

**AFTER SALES SERVICE: FACTORS INFLUENCING  
CUSTOMERS' SATISFACTION**

By  
**NUR AISHAH BT ABDUL AZIZ**  
**809997**

Thesis Submitted to  
**Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,**  
**Universiti Utara Malaysia,**  
**in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Science Management**

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this dissertation in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this dissertation in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my dissertation. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my dissertation. Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman

## **ABSTRAK**

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk melanjutkan kajian lepas terhadap kualiti perkhidmatan dengan menguji hubungan antara kualiti perkhidmatan dengan kepuasan pengguna. Kajian memberi fokus kepada mengukur kepuasan pengguna melalui dimensi kualiti perkhidmatan SERVQUAL (kebendaan, kebolehpercayaan, responsif, jaminan dan empati) dan dimensi lanjutan iaitu (manfaat hubungan dan nilai dilihat) daripada salah satu syarikat automobil nasional dalam kontek perkhidmatan selepas jualan di pusat servis Ipoh. Kajian kuantitatif dijalankan untuk mengkaji hubungan dan faktor pengaruh antara kualiti perkhidmatan dengan kepuasan pengguna. Sebanyak 169 pengguna telah dipilih secara rawak sebagai responden dalam kajian ini. Perisian Pakej Statistik Untuk Sains Sosial (SPSS) Version 20.0 digunakan untuk menganalisa data yang telah dikumpul. Kebendaan, kebolehpercayaan dan nilai dilihat mempunyai hubungan yang positif dan memberi impak yang besar ke atas kepuasan pengguna. Dalam pada masa yang sama, responsif, jaminan, empati dan manfaat hubungan mempunyai hubungan yang positif tetapi tidak memberi impak yang besar ke atas kepuasan pengguna. Selain daripada itu, didapati hanya terdapat perbezaan pada kumpulan umur tetapi tidak pada jantina, bangsa dan pendapatan bulanan terhadap kepuasan pengguna. Cadangan untuk kajian akan datang adalah untuk mengenalpasti dan menguji beberapa faktor penting lain yang dapat meningkatkan tahap kualiti perkhidmatan selepas jualan.

Kata kunci: kualiti perkhidmatan, kepuasan pengguna, perkhidmatan selepas jualan

## **ABSTRACT**

This study is conducted to extend the previous research on the service quality by examining the relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction. This study focused on the measurement of customer satisfaction through service quality dimensions using SERVQUAL (tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy) and the extended dimension which is relational benefits and perceived value from the one of national automobile company in the context of after sales service at Ipoh service centre. A quantitative research was conducted to study the relationship and influence factor between service quality dimensions and customer satisfaction. A total number of 169 customers were randomly selected as respondents for the study. Statistical Package software for Social Science (SPSS) Version 20.0 was used to analyze the collected data. Tangibles, reliability and perceived value have positive relationship and have significant impact on customer satisfaction. In the meantime, responsiveness, assurance, empathy and relational benefits have positive relationship but have no significant impact on customer satisfaction. In addition, it was found that only difference in the age groups but not gender, race and monthly income on consumer satisfaction in this study. A recommendation for future research is to identify and investigate other important factors that may increase the quality of after sales service.

Keywords: service quality, customer satisfaction, after sales service

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Alhamdulillah by the will of Allah S.W.T I am able to complete this thesis within the required time. My sincerest gratitude, thanks and utmost appreciation go to everyone that has helped on my study. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Tuan Haji Mohamad Zainol Abidin Bin Adam, senior lecturer in the School of Business Management for his valuable efforts and time in providing proper guidance and encouragement for me to complete this study. He is the mentor of my academic life who constantly showed me support as well as providing useful knowledge in completing this paper.

In addition, I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my parents; Abdul Aziz Bin Yahya and Rokiyah Binti Zawawi for their support and encouragement. Their sacrifices have not gone unnoticed and are truly appreciated. My gratitude also is to my beloved sisters Norazrin, Nur Adilla and Nur Ameera Atiqah who have been very supportive. Last but not least, many heartfelt thanks to my best buddy and my love one in being supporter and always being there for me throughout this journey.

May Allah shower all of you with His blessing..... InsyaAllah...

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Permission to use	i
Abstrak	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List of Abbreviations	xi

### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.0 Background of Study	1
1.1 Problem Statement	5
1.2 Research Objectives	7
1.3 Research Questions	7
1.4 Significance of The Study	8
1.5 Definition of Terms	9
1.6 Scope and Limitations of the study	11
1.7 Conclusion	12

### **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.0 Chapter Introduction	13
2.1 After Sales Service	13
2.2 Service Quality on After Sales Service	14
2.3 Customer Satisfaction	16
2.4 Service Quality	18

2.4.1	The Relationship between Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction	20
2.4.2	The Relationship between Tangible and Customer Satisfaction	24
2.4.3	The Relationship between Reliability and Customer Satisfaction	25
2.4.4	The Relationship between Responsiveness and Customer Satisfaction	25
2.4.5	The Relationship between Assurance and Customer Satisfaction	26
2.4.6	The Relationship between Empathy and Customer Satisfaction	27
2.5	Relational Benefits	28
2.5.1	The Relationship between Relational Benefits and Customer Satisfaction	29
2.6	Perceived Value	29
2.6.1	The Relationship between Perceive Value and Customer Satisfaction	30

