

**ORGANISATIONAL LEARNING, ORGANISATIONAL
AMBIDEXTERITY, ENVIRONMENTAL
TURBULENCE, AND NPD PERFORMANCE OF
MALAYSIAN'S MANUFACTURING SECTOR**

MOHAMAD FAIZAL BIN AHMAD ZAIDI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
August 2014**

**ORGANISATIONAL LEARNING, ORGANISATIONAL AMBIDEXTERITY,
ENVIRONMENTAL TURBULENCE, AND NPD PERFORMANCE OF
MALAYSIAN'S MANUFACTURING SECTOR**

By

MOHAMAD FAIZAL BIN AHMAD ZAIDI

**Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s), or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my thesis. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

Despite numerous studies proving that environmental turbulence was moderating the new product development (NPD) performance of manufacturing firms, it is however, still less stressed upon in Malaysia. Motivated by the current NPD issues on organisational capability from the dynamic capability (DCs) perspective, this study aimed to empirically determine the relationships between organisational capability and NPD performance, and sequentially examine the moderating effects of environmental turbulence in those relationships. A survey was randomly conducted among 123 product/production managers from various manufacturing industries in Malaysia. The data was analysed with the SPSS v.19 statistical technique. Prior to the analysis, the data was cleaned, inspected for outliers, normality, factor analysis, and reliability test to meet the assumptions for the parametric test. The results of correlation and hierarchical multiple regression analyses found 22 (out of 48) hypotheses were supported. In detail, the exploitation capability, exploration capability, and contextual ambidexterity were found to be significantly correlated to NPD performance. It was also found that market turbulence was giving a pure moderation to all types of organisational capability (exploitation capability, exploration capability, structural ambidexterity, and contextual ambidexterity) on NPD financial performance. Meanwhile, the moderating effects of technological turbulence, and competitive intensity were varied across different relationships. All-in-all, the findings indicated that the concept of DCs was useful for building a firm's ability to deploy organisational capabilities under different types of environmental turbulence to achieve better NPD performance. It can be achieved by creating balance in the firm's NPD portfolio and is useful in the NPD strategy for decision-making process. Besides these contributions, the limitations of the study, and future research agenda were also discussed.

Keywords: dynamic capability, environmental turbulence, Malaysian manufacturing sector, NPD performance, organisational capability

ABSTRAK

Meskipun pelbagai kajian telah membuktikan ketidaktentuan persekitaran merupakan penyederhana kepada prestasi pembangunan produk baru (NPD) bagi firma pengilangan, namun ia didapati kurang diberikan penekanan di Malaysia. Motivasi didorong oleh isu-isu semasa NPD ke atas keupayaan organisasi dari sudut pandangan keupayaan dinamik (DCs). Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan hubungan secara empirikal di antara keupayaan organisasi dan prestasi NPD, seterusnya mengkaji kesan penyederhanaan oleh ketidaktentuan persekitaran ke atas hubungan tersebut. Satu tinjauan rawak dilakukan ke atas 123 pengurus keluaran/pengeluaran daripada pelbagai industri pengilangan di Malaysia. Data tersebut dianalisis dengan menggunakan teknik statistik SPSS v.19. Sebelum analisis dilakukan, data dibersihkan, diperiksa untuk *outliers*, normaliti, analisis faktor, dan ujian kebolehpercayaan untuk memenuhi hipotesis bagi ujian parametrik. Hasil analisis korelasi dan regresi pelbagai peringkat mendapati bahawa 22 (daripada 48) hipotesis telah disokong. Secara khususnya, keupayaan eksploitasi, keupayaan eksplorasi, dan kedwicekatan berkontek didapati mempunyai hubungkait secara signifikan dengan prestasi NPD. Dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa ketidaktentuan pasaran memberikan penyederhanaan penuh ke atas semua jenis keupayaan organisasi (keupayaan eksploitasi, keupayaan eksplorasi, kedwicekatan berstruktur, dan kedwicekatan berkontek) dan prestasi kewangan NPD. Sementara itu, kesan penyederhanaan oleh ketidaktentuan teknologi dan ketegasan persaingan adalah berbeza mengikut jenis hubungan. Secara keseluruhannya, dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa konsep DCs adalah berguna untuk membina keupayaan firma dalam mengatur kedudukan keupayaan organisasi di bawah jenis ketidaktentuan persekitaran yang berbeza untuk mencapai prestasi NPD yang terbaik. Ia boleh dicapai dengan mewujudkan keseimbangan dalam portfolio NPD dan seterusnya berguna kepada strategi NPD untuk proses membuat keputusan. Selain daripada sumbangan dan kekangan kajian, agenda kajian pada masa hadapan juga dibincangkan.

Kata kunci: keupayaan dinamik, ketidaktentuan persekitaran, sektor pengilangan Malaysia, prestasi NPD, keupayaan organisasi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, first and foremost, I would like to give my deepest gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Siti Norezam bt. Othman who has supervised the progress of my study for over four years. I would like to extend my gratitude to the Associate Professor Dr. Hartini bt. Ahmad, and Dr. Mohamed Najib Salleh for their valuable comments on my proposal defense. I would also like to thank the OYA School of Business for providing all the information and assistance that have eased my study. Next, to the experts who have shared their opinions to improve the validity and reliability of the survey instruments, I would like to thank them very much for their valuable comments on the questionnaire. Not to forget, I would also like to sincerely thank all my colleagues who have shared their experiences on the related issues. In addition, I would also like to thank all those who have assisted me in completing my thesis. Most importantly, to my family especially my parents Ahmad Zaidi and Biti, my wife Suhaini, and children Faris and Firas, thank you very much for giving me the source of inspiration and motivation to face all of the unexpected challenges during the study period. And lastly, to the Greatest and Almighty Allah and our Prophet Muhammad, without You in my mind Who have guided me during the good and bad time, I would have totally failed in completing of this thesis. As such, I am highly grateful to You through my deepest heart.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORK	ii
PERMISSION TO USE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Current Issues in New Product Development	5
1.3 Problem Statement	9
1.4 Research Questions	12
1.5 Research Objectives	12
1.6 Definitions of Key Terms	13
1.7 Significance of the Study	14
1.8 Justification of the Study	16
1.9 Scope of the Study	18
1.10 Limitations of the Study	19
1.11 Organisation of the Thesis	20
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	21
2.0 Research Background	21
2.1 Reviews on New Product Development Literature	21
2.1.1 NPD and Global Marketplace	23
2.1.2 NPD and Malaysian Economy	25
2.1.3 NPD and Malaysian Manufacturing Sector	27
2.2 Reviews on NPD Performance Literature	30
2.2.1 NPD Performance Measures for this Study	33
2.2.1.1 NPD Financial Performance	34
2.2.1.2 NPD Nonfinancial Performance	35
2.3 Reviews on Organisational Learning Literature	41
2.3.1 Study on Organisational Learning and NPD in Malaysia	43
2.3.2 Types of Organisational Learning	45
2.3.3 The Concepts of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities	47
2.3.4 The Interpretations of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities	49
2.3.5 The Perspectives of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities	53

2.4	Reviews on Organisational Ambidexterity Literature	55
2.4.1	Literature Streams of Organisational Ambidexterity	58
2.4.2	Types of Organisational Ambidexterity	60
2.4.3	Complementary between Structural and Contextual Ambidexterity	63
2.5	Reviews on Environmental Turbulence Literature	64
2.5.1	Characteristics of Environmental Turbulence	66
2.5.2	Types of Environmental Turbulence	69
2.5.3	Moderating Effects of Environmental Turbulence on NPD Performance	72
2.6	The Contemporary Theory of Dynamic Capability (DCs)	75
2.6.1	Evolution of DCs from RBV	75
2.6.2	Development Paths of DCs	77
2.6.3	DCs in the Context of this Study	79
2.6.4	Development of Theoretical Framework	81
2.7	Operational Definitions	82
2.8	Development of Hypotheses	84
2.8.1	Development of Organisational Learning and NPD Performance Hypothesis	84
2.8.2	Development of Organisational Ambidexterity and NPD Performance Hypothesis	88
2.8.3	Development of Hypothesis on Moderating Effects of Environmental Turbulence between Organisational Learning and NPD Performance	95
2.8.4	Development of Hypothesis on Moderating Effects of Environmental Turbulence between Organisational Ambidexterity and NPD Performance	101
2.9	Chapter Summary	107
	CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	109
3.0	Introduction	109
3.1	Research Design	109
3.1.1	Technique of Study	110
3.1.2	Level of Analysis	110
3.1.3	Context of Study	111
3.1.4	Types of Industry	111
3.2	Sampling Procedure	112
3.2.1	Population	113
3.2.2	Sample Frame	113
3.2.3	Selection of Respondents	114
3.2.4	Size of Sample	116
3.2.5	Random Selection of Samples	116
3.3	Survey Administration	117
3.3.1	Method of Mailing	118
3.3.2	Method to Increase Response Rate	118
3.4	Measurement of Items and Scales	120
3.4.1	Measurement for New Product Development Performance	120
3.4.1.1	Measurement for NPD Financial Performance	121
3.4.1.2	Measurement for NPD Nonfinancial Performance	121
3.4.2	Measurement for Organisational Capabilities	124
3.4.2.1	Measurement for Organisational Learning	124

3.4.2.2	Measurement for Organisational Ambidexterity	125
3.4.3	Measurement for Environmental Turbulence	127
3.4.4	Measurement for Firm's Demographics	129
3.4.4.1	Position of Respondents	129
3.4.4.2	Respondent's Length of Service	130
3.4.4.3	Types of NPD	130
3.4.4.4	Age of Firms	131
3.4.4.5	Number of Employees	131
3.4.4.6	Types of Industry	132
3.4.5	Measurement Scale	133
3.4.6	Summary of Measurement Items and Scales	134
3.5	Goodness of Measures	135
3.5.1	Validity of Measures	135
3.5.2	Reliability of Measures	137
3.6	Data Analysis and Interpretation	140
3.7	Chapter Summary	140
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSES AND HYPOTHESES TESTING		142
4.0	Introduction	142
4.1	Overview of Data Collection	142
4.1.1	Nonresponse Bias	143
4.1.2	Response Rate	144
4.1.3	Profile of Respondents	147
4.1.3.1	Position of Respondents	147
4.1.3.2	Respondent's Length of Service	148
4.1.3.3	Types of NPD	149
4.1.3.4	Age of Firms	150
4.1.3.5	Number of Employees	151
4.1.3.6	Types of Industry	152
4.2	Preparation of Data	153
4.2.1	Data Screening and Cleaning	154
4.2.2	Dealing with Univariate and Bivariate Outliers	154
4.2.3	Normality Assessment	158
4.2.3.1	Graphical Methods: Boxplot	159
4.2.3.2	Numerical Methods: Skewness and Kurtosis Test	159
4.2.3.3	Formal Normality Tests: Shapiro-Wilk Test	161
4.3	Factor Analysis	162
4.3.1	Data Readiness and Preparation for Factor Analysis	163
4.3.1.1	Sample Size	163
4.3.1.2	Outliers	164
4.3.1.3	Correlation	164
4.3.1.4	Sampling Adequacy	164
4.3.2	Guidelines for Interpreting Factor Analysis	165
4.3.2.1	Factor Rotation	165
4.3.2.2	Factor Extraction	165
4.3.2.3	Rule-of-thumb for Item Removal	166
4.3.3	Factor Analysis on NPD Performance	168
4.3.4	Factor Analysis on Organisational Capabilities	171
4.3.5	Factor Analysis on Environmental Turbulence	174
4.3.6	Summary of Factor Analysis	177

