

**EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF CYBER-BULLYING ON
STUDENT'S ATTITUDE IN ONLINE LEARNING: A CASE
STUDY OF UUM**

HASSANIEN ALI CHASIB

**MASTER OF DEGREE
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2014**

**EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF CYBER-BULLYING ON
STUDENT'S ATTITUDE IN ONLINE LEARNING: A CASE
STUDY OF UUM**

A dissertation submitted to Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School in
Partial Fulfilment of the requirement for the degree
Master of Science of Information Technology
Universiti Utara Malaysia

By
Hassanien Ali Chasib

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences
UUM College of Arts and Sciences
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok

ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, penggunaan besar-besaran perkhidmatan teknologi maklumat dalam bidang pembelajaran yang berbeza telah membawa kepada peningkatan kejadian siber-buli sebagai tindak balas. Terdapat beberapa kajian yang dijalankan untuk mengukur atau mengkaji kesan aktiviti siber-buli pada aspek tingkah laku individu dalam konteks universiti. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan jenis siber buli yang wujud dalam persekitaran pembelajaran dalam talian di UUM dan kesannya terhadap sikap pelajar untuk menggunakan alat dalam talian tersebut. Soal selidik telah diedarkan di kalangan 280 responden dan hanya 207 soalan telah didapati sah untuk analisis data. Analisis faktor telah digunakan untuk menentukan faktor-faktor utama yang menyumbang kepada siber buli pelajar UUM. Sebanyak tiga faktor yang telah dikenalpasti: 1) menerima e-mel dan mesej dengan identiti yang berbeza; 2) meminta akses tanpa kebenaran; dan 3) penggunaan imej melalui webcam. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan yang signifikan antara faktor-faktor ini dan sikap pelajar. Hasil analisis regresi menunjukkan bahawa sikap pelajar telah dipengaruhi oleh faktor yang dipercayai memihak kepada hasrat mereka untuk menggunakan ‘Learningzone’ sebagai alat pembelajaran dalam talian.

Kata kunci: Cyber-buli, alat pembelajaran dalam talian, Teori Pembelajaran Sosial, sikap.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, the massive use of information technology services in different learning fields has led to more cyber-bullying in return. There are few studies conducted to measure or examine the effects of cyber-bullying activities on individual's behavioral aspects within the university context. Therefore, this study aimed at determining the types of cyber-bullying exist in online learning environment in UUM and its effect on student's attitude to use online tools. Questionnaire was administrated among 280 respondents, only 207 questions were found to be valid for data analysis. An exploratory factor analysis was used to determine the key factors contributing to UUM students' cyber-bullying. A total of three factors were resulted 1) Receiving emails and instant messages with different identities, 2) Asking for access without permission, and 3) Use of webcam images. The result also showed that there were a significant correlation between these factors and students' attitude. The regression analysis result showed that students' attitude were affected by two factors which believed to favor their intention to use learningzone as an online learning tool. Recommendation and future studies are discussed in this research.

Keywords: Cyber-bullying, online learning tools, Social Learning Theory, attitude.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the most merciful, the most compassionate.

First and foremost all praise and thanks go to Allah (God) for giving me the strength and patience, and providing me the knowledge to accomplish this research study.

My sincerest thanks and gratitude go to my supervisor, Dr. Shafiz Affendi Mohd Yusof for his guidance, encouragement and support in keeping my academic plans on schedule. I appreciate your patience and attention given to me during my research journey particularly the time that took you away from some of your personal and professional responsibilities.

To my extended family in Iraq, a special thanks and dedication go to my beloved father, and my beloved mother for their continuous encouragement, support and prayers. To my father for his faith and wisdom. To my mother for her soft heart and genuine love. To everyone stood by my side and advised, inspired and encouraged me. For the person who asked me to look at the glass half full and to live life with no regrets.

