THE DETERMINANT FACTORS FOR A SUCCESSFUL RISK CULTURE IN BANKING SECTOR: MALAYSIAN CASE

ROZILA BINTI HAMZAH

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

THE DETERMINANT FACTORS FOR A SUCCESSFUL RISK CULTURE IN BANKING SECTOR: MALAYSIAN CASE

By

ROZILA BINTI HAMZAH

Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Science (Banking)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Post

Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of

this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that

permission for copying this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for

scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my project paper. It is

understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper parts of it for

financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood

that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which

may be made of any material in my project paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project paper in

whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ii

DECLARATION

| I hereby declare that the work in this research paper is my own except for quotations and |
|---|
| summaries which have been duly acknowledge. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ROZILA BINTI HAMZAH |
| |

ABSTRACT

Risk culture has become a significant aspect of the organization, especially in financial institutions. It has become one of the critical factors of a successful risk management practice. A strong risk culture needs to be developed at all levels of the organization. However, there is still a lack of evidence of risk culture, especially in the banking sector. Thus, the aim of this study is to examine the determinant factors of risk culture in Malaysia banking sector. The study used survey as the research methodology. The questionnaire was distributed to all levels of bank staffs. The stepwise multiple regression was used to test the relationship between determinant factors and risk culture. Risk culture was found to be influenced by the length of services among bank staffs, employee roles and policy and guidelines. While the top management roles were insignificant towards risk culture. The result indicated that employee roles and policy and guidelines are important to develop a strong risk culture in the banking sector. It can create awareness among bank staff the importance of their roles towards risk culture. The results also provide useful inputs in guiding the bank regulators to evaluate existing policies and guidelines on creating an effective risk culture in the banking sector.

ABSTRAK

Budaya risiko telah menjadi satu aspek penting dalam organisasi, terutama di institusi kewangan. Ia telah menjadi salah satu faktor kritikal dalam amalan pengurusan risiko yang berjaya. Budaya risiko yang kukuh perlu dibangunkan di semua peringkat organisasi. Walau bagaimanapun, masih terdapat kekurangan bukti budaya risiko, terutamanya dalam sektor perbankan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji faktor-faktor penentu budaya risiko dalam sektor perbankan Malaysia. Kajian ini menggunakan kajian sebagai metodologi penyelidikan. Borang soal selidik diedarkan kepada semua peringkat kakitangan bank. Regresi berganda langkah demi langkah telah digunakan untuk menguji hubungan antara faktor-faktor penentu dan budaya risiko. Budaya Risiko telah didapati dipengaruhi oleh panjang perkhidmatan di kalangan kakitangan bank, peranan pekerja dan dasar dan garis panduan. Manakala peranan pengurusan atasan tidak ketara ke arah budaya risiko. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa peranan dan dasar dan garis panduan pekerja adalah penting untuk membangunkan budaya risiko yang kukuh dalam sektor perbankan. Ia boleh mewujudkan kesedaran di kalangan kakitangan bank kepentingan peranan mereka ke arah budaya risiko. Keputusan kajian ini juga dapat memberikan input berguna dalam membimbing penggubal dasar bank untuk menilai polisi dan garis panduan yang sedia ada kepada mewujudkan budaya risiko yang berkesan dalam sektor perbankan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Universe, Who gives the blessings and without His willing, I would not have the strength to complete this Project Paper, Peace and Prayer be upon His Prophet and Messenger Muhammad, the ideal role model for human beings.

There are many people that contribute to the completion of this work. Hence, I would like to take this opportunity to give the highest degree of thankful for some important people.

A great thankful is to my supervisor, Dr. Norlida Binti Abd. Manab, the most patient supervisor, I would say for her valuable guidance, input and advice all the way through of the completion of this dissertation. My appreciation of her help, support and contribution is very heartfelt indeed, and may Allah reward her for all of her effort.

My sincere appreciation goes to my precious family especially my husband, Mohammad Hasmawi Abu Hassan, my parent, Hamzah Bin Mohammad and Rozaina Binti Rimbon, my siblings and my beloved friends for their endless love, support and understanding. My thanks for their generous encouragement and prayers go well beyond what can be expressed here.