4.4	Reliability of Scale	177
4.5	Univariate Analysis: Descriptive Analysis of Main Variables	178
4.6	Bivariate Analysis: Correlation Analysis	180
4.6.1	Data Readiness for Correlation Analysis	180
4.6.2	Guidelines for Interpreting Correlation Analysis	181
4.6.3	Selection of Significance Level	182
4.6.4	Results of Correlation Analysis	183
4.7	Multivariate Analysis: Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis	186
4.7.1	Data Readiness for Regression Analysis	187
4.7.2	Guidelines for Interpreting Multivariate Analysis	190
4.7.2.1	Model Summary	190
4.7.2.2	Coefficient Table	191
4.7.2.3	Types of Moderator	192
4.7.3	Analysis on Moderating Effects of Market Turbulence	193
4.7.4	Analysis on Moderating Effects of Technological Turbulence	199
4.7.5	Analysis on Moderating Effects of Competitive Intensity	205
4.8	Chapter Summary	210
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION OF RESEARCH FINDINGS		212
5.0	Introduction	212
5.1	Recapitulation of this Study	212
5.1.1	Objective One: Relationship between Organisational Learning and NPD Performance	212
5.1.2	Objective Two: Relationship between Organisational Ambidexterity and NPD Performance	213
5.1.3	Objective Three: Moderation Effects of Environmental Turbulence between Organisational Learning and NPD Performance	215
5.1.4	Objective Four: Moderation Effects of Environmental Turbulence between Organisational Ambidexterity and NPD Performance	217
5.2	Discussion of Findings: Insights from this Study	218
5.2.1	Deployment of Organisational Capabilities under Market Turbulence	219
5.2.2	Deployment of Organisational Capabilities under Technological Turbulence	222
5.2.3	Deployment of Organisational Capabilities under Competitive Intensity	226
5.2.4	Summary of Discussion of Findings	229
5.3	Contributions of this Study	232
5.3.1	Theoretical Contributions on DCs	232
5.3.2	Knowledge Contributions on NPD	234
5.3.3	Practical Contributions on Manufacturing Sector	237
5.4	Limitations of this Study	238
5.5	Recommendations for Future Study	240
5.6	Chapter Summary	245

REFERENCES	247
APPENDICES	300
Appendix 3A Definition of Industries under Malaysian Manufacturing Sector	300
Appendix 3B Research Cover Letter	301
Appendix 3C Data Collection Letter	303
Appendix 3D MITI Support Letter	304
Appendix 3E Final Research Questionnaire	306
Appendix 4A Comparing Early and Late Responses with Median Distribution	320
Appendix 4B Comparing Position of Respondents with Median Distribution	321
Appendix 4C Univariate and Bivariate Outliers in the Item and Variable Boxplots	322
Appendix 4D Boxplots of 10 Variables	325
Appendix 4E Outputs of the Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis	326
Appendix 4F Normal P-P Plots and Scatterplots of Nine Hierarchical Regression Analyses	335

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 2.1a	Financial Criteria for Measuring NPD Performance	31
Table 2.1b	Nonfinancial Criteria for Measuring NPD Performance	31
Table 2.2	Types of Measures Used in Previous Studies on Organisational Learning/Ambidexterity and Performance	32
Table 2.3	Empirical Research of NPD in Malaysian's Manufacturing Sector	43
Table 2.4	The Concepts of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities	48
Table 2.5	The Interpretations of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities	50
Table 2.6	Two Perspectives of Exploitation and Exploration Capabilities in NPD Literature	53
Table 2.7	Structural Ambidexterity vs. Contextual Ambidexterity	61
Table 2.8	General Characteristics of Environmental Turbulence for the Study	67
Table 3.1	Items on NPD Financial Performance	121
Table 3.2	Items on NPD Innovativeness Performance	123
Table 3.3	Items on NPD Quality Performance	123
Table 3.4	Items on Exploitation Capability	125
Table 3.5	Items on Exploration Capability	125
Table 3.6	Items on Structural Ambidexterity	126
Table 3.7	Items on Contextual Ambidexterity	126
Table 3.8	Items on Market Turbulence	128
Table 3.9	Items on Technological Turbulence	128
Table 3.10	Items on Competitive Intensity	128
Table 3.11	Items on Respondent's Position	129
Table 3.12	Items on Respondent's Length of Service	130
Table 3.13	Items on Types of NPD	130
Table 3.14	Items on Age of Firms	131
Table 3.15	Items on Number of Employees	132
Table 3.16	Items on Types of Industry	132
Table 3.17	A 7-Point Likert Scale for the Study	134
Table 3.18	The Summary of Measurement Items and Scales	134
Table 3.19	Summary of Reliability Pre-Test	138
Table 4.1	Comparing Early and Late Response Categories	143
Table 4.2	Response Statistics	144
Table 4.3	Comparisons of the Response Rate between the Study's Sample Size and the Bartlett, Kotrlik, and Higgins's Sample Size	146
Table 4.4	Reasons for No Response	146
Table 4.5	Position of Respondents	147
Table 4.6	Positions of Non-Product/Production Managers	148
Table 4.7	Respondent's Length of Service	149
Table 4.8	Types of NPD	149
Table 4.9	Age of Firms	150
Table 4.10	Number of Employees	151
Table 4.11	Types of Industry	152
Table 4.12	The Details of "Other Industries" for 22 Respondents	153
Table 4.13	Top Twelve Cases in Four Types of Outlier	156
Table 4.14	Profile of 10 Cases with the Most Outliers	157
Table 4.15	Numerical Methods	160
Table 4.16	The Results of Shapiro-Wilk Normality Test	161

Table		Page
Table 4.17	Summary of Final Factor Analysis for NPD Performance	169
Table 4.18	Summary of Final Factor Analysis for Organisational Capabilities	172
Table 4.19	Summary of Final Factor Analysis for Environmental Turbulence	176
Table 4.20	Summary of the Final Factor Analyses	177
Table 4.21	Summary of Reliability Test before and after Factor Analysis	178
Table 4.22	Descriptive Analysis of the Univariate Variables	179
Table 4.23	Normality Test for Correlation Analysis	180
Table 4.24	The Result of Correlation Analysis	184
Table 4.25	Summary of the Results of Hypothesis Testing on Correlation Analysis	185
Table 4.26	Nine Hierarchical Regression Analyses	186
Table 4.27	Summary of Analyses before and after Removal of Multivariate Outliers	187
Table 4.28	Summary of Tolerance and VIF for Diagnosing Multicollinearity	189
Table 4.29	Typology of Specification Variable	192
Table 4.30a	Model Summary of Market Turbulence with Financial Performance	194
Table 4.30b	Model Summary of Market Turbulence with Innovativeness Performance	194
Table 4.30c	Model summary of Market Turbulence with Quality Performance	194
Table 4.31a	Coefficients Table of Market Turbulence with Financial Performance	196
Table 4.31b	Coefficients Table of Market Turbulence with Innovativeness Performance	196
Table 4.31c	Coefficients Table of Market Turbulence with Quality Performance	196
Table 4.32	Summary of the Results of Hypotheses Testing on Market Turbulence	198
Table 4.33a	Model Summary of Technological Turbulence with Financial Performance	199
Table 4.33b	Model summary of technological turbulence with Innovativeness Performance	199
Table 4.33c	Model Summary of Technological Turbulence with Quality Performance	200
Table 4.34a	Coefficients Table of Technological Turbulence with Financial Performance	201
Table 4.34b	Coefficients Table of Technological Turbulence with Innovativeness Performance	202
Table 4.34c	Coefficients Table of Technological Turbulence with Quality Performance	202
Table 4.35	Summary of the Results of Hypotheses Testing on Technological Turbulence	204
Table 4.36a	Model Summary of Competitive Intensity with Financial Performance	205

Table		Page
Table 4.36b	Model Summary of Competitive Intensity with Innovativeness Performance	205
Table 4.36c	Model Summary of Competitive Intensity with Quality Performance	205
Table 4.37a	Coefficients Table of Competitive Intensity with Financial Performance	207
Table 4.37b	Coefficients Table of Competitive Intensity with Innovativeness Performance	207
Table 4.37c	Coefficients Table of Competitive Intensity with Quality Performance	208
Table 4.38	Summary of the Results of Hypotheses Testing on Competitive Intensity	209
Table 5.1	Summary of Moderation Effects of Market Turbulence	219
Table 5.2	Summary of Moderation Effects of Technological Turbulence	223
Table 5.3	Summary of Moderation Effects of Competitive Intensity	226

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 2.1	The Schematic Diagram of Theoretical Framework	81
Figure 4.1	The Graphs of Moderation Effects of Market Turbulence in the Relationships between Organisational Capabilities and Financial Performance	197
Figure 4.2	The Graphs of Moderation Effects of Technological Turbulence in the Relationships between Organisational Capabilities and NPD Performance	203
Figure 4.3	The Graphs of Moderation Effects of Competitive Intensity In the Relationships between Organisational Capabilities and NPD Performance	208

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
DCs	Dynamic Capabilities
DV	Dependent Variable
ETP	Economic Transformation Programme
FMM	Federation of Malaysian Manufacturing
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GNI	Gross National Income
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
IV	Independent Variable
KMO	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
MIDA	Malaysian Investment Development Authority
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry
MOSTI	Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation
MSA	Measure of Sampling Adequacy
NIC	National Innovation Council
NKEAs	National Key Economics Areas
NPD	New Product Development
OYA	Othman Yeop Abdullah
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
R&D	Research and Development
RBV	Resource-based View
RMK-9	The Ninth Malaysia Plan
RMK-10	The Tenth Malaysia Plan
ROI	Returns on Investment
SMEs	Small and Medium Enterprises
UUM	Universiti Utara Malaysia
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

This study was initiated to investigate the deployment of organisational capabilities in achieving higher levels of new product development (NPD) performance in the context of Malaysian manufacturing sector. In doing so, the researcher was interested in determining and examining the relationships of four types of organisational capability, namely exploitation and exploration capabilities (organisational learning), and structural and contextual ambidexterity (organisational ambidexterity) to NPD performance under moderating effects of environmental turbulence. The discussion begins with research background and current issues surrounding the relationships between organisational capabilities, environmental turbulence, and NPD performance, which is then proceeded with the establishment of problem statement, research questions and objectives, the definitions of key terms, as well as the significance, justification, scope, limitations, and organisation of thesis.

1.1 Background of the Study

Innovation is a critical driver for economic growth (Torun & Cicekci, 2007; Segerstrom, 1991) and importance for the well-being of developing nations (Chandra & Neelankavil, 2008). It is commonly characterises world-class manufacturing firms (Jaruzelski & Mainardi, 2011). For instance, service and innovation activities of manufacturing firms have contributed up to 70% of the gross domestic product (GDP) for developed countries (Mohamed, 2011), which have turned them into world economic powers. As for Malaysia, even though manufacturing is only ranked second after service sector in terms of investment values, it remains one of the main

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Abdi, H., & Williams, L. J. (2010). Principal Component Analysis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 2(4), 433-459.
- Abdullah, N. L., & Salleh, A. L. (2011). To Continue Prospecting: Performance Implications of Combinative Capabilities and Explorative Learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 24, 1107-1123.
- Acur, N., Kandemir, D., Weerd-Nederhof, P. C. d., & Song, M. (2010). Exploring the Impact of Technological Competence Development on Speed and NPD Program Performance. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27, 915-929.
- Adis, A. A. A., & Razli, I. A. (2009). Factors Affecting New Product Development in Malaysian Manufacturing Industry. *International Bulletin of Business Administration* (4).
- Ahmed, A. Y. M. (2011). *Internet Technology Factors, Quality Information Delivery and Supply Chain Information Performance in Malaysian Manufacturing Companies* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Utara Malaysia).
- Ahn, J.-H., Lee, D.-J., & Lee, S.-Y. (2006). Balancing Business Performance and Knowledge Performance of New Product Development: Lessons from ITS Industry. *Long Range Planning*, 39, 525-542.
- Akgun, A. E., Byrne, J. C., Lynn, G. S., & Keskin, H. (2007). New Product Development in Turbulence Environments: Impact of Improvisation and Unlearning on New Product Performance. *Journal of Engineering Technology Management*, 24, 203-230.