I would like also to extend my thanks and appreciation to all of my friends who have contributed in one way or another to help me complete this thesis successfully. Last, but not least, special thanks goes to all the students who have participated in this research and all the people who have helped me completing this thesis and challenging journey successfully.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRAK.....	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Research questions	5
1.4 Research objective.....	5
1.5 Research significance	6
1.6 Research Scope.....	6
1.7 Operational definitions	6
1.8 Summary.....	7
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW.....	2
2.1 Introduction	2
2.2 Traditional Bullying	2
2.3 Cyber-bullying.....	3
2.4 Cyber-bullying in online learning	5
2.5 Theoretical Framework	7
2.6 Social Learning Theory	9
2.7 Attitude	11
2.8 Intention to Use	11
2.9 Social learning activities online.....	12
2.9.1 Cyber-bullying in social networks	14

2.10	Related studies.....	18
CHAPTER THREE METHODOLOGY		23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Research Design	24
3.2.1	Stage one:	28
3.2.2	Stage two:	29
3.2.3	Stage three:	35
3.3	Summary.....	37
CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS		38
4.1	Introduction	38
4.2	Data screening and cleaning	38
4.2.1	Missing Data.....	39
4.2.2	Outliers	41
4.2.3	Normality test	42
4.2.4	Multicollinearity	42
4.3	Reliability Measures	43
4.4	Demographic background	44
4.5	Descriptive Statistics	49
4.6	Factor Analysis	54
4.7	Correlation and Regression Analysis	61
4.8	Summary.....	65
CHAPTER FIVE DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....		66
5.1	Discussions	66
5.2	Limitation of the Study.....	68
5.3	Recommendations for Future Work	68
5.4	Conclusion.....	69
REFERENCES		71

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 Eight Categories of Cyber-bullying (Adapted from N. E. Willard (2007))	3
Table 3. 1 Determining the sample size (Adapted from Stoker (1981))	29
Table 3. 2 Number of questions and response categories by questionnaire section	30
Table 3. 3 Data collection and analysis procedure	36
Table 4. 1 Missing data	39
Table 4. 2 Multicollinearity measure for the constructs	43
Table 4. 3 Reliability measures	44
Table 4. 4 Summary of descriptive demographic factors	45
Table 4. 5 Descriptive statistics for Cyber-bullying	51
Table 4. 6 Descriptive statistics for Attitude	52
Table 4. 7 Descriptive statistics for Intention to use	53
Table 4. 8 KMO and Bartlett's Test	55
Table 4. 9 Total Variance Explained	55
Table 4. 10 Rotated Component Matrix ^a	57
Table 4. 11 Cumulative data extraction	59
Table 4. 12 Extracted factors	60
Table 4. 13 Correlation analysis	61
Table 4. 14 Regression Analysis for structure readiness	63
Table 4. 15 coefficient of the regression	63

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 Cyber-bullying in online learning (Chelly, 2008).....	6
Figure 2. 2 Social Learning Theory (SLT) (McAlister, Perry, & Parcel, 2008) ..	8
Figure 2. 3 Research model	8
Figure 2. 4 Social Learning Theory.....	10
Figure 3. 1 Research methodology (Constructed from (Sandelowski, 2000))	26
Figure 4. 1 Gender distribution	46
Figure 4. 2 Age distribution.....	46
Figure 4. 3 Education distribution	47
Figure 4. 4 Internet use distribution	48
Figure 4. 5 Use of learningzone distribution	49
Figure 4. 6 Scree Plot for Cyber-bullying	59
Figure 4. 7 Normal Regression standardized residual for attitude	64
Figure 4. 8 Normal Regression standardized residual for intention to use	65

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Questionnaire	80
Appendix B Normality Result	88

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DNS	Domain Name System
SLT	Social Learning Theory
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
ISP	Internet Service Provider
LAN	Local Area Network
POP	Point of Presence
SCAM	Social Commerce Adoption Model
SNSs	Social Networking Services
STD	Standard Deviation
VIF's	Variance Inflation Factors

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The rapid development in online supported tools has also brought challenges such as cyber-bullying. Examples of cyber-bullying acts are aggression, distress, fake identity (Miller & Lowen, 2012). These acts have been diffused because of the massive usage of cell phones, text messages, email, Internet messaging, social networks, pictures, and video clips among students in their learning activities online. As such, scholars are paying much attention to this new form of bullying as an attempt to learn more about factors affecting the use of online tools. Few studies have examined the effects and relationships between student's attitude and use of online tools towards cyber-bullying activities in the online learning environment. This research examined that relationship in order to provide understanding necessary for shaping future research about e-learning adoption among university students in the Malaysian context.

Online learning tools are performed faster and more independently nowadays, which provide students and online learners with the reliable tools for storing and retrieving information within its entities or objects distributed over channels, at the same time, this has led to process high security standards in order to reduce the risks of using online tools for different communication purposes (Jahnke et al., 2012). An example of online risks is cyber-bully which identified as the use of the online tools and related technologies to harm other end users by using their information, redistricting and stealing identity (Beetham & Sharpe, 2013).

