

**EXAMINING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN JOB DEMANDS, JOB
RESOURCES AND WORK ENGAGEMENT AMONG ACADEMICS IN
MALAYSIA**

NUR HAFIZAH SUKHRI

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

January 2015

**EXAMINING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN JOB DEMANDS,
JOB RESOURCES AND WORK ENGAGEMENT AMONG
ACADEMICS IN MALAYSIA**

By

NUR HAFIZAH SUKHRI

**Research Paper Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Master of Human
Resource Management**

Permission to Use

In presenting this research paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library make a freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this research paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this research paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my research paper.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this research paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Abstract

This study examines the direct relationship between workload, work pressure, autonomy, social support and performance feedback and work engagement. A total of 380 questionnaire were personally distributed to respondents from three universities, namely Universiti Utara Malaysia, Universiti Malaysia Perlis dan Universiti Teknologi MARA after permission was granted by the university' management. Out of 380 questionnaire distributed, only 181 questionnaire were returned, representing a response rate of 47.63%. However, only 176 were usable for further analysis. Hypotheses for direct relationship was tested using multiple regression analyses. Results showed that only social support was positively related to work engagement. In the study, work pressure was hypothesized to be negatively related with work engagement, but the results show the opposite. Implications of the findings, potential limitations, and directions for future research are discussed.

Keywords: Work Engagement, Workload, Work Pressure, Autonomy, Social Support, Performance Feedback

Abstrak

Kajian ini mengkaji hubungan langsung antara bebanan kerja, tekanan kerja, autonomi, sokongan sosial, maklumbalas prestasi dengan keterlibatan kerja Sebanyak 380 borang soal selidik telah diedarkan secara peribadi kepada responden di tiga buah universiti iaitu Universiti Utara Malaysia, Universiti Malaysia Perlis dan Universiti Teknologi MARA selepas mendapat kebenaran daripada pihak pengurusan universiti. Daripada 380 borang soal selidik yang diedarkan, 181 soal selidik telah diterima semula, dan hanya 176 soal selidik digunakan untuk analisis selanjutnya, dengan kadar maklumbalas sebanyak 47.63%. Hipotesis ke atas kesan langsung diuji menggunakan analisis regresi berganda. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa hanya sokongan sosial mempunyai hubungan yang positif dengan keterlibatan kerja. Dalam kajian ini, tekanan kerja dijangka mempunyai hubungan yang negatif dengan keterlibatan kerja, namun dapatan kajian menunjukkan yang sebaliknya.. Manakala. Implikasi dapatan kajian, limitasi kajian, dan cadangan bagi kajian susulan dibincangkan.

Kata kunci: Keterlibatan Kerja, Bebanan Kerja, Tekanan Kerja, Autonomi, Sokongan Sosial, Maklumbalas Prestasi

Acknowledgement



In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

First, I would like to express my appreciation to Allah, the Most Merciful and, the Most Compassionate who has granted me the ability, will and strength to start and complete this study. I am also very grateful and thankful for the encouragement and the never ending support that I received from my parents, Hj. Sukhri Bin Hj. Salim, Hjh. Rahmah Binti Hj. Ali and my immediate family members.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. Siti Zubaidah Othman who has been very supportive, encouraging and inspiring in guiding me to complete this research paper successfully. Her patience, time, faith in me and encouragement made all these possible.

The journey in completion of this research paper is a joyous one with the presence of my dearest course mates who have shown me their support and assistance in the accomplishment of this educational endeavour. Also to all the lecturers throughout my study – Prof. Dr. Khulida Kirana Yahya, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Vimala Sanjeevkumar, Dr. Md. Lazim Mohd Zin, Dr. Sahadah Abdullah, Dr. Donny Abdul Latief Poespowidjojo and Dr. Fadzli Shah Abd Aziz who has shared their valuable knowledge throughout my studies.

Last, but yet importantly, I would like to express my humble appreciation to all academics from Universiti Utara Malaysia, Universiti Malaysia Perlis and Universiti Teknologi MARA, who have participated in this study, and to my sponsor, Ministry of Higher Education.