- Aloini, D., Martini, A., & Neirotti, P. (2012). Unpack It: Organisational Ambidexterity between Structure, Knowledge and Performance. An empirical analysis.
- Al-Shalabi, A., & Rundquist, J. (2009). Use of Processes and Methods in NPD - A Survey of Malaysian Industry. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 6(4), 379-400.
- Al-Shalabi, A., Omar, M. K., & Rundquist, J. (2010). Outsourcing and Organising of NPD: A Survey of Malaysian Industry.
- Ambrosini, V., & Bowman, C. (2009). What are Dynamic Capabilities and are They a Useful Construct in Strategic Management? *International Journal of Management Review*, 11(1), 29-49.
- Ambrosini, V., Bowman, C., & Collier, N. (2009). Dynamic Capabilities: An Exploration of How Firms Renew Their Resource Base. *British Journal of Management*, 20, 9-24.
- Andriopoulos, C., & Lewis, M. W. (2009). Exploitation-Exploration Tensions and Organisational Ambidexterity: Managing Paradoxes of Innovation. *Organisation Science*, 22(4), 696-717.
- Ang, S. H. (2008). Competitive Intensity and Collaboration: Impact on Firm Growth Across Technological Environments. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29, 1057-1075.
- Angelopoulos, G., Giamouridis, D., & Vlismas, O. (2012). Inferring the Value of Intangible Assets. *Available at SSRN 1786285*.

- Aral, S., & Weill, P. (2007). IT Assets, Organisational Capabilities, and Firm Performance: How Resource Allocations and Organisational Differences Explain Performance Variation. *Organisation Science*, 18(5), 763–780.
- Argyrous, G. (2011). *Statistics for Research: With a Guide to SPSS* (3rd ed.). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Ariff, M. (2008). *New Perspectives on Industry Clusters in Malaysia*, in Ariff, M. (ed.), *Analyses of Industrial Agglomeration, Production Networks and FDI Promotion*, ERIA Research Project Report 2007-3, Chiba: IDE-JETRO, pp.368-397.
- Armstrong, J. S., & Overton, T. S. (1977). Estimating Nonresponse Bias in Mail Surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14(3), 396-402.
- Asgari, N., & Eslami, H. (2012). Balancing between Exploration and Exploitation across Acquisitions and Alliances. Paper to be presented at the DRUID 2012, CBS, Copenhagen, Denmark. Retrieved April 24, 2013, from http://druid8.sit.aau.dk/acc_papers/t2fyryjkb9e76itiro698i4mjyy.pdf
- Athukorala, P. C. (2010). *Malaysian Economy in Three Crises* (No. 2010-12), Retrieved June 10, 2014, from https://crawford.anu.edu.au/acde/publications/publish/papers/wp2010/wp_econ_2010_12.pdf
- Atuahene-Gima, K. (2005). Resolving the Capability–Rigidity Paradox in New Product Innovation. *Journal of Marketing*, 69, 61–83.
- Atuahene-Gima, K., & Li, H. (2004). Strategic Decision Comprehensiveness and New Product Development Outcomes in New Technology Ventures. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(4), 583-597.

- Atuahene-Gima, K., & Murray, J. Y. (2007). Exploratory and Exploitative Learning in New Product Development: A Social Capital Perspective on New Technology Ventures in China. *Journal of International Marketing*, 15(2), 1-29.
- Atuahene-Gima, K., Li, H., & De Luca, L. M. (2006). The Contingent Value of Marketing Strategy Innovativeness for Product Development Performance in Chinese New Technology Ventures. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 35(3), 359-372.
- Auh, S., & Menguc, B. (2005). Balancing Exploration and Exploitation: The Moderating Role of Competitive Intensity. *Journal of Business Research*, 58, 1652-1661.
- Aun, L. H. (2004). Development in Malaysia: Economics and Politics of an Idea. *Akademika*, 64, 65-81.
- Aydin, B., & Ceylan, A. (2009). The Effect of Spiritual Leadership on Organisational Learning Capacity. *African Journal of Business Management*, 3(5), 184-190.
- Banbury, C. M., & Mitchell, W. (1995). The Effect of Introducing Important Incremental Innovations on Market Share and Business Survival. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16, 161-182.
- Banning, R., Camstra, A., & Kottnerus, P. (2012). *Sampling Theory: Sampling Design and Estimation Methods*. The Hague: Statistics Netherlands.
- Barbaroux, P., & Gode-Sanchez, C. (2007). *Acquiring Core Capabilities through Organisational Learning: Illustrations from the U.S. Military Organisations*,

Retrieved November 13, 2011, from <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/29/35/34/PDF/EGOS-Final-PB-CGS.pdf>

Barczak, G., & Wilemon, D. (1991). Communications Patterns of New Product Development Team Leaders. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 38(2), 101-109.

Barczak, G., Griffin, A., & Kahn, K. B. (2009). Perspective: Trends and Drivers of Success in NPD Practices: Results of the 2003 PDMA Best Practices Study. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 26(3-23).

Barnett, W. P. (1997). The Dynamics of Competitive Advantage. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42(1), 128-160.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.

Barreto, I. (2010). Dynamic Capabilities: A Review of Past Research and an Agenda for the Future. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 256-280.

Bartlett, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W., & Higgins, C. C. (2001). Organisational Research: Determining Appropriate Sample Size in Survey Research. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*, 19(1), 43-50.

- Baruch, Y. (1999). Response Rate in Academic Studies - A Comparative Analysis. *Human Relations*, 52(4), 421-438.
- Baruch, Y., & Holtom, B. C. (2008). Survey Response Rate Levels and Trends in Organisational Research. *Human Relations*, 61(8), 1139-1160.
- Bauly, J. A. (2004). *NPD in Manufacturing Companies - Use of Surveys to Determine Overall Status in a Country or Region, and Changes over Time*. Paper presented at the International Engineering Management Conference 2004.
- Beiner, S., Schmid, M. M., & Wanzenried, G. (2011). Product Market Competition, Managerial Incentives and Firm Valuation. *European Financial Management*, 17(2), 331-366.
- Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. L. (2003). Exploitation, Exploration, and Process Management: The Productivity Dilemma Revisited. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2), 238-256.
- Bergkvist, L. (2004). *Does Confidence Moderate or Predict Brand Attitude and Purchase Intention?* Retrieved June 8, 2014, from <http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3938&context=commpapers>
- Bhatnagar, J. (2006). Measuring Organisational Learning Capability in Indian Managers and Establishing Firm Performance Linkage. *The Learning Organisation*, 13(5), 416-433.
- Bhattacharya, S., Krishnan, V., & Mahajan, V. (1998). Managing New Product Definition in Highly Dynamic Environments. *Management Science*, 44(11-part-2), S50-S64.

- Bhuiyan, N., Gerwin, D., & Thomson, V. (2004). Simulation of the New Product Development Process for Performance Improvement. *Management Science*, 50(12), 1690-1703.
- Bhutto, A. (2005). Managing Interindustry Differences through Dynamic Capabilities: The Case Study of Nokia. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 2(3), 235-257.
- Biedenbach, T., & Soderholm, A. (2008). The Challenge of Organising Change in Hypercompetitive Industries: A Literature Review. *Journal of Change Management*, 8(2), 123-145.
- Birkinshaw, J., & Gibson, C. (2004). Building Ambidexterity into an Organisation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 47-55.
- Bitar, J. (2003). Strategy in Turbulent Environment Continuous Innovation and Generic Dynamic Capabilities (p. 1-27). Montréal.
- Blaikie, N. (2003). *Analysing Quantitative Data: From Description to Explanation*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Blaisdell, E. A. (1993). *Statistics in Practice*. Florida: Saunders College Publishing.
- Blaug, R., & Lekhi, R. (2009). *Accounting for Intangibles: Financial Reporting and Value Creation in the Knowledge Economy*. Work Foundation.
- BNM (October 31, 2011). *Economic and Financial Data for Malaysia*, Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM), Retrieved November 1, 2011, from <http://www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=111>

- Boccardelli, P., & Magnusson, M. G. (2006). Dynamic Capabilities in Early-Phase Entrepreneurship. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 13(3), 162-174.
- Bonabeau, E., Bodick, N., & Armstrong, R. W. (2008). A More Rational Approach to New-Product Development. *Harvard Business Review*, 96-102.
- Bontis, N., & Serenko, A. (2007). The Moderating Role of Human Capital Management Practices on Employee Capabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 11(3), 31-51.
- Botchkarev, A., Andru, P., & Chiong, R. (2011). A Return on Investment as a Metric for Evaluating Information Systems: Taxonomy and Application. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge & Management*, 6.
- Brentani, U. d., Kleinschmidt, E. J., & Salomo, S. (2010). Success in Global New Product Development: Impact of Strategy and the Behavioural Environment of the Firm. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27, 143-160.
- Brown, S. L., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (1995). Product Development: Past Research, Present Findings, and Future Directions. *The Academy of Management Review*, 20(2), 343-378.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Burdenski, T. (2000). Evaluating Univariate, Bivariate, and Multivariate Normality Using Graphical and Statistical Procedures. *Multiple Linear Regression Viewpoints*, 26(2), 15-28.

- Bustinza, O. F., Molina, L. M., & Arias-Aranda, D. (2010). Organisational Learning and Performance: Relationship between the Dynamic and the Operational Capabilities of the Firm. *Afr. J. Bus. Manage. Journal Business Management*, 4(18), 4067-4078.
- Calantone, R. J., Schmidt, J. B., & Song, X. M. (1996). Controllable Factors of New Product Success: A Cross-National Comparison. *Marketing Science*, 15(4), 341-358.
- Calantone, R., Garcia, R., & Droge, C. (2003). The Effects of Environmental Turbulence on New Product Development Strategy Planning. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 20, 90-103.
- Caminal, R., & Vives, X. (1996). Why market Shares Matter: An Information-Based Theory. *The RAND Journal of Economics*, 27(2), 221-239.
- Candes, E. J., Li, X., Ma, Y., & Wright, J. (2011). Robust Principal Component Analysis?. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 58(3), 11.
- Cao, Y., Zhao, L., & Nagahira, A. (2011). The Impact of Front End Innovation in New Product Development in Japanese Manufacturing Companies. *Nankai Business Review*, 2(1), 98-113.
- Carbonara, N., & Scozzi, B. (2006). Cognitive Maps to Analyse New Product Development Processes: A Case Study. *Technovation*, 26, 1233-1243.
- Cepeda, G., & Vera, D. (2007). Dynamic Capabilities and Operational Capabilities: A Knowledge Management Perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 60, 426-437.

- Chakravarthy, B. (1997). A New Strategy Framework for Coping with Turbulence. *Sloan Management Review*, 69-82.
- Chan, Y. H. (2003). Biostatistics 102: Quantitative Data-parametric & Non-Parametric Tests. *Blood Pressure*, 140(24.08), 79-00.
- Chandra, M., & Neelankavil, J. P. (2008). Product Development and Innovation for Developing Countries: Potential and Challenges. *Journal of Management Development*, 27(10), 1017-1025.
- Chen, E. L., & Katila, R. (2008). Rival Interpretations of Balancing Exploration and Exploitation: Simultaneous or Sequential? In S. Shane (Editor), *Handbook of Technology and Innovation Management* (pp. 197-214): John Wiley & Sons.
- Chen, H. (2002). Principal Component Analysis with Missing Data and Outliers. *Electrical and Computer Engineering Department Rutgers University*.
- Chen, H.-H., & Lee, P.-Y. (2009). The Driving Drivers of Dynamic Competitive Capabilities: A New Perspective on Competition. *European Business Review*, 21(1), 78-91.
- Chen, J., Reilly, R. R., & Lynn, G. S. (2005). The Impacts of Speed-to-Market on New Product Success: The Moderating Effects of Uncertainty. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 52(2), 199-212.
- Cheng, M. Y. (2003). Economic Fluctuations and Growth: an Empirical Study of the Malaysian Economy. *The Journal of Business in Developing Nations*, 7(1), 51-73.