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

Adam Mahmood, M., Burn, J. M., Gemoets, L. A., & Jacquez, C. (2000). Variables affecting information technology end-user satisfaction: a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 52(4), 751-771.

Akbulut, Y., Sahin, Y. L., & Eristi, B. (2010a). Cyberbullying Victimization among Turkish Online Social Utility Members. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 13(4).

Akbulut, Y., Sahin, Y. L., & Eristi, B. (2010b). Development of a scale to investigate cybervictimization among online social utility members. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 1(1), 46-59.

Anderson, T. (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning*: Athabasca University Press.

Ang, R. P., Tan, K.-A., & Mansor, A. T. (2011). Normative beliefs about aggression as a mediator of narcissistic exploitativeness and cyberbullying. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 26(13), 2619-2634.

Aricak, O. T. (2009). Psychiatric Symptomatology as a Predictor of Cyberbullying among University Students. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (EJER)*(34).

Aricak, T., Siyahhan, S., Uzunhasanoglu, A., Saribeyoglu, S., Ciplak, S., Yilmaz, N., & Memmedov, C. (2008). Cyberbullying among Turkish adolescents. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 11(3), 253-261.

Babbie, E. R. (2012). *The practice of social research*: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Bakshy, E., Rosenn, I., Marlow, C., & Adamic, L. (2012). *The role of social networks in information diffusion*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 21st international conference on World Wide Web.

Bandura, A. (1969). Social-learning theory of identificatory processes. *Handbook of socialization theory and research*, 213, 262.

Bandura, A. (2006). On integrating social cognitive and social diffusion theories. *Communication of innovations: A journey with Ev Rogers*, 111-135.

Bandura, A., & Bryant, J. (2002). Social cognitive theory of mass communication. *Media effects: Advances in theory and research*, 2, 121-153.

Bandura, A., & Schunk, D. H. (1981). Cultivating competence, self-efficacy, and intrinsic interest through proximal self-motivation. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 41(3), 586-598.

Baresi, L., & Guinea, S. (2005). Towards dynamic monitoring of WS-BPEL processes. *Service-Oriented Computing-ICSOC 2005*, 269-282.

Bastiaensens, S., Bourdeaudhuij, D., DeSmet, A., Poels, K., Cleemput, V., & Vandebosch, H. (2013). Cyberbullying on social network sites: an experimental study into bystanders' behavioral intentions to help the victim or reinforce the bully.

Bauman, S. (2010). Cyberbullying in a rural intermediate school: An exploratory study. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 30(6), 803-833.

Beetham, H., & Sharpe, R. (2013). *Rethinking Pedagogy for a Digital Age: Designing for 21st Century Learning*: routledge.

Berne, S., Frisén, A., Schultze-Krumbholz, A., Scheithauer, H., Naruskov, K., Luik, P., . . . Zukauskiene, R. (2012). Cyberbullying assessment instruments: A systematic review. *Aggression and violent behavior*.

Bonn, S. E., Lagerros, Y. T., Christensen, S. E., Möller, E., Wright, A., Sjölander, A., & Bälter, K. (2012). Active-Q: validation of the web-based physical activity questionnaire using doubly labeled water. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 14(1).

Brown, S. A., Massey, A. P., Montoya-Weiss, M. M., & Burkman, J. R. (2002). Do I really have to? User acceptance of mandated technology. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 11(4), 283-295.

Cain, J. (2008). Online social networking issues within academia and pharmacy education. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 72(1).

Calvete, E., Orue, I., Estévez, A., Villardón, L., & Padilla, P. (2010). Cyberbullying in adolescents: Modalities and aggressors' profile. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(5), 1128-1135.

Chailom, P., & Kaiwinit, S. (2011). THE EFFECTS OF SOCIAL NETWORK, INTERNET DISSEMINATION, INTERNET COMPETITIVE LEARNING, AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPABILITY ON E-MARKETING STRATEGY AND SUCCESS OF E-COMMERCE BUSINESS IN THAILAND. *Journal of International Business & Economics*, 11(3), 86-100.

Chavis, A. M. (2012). Social Learning Theory and Behavioral Therapy: Considering Human Behaviors within the Social and Cultural Context of Individuals and Families. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 22(1), 54-64. doi: 10.1080/10911359.2011.598828

Checkoway, H., Pearce, N., & Kriebel, D. (2004). *Research methods in occupational epidemiology*: Oxford University Press, USA.

