

**HUBUNGAN ANTARA IKLIM KESELAMATAN DENGAN PRESTASI
KESELAMATAN PEKERJAAN: KAJIAN DI ILJTM LEMBAH KLANG**

OLEH

MUHAMAD AIDIL HARUN

**Kertas Penyelidikan Diserahkan Kepada
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
Bagi Memenuhi Keperluan Ijazah Sarjana Sains (Pengurusan)**



Othman Yeop Abdullah
Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa

(I, the undersigned, certified that)

MUHAMAD AIDIL BIN HARUN (815952)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana

(Candidate for the degree of)

MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT) - INTAN

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk

(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**HUBUNGAN ANTARA IKLIM KESELAMATAN DENGAN PRESTASI KESELAMATAN PEKERJAAN:
KAJIAN DI ILJTM LEMBAH KLANG**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia : **DR. MUNAUWAR BIN MUSTAFA**
(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan : _____
(Signature)

Tarikh : **03 DISEMBER 2014**
(Date)

KEBENARAN MERUJUK

Kertas Penyelidikan ini dikemukakan sebagai memenuhi keperluan pengurniaan Sarjana Sains (Pengurusan), Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). Saya dengan ini bersetuju membenarkan pihak perpustakaan Universiti Utara Malaysia mempamerkannya sebagai bahan rujukan umum. Saya juga bersetuju bahawa sebarang bentuk salinan sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripada Kertas Penyelidikan ini untuk tujuan akademik perlulah mendapat kebenaran daripada Penyelia Kertas Penyelidikan atau Dekan Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business terlebih dahulu. Sebarang bentuk salinan dan cetakan bagi tujuan komersil adalah dilarang sama sekali tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada penyelidik. Pernyataan rujukan kepada penulis dan Universiti Utara Malaysia perlulah dinyatakan jika rujukan terhadap Kertas Penyelidikan ini dilakukan.

Kebenaran untuk menyalin atau menggunakan Kertas Penyelidikan ini sama ada secara sebahagian atau sepenuhnya hendaklah dipohon melalui:

Dekan Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan dan mengkaji hubungan antara iklim keselamatan pekerjaan dengan prestasi keselamatan pekerjaan di ILJTM Lembah Klang. Lima dimensi iklim keselamatan dalam kajian ini adalah sikap keselamatan, penglibatan pekerja, komitmen keselamatan pekerja, keselamatan rakan sekerja dan persepsi risiko. Bagi mencapai objektif kajian, sebanyak 140 set soal selidik telah diedarkan kepada kakitangan bahagian pengoperasian di tiga ILJTM Lembah Klang. Data kuantitatif diproses dengan menggunakan perisian *SPSS*. Ia melibatkan Analisa Statistik Deskriptif, Ujian Kebolehpercayaan dan Ujian Korelasi Pearson. Selain daripada itu, Ujian Regresi Berganda digunakan untuk menguji hipotesis kajian. Ujian Korelasi Pearson mendapatkan bahawa wujud hubungan positif antara hampir kesemua dimensi iklim keselamatan dengan prestasi keselamatan dan komponennya. Manakala, Ujian Regresi Berganda menunjukkan bahawa komitmen keselamatan pekerja dan persepsi risiko mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan prestasi keselamatan dan komponennya. Sementara itu, hanya penglibatan pekerja dan keselamatan rakan sekerja mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan penyertaan keselamatan. Dimensi iklim keselamatan yang lain iaitu sikap keselamatan tidak mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan prestasi keselamatan dan komponennya. Akhir sekali, implikasi kajian turut dibincangkan serta memberikan cadangan untuk kajian masa hadapan.

Kata kunci: Iklim keselamatan; prestasi keselamatan; Institut Latihan Jabatan Tenaga Manusia

ABSTRACT

This study is intended to determine and examine the relationship between safety climate and safety performance of work in ILJTM Klang Valley. Five dimensions of safety climate in this study are safety attitude, employee involvement, employee safety commitment, co-worker safety and risk perception. To achieve the objectives of the study, a total of 140 sets of questionnaires were distributed to the operational department staffs in ILJTM Klang Valley. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS software. It includes Descriptive Statistics Analysis, Reliability Test and Pearson Correlation Test. In addition, Multiple Regression Test is used to test the hypotheses. Pearson Correlation Test found that there is a positive relationship between almost all safety climate dimensions and safety performance and its components. Whereas, Multiple Regression Test showed that employee safety commitment and risk perception are significantly related to safety performance and its components. Meanwhile, only employee involvement and co-worker safety have a significant relationship with safety participation. Other safety climate dimension namely safety attitude was not significantly related to safety performance and its components. Finally, the implications of this study and directions for future research were discussed.