### **CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.0	Chapter Introduction	31
3.1	Research Framework	31
3.2	Research Hypothesis	33
3.3	Research Design	33
3.4	Operational Definition	34
3.5	Population and Sampling	36
3.6	Data Collection Procedures	37
3.7	Research Instrument and Measurement	38
3.7.1	Customer Satisfaction Measurement	39
3.7.2	Service Quality Measurement	39
3.7.3	The Extended Dimensions Measurement	40
3.7.3.1	Relational Benefits	41
3.7.3.2	Perceived Value	41
3.8	Pilot Test	42
3.9	Techniques of Data Analysis	42
3.9.1	Test of Normality	43

3.9.2	Outliers	43
3.9.3	Reliability Analysis	44
3.9.4	Validity Test	44
3.9.5	Independent sample T- Test Analysis	44
3.9.6	One – Way Analysis Of Variance	45
3.9.7	Hypothesis Testing	45
3.9.8	Inferential Statistic: Pearson Correlation	45
3.9.9	Multiples Regressions Analysis	46
3.10	Conclusion	47

#### **CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS**

4.0	Chapter Introduction	48
4.1	Response Rate	48
4.2	Normality Test	48
4.3	Check for Outliers	50
4.4	Respondents' Demographic Background	51
4.5	Reliability Analysis	55
4.6	Descriptive Analysis	56
4.7	Hypotheses Testing	57
4.7.1	Independent Samples T-Test Result Analysis	57
4.7.2	One Way Analysis of Variance Analysis	58
4.7.3	Pearson Correlation Analysis	59
4.7.4	Multiple Regression Analysis	61

#### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.0	Chapter Introduction	64
5.1	Recapitulation	64
5.2	Discussion	64
5.2.1	Result of T-Test	65
5.2.2	Results of One Way ANOVA	65
5.2.3	Results of Correlation	66

5.2.4	Results of Multiple Regression Analysis	69
5.3	Recommendations to Improve Customer Satisfaction	74
5.4	Future Research	75
5.5	Conclusion	76
	References	77
	Appendix	82

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Definitions of After Sales Services	14
Table 2.2	Definition SERVQUAL Dimensions	20
Table 3.1	Population and Sampling	37
Table 3.2	The Scale used in The Questionnaire	38
Table 3.3	Customer Satisfaction Items	39
Table 3.4	The SERVQUAL Instruments	40
Table 3.5	Relational Benefits Measurement	41
Table 3.6	Perceived Value Measurement	41
Table 3.7	Rules of Thumb	46
Table 4.1	Response Rate	48
Table 4.2	Test of Normality	49
Table 4.3	Mahalanobis Distances	50
Table 4.4	Gender of Respondents	51
Table 4.5	Age of Respondents	51
Table 4.6	Ethnic Group of Respondents	52
Table 4.7	Religion of Respondents	52
Table 4.8	Occupation of Respondents	53
Table 4.9	Highest Academic Qualification of Respondents	53
Table 4.10	Monthly Income of Respondents	54

Table 4.11	Year experience at service centre of Respondents	54
Table 4.12	Information about this service centre of Respondents	55
Table 4.13	Reliability of Test Result	56
Table 4.14	Descriptive Statistic of All Variables	56
Table 4.15	Independent Samples T-Test Result	58
Table 4.16	One Way Analysis of Variance Analysis	59
Table 4.17	Pearson Inter-Correlation Matrix Result	61
Table 4.18	Multiple Regressions Analysis Result	62

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
Figure 3.1	Theoretical framework	32

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

SERVQUAL	Service Quality Model
SPSS	: Statistical Package for Social Science
UUM	: Universiti Utara Malaysia
DV	: Dependent Variable
IV	: Independent Variable

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.0 Background of Study**

“Giving a smile” used to be sufficient to keep customers happy, but in today’s world, some service firms distinguished themselves in the market by presenting a “service guarantee” (Fitzsimmons and Fitzsimmons, 2008). This is supported by Armano (2009) where they found that from the opening of the consumption era marketing, customers has improved more as the consumption era and moved to post consumption which organizations are obligated to make more service and also to what they provide as offers to their customers. This means that service guarantees is the assessment of customers the service of firms for their quality throughout the service delivery process. It is more about the moment of truth for the company in order to satisfy in the customers.

Furthermore, the world has shown greater migration from agriculture and manufacturing to services which is due to comprehensive communications, business and technology expansion, urbanization and low cost labor. The service industry is influential in every industrialized country, this industry generates new employments that control nationwide economies as well as have the potential to improve the quality of life everyone (Fitzsimmons and Fitzsimmons, 2008).