Chelly, K. (2008). Concept Mashup: Interim Report *Mashup (brainstorm)*.
<http://cct205w07.wikispaces.com/KCH+Interim+Report>.

Chen, Y., Lai, F., Goh, K., & Daud, S. (2013). *The effect of integrating social plugins into e-commerce website: a study on online consumer behaviour*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication.

Constantinides, E., & Lorenzo-Romero, C. (2013). Social Networking Sites as Business Tool: A Study of User Behavior *Business Process Management* (pp. 221-240): Springer.

Crane, D. R., Busby, D. M., & Larson, J. H. (1991). A factor analysis of the Dyadic Adjustment Scale with distressed and nondistressed couples. *American Journal of Family Therapy*, 19(1), 60-66.

Creswell, J. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*: Sage Publications, Inc.

Dempsey, A. G., Sulkowski, M. L., Nichols, R., & Storch, E. A. (2009). Differences between peer victimization in cyber and physical settings and associated

psychosocial adjustment in early adolescence. *Psychology in the Schools*, 46(10), 962-972.

Dooley, J. J., Pyzalski, J., & Cross, D. (2009). Cyberbullying versus face-to-face bullying. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie/Journal of Psychology*, 217(4), 182-188.

Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1), 210-230.

Erdur-Baker, Ö. (2010). Cyberbullying and its correlation to traditional bullying, gender and frequent and risky usage of internet-mediated communication tools. *New Media & Society*, 12(1), 109-125.

Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological methods*, 4(3), 272.

Friborg, O., & Rosenvinge, J. H. (2013). A comparison of open-ended and closed questions in the prediction of mental health. *Quality & Quantity*, 47(3), 1397-1411.

Friedkin, N. E. (2010). The attitude-behavior linkage in behavioral cascades. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 73(2), 196-213.

Froeschle, J. G., Mayorga, M., Castillo, Y., & Hargrave, T. (2008). Strategies to Prevent and Heal the Mental Anguish Caused by Cyberbullying. *Middle School Journal*, 39(4), 30-35.

Gbadeyan, R. A. (2010). Direct Marketing to Online Social Network (OSN) Users in Nigeria. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2(2), 275-282.

Gnasigamoney, S. S., & Sidhu, M. S. (2013). E-Behaviour Trends and Patterns among Malaysian Pre-Adolescents and Adolescents. *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics (IJDCF)*, 5(2), 50-62.

Gradinger, P., Strohmeier, D., & Spiel, C. (2009). Traditional bullying and cyberbullying. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie/Journal of Psychology*, 217(4), 205-213.

Gregson, N., Crewe, L., & Brooks, K. (2002). Shopping, space, and practice. *Environment and planning D*, 20(5), 597-618.

Griffith, S., & Liyanage, L. (2008). *An introduction to the potential of social networking sites in education*. Paper presented at the Emerging Technologies Conference 2008.

Grigg, D. W. (2010). Cyber-Aggression: Definition and Concept of Cyberbullying. *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 20(02), 143-156.

Grusec, J. E. (1992). Social learning theory and developmental psychology: The legacies of Robert Sears and Albert Bandura. *Developmental Psychology*, 28(5), 776-786.

Garrison, D. R. (2011). E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice. Taylor & Francis.

Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*: SAGE Publications, Incorporated.

Hajli, M. (2012). *Social Commerce Adoption Model*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the UK Academy of Information Systems Conference.

Heirman, W., & Walrave, M. (2012). Predicting adolescent perpetration in cyberbullying: An application of the theory of planned behavior. *Psicothema*, 24(4), 614-620.

Hofer, B. K., & Pintrich, P. R. (1997). The development of epistemological theories: Beliefs about knowledge and knowing and their relation to learning. *Review of educational research*, 67(1), 88-140.

Huang, Y.-y., & Chou, C. (2010). An analysis of multiple factors of cyberbullying among junior high school students in Taiwan. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(6), 1581-1590.

Jahnke, I., Bergström, P., Lindwall, K., Mårell-Olsson, E., Olsson, A., Paulsson, F., & Vinnervik, P. (2012). *Understanding, reflecting and designing learning spaces of tomorrow*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of The IADIS International Conference “Mobile Learning 2012.

Karahanna, E., Straub, D. W., & Chervany, N. L. (1999). Information technology adoption across time: A cross-sectional comparison of pre-adoption and post-adoption beliefs. *MIS quarterly*, 23(2).