Table of Contents

Permission to Use	i
Abstract	ii
Abstrak	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Appendices	x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Significance of Study	5
1.6 Scope of Study	6
1.7 Definition of Key Terms	6
1.8 Organization of Chapters	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Work Engagement	8
2.3 Job Demands	9
2.3.1 Previous Studies on Job Demands	10
2.4 Job Resources	11
2.4.1 Previous Studies on Job Resources	12
2.5 Research Framework	13
2.6 Development of Hypotheses	14
2.6.1 Relationship between Job Demands and Work Engagement	14
2.6.2 Relationship between Job Resources and Work Engagement	16

2.7	Conclusions	17
CHAPTER 3 METHOD		18
3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	Research Design	18
3.3	Population and Sampling Design	19
3.3.1	Population	19
3.3.2	Sample Size	20
3.3.3	Sampling Technique	20
3.4	Operational Definitions and Measurements	22
3.4.1	Work Engagement Measures	22
3.4.2	Job Demands Measures	23
3.4.3	Job Resources Measures	25
3.5	Layout of the Questionnaire	27
3.6	Pilot Test	27
3.7	Data Collection Procedure	28
3.8	Technique of Data Analysis	29
3.8.1	Descriptive Analysis	29
3.8.2	Correlation Analysis	29
3.8.3	Regression Analysis	30
3.9	Conclusions	30
CHAPTER 4.....		31
FINDINGS		31
4.1	Introduction	31
4.2	Response Rate	31
4.3	Demographic Characteristics of the Participants	32
4.4	Data Screening	34
4.5	Reliability Test	35
4.6	Correlations Analysis	37
4.7	Multiple Regression Analysis	40
4.8	Conclusions	42
CHAPTER 5.....		43

DISCUSSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	43
5.1 Introduction.....	43
5.2 Summary of Research.....	43
5.3 Relationship between Job Demands and Work Engagement	44
5.4 Relationship between Job Resources and Work Engagement	44
5.5 Implications for Practice	45
5.6 Limitations and Direction for Future Study.....	46
5.7 Conclusions.....	47
REFERENCES.....	48

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Distributions of academics population for the three universities	19
Table 3.2	Distribution of respondents for each university	21
Table 3.3	Work engagement items	22
Table 3.4	Original and adapted versions of work pressure items	23
Table 3.5	Job demand items	24
Table 3.6	Job resources items	26
Table 3.7	The Cronbach's Alpha for each research measures from the pilot study (n = 30)	28
Table 4.1	Respondents' response rate	32
Table 4.2	Demographic characteristics of the participants (n=176)	33
Table 4.3	Deleted items after reliability analysis	36
Table 4.4	Reliability results after items deleted	37
Table 4.5	Descriptive statistics and correlations of variables	39
Table 4.6	Regression results of workload, work pressure, autonomy, social support and performance feedback on work engagement	41
Table 4.7	Summary of hypotheses testing	42

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Research framework	14
------------	--------------------	----

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Sample of Questionnaire	55
Appendix B	SPSS Output	63

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Generally, employees with high work engagement tend to protect the reputation and increase the public view of their organization with positive attitudes. They act as supporters to their organization and even promote and recommend their organization to outsiders as a good place to work and do business (CIPD, 2006). When employers stand side by side with employees to recognize, communicate and care for them, there is no doubt that employees would naturally feel engaged with the organization.

According to Saks (2006), an engaged employees tend to be more confident with their employers and are most likely to report positively about their organizations. Engaged workers are often defined as employees who have emotional and intellectual commitment to the organization (Baumruk, 2004; Richman, 2006; Shaw, 2005) or the amount of success presented by the employees in their work (Frank, Finnegan & Taylor, 2004).