In 2011 and 2012, service sector had been ranked evidently as the primary main contributor to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by economic activity and Malaysia had been successful for their economic transformation from a producer of raw materials to evolve into a multi-sector economy, which has transformed into a

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Altman D.G., (1991). Practical Statistics for Medical Research. London: *Chapman and Hall.*
- Asubonteng, P., McCleary, K. J., and Swan, J. E. (1996). SERVQUAL revisited: a critical review of service quality, *The Journal of Services Marketing*, 10(6), 62-81.
- Berry, L. L. (1995). Relationship marketing of services-Growing interest, emerging perspectives. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 23, 236 – 245.
- Bolton, R. N. (1998). A dynamic model of duration of the customer relation with a continuous service provider : The role of customer satisfaction . *Marketing Science*, 17( 1), 45 – 65.
- Boshoff, C., and Gray, B. (2004). The relationships between service quality, customer satisfaction and buying intentions in the private hospital industry. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 35(4), 27–37.
- Brown, S.W. and Bitner, M.J. (2007). Mandating a service revolution for marketing. in Lush, R.F. and Vargo, S.L. (Eds). *The Service-Dominant Logic of Marketing: Dialog, Debate and Directions* (pp. 393-405). Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharp.
- Cameran, M., Moizer, P., and Pettinicchio, A. (2010). Customer satisfaction, corporate image, and service quality in professional services. *The Service Industry Journal*, 30(3), 421 – 435.
- Chang, T.Z. and Chen, S.J. (1998). Market orientation, service quality and business profitability: a conceptual model and empirical evidence. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 12(4), 246-264.
- Clemes, M. D., Gan, C. E. C., and Ren, M. (2011). Synthesizing the effects of service quality, value, and customer satisfaction on behavioral intentions in the motel. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research 2011*, 35, 530-567.

Cronin, J.J. and Taylor, S.A. (1992). Measuring service quality: a re-examination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 6(7), 55-68.

Crosby, P.B. (1979). Quality is free: the art of making quality certain, New York: New American Library.

Dagger, T. S., and O'Brien, T. K. (2010). Differences in relationship benefits, satisfaction, trust, commitment and loyalty for novice and experienced service users. *European Journal of Marketing*, 44, 1528-1552.

David Armano (2009, April). Marketing In A Post-Consumer Era. Retrieved from [http://darmano.typepad.com/logic\\_emotion/2009/04/why-marketing-in-a-post-consumer-era-wont-look-like-marketing.html](http://darmano.typepad.com/logic_emotion/2009/04/why-marketing-in-a-post-consumer-era-wont-look-like-marketing.html)

Dehghan, A., and Shahin, A. (2011). Customer Loyalty Assessment-A Case Study in MADDIRAN, the Distributor of LG Electronics in Iran. *Business Management and Strategy*, 2(1).

Department of Statistic Malaysia. (2013)

Eggett, A. and Ulaga, W. (2002). Customer perceived value: a substitute for satisfaction in business markets? *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 17(2/3), 107-118.

Ferryanto, L. (2006). Why is quality job no. 1? ASQ Six Sigma. *Forum Magazine*, 5(2), 22–25.

Fitzsimmons, J. A.; Fitzsimmons, M. J. (2008). Service Management. Operations, Strategy, Information Technology, International edition: McGraw-Hill.

Gammie, A. (1992). Stop at nothing in the search for quality. *Human Resource*, 5(Spring), 35-38.

Garvin, D. A. (1983). Quality on the line, Harvard Business Review, 61 (Sept- Oct), 65 – 73.

Gronroos, C. (1982). Strategic Management and Marketing in the service sector,

Helsingfors: Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration.

Giese, J. L., and Cote, J. A. (2002). Defining Consumer Satisfaction, *Academy of Marketing Science*, 2000 (1), 1-24.

Gronroos, C. (1990). *Service Management and Marketing: Managing the Moment of Truth in Service Competition*. New York, NY: Maxwell Macmillan International Editions.

Gummesson, E. (1998). Productivity, quality and relationship marketing in service operations. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 10(1), 4-15.

Gwinner, K. P., Gremler, D. D. and Bitner, M. J. (1998). Relational benefits in services industries: the customer's perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 26(2), 101-114.

Hallowell, R. (1996). The relationships of customer satisfaction, customer loyalty and profitability: an empirical study. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 7(4), 27-42.

Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Gremler, D. D. and Paul, M. (2005). Managing service relationships in a global economy: exploring the impact of national culture on the relevance of customer relational benefits for gaining loyal customers. *Advances in International Marketing*, 15, 11-31.

Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K.P. and Gremler, D.D. (2002). Understanding relationship marketing outcomes: an integration of relational benefits and relationship quality. *Journal of Service Research* 2002, 4, 230-247.

Hu, H. H. S., Kandampully, J., and Juwaheer, T. D. (2009). Relationships and impacts of service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction, and image: an empirical study. *Services Industry Journal*, 29, 111-125.

Hung, Y.H., Huang, M.L. and Chen, K.S. (2003). Service quality evaluation by service quality performance matrix. *Total quality Management and Business Excellence*, 14(1), 79-89.

Hunt, S., Arnett, D. and Madhavaram, S. (2006). The explanatory foundations of relationship marketing theory. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 21(2), 72-87.

Juwaheer, T. D. and Ross, D. L. (2003). A study of guest perceptions in Mauritius. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 15(2), 105-115.