- Cho, H.-J., & Pucik, V. (2005). Relationship between Innovativeness, Quality, Growth, Profitability, and Market Value. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26, 555-575.
- Chu, C.-P., Li, C.-R., & Lin, C.-J. (2011). The Joint Effect of Project-Level Exploratory and Exploitative Learning in New Product Development. *European Journal of Marketing*, 45(4), 531-550.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences* (2nd ed.). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cohen, J. D., McClure, S. M., & Angela, J. Y. (2007). Should I Stay or Should I Go? How the Human Brain Manages the Trade-off between Exploitation and Exploration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 362(1481), 933-942.
- Cohen, M. A., Eliashberg, J., & Ho, T.-H. (1996). New Product Development: The Performance and Time-to-Market Tradeoff. *Management Science* (42), 2.
- Comrey, A. L. (1985). A Method for Removing Outliers to Improve Factor Analytic Results. *Multivariate Behavioural Research*, 20(3), 273-281.
- Conover, W. J., & Iman, R. L. (1981). Rank Transformations as a Bridge between Parametric and Nonparametric Statistics. *The American Statistician*, 35(3), 124-129.
- Conway, J. M., & Huffcutt, A. I. (2003). A Review and Evaluation of Exploratory Factor Analysis Practices in Organisational Research. *Organisational Research Methods*, 6(2), 147-168.

- Cook, C., Heath, F., & Thompson, R. L. (2000). A Meta-Analysis of Response Rates in Web- or Internet-based Surveys. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60(6), 821-836.
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2008). *Business Research Methods* (10th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Cooper, R. G. (2005). Attention: Results are Down! Your NPD Portfolio may be Harmful to your Business's Health. *PMDA Visions*, XXIX(2), 3-13.
- Cooper, R. G., & Edgett, S. J. (2001). Portfolio Management for New Products: Picking the Winners. *Product Development Institute, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada*.
- Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. W. (2005). Best Practices in Exploratory Factor Analysis: Four Recommendations for Getting the Most from Your Analysis. *Practical Assessment Research & Evaluation*, 10(7), 1-9.
- Craig, A., & Hart, S. (1992). Where to Now in New Product Development Research? *European Journal of Marketing*, 26(11), 2-49.
- Crossan, M. M., & Berdrow, I. (2003). Organisational Learning and Strategic Renewal. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(11), 1087-1105.
- Crossan, M. M., Lane, H. W., & White, R. E. (1999). An Organisational Learning Framework: From Intuition to Institution. *The Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 522-537.
- Danneels, E. (2002). The Dynamics of Product Innovation and Firm Competences. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(12), 1095-1121.

- Danneels, E. (2008). Organisational Antecedents of Second-order Competences. *Strategic Management Journal*, 9(5), 519-543.
- Dawes, J. (2008). Do Data Characteristics Change According to the Number of Scale Points Used? *International Journal of Market Research*, 50(1), 62-77.
- Dawson, R. (2011). How Significant is a Boxplot Outlier. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 19(2), 1-12.
- De Clercq, D., Thongpapanl, N. T., & Dimov, D. (2013). Shedding New Light on the Relationship between Contextual Ambidexterity and Firm Performance: An Investigation of Internal Contingencies. *Technovation*, 33(4), 119-132.
- DeCoster, J. (1998). *Overview of Factor Analysis*, Retrieved Nov 24, 2012, from <http://www.stat-help.com/notes.html>
- Deeds, D. L., DeCarolis, D., & Coombs, J. (2000). Dynamic capabilities and New Product Development in High Technology Ventures: An Empirical Analysis of New Biotechnology Firms. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 15, 211-229.
- Deloitte, T. T. L. (2012). *2013 Global Manufacturing Competitiveness Index*, Retrieved July 21, 2013, from http://www.deloitte.com/assets/Dcom-Global/Local%20Assets/Documents/Manufacturing/dttl_2013%20Global%20Manufacturing%20Competitiveness%20Index_11_15_12.pdf
- Derichs, C. (2007). Malaysia in 2006: An Old Tiger Roars. *Asian Survey*, 47(1), 148-154.

- Devadason, E. S., & Meng, C. W. (2009). Globalization of the Malaysian Manufacturing Sector: An Agenda for Social Protection Enhancement. *International Journal of Economics & Finance, 1*(1).
- Dewar, R. D., & Dutton, J. E. (1986). The Adoption of Radical and Incremental Innovations: An Empirical Analysis. *Management Science, 32*(11), 1422-1433.
- Dhanani, S., O'Shaughnessy, N., & Louw, E. (1997). Marketing Practices of UK High Technology Firms. *Logistics Information Management, 10*(4), 160–166.
- DiStefano, G., Peteraf, M., & Verona, G. (2010). Dynamic Capabilities Deconstructed: A Bibliographic Investigation into the Origins, Development, and Future Directions of the Research Domain. *Industrial and Corporate Change, 19*(4), 1187-1204.
- Dodgson, M. (1993). Organisational Learning: A Review of Some Literatures. *Organisation Studies, 14*(3), 375-394.
- Droge, C., Calantone, R., & Harmancioglu, N. (2008). New Product Success: Is it Really Controllable by Managers in Highly Turbulent Environments? *Journal of Product Innovation Management, 25*, 272-286.
- Durisin, B., Calabretta, G., & Parmeggiani, V. (2010). The Intellectual Structure of Product Innovation Research: A Bibliometric Study of the Journal of Product Innovation Management, 1984-2004. *Journal of Product Innovation Management, 27*, 437-451.
- Dutta, S. (2009). Editor, *Previous Editions, Global Innovation Index Report 2008-2009*, INSEAD, Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://www.globalinnovationindex.org/gii/main/previous/2008-09/FullReport_08-09.pdf

- Dutta, S. (2010). Editor, *Previous Editions, Global Innovation Index Report 2009-2010*, INSEAD, Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://www.globalinnovationindex.org/gii/main/previous/2009-10/FullReport_09-10.pdf
- Dutta, S. (2011). Editor, *The Global Innovation Index 2011: Accelerating Growth and Development*, INSEAD, Retrieved October 31, 2011, from http://www.globalinnovationindex.org/gii/GII%20COMPLETE_PRINTWEB.pdf
- Dutta, S. (2012). Editor, *The Global Innovation Index 2012: Stronger Innovation Linkages for Global Growth*, INSEAD and WIPO 2012, Retrieved July 21, 2013, from http://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/freepublications/en/economics/gii/gii_2012.pdf
- Dutta, S., & Lanvin, B. (2013). Editors, *The Global Innovation Index 2013: The Local Dynamics of Innovation*, Cornell University, INSEAD, and WIPO 2013, Retrieved July 21, 2013, from <http://www.globalinnovationindex.org/content.aspx?page=gii-full-report-2013#pdfopener>
- Ebrahim, N. A., Ahmed, S., & Taha, Z. (2010). Critical Factors for New Product Developments in SMEs Virtual Team. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(11), 2247-2257.
- Edwards, P., Roberts, I., Clarke, M., DiGuseppi, C., Pratap, S., Wentz, R., & Kwan, I. (2002). Increasing Response Rates to Postal Questionnaires: Systematic Review. *BMJ*, 324(7347), 1183.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic Capabilities: What are They? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 1105-1121.

- Eisenhardt, K. M., Furr, N. R., & Bingham, C. B. (2010). Microfoundations of Performance: Balancing Efficiency and Flexibility in Dynamic Environments. *Organisation Science*, 21(6), 1263-1273.
- Eppinger, S. D., & Chitkara, A. R. (2006). The New Practice of Global Product Development. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 47(4), 21-30.
- Ernst & Young. (2011). *Winning in a Polycentric World: Globalization and the Changing World of Business*: Ernst & Young.
- Ernst & Young. (2012). *The World is Bumpy: Globalization and New Strategies for Growth*: Ernst & Young.
- Ernst, H. (2002). Success Factors of New Product Development: A Review of the Empirical Literature. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 4(1), 1-40.
- Fife-Schaw, C. (2006). Principles of Statistical Inference Tests. In G. M. Breakwell, S. Hammond, C. Fife-Schaw & J. A. Smith (Eds.), *Research Methods in Psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 388-413). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Fiol, C. M., & Lyles, M. A. (1985). Organisational Learning. *The Academy of Management Review*, 10(4), 803-813.
- Fischer, T., Gebauer, H., Gregory, M., Ren, G., & Fleisch, E. (2010). Exploitation or Exploration in Service Business Development? Insights from a Dynamic Capabilities Perspective. *Journal of Service Management*, 21(5), 591-624.
- Fiset, J., & Dostaler, I. (2013). Combining Old and New Tricks: Ambidexterity in Aerospace Design and Integration Teams. *Team Performance Management*, 19(7/8), 2-2.

- Floyd, S. W., & Lane, P. J. (2000). Strategizing throughout the Organisation: Managing Role Conflict in Strategic Renewal. *The Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 154-177.
- FMM (2011). *FMM Directory of Malaysian Industries 2011* (42nd ed.).
- Frankfort-Nachmias, C., & Leon-Guerrero, A. (2009). *Social Statistics for a Diverse Society* (5th ed.). California: Pine Forge Press.
- Gale, B. T. (1972). Market Share and Rate of Return. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 54(4), 412-23.
- Garcia, E. (2010). *A Tutorial on Correlation Coefficients*, Retrieved June 6, 2014, from <http://web.simmons.edu/~benoit/lis642/a-tutorial-on-correlation-coefficients.pdf>
- Garcia, R., & Calantone, R. (2002). A Critical Look at Technological Innovation Typology and Innovativeness Terminology: A Literature Review. *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 19, 110-132.
- Gay, L. R., & Diehl, P. L. (1992). *Research Methods for Business and Management*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Gerasymenko, V., & Arthurs, J. D. (2010). Venture Capitalists' Exit Strategy: A Dynamic Capabilities and Resource Dependence Perspective. *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*, 30(3), 1.
- Gibson, C. B., & Birkinshaw, J. (2004). The Antecedents, Consequences, and Mediating Role of Organisational Ambidexterity. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2), 209-226.

- Ginsberg, A., & Venkatraman, N. (1985). Contingency Perspectives of Organisational Strategy: A Critical Review of the Empirical Research. *The Academy of Management Review*, 10(3), 421-434.
- Gitlow, H.S., Oppenheim, A.J., Oppenheim, R. & Levine, D.M. (2005). Quality Management (3rd ed.). Boston: McGraw Hill International Edition.
- Gliem, J. A., & Gliem, R. R. (2003). Calculating, Interpreting, and Reporting Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficient for Likert-type Scales. Midwest Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education.
- Gonzales, F. J. M., & Palacios, T. M. B. (2002). The Effect of New Product Development Techniques on New Product Success in Spanish Firms. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31, 261-271.
- Gorsuch, R. L. (1983). *Factor Analysis* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Grant, R. M. (2003). Strategic Planning in a Turbulent Environment: Evidence from the Oil Majors. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(6), 491-517.
- Greve, H. R. (2007). Exploration and Exploitation in Product Innovation. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 1-31.
- Griffin, A. (1997). PDMA Research on New Product Development Practices: Updating Trends and Benchmarking Best Practices. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 14, 429-458.

