

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF FISHERIES  
SUBSIDIES IN MANJUNG, PERAK**

**NORAMIZA BINTI MOHD SALLEH**

**MASTER OF ECONOMICS**

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA**

**JUNE 2014**



Othman Yeop Abdullah  
Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

**PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK**  
(*Certification of Project Paper*)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa

(*I, the undersigned, certified that*)

**NORAMIZA BINTI MOHD SALLEH (814277)**

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana

(*Candidate for the degree of*)

**MASTER OF ECONOMICS**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk

(*has presented his/her project paper of the following title*)

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF FISHERIES SUBSIDIES IN MANJUNG,  
PERAK**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek  
(*as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper*)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(*that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper*).

Nama Penyelia : **PROF. MADYA DR. JAMAL ALI**  
(*Name of Supervisor*)

Tandatangan :   
(*Signature*)

Tarikh : **23 JUNE 2014**  
(*Date*)

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this dissertation/project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this dissertation/project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my dissertation/project paper. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation/project paper parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my dissertation/project paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation/project paper in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah  
Graduate School of Business  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman

## **ABSTRACT**

---

Fisheries subsidies play a role as the aid incentive to the fisherman, especially to the small scale fishermen. However, subsidies to fishermen not allocated properly to fisherman who was supposed to receive it. The granting of fish subsidy should continue because it is able to contribute to the socio-economic improvement and fishermen to improve fishing catches in the fisheries activities carried out. The granting of this subsidy contributed to an increase in revenue the fishermen and help fishermen in terms of activities carried out. A study conducted to see the existing dimension relationship between socioeconomic and environmental for the fisherman in Manjung, Perak. The objective of this study was reviewed the subsidies given to fishermen as fuel subsidies and other incentives received fishermen, evaluating the notion of fishermen on fishing activities and the acceptance of subsidies and provide guidance in the future regarding fisheries subsidies towards sustainability and food security. The empirical model is used in this study to achieve the objective of the study by using the descriptive analysis and the multiple regression analysis. The result of the empirical analysis provided evidence of the relationship between the implementation of incentives, such as the fish catch incentive, the livelihood allowance subsides it has given the increase to income of fishermen. The study questionnaire fisherman perceptions fisheries subsidies for this study shows that all respondents are satisfied with the provision of incentives in the form of subsidy by the government. This implies that in the future in fisheries sector subsidies must be submitted as an incentive to fisherman and as policies that assist fisherman in Malaysia.

## **ABSTRAK**

---

Subsidi perikanan memainkan peranan sebagai insentif bantuan kepada nelayan, terutamanya kepada para nelayan skala kecil. Bagaimanpun pemberian subsidi kepada para nelayan tidak diagihkan secara sempurna kepada golongan nelayan yang sepatut menerimanya. Pemberian subsidi ini perlu diteruskan kerana ia punya menyumbang kepada peningkatan sosioekonomi para nelayan dan meningkatkan hasil tangkapan nelayan dalam aktiviti perikanan yang dijalankan. Pemberian subsidi ini menyumbang kepada peningkatan hasil para nelayan dan membantu dari segi aktiviti yang dijalankan. Kajian yang dijalankan bagi melihat hubungan dimensi antara sosioekonomi dan alam sekitar bagi nelayan di kawasan Manjung, Perak. Objektif kajian adalah mengkaji subsidi yang diberikan kepada nelayan seperti subsidi bahan bakar dan juga insentif lain yang diterima nelayan, menilai tanggapan nelayan mengenai aktiviti perikanan dan penerimaan subsidi dan memberi petunjuk di masa hadapan mengenai subsidi perikanan terhadap kemampunan hasil dan keselamatan makanan. Model empirikal yang digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mencapai objektif kajian dengan menggunakan analisis deskriptif dan analisis regresi berganda. Hasil kajian soal selidik nelayan mengenai persepsi subsidi perikanan bagi kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kesemua responden berpuas hati dengan pemberian insentif berbentuk subsidi oleh kerajaan. Ini menunjukkan bahawa di masa hadapan dalam sektor perikanan perlu disertakan subsidi sebagai dasar yang membantu para nelayan di Malaysia.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

---

All praise due to Allah WST, the Lord of the world that makes it possible for me to complete this project. Blessing and salutation also be on Prophet of Allah SWT, Muhammad S.A.W. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude and appreciation to the following individuals whose guidance and contribution in preparing this paper.