Krejcie, R.V., and Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for future research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607 – 610.

Lasser, W.M., Manolis, C. and Winsor, R.D. (2000). Service quality perspectives and satisfaction in private banking. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 14(3), 244-271.

Lentell, R. (2000). Untangling the tangibles: ‘Physical evidence’ and customer satisfaction in local authority leisure centers. *Managing Leisure*. 5: 1 – 16.

Leonard, F.S. and Sasser, W.E. (1982). The Incline of Quality. *Harvard Business Review*, 60(5), 163-171.

Lettinen, U. and Lettinens, J. R. (1982). Service quality: A study of quality dimensions. Unpublished working paper, Helsinki: *Service Management Institute*, Finland OX.

Levitt, T. (1983). After the sale is over.... *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 61 No. 5, pp. 87-93.

Lewis, R.C., and Booms. (1983). The marketing aspects on service quality in merging perspectives on services marketing, L. Berry, G. Shostack, and G. Upah Eds. Chicago: American Marketing, 97 – 107.

Lin, H. Y. (2010). The study of exercise participation motivation and the relationship among service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty at selected fitness health clubs in Taipei city, Taiwan. (*Doctoral dissertation, United States Sports Academy, 2010*). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 126-127.

Market Watch. (2012). The Malaysian Automotive and Supplier Industry

McCarthy, E. (1960) *Basic Marketing: A Management Approach*, Homewood, IL: Irwin

Newman, K. (2001). Interrogating SERVQUAL: a critical assessment of service quality measurement in a high street retail bank. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 19(3), 126-139.

Oliver, R. L. (1997). *Satisfaction: A behavioral Perspective on the Customer*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Parakevas, A. (2001). Exploring hotel internal service chains: A theoretical approach. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 13/5, 251 – 258.

Parasuraman, A., Berry, L. L., and Zeithaml, V. A. (1994). Alternative Scales for Measuring Service Quality: A Comparative Assessment Based on Psychometric and Diagnostic Criteria. *Journal of Retailing*, 70(3), 201-308.

Parasuraman, A., Zeithamal, V. A., and Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A Multiple-Item Scale for Measuring Consumer Perceptions of Service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, (1).

Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., and Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49(3).

Patterson, G. and Smith, T. (2001). Relationship benefits in service industries: a replication in a Southeast Asian context. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 15(6), 425-43.

Pitt, L. F., Watson, R. T., and Kavan, C. B. (1995). Service Quality: A measure of information systems effectiveness. *MIS Quarterly*, June.

Rathmell, J. (1996) What is Meant by Services? *Journal of Marketing*, 27, 32–36.

Reichheld, F. F. (1993). Loyalty-Based Management. *Harvard Business Review* 71 (March – April, 64 – 73.

Reichheld, F. F. (1996). *The Loyalty Effect*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Reynolds, K. E., and S. E. Beatty. (1999). Customer benefits and company consequences of customer-salesperson relationships in retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 75(1), 11-32.

Rochon et al. (2012). To test or not to test: Preliminary assessment of normality when comparing two independent samples, *BMC Medical Research Methodology*.

Seth, N. and Deshmukh, S.G. (2005). Service quality models: a review. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 22(9), 913-949.

Shuster J (2009). Student t-tests for potentially abnormal data, *Stat Med*, 28:2170–2184.

Shuster J (2005). Diagnostics for assumptions in moderate to large simple trials: do they really help? *Stat Med*, 24:2431–2438.

Solomon, M. R. (2009). *Consumer behaviour, Buying, Having and Being*, 8<sup>th</sup> edition, Pearson education, Inc.

Spreng, R.A., and Mackoy, R.D. (1996). An empirical examination of a model of perceived service quality and satisfaction, *Journal of Retailing*, 72, 201-214.

Stonewall, D.W. (1992). *How to define and measure value*. Executive Excell. 9, 16 – 17.

Sudman, S., and Bradburn, N.M. (1981). *Asking Questions: A Practical Guide to Questionnaire Design*. San Francisco: Josey-Bass.

Sureshchander, G.S., Rajendran, C. and Anatharaman, R.N. (2002). The relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction: a factor specific approach. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 16(4), 363-379.

Sureshchandar, G.S. (2000). *Development of a framework for total quality service – the case of banks in India*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indian Institute of Technology Madras.

Talha, M. (2004). Total Quality Management (TQM): An overview. *The Bottom Line: Managing Library Finances*, 17, 15 – 19.

Wai, C. H., Lu, T. H., Ngoh, T. B., and Jin, W. P. (2012). The effect of service quality, relational benefits, perceived value and customer satisfaction towards customer loyalty in hair salon industry. Unpublished articles. University Tunku Abdul Rahman.

Walker, R. H., Johnson, L.W. and Leonard, S. (2006). Re-thinking the conceptualization of customer value and service quality within the service-profit chain, *Managing Service Quality*, 16(1), 23-36.

Westbrook, A. and Riley, M. D. (1983). Value- Percept Disparity: An alternative to the disconfirmation of Expectation Theory of Consumer Satisfaction. *Advances in Consumer Research*. Richard P. Bagozzi, and Alice M. Tyhout (Eds) Ann Arbor, MI: Association for Customer Research , 256 – 261.

Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: a means end model and synthesis of evidence. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 2-22.

Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L. and Parasuraman, A. (1988). Communication and control processes in the delivery of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 52, 35-48.

Zikmund, W.G. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. (7th ed). Thomson south western.

**APPENDIX A**

**APPLICATION FOR DATA COLLECTION**

Nur Aishah Bt Abdul Aziz  
No 44 Batu 9 Lekir  
32020 Sitiawan  
Perak

---

Safarizan Ahmad  
Service Center (Ipoh 2)  
Lot 219 Block E,  
Jalan Lahat,  
30200, Ipoh,  
Perak

15 November 2013

Sir,

#### **APPLICATION FOR DATA COLLECTION**

I am writing to apply your permission for my data collection in conducting research about bank service quality at your bank in order to fulfill university requirement for Master dissertation thesis course.

2. This research require customer to fulfill the questionnaire. The contents of the questionnaire are purposely based on the objective of the research. This research will be expected to be end in the middle of December 2013.

3. The result of the research will be kept strictly confidential. Enclosed is the formal letter from the university.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 0124642794 or email [put3shasha09@yahoo.com](mailto:put3shasha09@yahoo.com).

Thank you.

Regards,

.....  
(Nur Aishah Bt Abdul Aziz)

**APPENDIX B**  
**QUESTIONNAIRE**



**Universiti Utara Malaysia  
Othman Yeop Abdullah  
Graduate School of Business**

Kepada Tuan/Puan

Saya kini mengikuti program Ijazah Sarjana Sains Pengurusan di Universiti Utara Malaysia. Saya menjalankan kajian mengenalpasti tahap kualiti perkhidmatan selepas jualan di pusat servis ini dalam membantu pusat servis mencapai kepuasan pelanggan mereka. Oleh itu, saya berterima kasih jika anda menjadi responden kepada kajian ini. Sumbangan anda akan memberi input yang berguna, kerana ia akan membantu mencapai objektif kajian ini. Sila yakin bahawa semua maklumat adalah sulit, kerana penemuan akan dibentangkan secara agregat digunakan semata-mata untuk tujuan akademik sahaja.

Terima kasih untuk masa dan kerjasama anda.

***Dear Sir/ Madam,***

*I am currently pursuing Master degree program in Master of Science Management at the Universiti Utara Malaysia. I am conducting the survey to investigate the level of quality of after sales service at service center in or order to helping this service center to achieve their customer satisfaction. Therefore, I would be grateful if you would like to be a respondent to this survey. Your contribution will provide useful inputs, as it would help to achieve the objective of this research. Please be assured that all information will be kept in strictly confidential, as findings will be presented on an aggregated basis to be used solely for academic purposes.*

Yang benar/Yours faithfully,

Nur Aishah Bt Abdul Aziz  
Othman Yeop Abdullah  
Graduate School of Business  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 Sintok Kedah  
0124642794, [put3shasha09@yahoo.com](mailto:put3shasha09@yahoo.com)

Mohd Zainol Abidin Bin Adam  
Supervisor  
College of Business  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 Sintok Kedah

**Bahagian I: Latarbelakang responden. (Part I: Respondent's Background)**

Sila tandakan  mana-mana yang berkenaan . (*Kindly, tick  whichever particular is applicable.*)

**1. Jantina/Gender:** A. Lelaki/Male  B. Perempuan /Female

**2. Umur/Age:** \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/Please specify)

**3. Bangsa:** A. Melayu/Malay  B. Cina/Chinese  C. India/Indian   
D.\_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/Please specify)

**4. Agama:** A. Islam/Muslim  B. Budhaa/Buddist   
C. Hindu  D. Kristian/Christian  E. Lain-lain/Other \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/Please specify)

**5. Pekerjaan/Occupation:**

A. Pelajar/Student  B. Bekerja Sendiri/Self Employed   
C. Kerajaan /Government  D. Swasta /Private Sector   
E. Professional  F. Lain-lain/Other \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/  
Please specify)

**6. Kelayakan Akademik Tertinggi /Highest Academic Qualification:**

A. UPSR  B. PMR  C. SPM  D. STPM   
E. Diploma  F. Ijazah Sarjana Muda/First Degree   
G. Ijazah Sarjana/Master Degree  H. Phd   
I. Lain-lain/Other \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/Please specify)

**7. Pendapatan Bulanan/Monthly Income:** \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/Please  
specify)

**8. Berapa lamakah anda menjadi pelanggan di pusat servis ini?/How long have  
you been  
customer in this service center?** \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/ Please specify)

**9. Bagaimanakah anda tahu tentang pusat servis ini?/How did you know about  
this service center?**

A. Wakil Jualan/Sales Representative  B. Pengiklanan/Advertisement   
C. Internet  D. Rakan dan Keluarga/Friend and family

E. Lain-lain/Other \_\_\_\_\_ (Sila nyatakan/ Please specify)

**Bahagian II: Pandangan responden mengenai kualiti perkhidmatan untuk membina kepuasan pelanggan. (Part II: Respondent's views on Service Quality to build Customer Satisfaction)**