- Griffin, A. (2002). Product Development Cycle Time for Business-to-Business Products. *International Marketing Management*, 31, 291-304.
- Grobler, A. (2007). A Dynamic View on Strategic Resources and Capabilities Applied to an Example from the Manufacturing Strategy Literature. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 18(3), 250-266.
- Groover, M. P. (2007). *Fundamentals of Modern Manufacturing* (3rd ed.). New York, USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Grubbs, F. E. (1969). Procedures for Detecting Outlying Observations in Samples. *Technometrics*, 11(1), 1-21.
- Gupta, A. K., Smith, K. G., & Shalley, C. E. (2006). The Interplay between Exploration and Exploitation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4), 693–706.
- Guttel, W. H., & Konlechner, S. W. (2010). *Dynamic Capability: On the Interplay between Routinized and Ad-hoc Change*. Paper presented at the Fourth International Conference on Organisational Routines ‘Organisational Routines between Change and Stability: Linking Empirical Research to Recent Theoretical Debates’, Nice, France.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1992). *Multivariate Data Analysis with Readings* (3rd ed.). New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1995). *Multivariate Data Analysis with Readings* (4th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

- Hamburg, M. (1983). *Statistical Analysis for Decision Making* (3rd ed.). New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Hamburg, M. (1987). *Statistical Analysis for Decision Making* (4th ed.). Florida: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Hammond, S. (2006). Using Psychometric Tests. In G. M. Breakwell, S. Hammond, C. Fife-Schaw & J. A. Smith (Eds.), *Research Methods in Psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 182-209). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Harmancioglu, N., McNally, R. C., Calantone, R. J., & Durmusoglu, S. S. (2007). Your New Product Development (NPD) is only as good as Your Process: An Exploratory Analysis of New NPD Process Design and Implementation. *R&D Management*, 37(5), 399-424.
- Harreld, J.B., O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2007). Dynamic Capabilities at IBM: Driving Strategy into Action. *California Management Review*, 49(4), 21-43.
- He, Z.-L., & Wong, P.-K. (2004). Exploration vs. Exploitation: An Empirical Test of the Ambidexterity Hypothesis. *Organisation Science*, 15(4), 481-494.
- Helfat, C. E. (1997). Know-How and Asset Complementarity and Dynamic Capability Accumulation: The Case of R&D. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(5), 339-360.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The Dynamic Resource-based View: Capability Lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24, 997-1010.

- Helfat, C. E., Finkelstein, S., Mitchell, W., Peteraf, M. A., Singh, H., Teece, D. J., & Winter, S. G. (2007). *Dynamic Capabilities: Understanding Strategic Change in Organisations*. USA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Hezri, A. A., & Hasan, M. N. (2006). Towards Sustainable Development? The Evolution of Environmental Policy in Malaysia. *Natural Resources Forum*, 30, 37-50.
- Hinkle, D. E., Wiersma, W., & Jurs, S. G. (2003). *Applied Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences* (5th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2005). *Strategic Management: Competitiveness and Globalization (Concepts and Cases)* (6th ed.). Ohio: Thomson South-Western.
- Ho, Y.-C., & Tsai, T.-H. (2006). The Impact of Dynamic Capabilities with Market Orientation and Resource-Based Approaches on NPD Project Performance. *Journal of American Academy of Business*, 8(1), 215-229.
- Hoang, H., & Rothaermel, F. T. (2010). Leveraging Internal and External Experience: Exploration, Exploitation, and R&D Project Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31, 734-758.
- Hodge, V. J., & Austin, J. (2004). A Survey of Outlier Detection Methodologies. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 22(2), 85-126.
- Hohenegger, J., Bufardi, A., & Xirouchakis, P. (2007). A New Concept of Compatibility Structure in New Product Development. *J. Adv. Eng. Informatics*, 21(1), 101-116.

- Holmqvist, M. (2004). Experiential Learning Processes of Exploitation and Exploration within and between Organisations: An Empirical Study of Product Development. *Organisation Science*, 15(1), 70-81.
- Iacobucci, D., & Duhachek, A. (2003). Advancing Alpha: Measuring Reliability with Confidence. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 13(4), 478-487.
- Ianchovichina, E., Ivanic, M., & Martin, W. (2010). The Growth of China and India: Implications and Policy Reform Options for Malaysia. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*, 117-141.
- IMD (2011). *The World Competitiveness Scoreboard 2011*, IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook, Retrieved November 1, 2011, from <http://www.imd.org/research/publications/wcy/upload/scoreboard.pdf>
- IMD (May 13, 2005). Press Release, *Publication: IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook 2005*, Retrieved November 1, 2011, from <http://www.imd.org/about/pressroom/pressreleases/upload/PR-WCY2005.pdf>
- Indu, P. V., Remadevi, S., Vidhukumar, K., Anilkumar, T. V., & Subha, N. (2011). Development and Validation of the Domestic Violence Questionnaire in Married Women aged 18-55 Years. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 53(3), 218-223.
- Ireland, R. D., & Webb, J. W. (2007). Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating Competitive Advantage through Streams of Innovation. *Business Horizons*, 50(1), 49-5
- Ishikawa, A., Fujimoto, S., & Tomoyose, M. (2010). The Difference of Growth Rate Distributions between Sales and Profits. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 221, No. 1, p. 012007). IOP Publishing.

- Islam, M. Z., Doshi, J. A., Mahtab, H., & Ahmad, Z. A. (2009). Team Learning, Top Management Support and New Product Development Success. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 2(2), 238-260.
- Ittner, C. D., & Larcker, D. F. (1998). Are Nonfinancial Measures Leading Indicators of Financial Performance? An Analysis of Customer Satisfaction. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 36, 1-35.
- Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., & Meyer, M. W. (2003). Subjectivity and the Weighting of Performance Measures: Evidence from a Balanced Scorecard. *The Accounting Review*, 78(3), 725-758.
- Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., & Randall, T. (2003). Performance Implications of Strategic Performance Measurement in Financial Services Firms. *Accounting, Organisations and Society*, 28, 715-741.
- Jabar, J., Soosay, C., & Santa, R. (2011). Organisational Learning as an Antecedent of Technology Transfer and New Product Development: A Study of Manufacturing Firms in Malaysia. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 22(1), 25-45.
- Jacobson, R., & Aaker, D. A. (1987). The Strategic Role of Product Quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 51, 31-44.
- Jajri, I., & Ismail, R. (2007). Technical Efficiency, Technological Change and Total Factor Productivity Growth in Malaysian Manufacturing Sector. *ICFAI Journal of Industrial Economics*, 4(4).
- Jamaliah, W., & Zain, N. M. (1999). Propensity of Product Innovation in Malaysian Manufacturing Companies. *AAM Journal*, 4(1), 17-33.

- Jansen, J. J. P., Bosch, F. A. J. V. d., & Volberda, H. W. (2005). Exploratory Innovation, Exploitative Innovation, and Ambidexterity: The Impact of Environmental and Organisational Antecedents. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 57, 351-363.
- Jansen, J. J. P., Bosch, F. A. J. V. d., & Volberda, H. W. (2006). Exploratory Innovation, Exploitative Innovation, and Performance: Effects of Organisational Antecedents and Environmental. *Management Science*.
- Jansen, J. J. P., Tempelaar, M. P., Bosch, F. A. J. V. d., & Volberda, H. W. (2009). Structural Differentiation and Ambidexterity: The Mediating Role of Integration Mechanisms. *Organisation Science*, 1-15.
- Jaruzelski, B., & Mainardi, C. R. (2011). *The World's 10 Most Innovative Companies, and How They Do It*, Retrieved October 25, 2011, from <http://www.forbes.com/2011/04/04/10-top-innovative-companies-apple-google-leadership-managing-how.html>
- Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (1993). Market Orientation: Antecedents and Consequences. *Journal of Marketing*, 57, 53-70.
- Jiang, Y., Cukic, B., & Menzies, T. (2008). *Can Data Transformation Help in the Detection of Fault-prone Modules?* Paper presented at the DEFECTS'08, Seattle, Washington.
- Jolliffe, I. T. (2002). *Principal Component Analysis*. Springer: London.
- JPM (June 11, 2014). *Monthly Manufacturing Statistics Malaysia, April 2014*, Jabatan Perangkaan Malaysia (JPM), Retrieved June 11, 2014, from

http://www.statistics.gov.my/portal/images/stories/files/LatestReleases/mms/2014/MMS_Apr14BI.pdf

- Julian, C. C., & Ahmed, Z. U. (2009). Doing Business in Malaysia. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 51(1), 53-69.
- Kalpakkian, S., & Schmid, S. (2006). *Manufacturing Engineering and Technology* (5th ed.). Singapore: Prentice Hall.
- Kaplan, R. S. (2008). Conceptual Foundations of the Balanced Scorecard. *Handbooks of Management Accounting Research*, 3, 1253-1269.
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). *Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into Tangible Outcomes*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Katila, R., & Ahuja, G. (2002). Something Old, Something New: A Longitudinal Study of Search Behaviour and New Product Introduction. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 45(6), 1183-1194.
- Katkalo, V. S., Pitelis, C. N., & Teece, D. J. (2010). Introduction: On the Nature and Scope of Dynamic Capabilities. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 19(4), 1175-1186.
- Kauppila, O. P. (2010). Creating Ambidexterity by Integrating and Balancing Structurally Separate Interorganisational Partnerships. *Strategic Organisation*, 8(4), 283-312.
- Kay, N. M. (2010). Dynamic Capabilities as Context: The Role of Decision, System and Structure. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 19(4), 1205-1223.

- Kelley, K., Clark, B., Brown, V., & Sitzia, J. (2003). Good Practice in the Conduct and Reporting of Survey Research. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 15(3), 261-266.
- Kihn, L. (2005). Comparing Performance Measurement approaches in Accounting Research. *Liiketaloudellinen Aikakauskirja*, 2, 143.
- Kim, B., & Kim, J. (2009). Structural Factors of NPD (New Product Development) Team for Manufacturability. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27, 690-702.
- Kim, J.-Y., Wong, V., & Eng, T.-Y. (2005). Product Variety Strategy for Improving New Product Development Proficiencies. *Technovation*, 25, 1001-1015.
- Kim, N., & Atuahene-Gima, K. (2010). Using Exploratory and Exploitative Market Learning for New Product Development. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27, 519-536.
- Kloot, L. (1997). Organisational Learning and Management Control Systems: Responding to Environmental Change. *Management Accounting Research*, 8(1), 47-73.
- Kohli, A. K., & Jaworski, B. J. (1990). Market Orientation: The Construct, Research Propositions, and Managerial Implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 54, 1-18.
- Kowang, T. O., Rasli, A., & Long, C. S. (2014). New Product Development in Malaysia: Does Organisational Background Really Matter? *Jurnal Teknologi*, 68(3).

- Krasnikov, A., & Jayachandran, S. (2008). The Relative Impact of Marketing, Research-and-Development, and Operations Capabilities on Firm Performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 72, 1-11.
- Krishnan, V., & Ulrich, K. T. (2001). Product Development Decisions: A Review of the Literature. *Management Science*, 47(1), 1-21.
- Kroll, M., Wright, P., & Heiens, R. A. (1999). The Contribution of Product Quality to Competitive Advantage: Impacts on Systematic Variance and Unexplained Variance in Returns. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(4), 375-384.
- Kusunoki, K., Nonaka, I., & Nagata, A. (1998). Organisational Capabilities in Product Development of Japanese Firms: A Conceptual Framework and Empirical Findings. *Organisation Science*, 9(6), 699-718.
- Kylaheiko, K., & Sandstrom, J. (2007). Strategic Options-based Framework for Management of Dynamic Capabilities in Manufacturing Firms. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 18(8), 966-984.
- Kyriakopoulos, K., & Moorman, C. (2004). Tradeoffs on Marketing Exploitation and Exploration Strategies: The Overlooked Role of Market Orientation. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 21, 219-240.
- Lam, A. (2004). Organisational Innovation (pp. 1-44). London.
- Langerak, F., & Hultink, E. J. (2006). The Impact of Product Innovativeness on the Link between Development Speed and New Product Profitability. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 23, 203-214.