Firstly, I would like to express my gratefulness and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof Madya Dr. Jamal Ali for his guidance, encouragement and advices throughout the process of completing this project paper. Word of appreciation also goes to all the fisherman for their cooperation, tolerance and concerns. I am truly indebted for their contribution in term of time, patience, attentions and efforts for the completion of this project paper.

A very special thanks to my parents and my siblings for their constant demonstration of love and continuous moral support throughout my years of study. I would like to express my thanks to Persatuan Nelayan Manjung Selatan, Manjung, Perak, especially the chairman of the association, Hj. Ismail and his vice chairman En. Din, whose patience and continuous support have, gave me the aid to complete this study. I would like to thank all respondent of this study without which this study would not have been successful. Last but not last, my thanks to all who are involved direct or indirectly in the process of completing this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

PERMISSION TO USE .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
ABSTRAK .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iv
Table of content.....	v
list of figures .....	ix
list of table .....	x
CHAPTER 1 .....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.0 Background of the Study .....	1
1.1 Basic Information of Manjung District .....	3
1.2 Sea Fisheries (Catch) .....	3
1.3 Problem Statement.....	4
1.4 Research Question .....	6
1.5 Objective of the Study .....	6
1.6 Significant of Study .....	7
1.7 Scope of the Study.....	7
CHAPTER 2 .....	8
FISHERIES SECTOR .....	8
2.1 Background fisheries sector in Malaysia.....	8
2.2 Department of Fisheries .....	10
CHAPTER 3 .....	12
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	12
3.1 Introduction .....	12
3.1.1 Types of Subsidies.....	13

3.1.2 Subsidy Policies.....	14
3.1.3 Welfare effects of Subsidies .....	15
3.1.4 Information about Fishing Subsidies.....	16
3.2 Impact of subsidies to the fishery sector in Malaysia.....	17
3.2.1 Impact of operation cost .....	18
3.2.2 Illegal activity on fuel subsidy .....	18
3.2.3 Perception on impact of fisheries .....	19
3.2.4 Sustainable Fisheries subsidies.....	21
3.3 Protection of Habitat.....	24
3.4 Types of subsidies and incentives .....	25
3.5 Special Allocation .....	28
3.6 Other Programs (Fisherman Fund) .....	28
3.7 Scheme Diesel and Petrol Fisherman .....	30
3.8 Project “Azam Tani” .....	32
3.9 Project Repair Platform / Bridge .....	33
3.10 Aid and incentive program .....	34
3.10.1 Livelihood Allowance .....	34
3.10.2: Catch Incentive.....	35
3.11 Quantification and Classification of the Subsidies.....	38
<b>CHAPTER 4 .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>RESEARCH METHODS .....</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	40
4.2 Theoretical Framework .....	40
4.3 Data and information sources .....	41
4.4 Survey instrument.....	42
4.5 Analyses .....	43

4.6 Survey Instrument .....	44
4.7 Research Design .....	45
4.8 Research Area of Studies.....	46
4.9 Chapter Summary .....	47
CHAPTER 5 .....	48
ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULT .....	48
5.1 Introduction .....	48
5.2 Descriptive analysis .....	48
5.2.1 Demographic Background.....	49
5.3 Human capital of the Fisherman.....	51
5.3.1 Training .....	52
5.3.2 Experience .....	52
5.3.3 Association .....	53
5.3.4 Revenue .....	54
5.3.5 Type of Fisheries Subsidies .....	54
5.3.6 HorsePower of Fishing Gear .....	55
5.3.7 Net type.....	56
5.4 Socioeconomic indicator .....	57
5.5 Relationship between demographic factor variable on fisherman income.....	59
5.6 Determination of Fisherman Income.....	60
5.7 Contribution of fuel subsidies to the welfare of fisherman .....	62
5.7.1 Distribution of Fuel Subsidy in Malaysia.....	64
5.7.2 Total Recipients of Fuel Subsidies by State.....	66
5.8 Fisherman awareness and satisfaction on fisheries subsidies.....	67
5.9 Discussion summarization.....	69
CHAPTER 6 .....	70