Amat Tidak Bersetuju <i>(Extremely Disagree)</i>	Sangat Tidak Bersetuju <i>(Strongly Disagree)</i>	Tidak Bersetuju <i>(Disagree)</i>	Bersetuju <i>(Agree)</i>	Sangat Bersetuju <i>(Strongly Agree)</i>	Amat Bersetuju <i>(Extremely Agree)</i>
1	2	3	4	5	6

Bagi setiap pernyataan berikut, sila bulatkan nombor yang berkenaan di sebelah kanan yang mewakili pilihan anda berdasarkan kadar di atas: (*For each of the following statement, please circle the relevant number on its right-hand side which represents your choice based on the above rate :)*)

<b>1.</b> Pusat servis ini mempunyai peralatan yang terkini. <i>(This service center has up-to-date equipment.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>2.</b> Kemudahan fizikal pusat servis ini adalah menarik. <i>(This service center's physical facilities are visually appealing.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>3.</b> Pekerja pusat servis ini berpakaian baik dan kelihatan kemas. <i>(This service center's employees are well dressed and appear neat.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>4.</b> Rupa kemudahan fizikal pusat servis ini adalah selaras dengan jenis servis yang disediakan. <i>(The appearance of the physical facilities of this service center is in keeping with the type of services provided.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>5.</b> Pusat servis ini boleh dipercayai. <i>(This service center is dependable.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>6.</b> Pusat servis ini menyediakan servis pada masa ia berjanji untuk berbuat demikian. <i>(This service center provides its services at the time it promises to do so.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>7.</b> Pusat servis ini menyimpan rekod dengan tepat. <i>(This service center keeps its record accurately.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>8.</b> Pekerja pusat servis ini memberi servis yang tepat kepada anda. <i>(The service center's employees give your prompt services.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>9.</b> Pekerja pusat servis ini sentiasa bersedia untuk membantu anda <i>(The service center's employees are always willing to help you.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>10.</b> Pekerja pusat servis tidak terlalu sibuk untuk bertindak	1	2	3	4	5	6

balas kepada permintaan. <i>(The service center's employees are never too busy to respond the requests.)</i>						
<b>11.</b> Pekerja pusat servis memahami keperluan khusus anda. <i>(The service center's employees understand your specific needs.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>12.</b> Pelanggan boleh mempercayai pekerja pusat servis ini. <i>(Customers are able to trust employees of this service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>13.</b> Pelanggan berasa selamat dalam menjalankan transaksi mereka dengan pekerja pusat servis ini. <i>(Customers are able to feel safe in their transactions with this service center's employees.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>14.</b> Pekerja-pekerja adalah sopan. <i>(The employees are polite.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>15.</b> Pekerja-pekerja yang mendapat sokongan mencukupi daripada pusat servis ini akan melakukan kerja mereka dengan baik. <i>(The employees get adequate support from this service center to do their jobs well.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>16.</b> Apabila pelanggan mempunyai masalah, pusat servis ini bersimpati dan menenangkan. <i>(When customers have problems, this service center is sympathetic and reassuring.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>17.</b> Pusat servis ini memberi pelanggan perhatian individu. <i>(This service center gives customers individual attention.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>18.</b> Pekerja pusat servis memberi perhatian peribadi kepada anda. <i>(The service center's employees give you personal attention.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>19.</b> Pekerja-pekerja mesti dapat menjangka keperluan pelanggan mereka. <i>(The employees must expect to know what the needs of their customers are.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>20.</b> Waktu operasi pusat servis memudahkan anda. <i>(The service center business hours are convenient to you.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>21.</b> Pusat servis ini adalah yang terbaik di hati anda. <i>(This service center has your best interest at heart.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>22.</b> Saya mempunyai keyakinan yang tinggi bahawa servis akan dilakukan dengan betul. <i>(I have more confidence the service will be performed correctly.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>23.</b> Saya kurang bimbang apabila saya menggunakan servis ini. <i>(I have less anxiety when I use the service)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>24.</b> Saya tahu apa yang boleh diharapkan apabila saya datang ke pusat servis. <i>(I know what to expect when I come to the service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>25.</b> Saya mendapat tahap kualiti tertinggi di pusat servis. <i>(I get the service center's highest level of quality.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>26.</b> Saya diiktiraf oleh pekerja tertentu di pusat servis. <i>(I am recognized by certain employees of the service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6