- Latham, B. (2007). *Sampling: What is it?* Quantitative Research Methods. Retrieved July 3, 2014, from [http://webpages.acs.ttu.edu/rlatham/Coursework/5377\(Quant\)\)/Sampling_Methodology_Paper.pdf](http://webpages.acs.ttu.edu/rlatham/Coursework/5377(Quant))/Sampling_Methodology_Paper.pdf)
- Latib, M. R. A. (2011). *Critical Success Factors in New Product Development: A Survey in Malaysian Manufacturing Industry* (Masters thesis, Universiti Utara Malaysia).
- Lawson, B., & Samson, D. (2001). Developing Innovation Capability in Organisations: A Dynamic Capabilities Approach. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 5(3), 377-400.
- Lazim, H. M. (2011). *Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) Practices and Manufacturing Performance: The Moderating Effect of Types of Production Processes* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Sains Malaysia).
- Lee, C.-Y., Wu, H.-L., & Liu, C.-Y. (2012). Contextual Determinants of Ambidextrous Learning: Evidence from Industrial Firms in Four Industrialized Countries. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 1-12.
- Leonard-Barton, D. (1992). Core Capabilities and Core Rigidities: A Paradox in Managing New Product Development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 111-125.
- Levin, D. Z. (2000). Organisational Learning and the Transfer of Knowledge: An Investigation of Quality Improvement. *Organisation Science*, 11(6), 630-647.
- Levinthal, D. A., & March, J. G. (1993). The Myopia of Learning. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14, 5-112.

- Levinthal, D., & March, J. G. (1981). A Model of Adaptive Organisational Search. *Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organisation*, 2, 307-333.
- Levitt, B., & March, J. G. (1988). Organisational Learning. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 14, 319-340.
- Li, C. R., Lin, C. J., & Chu, C. P. (2008). The Nature of Market Orientation and the Ambidexterity of Innovations. *Management Decision*, 46(7), 1002-1026.
- Li, C.-R., Chu, C.-P., & Lin, C.-J. (2010). The Contingent Value of Exploratory and Exploitative Learning for New Product Development Performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39, 1186-1197.
- Li, Y., Vanhaverbeke, W., & Schoemakers, W. (2008). Exploration and Exploitation in Innovation: Reframing the Interpretation. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 17(2), 107-126.
- Liao, J. J., Kickul, J. R., & Ma, H. (2009). Organisational Dynamic Capability and Innovation: An Empirical Examination of Internet Firms. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 47(3), 263-286.
- Liao, S.-h., Fei, W.-C., & Liu, C.-T. (2008). Relationships between Knowledge Inertia, Organisational Learning and Organisational Innovation. *Technovation*, 28, 183-195.
- Lichtenthaler, U. (2009). Absorptive Capacity, Environmental Turbulence, and the Complementarity of Organisational Learning Processes. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4), 822-846.

- Lin, K.-H., & Peng, Y.-P. (2012). *The Influence of Organisational Capabilities on Organisational Tensions: An Ambidexterity Perspective*. Paper presented at the Academy of International Business Southeast Asia Regional Conference, Xiamen, China.
- Litwin, M. S. (1995). *How to Measure Survey Reliability and Validity: Learning Objectives*. California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Liu, W. (2006). Knowledge Exploitation, Knowledge Exploration, and Competency Trap. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 13(3), 144-161.
- Loasby, B. J. (2010). Capabilities and Strategy: Problems and Prospects. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 19(4), 1301-1316.
- Loderer, C., & Waelchli, U. (2009). *Firm Age and Performance*, Retrieved November 13, 2011, from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1342248
- Lukas, B. A., & Menon, A. (2004). New Product Quality: Intended and Unintended Consequences of New Product Development Speed. *Journal of Business Research*, 57, 1258-1264.
- Luzon, M. D. M., & Pasola, J. V. (2011). Ambidexterity and Total Quality Management: Towards a Research Agenda. *Management Decision*, 49(6), 927-947.
- MacCallum, R. C., Zhang, S., Preacher, K. J., & Rucker, D. D. (2002). On The Practice of Dichotomization of Quantitative Variables. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 19.

- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and Exploitation in Organisational Learning. *Organisation Science*, 2(1), 71-87.
- Marsh, S. J., & Stock, G. N. (2003). Building Dynamic Capabilities in New Product Development through Intertemporal Integration. *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 20, 136–148.
- Marsh, S. J., & Stock, G. N. (2006). Creating Dynamic Capability: The Role of Intertemporal Integration, Knowledge Retention, and Interpretation. *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 23(5), 422-436.
- Martin, C. C. (2013). *Critical Success Factors for New Product Development in Hard Disc Drive Manufacturer in Malaysia*, Retrieved June 4, 2014, from <http://razakschool.utm.my/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CRITICAL-SUCCESS-FACTORS-FOR-NEW-PRODUCT-DEVELOPMENT-IN-HARD-DISC-DRIVE-MANUFACTURER-IN-MALAYSIA.pdf>.
- Mason, R. B. (2007). The External Environment's Effect on Management and Strategy: A Complexity Theory Approach. *Management Decision*, 45(1), 10-28.
- MASTIC (2011). *National Survey of Innovation 2002-2004*, Official Portal, Malaysian Science and Technology Information Centre (MASTIC), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from <http://www.mastic.gov.my/servlets/sfs?s=TUx0VY0YVHHasTvr0A&t=/contentManager/onStory&i=1108620651187&b=1108620651187&l=0&e=UTF-8&StoryID=1188464282562&ParentID=1116297677695>
- Mat, A., & Razak, R. C. (2011). The Influence of Organisational Learning Capability on Success of Technological Innovation (Product) Implementation with

Moderating Effect of Knowledge Complexity. *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci*, 2(17), 217-225.

Mat, N., & Jantan, M. (2009). Trust and Coordination in Cross-Functional New Product Development (NPD) Teams and the Effects on New Product Development Performance: The Malaysian Perspective. *International Journal of Management and Innovation*, 1(2), 72-89.

Matsunaga, M. (2010). How to Factor-Analyse Your Data Right: Do's, Don'ts, and How-To's. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(1), 97-110.

McGuire, J. B., Sundgren, A., & Schneeweis, T. (1988). Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Financial Performance. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 31(4), 854-872.

McNally, R. C., Cavusgil, E., & Calantone, R. J. (2010). Product Innovativeness Dimensions and Their Relationships with Product Advantage, Product Financial Performance, and Project Protocol. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27, 991-1006.

Menguc, B., & Auh, S. (2006). Creating a Firm-level Dynamic Capability through Capitalizing on Market Orientation and Innovativeness. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(1), 63-73.

Menon, A., Chowdhury, J., & Lukas, B. A. (2002). Antecedents and Outcomes of New Product Development Speed: An Interdisciplinary Conceptual Framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31, 317-328.

- MIDA (2011). *Industries in Malaysia*, Official Website, Malaysian Investment Development Authority (MIDA), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from <http://www.mida.gov.my/env3/index.php?page=industries-in-malaysia>
- Miller, D. (1987). The Structural and Environmental Correlates of Business Strategy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 8(1), 55-76.
- Millson, M. R., & Wilemon, D. (2008). Impact of New Product Development (NPD) Proficiency and NPD Entry Strategies on Product Quality and Risk. 491-509.
- MITI (2011). *Investment in the Manufacturing and Services Sectors*, Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://www.miti.gov.my/cms/documentstorage/com.tms.cms.document.Document_766a7ef5-c0a81573-2d952d95-a21d79d8/Chap%204.pdf
- MITI (n.d.). *Manufacturing*, Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), Retrieved June 11, 2014, from http://www.miti.gov.my/cms/content.jsp?id=com.tms.cms.section.Section_44b61991-c0a8156f-628f628f-841b8080
- MITI (September 16, 2009). *Third Industrial Master Plan (IMP3)*, Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), Retrieved November 1, 2011, from http://www.miti.gov.my/cms/content.jsp?id=com.tms.cms.section.Section_8ab58e8f-7f000010-72f772f7-dbf00272&rootid=com.tms.cms.section.Section_8ab4ec2a-7f000010-72f772f7-d6f41129
- Mohamed, C. (2011). The Impact of Knowledge-based Economy on the Development of the Innovation in Services: Case of Algerian Banks and Insurance Companies. *IBIMA Business Review*, 1-9.

- Mohammadjafari, M., Dawal, S. Z. M., Ahmed, S., & Zayandehroodi, H. (2011). Toward a Theoretical Concept of E-Collaboration through Project Management in SMEs for Reducing Time and Cost in New Product: A Review. *Journal of Applied Science*, 11(1), 174-182.
- Mokhtar, S. S. M., & Yusof, R. Z. (2010). The Influence of Top Management Commitment, Process Quality Management and Quality Design on New Product Performance: A Case of Malaysian Manufacturers. *Total Quality Management*, 21(3), 291-300.
- Molina-Castillo, F.-J., Jimenez-Jimenez, D., & Munuera-Aleman, J.-L. (2011). Product Competence Exploitation and Exploration Strategies: The Impact on New Product Performance through Quality and Innovativeness. *Industrial Marketing Management*.
- Mom, T. J. M., Bosch, F. A. J. V. D., & Volberda, H. W. (2007). Investigating Managers' Exploration and Exploitation Activities: The Influence of Top-Down, Bottom-Up, and Horizontal Knowledge Inflows. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(6), 910-931.
- Mom, T. J. M., Bosch, F. A. J. V. D., & Volberda, H. W. (2009). Understanding Variation in Managers' Ambidexterity: Investigating Direct and Interaction Effects of Formal Structural and Personal Coordination Mechanisms. *Organisation Science*, 20(4), 812-828.
- Moorman, C. (1995). Organisational Market Information Processes: Cultural Antecedents and New Product Outcomes. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 32(3), 318-335.

- MOSTI (2011). *National Innovation Model*, Majlis Inovasi Negara, Official Portal, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from <http://www.mosti.gov.my/mosti/images/pdf/innovation%20model%20jtpin.pdf>
- MOSTI (August 17, 2011). *Innofund*, Official Portal, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://www.mosti.gov.my/mosti/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1324&Itemid=510
- MOSTI (August 8, 2011). *Technofund*, Official Portal, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://www.mosti.gov.my/mosti/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1325&Itemid=511
- Nachar, N. (2008). The Mann-Whitney U: A Test for Assessing Whether Two Independent Samples Come from the Same Distribution. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*, 4(1), 13-20.
- Nardi, P. M. (2003). *Doing Survey Research: A Guide to Quantitative Methods*. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Neely, A. (2002). *Business Performance Measurement: Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nelson, R. R., & Winter, S. G. (1982). *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Ngui, K. S., Songan, P., & Hong, K. S. (2008). Organisational Learning Capability of Malaysia SMEs: Examining the Effects of Entrepreneurial Orientation and

- Human-Capital Development Practices. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, 7(4), 219-230.
- Nicholas, T. (2012). *Technology, Innovation and Economic Growth in Britain since 1870* (No. 13-032). Harvard Business School Working Paper.
- Nobeoka, K., & Cusumano, M. A. (1997). Multiproject Strategy and Sales Growth: The Benefits of Rapid Design Transfer in New Product Development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(3), 169-186.
- Noymer, A. (2008). Alpha, Significance Level of Test. In P. Lavrakas (Editor), *Encyclopaedia of Survey Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Nugroho, G. (n.d.). An Overview of ASEAN States' RTA Strategy, Retrieved June 11, 2014, from http://www.kikou.waseda.ac.jp/wojuss/achievements/report/pdf/report_1/i2-3.pdf
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- O'Connor, G. C. (2008). Major Innovation as a Dynamic Capability: A Systems Approach. *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 25, 313-330.
- O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2004). The Ambidextrous Organisation. *Harvard Business Review*.
- O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2008). Ambidexterity as a Dynamic Capability: Resolving the Innovator's Dilemma. *Research in Organisational Behaviour*, 28, 185-206.

