability of signal when $p = 5$	81
Figure 4.3: Probability of signal when $p = 10$	82
Figure 4.4: Probability of signal when $p = 15$	83
Figure 4.5: Probability of signal when $p = 20$	84
Figure 4.6: Hotelling T^2 control charts.....	98
Figure 5.1: Determinant of $\vartheta_{p,n}^\alpha \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{S}_{MVV}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $p = 10$	108
Figure 5.2: QQ plot between χ_p^2 distribution versus simulated $T_{MVV(o)}^2$ for $n = 10,000$	110
Figure 5.3: QQ plot between χ_p^2 distribution versus simulated $T_{MVV(l)}^2$ for $n = 10,000$	111
Figure 6.1: QQ plot between χ_p^2 distribution versus simulated MSD_{MCD} for $n = 10,000$	133
Figure 6.2: QQ plot between χ_p^2 distribution versus simulated MSD_{MVV} for $n = 10,000$	134
Figure 7.1: False alarm when $p = 2$	165
Figure 7.2: False alarm when $p = 5$	167
Figure 7.3: False alarm when $p = 10$	169

Figure 7.4: False alarm when $p = 15$	171
Figure 7.5: False alarm when $p = 20$	173
Figure 7.6: Hotelling T^2 control charts.....	177
Figure 7.7: Hotelling T^2 control charts.....	178

List of Appendices

Appendix A FLOW CHART FOR PROCESS OF CALCULATING T_{MVV}^2	196
Appendix B PROGRAM FOR MVV ESTIMATOR	198
Appendix C PROGRAM FOR HOTELLING T^2	199

List of Abbreviations

ARE	Asymptotic relative efficiency
BP	Breakdown point
CD	Covariance determinant
Fast MCD	Fast minimum covariance determinant
HDS	Historical data set
MCD	Minimum covariance determinant
MLE	Maximum likelihood estimators
MSPC	Multivariate statistical process control
MSD	Mahalanobis squared distances
MSD_{MCD}	Mahalanobis squared distances based on MCD estimators
MSD_{MVV}	Mahalanobis squared distances based on MVV estimators
MSE	Mean squared error
MVN	Multivariate normal distribution
MVE	Minimum volume ellipsoid
MVV	Minimum vector variance
$MVV_{0.25}$	MVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.25
$MVV_{0.5}$	MVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.5
n	Sample size
p	Number of dimension
PDS	Positive definite and symmetric matrix
RMCD	Reweighted minimum covariance determinant
RMVV	Reweighted minimum vector variance
$RMVV_{0.25}$	RMVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.25
$RMVV_{0.5}$	RMVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.5
SPC	Statistical process control
T_0^2	Traditional Hotelling T^2 chart without cleaning the outliers

T_S^2	Traditional Hotelling T^2 chart with standard approach, cleans the outliers once
T_{MCD}^2	Hotelling T^2 chart based on MCD estimators
T_{RMCD}^2	Hotelling T^2 chart based on RMCD estimators
T_{MVV}^2	Hotelling T^2 based on MVV estimators
$T_{MVV(o)}^2$	Hotelling T^2 based on the original T_{MVV}^2
$T_{MVV(l)}^2$	Hotelling T^2 based on the improved MVV estimators in terms of consistency and unbiased
$T_{RMVV0.25}^2$	Hotelling T^2 chart based on RMVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.25
$T_{RMVV0.5}^2$	Hotelling T^2 chart based on RMVV estimators with breakdown point of 0.5
UCL	Upper control limit
VV	Vector variance