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	70
6.1 Conclusion.....	70
6.2 Recommendation.....	71
REFERENCES .....	72
APPENDIX .....	75
APPENDIX A: Multiple Regression Analysis.....	75
APPENDIX B.....	77

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 3.1	Fishing Zones in Malaysia	26
4.1	Theoretical Framework (Related Ecosystem)	41
4.2	Fisheries district in Manjung, Perak	46
5.1	Total Amount of Subsidies (RM), Peninsular Malaysia 2009-2012	64

## LIST OF TABLE

Table 1.1	Sea Fisheries (catch)	3
2.1	Number of fisherman and vessels (2005-2012)	10
3.1	Fisheries Subsidy Programed by Fishing Zones, Malaysia, 2013	27
3.2	Special Allocation in year 2011-2012	28
3.3	Others Support Programs (RM) by Type of Loan, Peninsular Malaysia, 2009-2012.	30
3.4	Scheme Fund Loan Fisherman in Year 2012	30
3.5	Scheme Diesel and Petrol by supplier approved, 2011-2012	31
3.6	Approved e-diesel and e-petro, 2012	31
3.7	Number of e-diesel and e-petrol card by state in year 2012	32
3.8	Project by fisherman village	34
3.9	Total fishers received livelihood allowance by state, 2012	35
3.10	Catch incentive by state in Malaysia, 2012	36
3.11	Total Fish landings (Million kg) with Catch Incentive (RM Million) by state, Peninsular Malaysia 2009-2012	37
3.12	Classification of Subsidies, 2011-2012	38
5.1	Descriptive Analysis	50
5.2	Training	52
5.3	Experience	53
5.4	Association	53
5.5	Revenue (Per Month)	54
5.6	Fisheries Subsidies	55
5.7	Fishing Gear	56
5.8	Net Type	56
5.9	Home Ownership	57
5.10	Equipment	58

5.11	Transport	59
5.12	Correlation between demographic factor variable on fisherman	60
5.13	Coefficients	61
5.14	Total amount of subsidies (RM) and Percentage Composition, Peninsular Malaysia, 2009-2012	63
5.15	Total Diesel Subsidies by state ('000), Peninsular Malaysia , 2009-2012	65
5.16	Total petrol subsidies by state ('000), Peninsular Malaysia, 2009-2012	66
5.17	Total Recipients of Fuel Subsidies by State and Zone, Peninsular Malaysia, 2012	67
5.18	Fisherman Awareness and Satisfaction on Fisheries Subsidies	68

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.0 Background of the Study**

Subsidies are one kind of the transfer payment that government given indirect or direct to the private sector from the public sector. Subsidies in term of the benefit, given by the government to the groups that are usually in term of the cash payment or the tax reduction. Subsidies defined as payment to producers from the government, usually in the form of a cash grant to reduce the price of the product that has been sold. Its call as the side of economic benefit and it's the financial aid by government as support all activities that maintain the price low respect the character of subsidies to reduce market price (*Code et al.*, 2000). Fishery subsidies are financial payments that help the sector make more profit than it otherwise would which from public entities to the fishing sector. Subsidies have gained worldwide attention because of their complex relation to trade, ecological sustainability and socioeconomic development (Bottom, Re, Of, & Subsidies, 2006).

This subsidy is the kind of the government transfer payment, whether direct and indirect payment from the government to the industries, such as fisheries sector. Fisheries subsidies identified as beneficial subsidy programs that lead to investment in natural capital such as fish stocks. Overexploitation and remove the ability of the fishery to be sustainable in the long term happen regarding to the disinvestment in natural capital assets which identified by capacity-enhancing subsidies. Further on