- O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organisational Ambidexterity: Past, Present, and Future. *The Academy of Management Perspectives (in press)*, 1-30.
- Omar, N., Sulaiman, S., Hui, W.S., Rahman, I. K. A., & Hamood, H. H. (2015). Target Costing Implementation and Organisational Capabilities: An Empirical Evidence of Selected Asian Countries. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 3(2), 201-206.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Johnson, R. B. (2006). The Validity Issue in Mixed Research. *Research in the Schools*, 13(1), 48-63.
- Osterlind, S. J. (2010). *Modern Measurement: Theory, Principles, and Applications of Mental Appraisal* (2nd ed.). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Owens, J. D. (2007). Why do Some UK SMEs Still Find the Implementation of a New Product Development Process Problematical? An Exploratory Investigation. *Management Decision*, 45(2), 235-251.
- Ozer, M., & Cebeci, U. (2010). The Role of Globalization in New Product Development. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 57(2), 168-180.
- Page, A. L. (1993). Assessing New Product Development Practices and Performance: Establishing Crucial Norms. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 10, 273-290.
- Page, A. L., & Schirr, G. R. (2008). Growth and Development of a Body of Knowledge: 16 Years of New Product Development Research, 1989-2004. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 25, 233-248.
- Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS Survival Manual* (3rd ed.). England: McGraw-Hill Education.

- Park, H. S., Dailey, R., & Lemus, D. (2002). The use of Exploratory Factor Analysis and Principal Components Analysis in Communication Research. *Human Communication Research*, 28(4), 562-577.
- Patterson, M. L. (1998). From Experience: Linking Product Innovation to Business Growth. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 15(5), 390-402.
- Paul, R. K. (2006). *Multicollinearity: Causes, Effects and Remedies*, Retrieved June 8, 2014, from <http://www.iasri.res.in/seminar/AS-299/ebooks%5C2005-2006%5CMsc%5Ctrim2%5C3.%20Multicollinearity%20Causes,Effects%2and%20Remedies-Ranjit.pdf>
- Pavlou, P. A., & Sawy, O. A. E. (2011). Understanding the Elusive Black Box of Dynamic Capabilities. *Decision Sciences*, 42(1), 239-273.
- Pearl, J. (2009). Causal Inference in Statistics: An Overview. *Statistics Surveys*, 3, 96-146.
- PEMANDU (2011). *Overview of the National Key Economic Areas*, Economic Transformation Programme, Performance Management and Development Unit (PEMANDU), Retrieved October 25, 2011, from http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/Overview_of_NKEAs_-@-Overview_of_NKEAs.aspx
- Pfaffenberger, R. C., & Patterson, J. H. (1981). *Statistical Methods: For Business and Economics* (Revised ed.). Illinois: Richard D. Irwin, Inc.
- Ping, L. P., & Yean, T. S. (2007). Malaysia Ten Years after the Asian Financial Crisis. *Asian Survey*, 47(6), 915-929.

- Pison, G., Rousseeuw, P. J., Filzmoser, P., & Croux, C. (2003). Robust Factor Analysis. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 84, 145–172.
- Pitelis, C. N., & Teece, D. J. (2010). Cross-border Market Co-creation, Dynamic Capabilities and the Entrepreneurial Theory of the Multinational Enterprise. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 19(4), 1247-1270.
- Porter, M. E. (2008). The Five Competitive Forces That Shape Strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, 1-18.
- Price, I. (2000). *Determining if Skewness and Kurtosis are Significantly Non-normal*, Retrieved November 18, 2012, from http://www.une.edu.au/WebStat/unit_materials/c4_descriptive_statistics/determine_skew_kurt.html
- Priem, R. L., Rasheed, A. M., & Kotulic, A. G. (1995). Rationality in Strategic Decision Processes, Environmental Dynamism and Firm Performance. *Journal of Management*, 21(5), 913-929.
- Protogerou, A., Caloghirou, Y., & Lioukas, S. (2012). Dynamic Capabilities and Their Indirect Impact on Firm Performance. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 21(3), 615-647.
- Rahaman, M. N. A., & Muhamad, M. R. (2004). The Winning New Product Strategy: Market or Technology Driven?. In *IEEE International Engineering Management Conference*.
- Raisch, S., & Birkinshaw, J. (2008). Organisational Ambidexterity: Antecedents, Outcomes, and Moderators. *Journal of Management*, 34(3), 375-409.

- Raisch, S., Birkinshaw, J., Probst, G., & Tushman, M. L. (2009). Organisational Ambidexterity: Balancing Exploitation and Exploration for Sustained Performance. *Organisation Science*, 20(4), 685-695.
- Ramasamy, B., & Yeung, M. (2007). Malaysia - Trade Policy Review 2006. *The World Economy*, 1193-1208.
- Ramaseshan, B., Caruana, A., & Pang, L. S. (2002). The Effect of Market Orientation on New Product Performance: a Study among Singaporean Firms. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 11(6), 399-409.
- Ramayah, T., Sulaiman, M., Jantan, M., & Ching, N. G. (2004). Organisational Learning, Proprietary Technology, and Manufacturing Performance: A Glimpse from the Malaysian Manufacturing Firms. *International Journal of Innovation and Incubation*, 1(1), 63-90.
- Rattray, J., & Jones, M. C. (2007). Essential Elements of Questionnaire Design and Development. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 16(2), 234-243.
- Rauniar, R., Doll, W., Rawski, G., & Hong, P. (2008). The Role of Heavyweight Product Manager in New Product Development. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 28(2), 130-154.
- Razali, N. M., & Wah, Y. B. (2011). Power Comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors, and Anderson-Darling Tests. *Journal of Statistical Modelling and Analytics*, 2(1), 21-33.
- Richard, P. J., Devinney, T. M., Yip, G. S., & Johnson, G. (2009). Measuring Organisational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice. *Journal of Management*, 35(3), 718-804.

- Rijsdijk, S. A., Langerak, F., & Hultink, E. J. (2011). Understanding a Two-Sided Coin: Antecedents and Consequences of a Decomposed Product Advantage. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 28, 33-47.
- RMK-10 (2010). Rancangan Malaysia ke-10. *Unit Perancang Ekonomi, Jabatan Perdana Menteri*, Retrieved May 28, 2014, from http://www.pmo.gov.my/dokumenattached/RMK/RMK10_Mds.pdf.
- Robbins, P., & O’Gorman, C. (2014). *How having an innovation strategy and process can improve NPD outcomes for Irish SME’s*, Retrieved June 5, 2014, from http://ispim.org/files/2014_dublin_proceedings/575622400_Paper.pdf
- Rodan, S. (2005). Exploration and Exploitation Revisited: Extending March’s Model of Mutual Learning. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 21(4), 407-428.
- Roper, S. (1999). Under-reporting of R&D in Small Firms: The Impact on International R&D Comparisons. *Small Business Economics*, 12(2), 131-135.
- Rosli, M. M. (2012). Competitive Strategy of Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises: An Exploratory Investigation. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 2(1), 93-105.
- Rosli, M. M., & Sidek, S. (2013). Innovation and Firm Performance: Evidence from Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises. *Entrepreneurship Vision 2020: Innovation, Development Sustainability, and Economic Growth*, 794-809.
- Rothaermel, F. T., & Alexandre, M. T. (2009). Ambidexterity in Technology Sourcing: The Moderating Role of Absorptive Capacity. *Organisation Science*, 20(4), 759–780.

- Rothaermel, F. T., & Deeds, D. L. (2004). Exploration and Exploitation Alliances in Biotechnology: A System of New Product Development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25, 201-221.
- Royston, P. (1982). An Extension of Shapiro and Wilk's W Test for Normality to Large Samples. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 31(2), 115-124.
- Royston, P. (1995). Remark AS R94: A Remark on Algorithm AS 181: The W-test for Normality. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 44(4), 547-551.
- Rugman, A. M., & Verbeke, A. (2002). Edith Penrose's Contribution to the Resource-Based View of Strategic Management. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Saban, K., Lanasa, J., Lackman, C., & Peace, G. (2000). Organisational Learning: A Critical Component to New Product Development. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 9(2), 99-119.
- Sabherwal, R., Hirschheim, R., & Goles, T. (2001). The Dynamics of Alignment: Insights from a Punctuated Equilibrium Model. *Organisation Science*, 12(2), 179-197.
- Salant, P., & Dillmant, D. A. (1994). *How to Conduct Your Own Survey*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Salomo, S., Talke, K., & Strecker, N. (2008). Innovation Field Orientation and Its Effect on Innovativeness and Firm Performance. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 25, 560-576.

- Schoonhoven, C. B. (1981). Problems with Contingency Theory: Testing Assumptions Hidden within the Language of Contingency "Theory". *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(3), 349-377.
- Schudy, C. A. J. (2010). *Contextual Ambidexterity in Organisations: Antecedents and Performance Consequences* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of St. Gallen, Bamberg).
- Segerstrom, P. S. (1991). Innovation, Imitation, and Economic Growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 807-827.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Shamshurin, A. (2011). *Beyond Lean Manufacturing: The Productivity, Innovator's and Productivity Dilemmas Resolved* (Doctoral dissertation, RMIT University).
- Sharma, S., Durand, R. M., & Gur-Arie, O. (1981). Identification and Analysis of Moderator Variables. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 291-300.
- Sharpe, A., & Currie, I. (2008). *Competitive Intensity as Driver of Innovation and Productivity Growth: A Synthesis of the Literature* (No. 2008-03). Centre for the Study of Living Standards.
- Shlens, J. (2005). A Tutorial on Principal Component Analysis. *Systems Neurobiology Laboratory, University of California at San Diego*, 82.
- Shrivastava, P. (1983). A Typology of Organisational Learning Systems. *Journal of Management Studies*, 20(1), 7-28.

- Sian, F., Chuan, S., Kai, B., Chen, S., & Chen, B. (2010). Culture and Consumer Behaviour: Comparisons between Malays and Chinese in Malaysia. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, 1(2), 180-185.
- Sijtsma, K. (2009). On the Use, the Misuse, and the Very Limited Usefulness of Cronbach's Alpha. *Psychometrika*, 74(1), 107-120.
- Sim, J., & Arnell, P. (1993). Measurement Validity in Physical Therapy Research. *Physical Therapy*, 73(2), 102-110.
- Simsek, Z., Heavey, C., Veiga, J. F., & Souder, D. (2009). A Typology for Aligning Organisational Ambidexterity's Conceptualizations, Antecedents, and Outcomes. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(5), 864-894.
- Sirmon, D. G., Hitt, M. A., & Ireland, R. D. (2007). Managing Firm Resources in Dynamic Environments to Create Value: Looking Inside the Black Box. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(1), 273-292.
- SMECorp (September 24, 2013). *SME Annual Report 2012/13: Embracing Changes*, National SME Development Council, Retrieved June 11, 2014, from http://www.smecorp.gov.my/vn2/sites/default/files/chapter%202_2.pdf
- Smith, G. F. (2000). Too Many Types of Quality Problems: Categorizing Your problems in Solution Relevant Ways. *Quality Progress*, 43-49.
- Song, M., & Montoya-Weiss, M. M. (2001). The Effect of Perceived Technological Uncertainty on Japanese New Product Development. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1), 61-80.

