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Abstract

One of eXtreme Programming practices is Pair Programming (PP) (the pair consists of a driver and a navigator), which is used for promoting knowledge sharing among students. This practice encourages students to think creatively of programming solutions, and simplify learning, especially for difficult course such as Java. By applying PP, students are enforced to improve their social skills as they communicate with each others. Despite the numerous benefits of PP (discussed by previous studies), statistics show lack of demonstrating the extent at which the knowledge sharing, communication and transfer between the driver and the navigator can improve the code quality. Therefore this study aims propose a conceptual model of a PP knowledge-based sharing for improving programming skills. In order to achieve the stated objective, PP laboratory assignments were conducted and compared to evaluate the impact of PP on code quality with and without adopting the conceptual model. The conceptual model was validated by analyzing the collected data from the participants of PP laboratory assignment using Partial Least Square form of Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). The findings of the study show that socialization, combination, and internalization are the determinant factors for achieving better code quality in PP environment. The findings of this study would be benefited to academic environment especially the agile programmers in the pair programming domain.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of the Research

This study views with concern on the possibility of improving students’ programming skills. Many students think that computer science (CS) and software engineering (SE) courses take the lead in dropout rates than other courses (Md Rejab, Omar, & Ahmad, 2013). This motivates the practitioners to urgently employ a technique or practice that can facilitate teaching and learning practices in CS/SE courses.

The success of Pair Programming (PP) in IT industry has been seen in terms of enhancing knowledge transfer (di Bella et al., 2013b), facilitating integration of novice members (Sillitti, Succi, & Vlasenko, 2012; SOUZA, 2012), reducing costs for training (Sillitti et al., 2012), and improving coding structure (Xu & Rajlich, 2006). This encourages practitioners in pedagogical context to rely on PP to overcome students’ failure in programming course. Additionally, it not only encourages students to accept programming curricula, but also encourages to innovate in producing better end-programs (di Bella et al., 2013b). SE community accepts PP as one of many innovative approaches that had been considered to overcome programming issues in CS/SE courses (Omar, Syed-Abdullah, & Yasin, 2010; Syed-Abdullah, Omar, Hamid, bt Ismail, & Jusoff, 2009). Eventually, in the late 1990s, PP has been embedded in the teaching of CS (Keefe, Sheard, & Dick, 2006; Rimington, 2010).
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