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Abernethy, K. E., Trebilcock, P., Kebede, B., Allison, E. H., & Dulvy, N. K. (2010). Fuelling the decline in UK fishing communities? *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 67(5), 1076–1085. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsp289.
- Allison, E. H., & Mvula, P. M. (2002). Fishing Livelihoods and Fisheries Management in Malawi, (22).
- Atta-mills, J., Alder, J., & Sumaila, U. R. (2004). The decline of a regional fishing nation : The case of Ghana and West Africa, 28, 13–21.
- Boehlert, G. W. 1996. Biodiversity and the sustainability of marine fisheries. *Oceanography* 9:28-35.
- Bott Atta-mills, J., Alder, J., & Sumaila, U. R. (2004). The decline of a regional fishing nation : The case of Ghana and West Africa, 28, 13–21.
- Bottom, A., Re, U. P., Of, E., & Subsidies, G. F. (2006). Fisheries Centre Research Reports, 14(6).
- Breu, F., Guggenbichler, S., & Wollmann, J. (2008). No Title. *Vasa*, (December), 3–6. Retrieved from <http://medcontent.metapress.com/index/A65RM03P4874243N.pdf>
- Clark, C.W., Munro, G.R., Sumaila, U.R. 2005. Subsidies, buybacks, and sustainable fisheries. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 50: 47-58.
- Cox, A., & Schmidt, C. (2002). Subsidies in the OECD Fisheries Sector: A review of recent analysis and future directions. *Background Paper for the FAO Expert Consultation on Identifying, Assessing and Reporting On Subsidies In The Fishing Industry*, 3(6).
- Code, S., Round, T., Agreement, W. T. O., Measures, C., Report, T., Accounts, N., Agreement, S. C. M. (2000). The definition of subsidies : conceptual issues.
- Crowder, L., Hazen, E., Avissar, N., Bjorkland, R., Latanich, C., & Ogburn, M. (2008). The impacts of fisheries on marine ecosystems and the transition to ecosystem-based management. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 39, 259–278.
- Daw, T., Cinner, J., McClanahan, T., Brown, K., Stead, S., Graham, N., & Maina, J. (2012). To fish or not to fish: factors at multiple scales affecting artisanal fishers' readiness to exit a declining fishery. *Plos One*, 7(2), 31460.
- Dof.gov.my. (2014). Retrieved 13 April 2014, from

<http://www.dof.gov.my/documents/10157/08b0e96a-e9c4-4a0e-899f-5b2a2d64fdf4>

Dof.gov.my. (2014). Laman Utama - Portal Rasmi Jabatan Perikanan Malaysia.

Retrieved 15 March 2014, from <http://www.dof.gov.my/>

Enchelmaier, S. (1998). Agriculture, Fisheries and Environment. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 706--712.

Ekonomi, U. P., & Menteri, J. P. (2010). Rancangan Malaysia kesepuluh.

Fluharty, D. (2000). Habitat protection, ecological issues, and implementation of the Sustainable Fisheries Act. *Ecological Applications*, 10(2), 325--337.

Food, U. N. (2015). Briefing, (November 2013).

Hapter, C., Khan, A. S., Sumaila, U. R., Watson, R., Munro, G., & Pauly, D. (2006). GLOBAL NON-FUEL FISHERIES SUBSIDIES 1, 14(2002), 5–37.

Heymans, J., Mackinson, S., Sumaila, U., Dyck, A., & Little, A. (2011). The impact of subsidies on the ecological sustainability and future profits from North Sea fisheries. *Plos One*, 6(5), 20239.

Ishak Haji Omar. (1994). *Market power, vertical linkages, and government policy* (1st ed.). Kuala Lumpur: Oxford University Press.

Issue, A., & Paper, O. (2005). Artisanal Fishing : Promoting Poverty Reduction and Community Development through New WTO Rules on Fisheries Subsidies.

Jaafar, A. H., Ekonomi, F., Alam, I., & Lestari, P. (2007). Penangkapan ikan oleh nelayan negeri sembilan: satu kajian empirikal, 14(1), 219–230.

Khan, A. (2005). The nature and magnitude of global non-fuel fisheries subsidies.

Kuperan, K., & Sutinen, J. (1998). Blue water crime: deterrence, legitimacy, and compliance in fisheries. *Law & Soc'y Rev.*, 32, 309.

Lang, K., Wooders, P., & Charles, C. (2010). Defining Fossil-Fuel Subsidies for the G-20: Which Approach is Best? Available At SSRN 1577690.