- Song, X. M, Montoya-Weiss, M. M., & Schmidt, J. B. (1997). Antecedents and Consequences of Cross-Functional Cooperation: A Comparison of R&D, Manufacturing, and Marketing Perspectives. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 14(1), 35-47.
- Song, X. M., & Montoya-Weiss, M. M. (1998). Critical Development Activities for Really New versus Incremental Products. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 15, 124-135.
- Sorensen, J. B., & Stuart, T. E. (2000). Aging, Obsolescence, and Organisational Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(1), 81-112.
- Sounder, W. E., Sherman, J. D., & Davies-Cooper, R. (1998). Environmental Uncertainty, Organisational Integration, and New Product Development Effectiveness: A Test of Contingency Theory. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 15, 520-533.
- Spanjol, J., Qualls, W. J., & Rosa, J. A. (2011). How Many and What Kind? The Role of Strategic Orientation in New Product Ideation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 28(2), 236-250.
- Stoop, I., Billiet, J., Koch, A., & Fitzgerald, R. (2010). *Improving Survey Response: Lessons Learned from the European Social Survey*. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Sturgis, P. (2006). Surveys and Sampling. In G. M. Breakwell, S. Hammond, C. Fife-Schaw & J. A. Smith (Eds.), *Research Methods in Psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 104-121). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.

- Suhr, D. D. (2006). *Exploratory or Confirmatory Factor Analysis?* Retrieved August 12, 2012, from <http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi31/200-31.pdf>
- Swink, M., Talluri, S., & Pandejpong, T. (2006). Faster, Better, Cheaper: A Study of NPD Project Efficiency and Performance Tradeoffs. *Journal of Operations Management*, 24, 542-562.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (1989). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper Collins Publishers, Inc.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (5th ed.). Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Tajudin, M. M., Musa, O., & Musa, N. C. (2012). Effects of Organisational Culture, Market Orientation, and Innovativeness toward New Product Performance amongst Malaysian SMEs. *International Journal of Innovation and Business Strategy*, 1, 24-48.
- Takeuchi, H., & Nonaka, I. (1986). The New New Product Development Game. *Harvard Business Review*, 137-146.
- Tan, C. L., & Nasurdin, A. M. (2010). Knowledge Management Effectiveness and Technological Innovation: An Empirical Study in the Malaysian Manufacturing Industry. *Journal of Mobile Technologies, Knowledge and Society*, 1-13.
- Tan, J., Li, S., & Li, W. (2006). Building Core Competencies in a Turbulent Environment: An Exploratory Study of Firm Resources and Capabilities in Chinese Transitional Economy. *Managing Global Transitions*, 4(3), 197–214.

- Taylor, A., & Helfat, C. E. (2009). Organisational Linkages for Surviving Technological Change: Complementary Assets, Middle Management, and Ambidexterity. *Organisation Science*, 20(4), 718–739.
- Teddle, C., & Yu, F. (2007). Mixed Methods Sampling: A Typology with Examples. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(1), 77-100.
- Teece, D. J. (1998). Capturing Value from Knowledge Assets: The New Economy, Markets for Know-How, and Intangible Assets. *California Management Review*, 40(3), 55-79.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating Dynamic Capabilities: The Nature and Microfoundations of (Sustainable) Enterprise Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Teece, D. J. (2009). *Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management: Organising for Innovation and Growth*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business Models, Business Strategy and Innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2), 172-194.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Teece, D., & Pisano, G. (1994). *The Dynamic Capabilities of Firms: An Introduction* (Working Paper). Laxenburg: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- Tempelaar, M. P. (2010). *Organising for Ambidexterity: Studies on the Pursuit of Exploration and Exploitation through Differentiation, Integration, Contextual*

and Individual Attributes (No. EPS-2010-191-STR). Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM).

Tether, B. S. (2003). *What is Innovation? Approaches to Distinguishing New Products and Processes from Existing Products and Processes*. Centre for Research on Innovation and Competition, University of Manchester.

Tinoco, J. K. (2009). Strategic Ambidexterity in Innovation: an Indispensable Capability in the Face of Change. In *Science and Innovation Policy, 2009 Atlanta Conference on Science and Innovation Policy* (pp. 1-8). IEEE.

Torun, H., & Cicekci, C. (2007). Innovation: Is the Engine for the Economic Growth? *The Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Ego University, Izmir*.

Tripsas, M. (1997). Unravelling the Process of Creative Destruction: Complementary Assets and Incumbent Survival in the Typesetter Industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18, 119-142.

Tsang, E. W. K., & Williams, J. N. (2012). Generalization and Induction: Misconceptions, Clarifications, and a Classification of Induction. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(x), 1/Forthcoming 2012.

Tuah, H., Nadaraja, D., & Jaafar, Z. (2009). *Benchmarking Malaysia's Innovation Capacity*, Retrieved April 24, 2013, from http://umconference.um.edu.my/upload/43-1/papers/213%20HamriTuah_DevendranNadaraja_ZakiahJaafar.pdf

Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous Organisations: Managing Evolutionary and Revolutionary Change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8-30.

- Tushman, M., Smith, W., Wood, R., Westerman, G., & O'Reilly, C. (2002). *Innovation Streams and Ambidextrous Organisational Designs: On Building Dynamic Capabilities* (Social Science Research Network (SSRN) Working Paper).
- Utterback, J. M. (1971). The Process of Technological within the Firm. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 14(1), 75-88.
- Venkatraman, N., Lee, C.-H., & Iyer, B. (2007). *Strategic Ambidexterity and Sales Growth: A Longitudinal Test in the Software Sector*, Retrieved November 13, 2011, from http://www.softwareecosystems.com/SMJManuscript_revised.pdf
- Verona, G. (1999). A Resource-Based View of Product Development. *The Academy of Management Review*, 24(1), 132-142.
- Verworn, B., Herstatt, C., & Nagahira, A. (2008). The Fuzzy Front End of Japanese New Product Development Projects: Impact on Success and Differences between Incremental and Radical Projects. *R&D Management*, 38(1), 1-19.
- Veryzer, R. W. (1998). Discontinuous Innovation and the New Product Development Process. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 15(4), 304-321.
- Visser, M. d., Weerd-Nederhof, P. d., Faems, D., Song, M., Looy, B. v., & Visscher, K. (2010). Structural Ambidexterity in NPD Processes: A Firm-Level Assessment of the Impact of Differentiated Structures on Innovation Performance. *Technovation*, 30, 291-299.
- Voss, G. B., & Voss, Z. G. (2013). Strategic Ambidexterity in Small and Medium-sized Enterprises: Implementing Exploration and Exploitation in Product and Market Domains. *Organization Science*, 24(5), 1459-1477.

- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2007). Dynamic Capabilities: A Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 31-51.
- Wang, K. J., Lee, Y. H., Wang, S., & Chu, C. P. (2009). Performance Evaluation of Resource Allocation Strategies for New Product Development under Different Workload Scenarios. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 4(2), 91-113.
- Webb, B., & Schlemmer, F. (2008). *Information Technology and Competitive Advantage in Small Firms*. New York: Routledge.
- Wei, X., & Xiaobin, F. (2009). *Research on the Relationship between Organisational Learning, Product Innovativeness and Product Quality*. Paper presented at the 2009 International Conference on Information management, Innovation Management and Industrial Engineering.
- Weinstein, O., & Azoulay, N. (1999). *Firms' Capabilities and Organisational Learning: A Critical Survey of Some Literature*, Retrieved November 13, 2011, from http://www.lem.sssup.it/Dynacom/files/D10_0.pdf
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A Resource-Based View of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5, 171-180.
- Wheelwright, S. C. & Clark, K. B. (1992). *Revolutionizing Product Development: Quantum Leaps in Speed, Efficiency, and Quality*. Simon and Schuster.
- Wind, W., & Mahajan, V. (1997). Issues and Opportunities in New Product Development: An Introduction to the Special Issues. *Journal of Marketing Research*, XXXIV, 1-12.

- Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding Dynamic Capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24, 991-995.
- Workman, J. P., Homburg, C., & Jensen, O. (2003). Intraorganisational Determinants of Key Account Management Effectiveness. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 31(1), 3-21.
- WTO (2011). *International Trade Statistics 2011*, World Trade Organisation (WTO), Retrieved November 1, 2011, from http://www.wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/its2011_e/its2011_e.pdf
- Wu, L.-Y. (2006). Resources, Dynamic Capabilities and Performance in a Dynamic Environment: Perceptions in Taiwanese IT Enterprises. *Information & Management*, 43, 447-454.
- Wu, L.-Y. (2010). Applicability of the Resource-Based and Dynamic-Capability Views under Environmental Volatility. *Journal of Business Research*, 63, 27-31.
- Yahaya, S.-Y., & Abu-Bakar, N. (2007). New Product Development Management Issues and Decision-making Approaches. *Management Decision*, 45(7), 1123-1142.
- Yahya, A. Z., Othman, M. S., Othman, A. S., Rahman, I. A., & Moen, J. A. (2011). Process Innovation: a Study of Malaysian Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs). *World J. Manage*, 3(1), 146-156.
- Yalcinkaya, G., Calantone, R. J., & Griffith, D. A. (2007). An Examination of Exploration and Exploitation Capabilities: Implications for Product Innovation and Market Performance. *Journal of International Marketing*, 15(4), 63-93.

- Yuan, K. H., & Zhang, Z. (2012). Structural Equation Modeling Diagnostics using R Package semdiag and EQS. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 19(4), 683-702.
- Zaaimuddin, W. A. b. W., Gan, G. G. G., & Eze, U. C. (2009). *Knowledge Management Process and New Product Development Performance in a Malaysian Research and Development Organisation*. Paper presented at the 2009 IEEE IEEM.
- Zack, M. H. (1999). Developing a Knowledge Strategy. *California Management Review*, 41(3).
- Zahra, S. A., Sapienza, H. J., & Davidsson, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship and Dynamic Capabilities: A Review, Model and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917-955.
- Zechmeister, E. B., & Posavac, E. J. (2003). *Data Analysis and Interpretation in the Behavioural Sciences*. California: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Zeufack, A. G., Yoong, L. K., & Nadaraja, D. (2011). *Knowledge, Innovation and Long-run Growth*. Khazanah Research and Investment Strategy Macro Modeling Project, Retrieved June 5, 2014, from http://www.innovation.my/pdf/innovating_formulation_of_nis/Knowledge%20Innovation%20Growth.pdf
- Zhou, K. Z., & Li, C. B. (2010). How Strategic Orientations Influence the Building of Dynamic Capability in Emerging Economies. *Journal of Business Research*, 63, 224-231.
- Zhou, K. Z., & Wu, F. (2010). Technological Capability, Strategic Flexibility, and Product Innovation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31, 547-561.

- Zhou, K. Z., Yim, C. K., & Tse, D. K. (2005). The Effects of Strategic Orientations on Technology- and Market-based Breakthrough Innovations. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(2), 42-60.
- Zirger, B. J., & Maidique, M. A. (1990). A Model of New Product Development: An Empirical Test. *Management Science*, 36(7), 867-883.
- Zollo, M., & Winter, S. G. (2002). Deliberate Learning and the Evolution of Dynamic Capabilities. *Organisation Science*, 13(3), 339-351.
- Zulkiffli, S. (2009). *A Literature Analysis on the Supply Chain Operational Capabilities in Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)*, 3rd International Conference on Operations and Supply Chain Management (pp. 1-13). Malaysia: ISMSEM, Retrieved June 5, 2014, from <http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=gsbpapers>