To end with, I would like to give a warm pleasure to my respondents, lecturers of UUM, for sharing their knowledge and for their willingness to contribute on this dissertation.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| Title | Page number |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORK | i |
| PERMISSION TO USE | ii |
| DECLARATION | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ABSTRAK | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | vii |
| LIST OF TABLE | xii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION | xiv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | XV |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Chapter Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 Background | 1 |
| 1.3 Problem Statement | 8 |
| 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 11 |
| 1.5 Research Question | 11 |
| 1.6 Research Hypotheses | 12 |
| 1.7 Significant of the Study | |
| 1.7.1 Practical Contributions | 12 |
| 1.7.2 Theoretical Contributions | 13 |

| 1.8 Organization of the Study | 13 |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Chapter Overview | 15 |
| 2.2 Risk Management Practices | 15 |
| 2.3 Enterprise Risk Management | 18 |
| 2.4 Risk Culture | 20 |
| 2.5 Factors on Risk Culture | 24 |
| 2.5.1 Demographic Factors | 24 |
| 2.5.2 Top Management Roles | 25 |
| 2.5.3 Employee Roles | 28 |
| 2.5.4 Policies and Guidelines | 30 |
| 2.6 Organizational Culture Theory | 31 |
| 2.7 Research Framework | 33 |
| 2.8 Definition of Variables | 33 |
| 2.9 Summary of the Chapter | 35 |
| CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 Chapter Overview | 36 |
| 3.2 Research Design | 36 |
| 3.3 Unit of Analysis | 37 |
| 3.4 Population and Sample | 37 |
| 3.5 Data Collection | 39 |
| 3.5.1 Questionnaire | 39 |

| | 3.5.2 Level of Measurement | 39 | | | | | |
|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3.6 Reliability and Validity of Questionnaire | | | | | | | |
| | 3.6.1 Factor Analysis | 40 | | | | | |
| | 3.6.2 Reliability Test | 40 | | | | | |
| 3.7 Res | sponse Rate | 42 | | | | | |
| 3.8 Da | ta analysis | 42 | | | | | |
| | 3.8.1 Outliers Identification | 43 | | | | | |
| | 3.8.2 Normality | 43 | | | | | |
| | 3.8.3 Linearity | 45 | | | | | |
| | 3.8.4 Homoscedasticity | 46 | | | | | |
| | 3.8.5 Multicollinearity | 47 | | | | | |
| 3.9 Mu | altiple Regression Model | 47 | | | | | |
| 3.10 St | ummary of the Chapter | 48 | | | | | |
| СНАР | TER 4 : RESULT AND FINDING | | | | | | |
| 4.1 Ch | apter Overview | 49 | | | | | |
| 4.2 Pro | ofile of Respondent | 49 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.1 Gender | 49 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.2 Level of Education | 50 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.3 Current Position | 50 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.4 Department | 51 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.5 Number of Years in Current Position | 51 | | | | | |
| | 4.2.6 Number of Years of Services in Current Bank | 52 | | | | | |
| 4.3 De | mographic Factors | 52 | | | | | |

| 4.3.1 | Education Level | 53 |
|----------------|---|----|
| 4.3.2 | Year of Services | 54 |
| 4.4 Multiple l | Regression Analysis | 55 |
| 4.4.1 | The Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis | 55 |
| 4.5 Summary | of the Chapter | 57 |
| CHAPTER 5 | 5 : DISSCUSSION, CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION | S |
| 5.1 Chapter C | Overview | 59 |
| 5.2 Conclusio | on and Discussion | 59 |
| 5.2.1 | First objective: Identify the demographic factors that influence | |
| | the risk culture. | 59 |
| | 5.2.1.1 What are the demographic factors that influence risk | |
| | culture? | 59 |
| 5.2.2 | Second objective: Examine the determinant factors that have | |
| | an impact to risk culture. | 60 |
| | 5.2.2.1 Does the top management role affect the risk culture? | 60 |
| | 5.2.2.2 Does the employee role affect the risk culture? | 61 |
| | 5.2.2.3 Does the policies and guidelines affect the risk culture? | 61 |
| 5.3 Limitation | ns of the Study | 62 |
| 5.4 Recomme | endation | 62 |
| 5.5 Overall D | riscussion | 63 |
| REFERENC | ES | 64 |
| APPENDIX | A - QUESTIONAIRE | 70 |
| APPENDIX | B - NORMALITY TEST | 76 |
| APPENDIX | C - HOMOSCEDASTICITY | 77 |
| APPENDIX | D- MULTICOLLINEARITY | 78 |