Kennewell, S., Tanner, H., Jones, S., & Beauchamp, G. (2008). Analysing the use of interactive technology to implement interactive teaching. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 24(1), 61-73.

Kowalski, R. M., & Limber, S. P. (2007). Electronic bullying among middle school students. *Journal of adolescent health*, 41(6), S22-S30.

Lam, S. K., Kraus, F., & Ahearne, M. (2010). The diffusion of market orientation throughout the organization: a social learning theory perspective. *Journal of marketing*, 74(5), 61-79.

Law, D. M., Shapka, J. D., Hymel, S., Olson, B. F., & Waterhouse, T. (2012). The changing face of bullying: An empirical comparison between traditional and internet bullying and victimization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(1), 226-232.

Lee, F. L., Leung, L., Qiu, J. L., & Chu, D. (2012). *Frontiers in New Media Research* (Vol. 15): Routledge.

Legris, P., Ingham, J., & Collerette, P. (2003). Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Information & Management*, 40(3), 191-204.

Lesma, V. R. B., & Okada, H. (2012). *Influence of Feedback from SNS Members on Consumer Behavior in Electronic Commerce*. Paper presented at the Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM), 2012 IEEE/ACM International Conference on.

Li, Q. (2007). New bottle but old wine: A research of cyberbullying in schools. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(4), 1777-1791.

Liang, T.-P., Ho, Y.-T., Li, Y.-W., & Turban, E. (2011). What Drives Social Commerce: The Role of Social Support and Relationship Quality. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 16(2), 69-90.

Limber, S. P. (2012). *Cyberbullying: Bullying in the digital age*: Wiley. com.

McAlister, A. L., Perry, C. L., & Parcel, G. S. (2008). How individuals, environments, and health behaviors interact. *HEALTH BEHAVIOR*, 169.

McFarland, D. J. (2001). *The Role of Age and Efficacy on Technology Acceptance: Implications for E-Learning*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of WebNet 2001- World Conference on the WWW and Internet, AACE.

Miller, C., & Lowen, C. (2012). *The Essential Guide to Bullying: Prevention And Intervention*. London: Penguin.

Mishna, F., Saini, M., & Solomon, S. (2009). Ongoing and online: Children and youth's perceptions of cyber bullying. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 31(12), 1222-1228.

Mitchell, M. L., & Jolley, J. M. (2012). *Research design explained*: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Mitchell, M. S. (2011). *Cyberbullying and Academic Achievement: Research into the Rates of Incidence, Knowledge of Consequences, and Behavioral Patterns of Cyberbullying*: ERIC.

Noruésis, M. J. (2011). *IBM SPSS Statistics 19 guide to data analysis*: Pearson Education.

Pallant, J. (2010). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*: Open University Press.

Park, H. M. (2008). Univariate analysis and normality test using SAS, Stata, and SPSS. *The University Information Technology Services (UITS) Center for Statistical and Mathematical Computing, Indiana University*.

Peters, O. (2007). *Social psychological determinants of mobile communication technology use and adoption: A comparison of three models to explain and predict mobile communication technology behavior*: University of Twente.

Piller, C. (2006). Content-related and attitude-related reasons for preferences. *Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplement*, 59, 155-182.

Pujazon-Zazik, M., & Park, M. J. (2010). To tweet, or not to tweet: gender differences and potential positive and negative health outcomes of adolescents' social Internet use. *American journal of men's health*, 4(1), 77-85.

Qiao, Y. (2008). Social networks and E-commerce. *Helinsky University of Technology*.

Qizhen, W., Jing, X., & Jun, L. (2010). *Group purchase: A win-win combination of social networking services with e-commerce typical representative—Nuomi.com*. Paper presented at the Information Science and Engineering (ICISE), 2010 2nd International Conference on.

Raskauskas, J., & Stoltz, A. D. (2007). Involvement in traditional and electronic bullying among adolescents. *Developmental psychology, 43*(3), 564.

Ryan, T., Kariuki, M., & Yilmaz, H. (2011). A Comparative Analysis of Cyberbullying Perceptions of Preservice Educators: Canada and Turkey. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 10*(3).

Rosenberg, M. J. (2001). E-learning: Strategies for delivering knowledge in the digital age (Vol. 9). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Salako, A. (2006). Principal component factor analysis of the morphostructure of immature Uda sheep. *Int. J. Morphol, 24*(4), 571-574.

Sandelowski, M. (2000). Combining Qualitative and Quantitative Sampling, Data Collection, and Analysis Techniques in Mixed-Method Studies. *Research in nursing & health, 23*(3), 246-255.