Declaration Associated with this Thesis

- Ali, H., Djauhari, M. A., & Syed-Yahaya, S. S. (2008). *On the distribution of FMCD-based robust mahalanobis distance*. Publish in proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Mathematics and Statistics (ICoMS-3), Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia. Paper no: 134 -1506.
- Ali, H., Djauhari, M. A., & Syed-Yahaya, S. S. (2009). *On Robust Mahalanobis Distance Issued From Fast and MVV*. Publish in book of abstracts, The International Conference of Robust Statistics (ICORS 2009), Universita degli Studi di Parma Facolta di Economia, 14 - 19 June 2009, Parma, Italy. Paper no: 2.
- Ali, H., Syed-Yahaya, S. S., & Omar, Z. (2010). *Comparison of Hotelling T^2 Control Chart Based on MVV Robust Estimators for Bivariate Case*. Publish in proceedings of the Conference on Quantitative Sciences and its Applications, Penang, Malaysia. Paper no: 167.
- Ali, H., Syed-Yahaya, S. S., & Omar, Z. (2011). *Efficient and Highly Robust Hotelling T^2 Control Chart for Individual Observations*. Publish in book of abstracts, The International Conference of Robust Statistics (ICORS 2011), Universidad de Valladolid, 27 June- 1 July 2011, Valladolid, Spain. Paper no: 7
- Syed-Yahaya, S. S., Ali, H. & Omar, Z. (2011). An Alternative Hotelling T^2 Control Chart Based on Minimum Vector Variance (MVV). *Modern Applied Science*,5(4). Doi:10.5539/mas.v5n4p132.
- Ali, H., Syed-Yahaya, S. S. & Omar, Z. (2012). *The Application of Consistent minimum Vector Variance (MVV) Estimators on Hotelling T^2 Control Chart*. Publish in proceedings of Int. Conf. Sci. Tech. & Soc. Sciences under Springer. (In press)
- Ali, H. & Syed-Yahaya, S. S. (2013). On Robust Mahalanobis Distance Issued from Minimum Vector Variance. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)*, Volume 74 No. 2, pp. 249-268.
- Ali, H., Syed-Yahaya, S. S. & Omar, Z. (2013). Robust Hotelling T^2 Control Chart with Consistence minimum Vector Variance. *Journal of Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. (Accepted)

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Success of a firm very much depends on the quality of its product. Be it goods or services, the firm has little chance of success if its core product is of inferior quality (Ferrel & Hartline, 2008). To ensure that the quality of a product is always up to a certain level, the process behavior needs be monitored and the quality of the process has to be improved. This will consequently lead to business success, growth and enhanced competitiveness. To better meet customers' expectations, many manufacturing industries have reviewed their processes and improve specifications with acceptable standards by reducing variability in the process and product, which substantially will improve performance. Thus, identifying the cause of variation to reduce variability in a process is vital in monitoring quality.

There are two distinct causes of variations in a process namely the common and special cause variations. While common cause variation can be reduced by management intervention, the special cause is hard to gauge as this variation affects the process in unpredictable ways. However, special cause can be detected by some statistical techniques. It can be eliminated from the process by the worker or process control team in charge of the particular segment of the process, which is referred as local action. When all the special-cause variation is eliminated, the process is said to be in-statistical control. The second type of variation, known as common-cause

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Alfaro, J. L., & Ortega, J. F. (2009). A comparison of robust alternatives to Hotelling's T^2 control chart. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 36(12), 1385-1396.
- Ali, H., Djauhari, M. A., & Syed-Yahaya, S. S. (2008). *On the distribution of FMCD-based robust mahalanobis distance*. Publish in proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Mathematics and Statistics (ICoMS-3), Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia, 134-1506.
- Alt, F. B. (1985). Multivariate quality control. *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences* (Vol. 6, Kotz S., Johnson N. L., eds.). New York: Wiley.
- Angiulli, F., & Pizzuti, C. (2005). Outlier mining and large high-dimensional data sets. *IEEE Transaction Knowledge Data Engineering*, 17(2), 203–215.
- Atkinson, A. C., & Mulira, H. M. (1993). The stalactite plot for the detection of multivariate outliers. *Statistics and Computing*, 3, 27-35.
- Barnett, V., & Lewis, T. (1994). *Outliers in Statistical Data*. New York: John Wiley.
- Beckman, R., & Cook, R. (1983). Outlier.....s. *Technometrics*, 25, 119–149.
- Billor, N., Hadi, A. S., & Velleman, P. F. (2000). BACON: blocked adaptive computationally efficient outlier nominators. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 34, 279-298.
- Bradley, J. V. (1978). Robustness? *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 31, 144-152.
- Butler, R. W., Davies, P. L., & Jhun, M. (1993). Asymptotics for the minimum covariance determinant estimator. *The Annals of Statistics*, 21, 1385-1400.
- Campbell, N. A. (1980). Robust procedure in multivariate analysis I robust covariance estimation. *Applied Statistics*, 29, 231-237.
- Ceroli, A., Riani, M., & Atkinson, A. C. (2008). Controlling the size of multivariate outlier tests with the MCD estimator of scatter. *Statistics and Computing*.
Doi:10.1007/s11222-008-9096-5