Though having an engaged employees may bring many benefits to the organization, it is not an easy task to achieve it. Therefore, organizations need to find the best way to encourage their employees to be more engaged in their work.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Aguinis H., Gottfredson R. K., & Joo, H. (2012). Delivering effective performance feedback: The strengths – based approach. *Business Horizon*, 55, 105-111.
- Allen, H.L. (1996). Faculty workload and productivity in the 1990s: Preliminary Findings. *The Nea 1996 Almanac of Higher Education*. Retrieved from http://www.nea.org/assets/img/PubAlmanac/ALM_96_04.pdf
- Babcock-Roberson, M. E., & Strickland, O. J. (2010). The relationship between charismatic leadership, work engagement, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Journal of psychology*, 144(3), 313-326.
- Bakker, A. B., & Bal, M. P. (2010). Weekly work engagement and performance: A study among starting teachers. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 83(1), 189-206.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.
- Bakker, A.B., Demerouti, E., Taris, T., Schaufeli, W.B., & Schreurs, P. (2003). A multi-group analysis of the job demands - resources model in four home care organizations. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 10(1), 16-38.
- Bakker, A. B., Hakanen, J. J., Demerouti, E., & Xanthopoulou, D. (2007). Job resources boost work engagement, particularly when job demands are high. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 274-284.
- Bakker, A. B., van Emmerik, H., & Euwema, M. C. (2006). Crossover of burnout and engagement in work teams. *Work and Occupations*, 33, 464-489.
- Basikin, B. (2007, July). *Vigor, dedication and absorption: Work engagement among secondary school english teachers in Indonesia*. Paper presented at the AARE Conference, Fremantle, Perth, Western Australia. Retrieved from <http://eprint.uny.ac.id/ideprint/1071>
- Brummelhuis, L. L., Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., & Keulemans, L. (2012). Do new ways of working foster work engagement? *Psicothema*, 24(1), 113-120.
- Baumruk, R. (2004). The missing link: The role of employee engagement in business success. *Workspan*, 47, 48-52.
- Burke, R. J., & Greenglass, E. R. (1994). Towards an understanding of work satisfactions and emotional well-being of school-based educators. *Stress Medicine*, 10(3), 177-184.

- Burke, R. J., Koyuncu, M., Jing, W., & Fiksenbaum, L. (2009). Work engagement among hotel managers in Beijing, China: Potential antecedents and consequences. *Tourism Review*, 64(3), 4-18.
- Burke, R.J., & Richardsen, A.M. (1993). Psychological burnout in organizations. *Handbook of organizational behavior* (pp. 263-298). New York: Dekker
- Carlson, B. C., & Thompson, J. A. (1995). Job burnout and job leaving in public school teachers: Implications for stress management. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 2(1), 15-29.
- Chung, N. G., & Angeline, T. (2010). Does work engagement mediate the relationship between job resources and job performance of employees? *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(9), 1837-1843.
- CIPD (Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development). (2006). *Annual survey report 2006: How engaged are British employees?* Retrieved from <http://www.cipd.co.uk/NR/rdonlyres/E6871F47-558A-466E-9A74-4DFB1E71304C/0/howengbritempssr.pdf>
- Conley, S., & Woosley S. A. (2000). Teacher role stress, higher order needs and work outcomes. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 179-201.
- Daly, C. J., & Dee, J. R. (2006). Greener pastures: Faculty turnover intent in urban public universities. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 77(5), 776-803.
- Davis, J. A. (1971). *Elementary survey analysis*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R.M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499-512.
- Doi, Y. (2005). An epidemiologic review on occupational sleep research among Japanese workers. *Industrial Health*, 43, 3-10.
- Frank, F. D., Finnegan, R. P. & Taylor, C. R. (2004). The race for talent: Retaining and engaging workers in the 21st century. *Human Resource Planning*, 27(3), 12-25.
- Gay, L. R., & Diehl, P. L. (1996). *Research methods for business and management*. Singapore: Prentice Hall.