Lkim.gov.my,. (2014). Portal Rasmi Lembaga Kemajuan Ikan Malaysia - Laman Utama. Retrieved 15 March 2014, from <http://www.lkim.gov.my/>

Marcarelli, A., Baxter, C., Mineau, M., & Hall Jr, R. (2011). Quantity and quality: unifying food web and ecosystem perspectives on the role of resource subsidies

- in freshwaters. *Ecology*, 92(6), 1215--1225.
- Milazzo, M. 1998. Subsidies in world fisheries: a re-examination. World Bank Technical Paper. No. 406. Fisheries series, The World Bank., Washington, DC.
- Mohd Ariff, M. Sharir, M. Ali, I. Majid, M. & Hussin. H (n.d). PERKEMBANGAN PERUSAHAAN PERIKANAN DI SEENANJUNG MALAYSIA: ISU DAN PERSOALAN.
- Mohd. Noor Mohd. Shariff., Jamal Ali., & Badruddin A. Rahman,. (2007). *Kajian pembangunan usahawan di sektor perikanan Malaysia* (1st ed.). Sintok: Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Mondaca-schachermayer, C. I., Aburto, J., Cundill, G., & Lancellotti, D. (2011). An Empirical Analysis of the Social and Ecological Outcomes of State Subsidies for Small-Scale Fisheries : A Case Study from Chile, 16(3).
- Pauly, D. 2006. Major trends in small-scale marine fisheries, with emphasis on developing countries and some implications for the social sciences. *Journal of Maritime Studies (MAST)*, 4: 7–22.
- Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Guénette, S., Pitcher, T.J., Sumaila, U.R., Walters, C.J., Watson, R. Zeller., D. 2002. Towards sustainability in world fisheries. *Nature*, 418: 689-695.
- Perikanan.perak.gov.my,. (2014). MJG. Retrieved 27 April 2014, from <http://perikanan.perak.gov.my/dmjg/fpagemjg.htm>
- Poole, E. (2001). Income subsidies and incentives to overfish. *International Institute Of Fisheries Economics and Trade*.
- Raduan, M., Sharir, M., & Aziz, Z. (2010). The Problems of Traditional Fishermen in Peninsular Malaysia: Solutions without an End (MASALAH NELAYAN TRADISIONAL DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA: PENYELESAIAN TANPA KESUDAHAN). *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 12(1).
- Ru, C. N. E. W., Subsidies, O. N. F., & Idies, S. U. B. (2004). Healthy Fisheries , ES ON FISHING SUBSIDIES, (June).
- Schwartz, G., & Clements, B. (1999). Government subsidies. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 13(2), 119--148.
- Soegiarto, Aprilani (1994). "Sustainable Fisheries, Environment and the Prospects of Regional Cooperation in Southeast Asia." Paper presented at the Nautilus Institute Workshop on Trade and Environment in Asia-Pacific: Prospects for Regional Cooperation, 23-25 September 1994, East-West Center, Honolulu.
- Sumaila, U., & Pauly, D. (2006). Catching more bait: A bottom-up re-estimation of

global fisheries subsidies (2nd version). *Fisheries Centre. University Of British Columbia.*

- Sumaila, U., Teh, L., Watson, R., Tyedmers, P., & Pauly, D. (2008). Fuel price increase, subsidies, overcapacity, and resource sustainability. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal Du Conseil*, 65(6), 832--840.
- Talib, B., Jaafar, A., & Siwar, C. (2007). Penangkapan ikan oleh nelayan Negeri Sembilan: satu kajian empirikal. *IJMS*, 14(1), 219--230.
- Vagliasindi, M. (2012). Implementing Energy Subsidy Reforms An Overview of the Key Issues, (July).