LIST OF TABLE

| Table | Page number |
|--|-------------|
| Table 3.1: List of Banks | 38 |
| Table 3.2: Results of Loading Range, KMO, MSA, Communalities Cronbach Alpha | s and 41 |
| Table 3.3: Results of Normality Tests | 44 |
| Table 3.4: Results of Transformation of Normality Tests | 44 |
| Table 3.5: Results of Linearity test | 45 |
| Table 3.6: Results of Homoscedasticity Tests | 46 |
| Table 3.7: Results of Collinearity Coefficient | 47 |
| Table 4.1: Distribution of Respondents Based on Gender | 50 |
| Table 4.2: Distribution of Respondents on Level of Education | 50 |
| Table 4.3: Distribution of Respondents on Currrent Position | 51 |
| Table 4.4: Distribution of Respondents on Department | 51 |
| Table 4.5: Distribution of Respondents on Number of Years in Cur Position | rrent |
| Table 4.6: Distribution of Respondents on Number of Years of Ser in Current Bank | 52 vices |
| Table 4.7: Results of Correlation Analysis for Educational Level as | 52 |
| Risk Culture | 53 |
| Table 4.8: Results of Correlation Analysis for Experience and Risk Culture | 54 |
| Table 4.9: Results of Stepwise Regression Model | 55 |
| Table 4.10: Excluded Variables from Stepwise Regression Model | 56 |
| Table 4.11: Results of Hypotheses Testing | 57 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Title | Page number |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Figure 2.1: Framework of variables | 33 |
| Figure 3.1: Research Design | 36 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

USA United State of America

NAB National Australia Bank

IIF The Institute of International Finance

MIA The Malaysian Institute of Accountant

BNM Bank Negara Malaysia

RBS Royal Bank of Scotland

FSA Financial Services Authority

CFO Chief Financial Officer

IFCTF International Conference on Financial Crime & Terrorism Financing

ERM Enterprise Risk Management

UAE United Arab Emirates

COSO Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission

ICAAP The Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Research

KMO Kaiser-meyer-okin

MSA Measure Of Sampling Adequacy

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 'A' : Questionnaire

APPENDIX 'B' : Normality Test

APPENDIX 'C' : Homoscedasticity

APPENDIX 'D' : Multicollinearity

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Chapter Overview

This chapter begins with the background or the overview of the study. It discusses the risk management practice and the risk culture in the banking sector. The chapter also includes the problem statement, the objectives of the study, the research question, and the hypotheses of the research. The second last part of this chapter reveals the significance of the study, which consist of the practical as well as the theoretical contribution of the study. The last part of the chapter summarizes with the organization of this study.

1.2 Background

Risk management is not a new topic in this modern era. This issue has been discussed in numerous literatures (Ariffin & Kassim, 2011). Risk management is a crucial issue not only for the survival and profitability of a company, but also for the growth and development of the whole economy. The financial turmoil in 1997 and 2008 has awaken financial service organizations to the truth that when financial transactions enter into their operating environments, they prompt the risk exposures that can go well beyond insignificant transaction values, capital charges and other actions deemed appropriate for preventing unforeseen losses (Grody, Hughes & Toms, 2011).

Financial crisis and sudden collapse of major corporations and banks in the USA as well as around the globe have been closely linked to the weak risk management

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Abu Hussain, H., & Al-Ajmi, J. (2012). Risk management practices of conventional and Islamic banks in Bahrain. *The Journal of Risk Finance Vol. 13 No. 3*, 215-239.
- Allaire, Y., & Firsirotu, M. (1984). Theories of Organizational Culture. *Organization Studies*, 193-226.
- Al-Tamimi, F. M.-M. (2007). Banks' risk management: a comparison study of UAE national and foreign banks. The Journal of Risk Finance, Vol. 8 No. 4, , 394-409.
- Ariffin, N. M., & Kassim, S. H. (2011). Risk Management Practices and Financial Performance of Islamic Banks: Malaysian Evidence. *Center for Islamic Economics and Finance, Qatar Faculty of Islamic Studies, Qatar Foundation.*
- Ashby, S., Palermo, T., & Power, M. (2012). *Risk Culture in financial organisations: An interim report.* London: Centre for analysis of risk and regulations (CARR).
- Bank Negara Malaysia (2013). 5th International Conference on Financial Crime & Terrorism Financing (IFCTF 2013). Retrieved December 10, 2013, from bnm.com: http://www.bnm.org.com.my/
- Barnabei, M. D. (2008). Risk Management Psychology and Practice. *Organizational Dynamics at the University of Pennsylvania*.
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2011). Principles for the Sound Management of Operational Risk. *Bank for International Settlements*.
- Beasley, M. S., Hancock, B. V., & Branson, B. C. (2009). Strengthening Enterprise Risk Management for Strategic Advantage. *The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*.
- Bertrand, N., & Brown, L. (2006). Risk Management: A Guide for Nonprofit and Charitable Organizations. *Imagine Canada's Knowledge Development Centre*.
- Bernanke S. B. (2008). *A better practice guide: Risk Management*. Australia: Department of Finance and Deregulation.