Keywords: Safety climate; safety performance; Manpower Department Training Institute

PENGHARGAAN

Dengan nama Allah Yang Maha Pengasih lagi Maha Penyayang

Alhamdulillah, dengan izinNya memberikan saya kekuatan bagi menyempurnakan laporan Kertas Penyelidikan Sarjana Sains Pengurusan ini.

Di kesempatan ini, saya ingin merakamkan jutaan terima kasih kepada penyelia projek ini, Dr. Munauwar Bin Mustafa, yang telah memberikan tunjuk ajar dan nasihat di sepanjang tempoh penghasilan laporan Kertas Penyelidikan ini. Tidak lupa juga kepada barisan urusetia INTAN, pihak pengurusan dan kakitangan ADTECSA, ILPKL dan ILPKLS yang banyak memberikan bantuan dan kerjasama dalam penghasilan laporan Kertas Penyelidikan ini.

Penghargaan yang tidak terhingga juga ditujukan buat isteri tercinta, Pn. Suraya serta anak-anak yang dikasihi, Muhamad Anas Safwan, Muhamad Alif Syazwan dan Nur Ainul Syuhada di atas pengorbanan yang diberikan. Tidak dilupakan juga buat emak, ibubapa mertua serta keluarga atas galakan dan doa yang diberikan. Jasa dan pengorbanan kalian pasti tidak akan dilupakan.

Kepada rakan-rakan seperjuangan, terima kasih diucapkan atas sokongan yang berterusan. Persahabatan dengan kalian memberikan suatu pengalaman yang sungguh besar pengertiannya.

Akhir kalam, semoga laporan ini berguna untuk menjadi rujukan buat semua.

ISI KANDUNGAN

MUKA HADAPAN	i
PERAKUAN KERJA	ii
KEBENARAN MERUJUK	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
PENGHARGAAN	vi
ISI KANDUNGAN	vii
SENARAI JADUAL	x
SENARAI RAJAH	xi
SENARAI SINGKATAN	xii
BAB 1 PENGENALAN	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Kajian	1
1.2 Pernyataan Masalah	4
1.3 Persoalan Kajian	8
1.4 Objektif Kajian	9
1.5 Signifikasi Kajian	9
1.6 Skop Dan Limitasi Kajian	10
1.7 Susunatur Kertas Penyelidikan	12
1.8 Rumusan	13
BAB 2 ULASAN KARYA	14
2.1 Prestasi Keselamatan	14
2.2 Komponen Prestasi Keselamatan	17
2.2.1 Pematuhan Keselamatan	18
2.2.2 Penyertaan Keselamatan	19
2.3 Faktor Manusia Yang Melibatkan Prestasi Keselamatan	20
2.4 Iklim Keselamatan	22
2.5 Dimensi Iklim Keselamatan Kajian	25

2.5.1	Sikap Keselamatan	27
2.5.2	Penglibatan Pekerja	29
2.5.3	Komitmen Keselamatan Pekerja	30
2.5.4	Keselamatan Rakan Sekerja	32
2.5.5	Persepsi Risiko	33
2.6	Kajian-Kajian Lepas Berkaitan Hubungan Antara Iklim Keselamatan Dengan Prestasi Keselamatan	35
2.7	Rumusan	39
BAB 3 METODOLOGI KAJIAN		40
3.1	Kerangka Kajian	40
3.2	Hipotesis Kajian	41
3.3	Rekabentuk Kajian	43
3.4	Definisi Operasional	44
3.5	Instrumentasi Kajian	46
3.6	Pengumpulan Data	47
3.7	Populasi	48
3.8	Persampelan	48
3.9	Teknik Pengumpulan Data	49
3.10	Teknik Analisa Data	50
3.11	Kajian Rintis	51
3.12	Rumusan	52
BAB 4 KEPUTUSAN DAN PERBINCANGAN		53
4.1	Kadar Maklumbalas Responden	53
4.2	Analisa Deskriptif	54
4.2.1	Demografi Kajian	54
4.2.2	Pembolehubah Kajian	55
4.3	Ujian Kebolehpercayaan	57
4.4	Ujian Korelasi	57
4.5	Ujian Regresi Berganda	59