Shen, Y. (2012). Social Commerce: the underlying trend of social commerce.

Slonje, R., & Smith, P. K. (2008). Cyberbullying: Another main type of bullying? *Scandinavian journal of psychology, 49*(2), 147-154.

Smith, P., Mahdavi, J., Carvalho, M., & Tippett, N. (2006). An investigation into cyberbullying, its forms, awareness and impact, and the relationship between age and gender in cyberbullying. *Research Brief No. RBX03-06. London: DfES*.

Smith, P. K., Mahdavi, J., Carvalho, M., Fisher, S., Russell, S., & Tippett, N. (2008). Cyberbullying: Its nature and impact in secondary school pupils. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, 49*(4), 376-385.

Soer, R., Reneman, M. F., Vroomen, P. C., Stegeman, P., & Copes, M. H. (2012). Responsiveness and minimal clinically important change of the pain disability index in patients with chronic back pain. *Spine, 37*(8), 711.

Spencer, B., & Buffett, S. (2012). *Simulating social commerce applied to buyer group pricing, recommendation incentives, and bundling*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 14th Annual International Conference on Electronic Commerce.

Sperling, R., & Gay, L. (2003). *Student Study Guide to Accompany LR Gay and Peter Airasian's Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application*: Merrill.

Stassen Berger, K. (2007). Update on bullying at school: Science forgotten? *Developmental Review*, 27(1), 90-126.

Stephen, A. T. a. T., Olivier, . (2009). Deriving Value from Social Commerce Networks. *Journal of Marketing Research, Forthcoming*.

Swamynathan, G., Wilson, C., Boe, B., Almeroth, K., & Zhao, B. Y. (2008). *Do social networks improve e-commerce?: a study on social marketplaces*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the first workshop on Online social networks.

Tavakolifard, M., & Almeroth, K. C. (2012). Social computing: an intersection of recommender systems, trust/reputation systems, and social networks. *Network, IEEE*, 26(4), 53-58.

Taylor-Powell, E., & Marshall, M. G. (1996). *Questionnaire Design: Asking questions with a purpose*: University of Wisconsin-Extension Cooperative Extension Service.

Turner, K. M., Nicholson, J. M., & Sanders, M. R. (2011). The role of practitioner self-efficacy, training, program and workplace factors on the implementation of an evidence-based parenting intervention in primary care. *The journal of primary prevention*, 32(2), 95-112.

Van den Broeck, J., & Fadnes, L. T. (2013). *Data Cleaning Epidemiology: Principles and Practical Guidelines* (pp. 389-399): Springer.

Varjas, K., Henrich, C. C., & Meyers, J. (2009). Urban middle school students' perceptions of bullying, cyberbullying, and school safety. *Journal of School Violence*, 8(2), 159-176.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, 425-478.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS quarterly*, 36(1), 157-178.

Wang, J., Nansel, T. R., & Iannotti, R. J. (2011). Cyber bullying and traditional bullying: Differential association with depression. *The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 48(4), 415.

Waters, R. D., Burnett, E., Lamm, A., & Lucas, J. (2009). Engaging stakeholders through social networking: How nonprofit organizations are using Facebook. *Public Relations Review*, 35(2), 102-106. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2009.01.006>

Weintraub, D., Mamikonyan, E., Papay, K., Shea, J. A., Xie, S. X., & Siderowf, A. (2012). Questionnaire for impulsive-compulsive disorders in Parkinson's Disease—Rating Scale. *Movement Disorders*, 27(2), 242-247.

Willard, N. (2008). We Hate Ashley. *District Administration*, 44(10), 53-54.

Willard, N. E. (2007). *Cyberbullying and Cyberthreats (Book and CD): Responding to the Challenge of Online Social Aggression, Threats, and Distress*: Research press.

Ybarra, M. L. (2004). Linkages between depressive symptomatology and Internet harassment among young regular Internet users. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 7(2), 247-257.

Zacharias, N., Urban, S. E., Zacharias, M. I., Wycoff, G. L., Hall, D. M., Monet, D. G., & Rafferty, T. J. (2004). The second US naval observatory CCD astrograph catalog (UCAC2). *The Astronomical Journal*, 127(5), 3043.

Zhou, Z., Tang, H., Tian, Y., Wei, H., Zhang, F., & Morrison, C. M. (2013). Cyberbullying and its risk factors among Chinese high school students. *School psychology international*, 34(6), 630-647.