- Boundless. (2011, January 1). Organizational Theory: Why study organizational theory? Retrieved February 24, 2014, from Boundless: www.boundless.com/management/organizational-theory
- Bradley, G. (2011). A Guide to Risk Management. Financial Management Branch of Queensland Treasury.
- Bungartz, O. (2010). Creating Enterprise-Wide Risk Awareness: Are Your Clients Building Prudent 'Risk Cultures'? *RSM International*.
- Convery, S. (2003). Keeping banks competitive: a foundation for robust performance management. *ISSN*, 38-41.
- Cooper, T., Speh, C., & Downey, A. (2011). Creating a Culture of Risk Management. *Wolters Kluwer Financial Services*.
- Coyne, R. L. (2007). Implementing Total Rewards Strategies. SHRM Foundation, an affiliate of the Society for Human Resource Management.
- Dauber, D., Fink, G., & Yolles, M. (2012). A Configuration Model of Organizational Culture. *SAGE Open*, 1-10.
- Deloitte. (2012). Cultivating a Risk Intelligent Culture: A fresh perspective. *Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu*.
- Economist Intelligence Unit (2010). Too good to fail? New challenges for risk management in financial services. *The Economist Intelligence Unit Limited*.
- Edwards, H. (2004). Barings A Case Study Risk Management and Internal Controls. *The Risk & Regulatory Forum (TRRF)*.
- Farrell, J. M., & Hoon, A. (2012). What's Your Company's Risk Culture? *NACD Directorship*, 1-3.
- Financial Services Authority Board Report (2011). The failure of the Royal Bank of Scotland. *Financial Services Authority*.
- Grody, A. D., Hughes, P. J., & Toms, S. (2011). Risk Accounting: A Next Generation Risk Management System for Financial Institutions. *Society of Actuaries*.
- Gupta, P. (2011). Risk management in Indian companies: EWRM concerns and issues. *The Journal of Risk Finance Vol. 12 No. 2*, 121-139.
- Hassan, A. (2009). Risk management practices of Islamic banks of Brunei Darussalam. The Journal of Risk Finance, Vol. 10 No. 1, 23-37.

- Hair, J., Babin, B. J., Black, W. C., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multiviarate Data Analysis. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hall, M., Anette, M., & Yuval, M. (2013). How Do Risk Managers Become Influential? A Field Study in Two Financial Institutions. *Harvard Business School*, 1-43.
- Heneman, R. L., & Coyne, E. E. (2007). A guide to successfully planning and implementing a total rewards system. United States of America: SHRM Foundation, an affiliate of the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM®).
- Homeland Security, (2010). Risk Management Practices in the Public and Private Sector: Executive Summary. Office of Risk Management and Analysis, National Protection and Programs Directorate, United States Department of Homeland Security.
- Houngbedji, A. M. (2013). How to Develop a Strong Risk Culture within Financial Institutions Leveraging on an Economic Capital Framework and BASEL III. *International Finance Corporation, World Bank Group*.
- Institute of International Finance (IIF) Steering Committe on Implementation of Risk Management Practices (2009). Risk Culture- Reform in the Financial Services Industry: Strengthening Practices for a More Stable System. Institute of International Finance.
- Ilias, S. E. (2012). Risk Management in Islamic Banking. *DOI: 10.7763/IPED. V55.32*, 159-162.
- Isaac, L. (2013, May 30). Strategies for promoting risk awareness. Retrieved December 15, 2013, from Leoisaac.com: http://www.leoisaac.com/ris/ris004.htm
- Jamalluddin, H. (2013). Bank Islam Case Study: Transformation. *Asian Institute of Finance* .
- Janoske, M. (2012). *Understanding Risk Communication Best Practices: A Guide for Emergency Managers and Communicators*. United States: National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism.
- Jayaprakash, K., & Vaidyula, S. R. (2011). Enterprise Risk Management for Banks. Wipro Council for Industry Research.