- Chang, S. C., & Bai, D. S. (2004). A multivariate T^2 control chart for skewed populations using weighted standard deviations. *Qual. Reliab. Engng. Int.*, 20, 31-46.
- Chen, T. (2010). On reducing false alarms in multivariate statistical process control. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 88 (4). 430 - 436. ISSN 0263-8762.
- Chenouri, S., Steiner, S. H., & Mulayath, A. (2009). A multivariate robust control chart for individual observations, *Journal of Quality Technology*, 41(3), 259-271.
- Chou, Y. M., Mason, R. L. & Young, J. C.(2001). The control chart for individual observations from a multivariate non-normal distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 30(8), 1937-1949.
- Cleroux, R., & Ducharme, G. R. (1989). Vector correlation for elliptical distributions. *Commun.Statist. Theor. Meth.* 18(4):1441-1454.
- Croux, C., & Haesbroeck, G. (1999). Influence function and efficiency of the minimum covariance determinant scattermatrix estimator, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 71, 161-190.
- Croux, C., & Rousseeuw, P. J.(1992). A class of high-breakdown scale estimators based on subranges. *Communication statistics – Theory meth.*, 21(7), 1935-1951.
- Davies, P. L. (1987). Asymptotic behavior of S-estimates of multivariate location parameters and dispersion matrices. *The Annals of Statistics*, 15, 1269-1292.
- Davies, P. L., & Gather, U. (1993). The identification of multiple outliers. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 782-792.
- Djauhari, M. A., Mashuri, M., & Herwindiati, D. E. (2008). Multivariate process variability monitoring, *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 37(11), 1742-1754.
- Djauhari, M. A. (2007). A measure of multivariate data concentration. *Journal of Applied Probability and Statistics* 2, 139-155.
- Djauhari, M. A., Adnan, R., Lee, M. H., & Ali, H. *An Equivalent Objective Function of Fast MCD*. unpublished manuscript.

- Fauconnier, C., & Haesbroeck, G. (2009). Outliers detection with the minimum covariance determinant estimator in practice. *Statistical Methodology*, 6(4), 363-379.
- Ferrel, O. C. & Hartline, M. D. (2008). *Marketing Strategy* (4rd ed.).South Western: Edition Thomson Learning Inc.
- Garrett, R. G. (1989). The chi-square plot: A tool for multivariate outlier recognition. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 32, 319-341.
- Gather, U., & Becker, C. (1997). Convergence Rates in Multivariate Robust Outlier Identification. *In: Mathematics* 34, 101-107.
- Grubel, R., & Rocke, D. M. (1990). On the cumulants of affine equivariant estimators in elliptical families. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 35, 203- 222. doi:10.1016/0047-259X(90)90025-D
- Guo, Jiin-Huarng and Luh, Wei-Ming, (2000). An invertible transformation two-sample trimmed t-statistic under heterogeneity and nonnormality. *Statistic & Probability letters*. 49: 1-7.
- Hadi, A. S. (1992). Identifying multivariate outlier in multivariate data. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society B*,53, 761-771.
- Hampel, F. R. (1985). The breakdown points of the mean combined with some rejection rules. *Technometrics*, 27, 95-107.
- Hampel, F. R., Ronchetti, E. M., Rousseeuw, P. J., & Stahel, W. A. (1986). *Robust statistics: The approach based on influence functions*. New York: Wiley.
- Hampel, F. R. (1971). A general qualitative definition of robustness. *Annals of MathematicsStatistics*, 42, 1887-1896.
- Hampel, F. R. (1974). The influence curve and its role in robust estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 69, 382-393.
- Hawkins, D. (1980). *Identification of Outliers*. Chapman and Hall.
- Hawkins, D.M. (1994). The feasible solution algorithm for the minimum covariance determinant estimator in multivariate data. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 17, 197-210.