## APPENDIX

### **APPENDIX A: Multiple Regression Analysis**

#### Variables Entered/Removed

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Size vessel, Vessel size, Experience, Level of education, Frequency fuel consumption <sup>a</sup>	.	Enter

a. All requested variables entered

#### Model Summary<sup>b</sup>

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.964 <sup>a</sup>	.930	.920	93.664

- a. Predictors: (Constant), Size Vessel, Vessel size, Experience, Level of education, Frequency fuel consumption  
b. Dependent Variable; Fisheries income per month

#### ANOVA<sup>b</sup>

Model	Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
1	Regression 4408619.742	5	881723.948	100.506	.000 <sup>a</sup>

	Residual	333368.894	38	8772.866		
	Total	4741988.636	43			

- c. Predictors: (Constant), Size Vessel, Vessel size, Experience, Level of education, Frequency fuel consumption
- d. Dependent Variable; Fisheries income per month

#### Residuals Statistics<sup>a</sup>

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	907.09	2100.86	1403.41	320.197	44
Std. Predicted Value	-1.550	2.178	.000	1.000	44
Standard Error of Predicted Value	18.254	51.066	33.582	8.374	44
Adjusted Predicted Value	889.87	2060.71	1401.63	318.763	44
Residual	-147.073	348.448	.000	88.050	44
Std. Residual	-1.570	3.720	.000	.940	44
Stud. Residual	-1.806	4.037	.009	1.021	44
Deleted Residual	-201.779	410.327	1.779	104.803	44
Stud. Deleted Residual	-1.864	5.271	.038	1.149	44
Mahal. Distance	.656	11.805	4.886	2.805	44
Cook's Distance	.000	.482	.032	.080	44
Centered Leverage Value	.015	.275	.114	.065	44

- a. Dependent variable: Fisheries income per month

## **APPENDIX B**



### **KESAN SOSIOEKONOMI DAN ALAM SEKITAR ATAS PELAKSANAAN SUBSIDI PERIKANAN DI KAWASAN MANJUNG PERAK**

Kajian Sosio Ekonomi ini dijalankan adalah untuk melihat pola perubahan yang dialami oleh para nelayan dalam kawasan Manjung. Ianya untuk melihat keberkesanan program subsidi perikanan yang diberikan kepada para nelayan. Aspek yang diberi penekanan dalam kajian ini ialah perubahan dari taraf hidup, peningkatan pendapatan dan indeks kemakmuran nelayan seperti pemilikan aset, tahap pendidikan serta lain-lain pendapatan sampingan. Sokongan dan maklumat serta kerjasama yang diberikan kami hargai.

Arahan:

Sila Lengkapkan butiran dalam borang kaji selidik ini dengan menanda dan mengisi petak-petak yang disediakan dengan menggunakan HURUF BESAR.

## **BAHAGIAN A: MAKLUMAT LATAR BELAKANG RESPONDEN**

1a No. K/P\*:

1b Lokasi: i.  Kampung ii.  Kawasan Perikanan

1c Nama (seperti dalam K/P) :

2 a. Jantina\*: i. Lelaki  ii. Perempuan

b. Umur : 

--	--

 tahun

c. Tarikh Lahir :  (cth16051970)

3 Kewarganegaraan\* : Warganegara  Bukan Warganegara

4 Bangsa

- a. Melayu
  - b. Cina
  - c. Siam
  - d. India
  - e. Lain-lain

ANSWER

Sila nyatakan :

5 Agama

- a. Islam
  - b. Buddha
  - c. Hindu
  - d. Jain-jain

10 of 10

Sila nyatakan :

## 6. Status Perkahwinan

- a. Bujang
  - b. Berkahwin
  - c. Duda (Lelaki)
  - d. Lain-lain

10 of 10

Sila nyatakan :

7 a.Bilangan Anak yang tinggal bersama

1

b.Bilangan isi rumah

1

8 Pekerjaan Utama

- a. Petani Padi
  - b. Berniaga
  - c. Buruh Pertanian
  - d. Penternak
  - e. Nelayan
  - f. Buruh Am
  - g. Kakitangan Swasta
  - h. Pesara
  - i. Pekerja Kilang
  - j. Penjaja
  - k. Pekerja Tak Tetap
  - l. Lain-lain

ANSWER

Sila nyatakan:

9 Pekerjaan Sampingan

- a. Petani
  - b. Berniaga
  - c. buruh Pertanian
  - d. Penternak
  - e. Nelayan
  - f. Buruh Am
  - g. Kakitangan Swasta
  - h. Kakitangan Kerajaan
  - i. Pesara
  - j. Pekerja Kilang
  - k. Penaja
  - l. Pekerja Tak Tetap
  - m. Lain-lain