- Kimbrough, R. L., & Componation, P. J. (2009). The Relationship Between Organizational Culture and Enterprise Risk Management. *Engineering Management Journal Vol. 21 No. 2*, 18-26.
- Kluwer, W. (2011). Creating a Culture of Risk Management. ARC Logics for Financial Services.
- Lai, F. W., Azizan, N. A., & Samad, M. F. (2011). A Strategic Framework for Value Enhancing Enterprise Risk Management. *JOURNAL OF GLOBAL BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS, VOLUME 2. NUMBER 1*, 23-47.
- Lai, F. W., & Samad, F. A. (2011). Enterprise Risk Management Framework and The Empirical Determinants of Its Implementation. *International Conference on Business and Economics Research Vol. 1*, 340-344.
- Manab, N. A., Kassim, I., & Hussain, M. R. (2008). Enterprise-Wide Risk Management (EWRM) Practices: Between Corporate Governance Compliance and Value Creation. *Risk Management & Insurance Division, College of Business, UUM.*
- Massingham, P. (2010). Knowledge risk management: a framework. *Journal of Knowledge Management VOL. 14 NO. 3*, 464-485.
- McGinnis, S. K. (2006). *Organizational Behavior and Management Thinking*. Jones and Barlett Publishers.
- McAuley, J., Duberley, J., & Johnson, P. (2007). *Organization Theory: Challenges and Perspectives*. England: Prentice Hall, Pearson Education Limited.
- McShane, S. L. (2000). Organizational Culture. In S. L. McShane. New York.
- Milligan, J. W. (2009). Adopting an Approach to ERM. Community Banker, 34-37.
- Mitroi, M. M. (2010). Demographic, Social, Economic And Geographic Features Shaping Factors Of The Tourist Market . *Romanian Economic and Business Review Vol. 5, No. 1*, 159-165.
- Molenberghs, G. (2010). Survey Methods & Sampling Techniques. *Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and statistical Bioinformatics (I-BioStat)*.
- Ng, T.-H., Chong, L.-L., & Ismail, H. (2013). Is the risk management committee only a procedural compliance? An insight into managing risk taking among insurance companies in Malaysia. *The Journal of Risk Finance Vol. 14 No. 1*, 71-86.

- Pennstate. (2013). *Home // Lesson 4: How to Get a Good Sample*. Retrieved December 31, 2013, from STAT 100 Statistical Concept and Reasoning: https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat100/node/18
- Power, M., Ashby, S., & Palermo, T. (2013). Risk Culture in Financial Organizations: A research report. LSE, CIMA, CARR, CII, ESRC, and etc.
- PricewaterhouseCooper. (2011). Cure for the Common Culture:Building Effective Risk Cultures at Financial Institutions. *PwC's Financial Services Institute* (*FSI*).
- Proviti. (2012). Risk culture: Under the Microscope Guidance for Boards. *The Institute of Risk Management* .
- Rajagopalan, T. M. (2012). Reward Strategy and Practice: Ten Things to Consider in Improving Reward Effectiveness. *Journal of Compensation and Benefits*, 34-41.
- Rayback, W. (2010). Lehaman Brothers: Too Big Too Fail. *Toronto Centre: Leadership in Financial Supervision*.
- Razali, A. R., & Tahir, I. M. (2011). Review of the Literature on Enterprise Risk Management. *Business Management Dynamics Vol.1*, *No.5*, , 08-16.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Selma, M. R., Abdelghani, E., & Rajhi, M. T. (2013). Risk Management Tools Practiced In Tunisian. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 55-78.
- Shafiq, A., & Nasr, M. (2010). Risk Management Practices Followed by the Commercial Banks in Pakistan. *Comsats Institute of Technology in Islamabad, Pakistan*.
- The Association of Professional Engineers, G. A. (2006). *Guideline for Management of Risk in Professional Practice*. Alberta: APEGGA.
- The Malaysian Institute of Accountant (MIA) (2012). Managing the Business Risk of Fraud: A Practical Guide. *The Malaysian Institute of Accountant (MIA)*.
- Thijs, J. P. (2011). Risk Management in Islamic Banking. Perbankan Islam.
- Treasury, R. S. (2004). Creating a Risk Management Culture. *The Risk Programme: Improving government's risk handling*.