- Hawkins, D. M., & Olive, D. J. (1999). Improved feasible solution algorithm for high breakdown estimation. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 30, 1-11.
- Herwindiati, D. E. (2006). *A new criterion in robust estimation for location and covariance matrix, and its application for outlier labeling*. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Herwindiati, D. E., Djauhari, M. A., & Mashuri, M. (2007). Robust multivariate outlier labeling. *Communication in Statistics-Computation and Simulation*, 36: 1287-1294.
- Hotelling, H. (1947). Techniques of Statistical Analysis. In C. Eisenhart, M.W. Hastay, & W.A. Wallis, *Multivariate quality control*(pp. 111-184). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hubert, M., Rousseeuw, P., & Branden, K. V. (2005). Robpca: A new approach to robust principal components analysis. *Technometrics*, 47, 64-79.
- Huber, P. J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math.Statist*, 35, 73-101.
- Huber, P. J. (1977). Robust covariances. In *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics* (Eds. S. S. Gupta and D. S. Moore). Academic Press, New York. 165-191.
- Jackson, J. E. (1985). Multivariate quality control. *Communications in statistics: Theory and Methods*, 11, 2657-2688.
- Jensen, W. A., Birch, J. B. & Woodall, W. H. (2007). High breakdown estimation methods for Phase I multivariate control charts, *Qual. Reliab. Eng. Int.* 23, 615-629.
- Johnson, R. (1992). *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall.
- Khoo, M. B. C. & Quah, S. H. (2003). Multivariate control chart for process dispersion based on individual observations. *Quality Engineering*, 15, 639-642.
- Khoo, M. B. C. & Quah, S. H. (2004). Alternatives to multivariate control chart for process dispersion. *Quality Engineering*, 16, 423-435.

- Lopuhaä, H. P., & Rousseeuw, P. J. (1991). Breakdown points of affine equivariance estimators of multivariate location and covariance matrices. *Annal of Statistics*, 19, 229-248.
- Lopuhaä, H. P. (1999). Asymptotics of reweighted estimators of multivariate location and scatter. *Ann. Statist.*, 27, 1638-1665.
- Lowry, C. A. & Montgomery, D. C. (1995). A review of multivariate control charts. *IIE Transactions*, 27, 800-810.
- Levinson, W. A., Holmes, D. S., & Mergen, A. E. (2002). Variation charts for multivariate processes. *Quality Engineering*, 14, 539-545.
- Mardia, K. V., Kent, J. T., & Bibby, J. M. (2000). *Multivariate Analysis* (7rd ed.). London: Academic Press.
- Maronna, R. A., Stahel, W. A., & Yohai, V. J. (1992). Bias-Robust Estimators of Multivariate Scatter Based on Projections. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 42, 141-161.
- Maronna, R. A., & Zamar, R. (2002). Robust estimation of location and dispersion for high-dimensional datasets. *Technometrics*, 44, 307-317.
- Maronna, R.A., Martin, R. D, & Yohai, V. J. (2006). *Robust Statistics: Theory and Methods*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mason, R. L., Champ, C. W., Tracy, N. D., Wierda, S. J. & Young, J. C. (1997) Assessment of multivariate process control techniques. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 29 (2), 140-143.
- Mason, R. L., & Young, J. C. (2002). *Multivariate Statistical Process Control with Industrial Applications*. Philadelphia: ASA-SIAM.
- Montgomery, D. C. (2005). *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control* (5rd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Pena, D., & Prieto, J. F. (2001). Multivariate outlier detection and robust covariance matrix estimation. *Technometrics*, 3, 286-322.
- Pena, D., & Rodriguez, J. (2003). Descriptive measures of multivariate scatter and linear dependence. *Journal of multivariate analysis*, 85, 361-374.