<b>27.</b> Saya biasa dengan pekerja yang melakukan servis. <i>(I am familiar with the employee(s) who perform (s) the service.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>28.</b> Saya telah membangunkan sebuah hubungan yang baik dengan pekerja pusat servis. <i>(I have developed a good relationship with the employees of the service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>29.</b> Pekerja pusat servis tahu nama saya. <i>(The employee of the service center knows my name.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>30.</b> Bayaran caj perkhidmatan yang dikenakan adalah berpatutan dengan servis yang diberikan. <i>(The charges pay is worth for the service that is provided.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>31.</b> Pusat servis ini menyediakan nilai wang yang terbaik. <i>(This service center provides good value for money.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>32.</b> Pusat servis memberi nasihat penyelenggaraan yang berharga kepada saya. <i>(The service center gives valuable maintenance advice to me)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>33.</b> Caj servis adalah wajar. <i>(The charge of the service is justified.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>34.</b> Caj membayar servis pusat servis ini adalah hampir sama dengan caj pusat servis yang lain. <i>(The charges pay to the service center service is almost same with other service center charges)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>35.</b> Secara keseluruhan, saya berpuas hati dengan nilai yang saya terima, bagi harga yang saya bayar. <i>(Overall, I am satisfied with the value I received, for the price that I paid.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>36.</b> Pusat servis ini adalah apa yang saya perlukan. <i>(This service center is actually what I need.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>37.</b> Maklumat yang disampaikan oleh pusat servis ini adalah tepat. <i>(The information that presented by this service center is accurate.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>38.</b> Terdapat beberapa dasar privasi di pusat servis k ini. <i>(There are privacy policies in this service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>39.</b> Terdapat jaminan di pusat servis ini. <i>(There are guarantees of this service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>40.</b> Pusat servis memberi perkhidmatan pelanggan yang meluas dan mendalam. <i>(This service center gives breadth and depth customer service.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>41.</b> Saya berpuas hati dengan produk dan servis yang ditawarkan oleh pusat servis ini. <i>(I am satisfied with the products and services that offered by this service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>42.</b> Secara keseluruhan, saya berpuas hati dengan kualiti servis yang ditawarkan oleh pusat servis ini. <i>(Overall, I am satisfied with the service quality that offered by this service center.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6

<b>43.</b> Saya mencadangkan kepada orang lain untuk menggunakan servis di pusat servis ini. <i>(I recommended to others to using this service center's service.)</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

## **APPENDIX C**

### **Result from SPSS Tests**

## Test of Normality

### Tangible

#### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Tangible	.174	169	.000	.901	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

### Reliability

#### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Reliability	.238	169	.000	.853	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

### Responsiveness

#### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Responsiveness	.180	169	.000	.863	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

### Assurance

#### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Assurance	.184	169	.000	.899	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

### Empathy

#### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Empathy	.151	169	.000	.892	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## Relational Benefits

**Tests of Normality**

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
RB	.146	169	.000	.950	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## Perceived Value

**Tests of Normality**

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
PV	.169	169	.000	.884	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## Customer Satisfaction

**Tests of Normality**

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
CS	.131	169	.000	.895	169	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## Checking of Outliers (Mahalanobis Distances)

**Residuals Statistics<sup>a</sup>**

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Mahal. Distance	1.140	20.842	6.959	4.459	169

a. Dependent Variable: CS

## **Reliability Testing (Pilot Test)**

### **Tangible**

<b>Reliability Statistics</b>		
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.844	.852	4

### **Reliability**

<b>Reliability Statistics</b>		
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.924	.927	4

### **Responsiveness**

<b>Reliability Statistics</b>		
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.747	.744	4

### **Assurance**

<b>Reliability Statistics</b>		
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.754	.746	4

## **Empathy**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.867	.871	6

## **Relational Benefits**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.916	.916	7

## **Perceived Value**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.901	.903	7

## **Customer Satisfaction**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.955	.955	7

## **Reliability Analysis (Real Test)**

### **Tangible**

#### **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.759	.778	4

### **Reliability**

#### **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.878	.885	4

### **Responsiveness**

#### **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.813	.814	4

### **Assurance**

#### **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.721	.719	4

## **Empathy**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.815	.817	6

## **Relational Benefits**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.897	.900	7

## **Perceived Value**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.852	.859	7

## **Customer Satisfaction**

**Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.949	.949	7

## Descriptive Analysis

### Gender

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Male	70	41.4	41.4	41.4
Valid Female	99	58.6	58.6	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0	

### Age

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
20-29	24	14.2	14.2	14.2
30-39	63	37.3	37.3	51.5
Valid 40-49	45	26.6	26.6	78.1
50 and above	37	21.9	21.9	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0	

### Race

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Malay	84	49.7	49.7	49.7
Valid Chinese	54	32.0	32.0	81.7
India	31	18.3	18.3	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0	

### Religion

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Muslim	84	49.7	49.7	49.7
Valid Buddist	35	20.7	20.7	70.4
Hindu	27	16.0	16.0	86.4
Christian	23	13.6	13.6	100.0
Total	169	100.0	100.0	

### Occupation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Student	13	7.7	7.7
	Self employed	46	27.2	27.2
	Government	74	43.8	43.8
	Private sector	32	18.9	18.9
	Professional	4	2.4	2.4
	Total	169	100.0	100.0

### Highest Academic Qualification

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	SPM	13	7.7	7.7
	STPM	4	2.4	2.4
	Diploma	30	17.8	17.8
	First degree	85	50.3	50.3
	Master degree	36	21.3	21.3
	Phd	1	.6	.6
Total		169	100.0	100.0