4.6	Ringkasan Keputusan Kajian	63
4.7	Rumusan	64
BAB 5	KESIMPULAN DAN CADANGAN	65
5.1	Ringkasan Kajian	65
5.2	Perbincangan Kajian	67
5.2.1	Hubungan Antara Sikap Keselamatan Dengan Semua Pembolehubah Bersandar	67
5.2.2	Hubungan Antara Penglibatan Pekerja Dengan Semua Pembolehubah Bersandar	68
5.2.3	Hubungan Antara Komitmen Keselamatan Pekerja Dengan Semua Pembolehubah Bersandar	69
5.2.4	Hubungan Antara Keselamatan Rakan Sekerja Dengan Semua Pembolehubah Bersandar	70
5.2.5	Hubungan Antara Persepsi Risiko Dengan Semua Pembolehubah Bersandar	71
5.3	Implikasi Kajian	72
5.4	Cadangan	73
5.4.1	Cadangan Kepada Organisasi	74
5.4.2	Cadangan Kajian Masa Hadapan	75
5.5	Kesimpulan	76
RUJUKAN		77
APENDIKS		87

SENARAI JADUAL

Jadual 1.1	Statistik Kemalangan Industri Di Malaysia Bagi Tahun 2008-2012	2
Jadual 1.2	Statistik Kemalangan Sektor Perkhidmatan Awam dan Badan Berkanun	3
Jadual 1.3	Komposisi Populasi Kakitangan Bahagian Pengoperasian Mengikut Institut	11
Jadual 2.1	Antara Pengukuran Prestasi Keselamatan Kajian Lepas	20
Jadual 2.2	Antara Dimensi Iklim Keselamatan Kajian Lepas	26
Jadual 3.1	Ringkasan Instrumen Kajian	47
Jadual 3.2	Komposisi Sampel Kakitangan Bahagian Pengoperasian Mengikut Institut	49
Jadual 3.3	Dapatan Kajian Rintis	52
Jadual 4.1	Kadar Maklumbalas Sampel Kajian (n=102)	53
Jadual 4.2	Profil Demografi Responden (n=102)	54
Jadual 4.3	Min dan Sisihan Piawai (SP) Pembolehubah (n=102)	56
Jadual 4.4	Pekali Kebolehpercayaan Pembolehubah Kajian (n=102)	57
Jadual 4.5	Hubungan Korelasi Antara Pembolehubah Bebas Dengan Pembolehubah Bersandar	58
Jadual 4.6	Analisa Regresi Berganda Pembolehubah Bebas Dengan Prestasi Keselamatan (n=102)	59
Jadual 4.7	Analisa Regresi Berganda Pembolehubah Bebas Dengan Pematuhan Keselamatan (n=102)	61
Jadual 4.8	Analisa Regresi Berganda Pembolehubah Bebas Dengan Penyertaan Keselamatan (n=102)	62
Jadual 4.9	Ringkasan Dapatan Hipotesis Kajian	63

SENARAI RAJAH

Rajah 2.1	Ringkasan Hubungan Antara Anteseden, Penentu Dan Komponen Prestasi Keselamatan	18
Rajah 3.1	Kerangka Konseptual Kajian	40
Rajah 3.2	Kerangka Hipotesis Kajian	43

SENARAI SINGKATAN

ADTEC	Pusat Latihan Teknologi Tinggi
BKP	Bahagian Khidmat Pengurusan
DKM	Diploma Kemahiran Malaysia
ILA	Institut Latihan Awam
ILJTM	Institut Latihan Jabatan Tenaga Manusia
ILP	Institut Latihan Perindustrian
JkKKP	Jawatankuasa Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan
JKKP	Jabatan Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan
JMTI	Institut Teknologi Jepun-Malaysia
JTM	Jabatan Tenaga Manusia
PERKESO	Pertubuhan Keselamatan Sosial
PPD	Peralatan perlindungan diri
SKM	Sijil Kemahiran Malaysia

BAB 1

PENGENALAN

1.1 Latar Belakang Kajian

Kita berasa sebak apabila sering didedahkan dengan berita mengenai kemalangan di tempat kerja. Walaupun kadar kematian atau kecederaan akibat kemalangan pekerjaan tidaklah setinggi seperti kadar kehilangan nyawa yang disebabkan oleh kemalangan jalanraya, tetapi perkara ini tidak seharusnya dipandang enteng memandangkan mereka yang terlibat adalah merupakan modal insan yang menjadi penyumbang kepada pembangunan ekonomi dan jentera pentadbiran negara. Malangnya, kebanyakan kemalangan itu berlaku berulang kali seolah-olah langkah pencegahan tidak memberi kesan lantaran kita tidak mempelajari dan mengambil ikhtibar daripada kelemahan yang wujud. Lebih memburukkan lagi keadaan apabila terdapat sesetengah orang bersikap acuh tak acuh terhadap isu keselamatan pekerjaan dan menganggap kemalangan itu satu nasib atau suratan takdir yang memang akan berlaku dan tidak dapat dielakkan.