- Pison, G., van Aelst, S., & Willems, G. (2002). Small sample corrections for LTS and MCD. *Metrika*, 55, 111-123.
- Pison, G., & van Aelst, S. (2004). Diagnostic plots for robust multivariate methods. *J. Comput. Graph. Stat.*, 13, 310–329.
- Prins, J., & Mader, D. (1997). Multivariate control charts for grouped and individual observations. *Qual. Eng.*, 10 (1), 49-57.
- Quesenberry, C. P. (2001). The multivariate short-run snapshot q chart. *Quality Engineering*, 13, 679-683.
- Ramaker, H., van Sprang, E. N. M., Westerhuis, J. A., & Smilde, A. K. (2004). The effect of the size of the training set and number of principal components on the false alarm rate in statistical process monitoring. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 73, 181-187.
- Rocke, D., and Woodruff, D. (1996). Identification of outliers in multivariate data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91, 1047-1061.
- Roelant, E., van Aelst, S., and Willems, G. (2009). The minimum weighted covariance determinant estimator. *Metrika*, 70(2), 177-204. doi: 10.1007/500184-008-0186-3
- Rousseeuw, P. J., van Driessen, K., van Aelst, S., & Agullo, J. (2004). Robust multivariate regression. *Technometrics*, 46(3). doi:10.1198/004017004000000329
- Rousseeuw, P. J. (1984). Least median of squares regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 79, 871-880.
- Rousseeuw, P.J. (1985). Mathematical Statistics and Applications, B. In W. Grossman, G. Pflug, I. Vincze, & W. Wertz, *Multivariate estimation with high breakdown point*. (pp. 283-297). D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- Rousseeuw, P.J. (1994). Unconventional features of positive-breakdown estimators, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 19, 417-431.
- Rousseeuw, P. J., & Leroy, A. M. (1987). *Robust regression and outlier detection*. New York: John Wiley.

- Rousseeuw, P. J., & van Driessen, K. (1999). A Fast algorithm for the minimum covariance determinant estimator. *Technometrics*, 41, 212-223.
- Rousseeuw, P. J., and van Zomeren, B. C. (1990). Unmasking multivariate outliers and leverage points. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 85(411), 633-639.
- Ryan, T. P. (1989). *Statistical methods for quality improvement*. New York: John Wiley & Sons
- Serfling, R. J. (1980). *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*. New York: John Wiley.
- Sullivan, J. H., & Woodall, W. H. (1996). A comparison of multivariate control charts for individual measurements. *J. Qual. Technol*, 28 (4), 398-408.
- Sullivan, J. H., & Woodall, W. H. (1998). Adapting control charts for the preliminary analysis of multivariate observations, *Commun. Stat. Simulation Comput.* 27, 953-979.
- Tracy, N. D., Young, J. C. & Mason, R. L. (1992). Multivariate control charts for individual observations. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 24, 88-95.
- Vargas, J. A. (2003). Robust estimation in multivariate control charts for individual observation, *J. Qual. Technol.* 35, 367-376.
- Wierda, S. J. (1994). Multivariate statistical process control-recent results and directions for future research, *Statistica Neerlandica*, 48,147-168.
- Willems, G., Pison, G., Rousseeuw, P. J. & van Aalest, S. (2002). A robust Hotelling test. *Metrika*, 55, 125-138.
- Williams, J. D., Woodall, W. H., Birch, J. B. & Sullivan, J. H. (2006). Distribution of Hotelling's T^2 statistic based on the successive differences estimator, *Journal of Quality Technology*, 38(3), 217-229.
- Woodruff, D. L., Rocke, D. M. (1994). Computable robust estimation of multivariate location and shape in high dimension using compound estimators. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 89, 888-896

- Woodall, W. H., Spitzner, D. J., Montgomery, D. C., & Gupta, S. (2004). Using control charts to monitor process and product profiles. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 36, 309-320.
- Woodall, W. H., & Montgomery, D. C. (1999). Research issues and ideas in statistical process control. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 31(4), 376.
- Xu, J. (2003). *Multivariate Outlier detection and process monitoring*. Unpublished Ph.D dissertation, University of Waterloo, Canada.
- Ye, N., Emran, S. M., Chen, Q. & Vilbert, S. (2002). Multivariate statistical analysis of audit trails for host-based intrusion detection. *IEEE transactions on computers*, 51(7), 810-820.
- Zuo, Y. (2006). The Frontiers in Statistics. In Peter Bickel on his 65th Birthday, *Robust location and scatter estimators in multivariate analysis*. Imperial College Press.