### Monthly Income

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Below than RM500	1	.6	.6
	RM1001-RM2000	42	24.9	24.9
	RM2001-RM3000	84	49.7	49.7
	Above than 3000	42	24.9	24.9
	Total	169	100.0	100.0

### How long have you been customer in this service center?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Below than 1 year	39	23.1	23.1
	1 years	46	27.2	27.2
	2 years	46	27.2	77.5
	3 years	19	11.2	88.8
	Above 3 years	19	11.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0

**How did you know about this service center?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sales representative	59	34.9	34.9	34.9
	Advertisement	29	17.2	17.2	52.1
	Internet	31	18.3	18.3	70.4
	Friends and Family	50	29.6	29.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Tangible	169	3.25	6.00	4.3994	.52633
Reliability	169	3.75	6.00	4.4660	.65632
Responsiveness	169	4.00	6.00	4.5207	.51352
Assurance	169	4.00	6.00	4.5962	.51322
Empathy	169	4.00	6.00	4.5394	.46408
RB	169	3.13	6.00	4.3314	.73055
PV	169	3.67	6.00	4.4615	.52579
CS	169	4.00	6.00	4.9366	.70674
Valid N (listwise)	169				

## T-Test

### Gender

#### Group Statistics

	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
CS	Male	70	4.9082	.70534	.08430
	Female	99	4.9567	.71062	.07142

### Independent Samples Test

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
	F	Sig.	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
								Lower	Upper
CS	Equal variances assumed	.002	.967	-439	167	.661	-.04855	.11063	-.26696 .16987
	Equal variances not assumed			-439	149.400	.661	-.04855	.11049	-.26687 .16978

## One Way Variance ANOVA

### Age

#### Descriptives

CS

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
20-29	24	4.8036	.62881	.12836	4.5380	5.0691	4.00	6.00
30-39	63	4.7438	.66173	.08337	4.5771	4.9104	4.00	6.00
40-49	45	5.1429	.71038	.10590	4.9294	5.3563	4.00	6.00
50 and above	37	5.1004	.74144	.12189	4.8532	5.3476	4.00	6.00
Total	169	4.9366	.70674	.05436	4.8293	5.0439	4.00	6.00

#### ANOVA

CS

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5.674	3	1.891	3.989	.009
Within Groups	78.238	165	.474		
Total	83.913	168			

**Race**  
**Descriptives**

CS

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
Malay	84	4.9405	.68966	.07525	4.7908	5.0901	4.00	6.00		
Chinese	54	4.9233	.71709	.09758	4.7276	5.1190	4.00	6.00		
India	31	4.9493	.75642	.13586	4.6719	5.2268	4.00	6.00		
Total	169	4.9366	.70674	.05436	4.8293	5.0439	4.00	6.00		

**ANOVA**

CS

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.016	2	.008	.016	.984
Within Groups	83.897	166	.505		
Total	83.913	168			

## Monthly Income

### Descriptives

CS

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Below than RM1000	1	4.4286	.	.	.	.	4.43	4.43
RM2001- RM3000	42	4.8537	.63221	.09755	4.6567	5.0508	4.00	6.00
RM3001- RM4000	84	4.8776	.71037	.07751	4.7234	5.0317	4.00	6.00
RM4001 and above	42	5.1497	.74729	.11531	4.9168	5.3825	4.00	6.00
Total	169	4.9366	.70674	.05436	4.8293	5.0439	4.00	6.00

### ANOVA

CS

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2.746	3	.915	1.861	.138
Within Groups	81.167	165	.492		
Total	83.913	168			

## Correlations Analysis

		Correlations							
		Tangibl e	Reliabili ty	Responsivene ss	Assuran ce	Empat hy	RB	PV	CS
Tangible	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)	1	.779**		.341**	.272**	.386**	.589**	.950**
	N			.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
Reliability	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.779**	1		.276**	.244**	.352**	.486**
	N			.000			.001	.000	.000
Responsiveness	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.341**	.276**	1		.532**	.513**	.366**
	N			.000				.000	.000
Assurance	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.272**	.244**		.532**	1	.623**	.217**
	N			.000				.005	.000
Empathy	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.386**	.352**		.513**	.623**	1	.517**
	N			.000				.000	.000
RB	Pearson Correlati on								
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000		.000	.000		.000
	N			.000			.005	.000	.000
PV	Pearson Correlati on								
	Correlati on	.950**	.917**		.347**	.281**	.414**	.590**	1.676**

	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169
	Pearson Correlation	.592 **	.603 **	.312 **	.282 **	.419 **	.439 **	.676 **	1
CS	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## Multiple Regressions

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.744 <sup>a</sup>	.553	.534	.48252

a. Predictors: (Constant), PV, Assurance, Responsiveness, RB, Empathy, Reliability, Tangible

Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error			
1	(Constant)	-.064	.449	-.143	.886
	Tangible	-1.733	.340	-5.094	.000
	Reliability	-.888	.214	-.825	.4143
	Responsiveness	.008	.091	.006	.927
	Assurance	.041	.101	.030	.683
	Empathy	.167	.121	.110	1.383
	RB	-.002	.071	-.002	.979
	PV	3.499	.544	2.603	6.433

a. Dependent Variable: CS