- Ghauri, P., Granhaug, K., & Kristianslund, I. (1995). *Research methods in business studies: A practical guide*. Hemel, Hempstead: Prentice Hall
- Gilbert, A. D. (2000). The idea of a university beyond 2000. *Policy*, 16, 31-36.
- Gillespie, N.A., Walsh, M., Winefield, A.H., Dua, J., & Stough, C. (2001). Occupational stress in universities: Staff perceptions of the causes, consequences and moderators of stress. *Work & Stress*, 15, 53-72.
- Griffin W.R (1998) Training your custodians. *School Planning and Management*, 1(65), 1-3.
- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a survey. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16, 250-279.
- Halbesleben, J. R. B., & Buckley, M. R. (2004). Burnout in organizational life. *Journal of Management*, 30, 859-79.
- Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2005). How dentists cope with their job demands and stay engaged: The moderating role of job resources. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*, 113(6), 479-487.
- Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of School Psychology*, 43(6), 495-513.
- Hakanen, J. J., Schaufeli, W. B., & Ahola, K. (2008). The job demands-resources model: A three-year cross-lagged study of burnout, depression, commitment, and work engagement. *Work & Stress*, 22(3), 224-241.
- Hallberg, U., Johansson, G., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). Type A behavior and work situation: Associations with burnout and work engagement. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 48, 135-142.
- Hassan, A., & Ahmed, F. (2011). Authentic leadership, trust and work engagement. *International Journal of Human and Social Sciences*, 6(3), 164-171.
- Houston, D., Meyer, L. H., & Paewai, S. (2006). Academic staff workloads and job satisfaction: Expectations and values in academe. *Journal of Higher Education Policy & Management*, 28(1), 17-30.
- Hulley, S. B. (2007). *Designing clinical research*. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins.
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724.

- Karasek, R. A. (1985). *Job content questionnaire and user's guide*. Los Angeles: University of Mass Press.
- Karasek, R. A., & Theorell, T. (1990). *Healthy work: Stress, productivity and the reconstruction of working life*. New York: Basic Books.
- Konermann, J. (2012). *Teachers' work engagement: A deeper understanding of the role of job and personal resources in relationship to work engagement, its antecedents and its outcomes (Doctoral thesis, University of Twente, 2012)* Retrieved from http://doc.utwente.nl/79001/thesis_J_Konermann.pdf
- Korunka, C., Kubicek, B., Schaufeli, W. B., & Hoonakker, P. (2009). Work engagement and burnout: Testing the robustness of the job demands-resources model. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 4(3), 243-255.
- Koyuncu, M., Burke, R. J., & Fiksenbaum, L. (2006). Work engagement among women managers and professionals in a Turkish bank: Potential antecedents and consequences. *Equal Opportunities International*, 25(4), 299-310.
- Kuhnel, J., Sonnentag, S., & Bledow, R. (2012). Resources and time pressure as day-level antecedents of work engagement. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 85, 181-198.
- Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 30, 607-610.
- Kyriacou, C., & Sutcliffe, J. (1978). Teacher stress: Prevalence, sources, and symptoms. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 48(2), 159-167.
- Lin, L., Oi-ling, S., Kan, S., & Xin-wen, B. (2009). *Challenge and hindrance job demands, job resource, and their relationships with vigor and emotional exhaustion*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Management Science & Engineering, Beijing, China.
- Llorens, S., Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W., & Salanova, M. (2006). Testing the robustness of the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 13(3), 378-391.
- Lorente Prieto, L., Salanova-Soria, M., Martínez-Martínez, I., & Schaufeli, W. (2008). Extension of the job demands-resources model in the prediction of burnout and engagement among teachers over time. *Psicothema*, 20(3), 354-360.
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 397-422.