Sila nyatakan:

10 Tahun pengalaman dalam aktiviti perikanan \_\_\_\_\_ tahun.

11 Pernahkah menghadiri kursus perikanan

1. Ya            2.

Jika Ya, siapakah yang menganjurkan kursus tersebut

12 Tahap Pendidikan / Kelulusan anda

- a. Institusi Pengajian Tinggi
- b. STPM/STA
- c. Sekolah Menengah (SPM/SPVM)
- d. Sekolah Menengah Rendah (PMR)
- e. Sekolah Rendah
- f. Sekolah Pondok
- g. Tiada Pendidikan


13 Nyatakan Kebolehan anda dan keluarga di dalam penggunaan Teknologi Makluma (IT) (Jawab satu sahaja)

- a. Tahu cara menggunakan komputer
- b. Tahu cara menggunakan internet di dalam mencari maklumat
- c. Hanya anak-anak yang tahu menggunakan komputer dan internet
- d. Tidak tahu langsung
- e. Anak-anak juga tidak tahu


**14 PENDAPATAN KETUA ISI RUMAH**

**i. PENDAPATAN DARI PERIKANAN (SEBULAN)**

Bil	Jenis Pendapatan	Jumlah (RM)
1	Jumlah pendapatan perikanan (RM sebulan) termasuk subsidi	
2	Lain-lain (RM)  (Sila nyatakan)	
	Jumlah Pendapatan dari perikanan (RM sebulan)	

## **ii. PENDAPATAN DARI BUKAN PERIKANAN**

Bil	Jenis Pendapatan	Jumlah (RM)
1	Pengajian (kerka kerajaan/kerja swasta) (RM Sebulan)	
2	Ambil upan (bukan perikanan) (Rm sebulan)	
3	Sewaan bot tujuan perikanan (RM sebulan)	
4	Sumbangan anak-anak/saudara mara (RM sebulan)	
5	Lain-lain	
	Jumlah pendapatan bukan dari perikanan (RM sebulan)	

**b. Jumlah Pendapatan Ketua Isi Rumah (i+ii) RM\_\_\_\_\_**

15. Adakah pendapatan ke laut sebulan yang anda perolehi boleh menampung keperluan keluarga

- a. Mencukupi
- b. Tidak mencukupi
- c. Kadangkala mencukupi kadangkala tidak cukup


16. Adakah pendapatan ke laut di campurdengan sumber pendapatan lain sebulan boleh menampung keperluan keluarga

- a. Mencukupi
- b. Tidak mencukupi
- c. Kadangkala mencukupi kadangkala tidak cukup


17. Apakah jenis skim bantuan yang diterima

- i. Bot
- ii. Enjin
- iii. Perkakas
- iv. Skim insentif hasil tangkapan
- v. Skim bayaran elauan sara hidup
- vi. Skim subsidi diesel dan petrol
- vii. Tabung bencana alam dan kebajikan nelayan
- viii. Insentif kotak ikan berinsulasi food grade (KIBFG) bagi ikan tempatan
- ix. Lain-lain bantuan Nyatakan .....


18. Berapakah umur bot?

\_\_\_\_\_ Tahun

19. Apakah saiz bot yang digunakan?

GRT

- a. Kurang 20
- b. 20-39.9
- c. 40-69.9
- d. Lebih 70

20. Apakah saiz enjin yang digunakan?

\_\_\_\_\_ KW

21. Jenis pukat yang digunakan?

- a. Pukat Hanyut
- b. Pukat Tunda
- c. Pukat Jerut
- d. Lain-lain


Nyatakan: \_\_\_\_\_

## **BAHAGIAN B: KEMUDAHAN**

(BOLEH JAWAB LEBIH DARI SATU JAWAPAN)

22 Pemilikan rumah kediaman

- a. Rumah sendiri
- b. Sewa
- c. Lain-lain


23. Bekalan asas di rumah

- a. Bekalan air
- b. Bekalan elektrik


24. Peralatan di rumah

- a. Peti ais
- b. Televisyen
- c. Sofa
- d. Radio
- e. Telefon rumah
- f. Telefon bimbit
- g. Mesin basuh
- h. Ketuhar
- i. Set meja makan
- j. Televisyen ASTRO
- k. Hawa dingin
- l. Internet


25. Kenderaan yang dimiliki

- a. Motorsikal
- b. Kereta
- c. Van
- d. Lori


**BAHAGIAN C: KESAN PENGGUNAAN SUBSIDI KEPADA  
PENINGKATAN HASIL LAUT**

26. Hasil daripada aktiviti perikanan yang dijalankan

Sila nyatakan jawapan anda. Sila jawab secara tepat yang mungkin mengikut ingatan anda.