- Whitepaper, C. (2012). Creating a culture of Enterprise risk-management awareness and ownership. *Intergrated Risk Manager- A CAMMS Solution* .
- Wilson, P. G. (2006). Leadership Qualities and Management Competencies for Corporate Responsibility. European Academy of Business in Society.

APPENDIX A: Questionnaire



UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

OTHMAN YEOP ABDULLAH GRADUATE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

The Determinant Factors for a Successful Risk Culture in Banking Sector:

Malaysian Case

I am currently conducting a research on the Determinant Factors for a Successful Risk Culture in Banking Sector: Malaysian Case The information will be of my interest in determining the factors that rise in the issues. Your response will be kept confidential and anonymous. Your cooperation is much appreciated.

| Sincer | ely, |
|--------|-----------------------|
| | |
| Rozila | Binti Hamzah (812327) |
| (UUM | Postgraduate) |

Part 1: Respondent's profile

Instruction: Please complete all the following questions by ticking $\lceil \sqrt{\rceil}$ in these appropriate boxes or by supplying information required.

| 1. | Age: | 6. Position: |
|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | [] 20-30 | [] Clerk |
| | [] 31-40 | [] Assist. Manager |
| | [] 41-50 | [] Manager |
| | [] 51 and above | [] Executive |
| | | [] Other |
| 2. | Sex: | |
| | [] Male | 7. Department: |
| | [] Female | [] Operational Dept. |
| | | [] Documentation Dept. |
| 3. | Ethnic: | [] Credit Dept. |
| | [] Malay | [] Other |
| | [] Indian | |
| | [] Chinese | 8. Total monthly salary: |
| | [] Other | [] Less than RM 2,000 |
| | | [] RM 2,001-RM 4,000 |
| 4. | Marital status: | [] RM 4,001- RM 6,000 |
| | [] Single | [] RM 6,001 and above |
| | [] Divorced | |
| | [] Married | 9. Number or years that you have |
| | [] Other | been in your current position |
| | | [] Less than 1 year |
| 5. | Highest education level: | [] 2 year - 4 years |
| | [] Diploma | [] 5 years - 8 years |
| | [] Bachelor degree | [] 9 years and above |
| | [] Master | |
| | [] PHD / DBA | 10. Number of years that you have |
| | [] Professional qualification | been with current bank? |
| | [] Other | [] Less than 2 years |
| | | [] 3 year - 5 years |
| | | [] 6 years - 10 years |
| | | [] 10 years and above |

Part 2: The determinants of risk culture Instruction: Please complete all the following questions by ticking $\lceil \sqrt{\rceil}$ in these appropriate boxes.

A) These questions are pertaining to the roles of managers that have an impact to the risk organizational management culture.

| | Strongly Disagree 1 | | Disagree 2 | | Not Sure 3 | | Agree 4 | | Strongly Agree 5 | |
|--|---------------------------|---|------------|---|------------------|---|------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Managers provide continuous training on risk management to the staff | |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers consistently approach work and staff on risk management. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers encourage staff developments that relate to risk management education | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers are able to explain well about risk management to the staff | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers able to give answer about risk management to the staff when they were asked | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers provide recognition and other non-monetary benefit for staff | [| 1 | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers incorporated risk management into compensation program | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Managers monitor risk management practices among staff. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |

B) These questions are pertaining to the roles of staffs that have an impact to the risk organizational management culture.

| | | _ | Disagree 2 | | - C | | Agree 4 | | Strongly Agree 5 | |
|--|---|---|------------|---|-----|---|------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Staff able to explain about the risk management well. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff able to influence other colleague to learn about risk management. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff can give idea on how to improve the risk management practices. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff willing to learn more about risk management for the effectiveness of risk management practices. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff actively participate on the effort such as training provided by top management on risk management. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff completes tasks according to the risk management guidelines. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staffs are able to do the task that given to them. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Staff takes appropriate action to handle risk. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |

C) These questions are pertaining to the risk management policy and guidelines that have an impact to the risk organizational culture.

| | | ngly gree l | | Disagree 2 | | Not Sure 3 | | Agree 4 | | ongly gree 5 |
|--|---|-------------------|---|------------|---|------------------|---|------------|---|--------------------|
| The risk management policies and guidelines provide a clear direction and guidance for manager and all level of staff. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| The risk management policies and guidelines are incorporated with job descriptions. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Risk management policies and guidelines assist to achieve target. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Risk management policies and guidelines aid on work systematically. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Risk management policies and guidelines assist to enhance risk response decisions. |] |] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Risk management guidelines help to assist on daily operation. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Risk management guidelines help on handling risk effectively. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |

Part 2: Risk culture. <u>Instruction: Please complete all the following questions by ticking $\lceil \sqrt{\rceil}$ in these appropriate boxes.</u>

| | Strongly Disagree 1 | | Disagree 2 | | Not Sure 3 | | Agree 4 | | Strongly Agree 5 | |
|--|---------------------------|---|------------|---|------------------|---|------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Increasing awareness of risk management within an organization. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |] |] |
| Increasing sense of responsibility by providing information about risk to managers/top management. | [|] | [|] | [|] |] |] | [|] |
| Increasing qualified and quality staff for managing risk. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Increasing monitoring on risk management set by top management. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Increasing understanding on risk management concept. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |
| Increasing corporation between departments. | [|] | [|] | [|] |] |] |] |] |
| Encouraging staff to give their opinions and ideas regarding risk management. | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] | [|] |

-THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION-

APPENDIX B: Normality Test

Descriptive Statistics

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Skewness | | Kurtosis | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Std. Error | Statistic | Std. Error |
| totaltmr | 105 | 19.00 | 40.00 | 32.5524 | 4.41148 | -1.033 | .236 | .530 | .467 |
| totalsr | 105 | 16.00 | 38.00 | 33.3143 | 3.80868 | -1.711 | .236 | 4.041 | .467 |
| totalpg | 105 | 18.00 | 35.00 | 28.9810 | 3.46127 | 877 | .236 | .192 | .467 |
| totalrc | 105 | 20.00 | 34.00 | 29.2571 | 3.10096 | 934 | .236 | .189 | .467 |
| totaldemo | 105 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 5.2476 | 2.37285 | .962 | .236 | 088 | .467 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 105 | | | | | | | | |

Descriptive Statistics

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Skewness | | Kurtosis | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Std. Error | Statistic | Std. Error |
| Logsr | 105 | .30 | 1.38 | .7661 | .22396 | .226 | .236 | 176 | .467 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 105 | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX C: Homoscedasticity

Independent Samples Test

| | t Samples Test | Levene's Test | for Equality of | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|------------|---|--------|
| | | Varia | - | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Mean | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Difference | Difference | Lower | Upper |
| logsr | Equal variances assumed | 1.039 | .310 | 3.255 | 103 | .002 | .13690 | .04206 | .05349 | .22031 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 3.224 | 94.427 | .002 | .13690 | .04246 | .05260 | .22119 |
| totaldemo | Equal variances assumed | 1.283 | .260 | -1.637 | 103 | .105 | 75642 | .46198 | -1.67264 | .15980 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -1.658 | 102.054 | .100 | 75642 | .45636 | -1.66160 | .14876 |
| totalrc | Equal variances assumed | 2.262 | .136 | -2.538 | 103 | .013 | -1.50550 | .59328 | -2.68213 | 32888 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -2.496 | 90.942 | .014 | -1.50550 | .60306 | -2.70342 | 30758 |
| totalpg | Equal variances assumed | 2.368 | .127 | -2.140 | 103 | .035 | -1.42920 | .66791 | -2.75385 | 10455 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -2.081 | 84.638 | .040 | -1.42920 | .68664 | -2.79452 | 06388 |
| totaltmr | Equal variances assumed | 7.640 | .007 | -2.410 | 103 | .018 | -2.03999 | .84645 | -3.71872 | 36125 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -2.328 | 80.536 | .022 | -2.03999 | .87635 | -3.78380 | 29617 |

APPENDIX D: Multicollinearity

Coefficients

| | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | | | Collinearity | Statistics |
|-----|------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------|------|--------------|------------|
| Mod | del | В | Std. Error | Beta | t | Sig. | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 16.690 | 3.586 | | 4.654 | .000 | | |
| | totaltmr | .179 | .067 | .255 | 2.660 | .009 | .723 | 1.383 |
| | totalpg | .252 | .087 | .281 | 2.907 | .004 | .710 | 1.408 |
| | totaldemo | .189 | .114 | .145 | 1.661 | .100 | .873 | 1.146 |
| | logsr | -2.020 | 1.355 | 146 | -1.491 | .139 | .693 | 1.444 |

a. Dependent Variable: totalrc