- Mauno, S., Kinnunen, U., & Ruokolainen, M. (2007). Job demands and resources as antecedents of work engagement: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 70(1), 149-171.
- Meijman, T.F. & Mulder, G. (1998). Psychological aspects of workload. *Handbook of Work and Organizational Psychology* (2nd ed.). Erlbaum, Hove.
- Metcalf, H., Rolfe, P., & Weale, M. (2005). *Recruitment and retention of academic staff in higher education*. National Institute of Economic and Social Research, UK.
- Morgeson, F. P., & Humphrey, S. E. (2006). The work design questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the nature of work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(6), 1321-11339.
- Nadim, Z. S. (2013). The relationship between work engagement and job resources: An empirical study. *International Journal of Applied Research in Business Administration and Economics*, 2(1), 1-10.
- Pallant, J. (2010). *SPSS: Survival Manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS* (4th ed.). Singapore: McGraw-Hill
- Richman, A. (2006). Everyone wants an engaged workforce how can you create it? *Workspan*, 49(1), 36-39.
- Roberts, D.R., & Davenport, T.O. (2002). Job engagement: Why It's important and How to improve it. *Employment Relations Today*, 21-29.
- Rothmann, S. (2002). Burnout and engagement: A fortigenic perspective. Inaugural lecture presented at the North-West University, Potchefstroom.
- Rothmann, S., & Jordaan, G. (2006). Job demands, job resources and work engagement of academic staff in South African higher education institutions. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 32(4), 87-96.
- Ryan, R. M., & Frederick, C. M. (1997). On energy, personality, and health: Subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. *Journal of Personality*, 65, 529–565.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
- Salanova, M., & Schaufeli, W.B. (2008). A cross – national study of work engagement as a mediator between job resources and proactive behavior. *International Journal Human Resource Management*, 19(1), 116-131.

- Salmela-Aro, K., Tolvanen, A., & Nurmi, J. E. (2009). Achievement strategies during university studies predict early career burnout and engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 75(2), 162-172.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Bakker, A.B. (2004). Job demands, job resources and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25, 293-315.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Enzmann, D. (1998). *The burnout companion to study and practice: A critical analysis*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Van Rhenen, W. (2009). How changes in job demands and resources predict burnout, work engagement, and sickness absenteeism. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 30(7), 893-917.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., Gonzalellez-Roma, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002) The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3, 71-92.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Bakker, A.B. (2003). *UWES-utrecht work engagement scale: Test manual*. Department of Psychology, Utrecht University. Retrieved from www.beanmanaged.com/doc/pdf/arnoldbakker/articles/articles_arnold_bakker_87.pdf
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Salanova, M. (2007). Work engagement. *Managing Social and Ethical Issues in Organizations*, 135-177.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Taris, T. W., & Van Rhenen, W. (2008). Workaholism, burnout, and engagement: Three of a kind or three different kinds of employee well-being? *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 57, 173-203.
- Shaw, K. (2005). An engagement strategy process for communicators. *Strategic Communication Management*, 9(3), 26-29.
- Slåtten, T., & Mehmetoglu, M. (2011). Antecedents and effects of engaged frontline employees: A study from the hospitality industry. *Managing Service Quality*, 21(1), 88-107.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2009). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (5th ed.). USA: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Sims, H. P., Jr., Szilagyi, A. D., & Keller, R. T. (1976). The measurement of job characteristics. *Academy of Management Journal*, 195-212.
- Singh, S., N., & Bush, R., F. (1998). Research burnout in tenured marketing professors: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 20(1), 4-16.

- Steenland, K., Johnson, J., & Nowlin, S. (1997). A follow-up study of job strain and heart disease among males in the NHANES1 population. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 31, 256-260.
- Taipale, S., Selander, K., Anttila, T., & Nätti, J. (2011). Work engagement in eight European countries: The role of job demands, autonomy, and social support. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 31(7/8), 486-504.
- Tomic, M., & Tomic, E. (2011). Existential fulfillment, workload and work engagement among nurses. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 16(5), 468-479
- Townley, G. (2000). Long hours culture causing economy to suffer. *Management Accounting*, 78(6), 3-5.
- Van Doornen, L. J. P., Houtveen, J. H., Langelaan, S., Bakker, A. B., van Rhenen, W., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Burnout versus work engagement in their effects on 24-hour ambulatory monitored cardiac autonomic function. *Stress and Health*, 25(4), 323-331.
- Weigl, M., Hornung, S., Parker, S. K., Petru, R., Glaser, J., & Angerer, P. (2010). Work engagement accumulation of task, social, personal resources: A three-wave structural equation model. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 77(1), 140-153.
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A.B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W.B. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14, 121-141
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Reciprocal relationships between job resources, personal resources, and work engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 235-244.