- a. Hasil RM \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Jenis ikan yang ditangkap
  - i. \_\_\_\_\_
  - ii. \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Lain-lain \_\_\_\_\_

c. Cara pembahagian hasil tangkapan?

i. \_\_\_\_\_

ii. \_\_\_\_\_

**BAHAGIAN D: PETUNJUK KEPUASAN DAN KESEDARAN**  
**PENGGUNAAN SUBSIDI DI KAWASAN PERIKANAN**

Sila nyatakan tahap kepuasan anda terhadap soalan-soalan mengikut skala yang diberikan:

Amat tidak memuaskan 

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

 Amat memuaskan

- |    |  |           |
|----|--|-----------|
| 27 | Pada keseluruhannya, sejauh manakah anda berpuas hati dengan taraf hidup and kini?                               | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 28 | Pada keseluruhannya, sejauh manakah anda berpuas hati dengan kualiti program yang diperolehi?                    | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 29 | Pada keseluruhannya, sejauh manakah anda berpuas hati dengan kegiatan penangkapan di kawasan perikanan anda?     | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 30 | Pada keseluruhannya, sejauh manakah anda berpuas hati dengan penggunaan skim dan bantuan di masa hadapan?        | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 31 | Pada keseluruhan, sejauh manakah anda berpuas hati dengan jaminan yang diberikan kerajaan atas bantuan dan skim? | 1 2 3 4 5 |

## **BAHAGIAN E: ALASAN MENGGUNAKAN SKIM DALAM AKTIVITI MENANGKAP HASIL LAUT**

Sila nyatakan alasan nelayan menggunakan skim dalam aktiviti perikanan, dengan skala yang diberikan:

Amat tidak gembira      

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

      Amat gembira

- |    |   |           |
|----|---|-----------|
| 32 | Selesa semasa bekerja   | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 33 | Semua nelayan di kawasan saya juga menggunakan skim dan bantuan | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 34 | Tidak dirasakan perlu dalam aktiviti perikanan                  | 1 2 3 4 5 |

## **BAHAGIAN F: KESAN SUBSIDI ATAS SOSIOEKONOMI RESPONDEN**

- 35 Kesan penggunaan dan peranan

Bil	Perkara	Ya	Tidak
a	Penggunaan bot sendiri		
b	Penggunaan bot sewa		
c	Ketua		
d	Pembantu		
e	Pekerja/awak-awak		
f	sendirian		
g	Tidak diketahui		

36 Kekerapan

Bil	Perkara	Mengikut kekerapan		
		Jam/Hari	Hari/Minggu	Hari/Bulan
a	Kekerapan menangkap hasil laut			

37 Kekerapan membeli petrol atau diesel (sebulan) (Anggaran)

Bil	Perkara	Jenis bahan api	
		Petrol	Diesel
a	Pembelian bahan api		

38 Hasil tangakapan menikut kekerapan (Anggaran)

Bil	Perkara	10-15 hari	16-25 hari	26-30 hari
a	Hasil			

39 Berapakah anggaran perbelanjaan yang dijalankan dalam sebulan?

Bil	Perkara	Minggu (RM)	Bulan (RM)
a	Makanan		
b	Pakaian		
c	Tempat tinggal		
d	Lain-lain		

40 Adakah anda menyimpan hasil daripada aktiviti penangkapan?

Ya

Tidak

RM \_\_\_\_\_ Sebulan

**KERTAS SOALAN TAMAT**

**TERIMA KASIH ATAS KERJASAMA TUAN DALAM MENJAWAB SOAL  
SELIDIKINI**