Lazimnya, kemalangan pekerjaan terjadi disebabkan oleh beberapa faktor seperti pengetahuan yang sedikit, latihan yang tidak mencukupi, pengawasan yang tidak teratur dan penguatkuasaan yang tidak terurus dalam melaksanakan undang-undang dan peraturan. Kesilapan manusia biasanya mendorong kepada pengabaian, kecuaian, melakukan kerja secara semberono dan kurang pengawasan serta kawalan. Kesemua faktor ini membawa kepada kelemahan prestasi keselamatan dan meningkatnya kadar kemalangan (Tharaldsen, Mearns, & Knudsen, 2010). Justeru, banyak organisasi

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

RUJUKAN

Akta Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan 1994 (Akta 514).

Baas, J. R. (2002). *An exploratory study of the role of trust in safety climates and overall safety*. (Doctoral Dissertation, Alliant international University, 2002).

Barling, J., & Hutchinson, I. (2000). Commitment vs. control-based safety practices, safety reputation, and perceived safety climate. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 17, 76–84.

Bellamy, L. J., Geyer, T. A., & Wilkinson, J. (2008). Development of a functional model which integrates human factors, safety management systems and wider organisational issues. *Safety Science*, 46, 461–492.

Berends, J.J. (1996). *On the Measurement of Safety Culture* (Unpublished graduation report). Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven.

Beus, J.M., Payne, S.C., Bergman, M.E., & Arthur, W. Jr. (2010b). Safety climate and injuries: An examination o f theoretical and empirical relationships. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(4), 713-727.

Borman, W.C., & Motowidlo, S.J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In: Schmidt, N., Borman, W.C., Howard, A., Kraut, A., Ilgen, D., Schneider, B., Zedeck, S. (Eds.), *Personnel Selection in Organizations*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, pp. 1-98.

Borman, W.C., & Motowidlo, S.J. (1997). Introduction: organizational citizenship behavior and contextual performance. Special issue of human performance. In: Borman, W.C., Motowidlo, S.J., (Eds.), *Human Performance*, 10, pp. 67-69.

Brown, R.L., & Holmes, H. (1986). The use of a factor-analytic procedure for assessing the validity of an employee safety climate model. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 18, 455-470.

Burke, M. J., Sarpy, S. A., Tesluk, P. E., & Smith-Crowe, K. (2002). General safety performance: A test of a grounded theoretical model. *Personnel Psychology*, 55, 429-457.

Cascio, J. & Baughn, K. T. (2000). Health, safety and ISO 14001. *Manufacturing Engineering*, 124(5), 126-135.

Christian, M. S., Bradley, J. C., Wallace, J. C., & Burke, M. J. (2009). Workplace safety: A meta-analysis of the roles of person and situation factors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94, 1103-1127.

Christoffel, T., & Gallagher, S.S. (2006). *Injury and Public Health: Practical Knowledge, Skills and Strategies* (2nd. Ed.). Sudbury, MA. Jones and Bartlette Publishers, Inc.

Clarke, S. (2006b). The relationship between safety climate and safety performance: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 11(4), 315-327.

Clarke, S., & Ward, K. (2006). The role of leader influence tactics and safety climate in engaging employees' safety participation, *Risk Analysis*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 1175-1185.

Cooper, D. (1998). *Improving safety culture: A practical guide*. England: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.

Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2008). *Business Research Methods*: international edition 2008. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Cooper, M.D., & Phillips, R.A. (2004). Exploratory analysis of the safety climate and safety behavior relationship. *Journal of Safety Research*, 35(5), 497-512.

Cox, S., & Cox, T. (1991). The structure of employee attitude to safety: a European example. *Work and Stress*, 5, 93-106.

Cox, S., & Flin R. (1998). Safety culture: Philosopher's stone or man of straw? *Work and Stress*, 12(3), 189-201.

Cox, S., Jones, B., & Rycraft, H. (2004). Behavioural approaches to safety management within UK reactor plants. *Safety Science*, 42, 825–839.

Coyle, I.R., Sleeman, S.D., & Adams, N. (1995). Safety climate. *Journal of Safety Research*, 26(4), 247-254.

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among the five traditions* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Dedobbeleer, N., & Béland, F. (1991). A safety climate measure for construction sites. *Journal of Safety Science*, 22, 97-103.

Dedobbeleer, N., & Béland, F. (1998). Is risk perception one of the dimensions of safety climate. *Occupational injury: Risk prevention and intervention*, 73-81.

Dekker, S. W. (2001). The re-invention of human error. *Human factors and aerospace safety*, 1(3), 247-265.

DePasquale, J. P., and E. S. Geller. (1999). Critical success factors for behavior-based safety: A study of 20 industry-wide applications. *Journal of Safety Research* 30, 237-49.

Donald, I. (1996). Managing safety: an attitudinal-based approach to improving safety in organizations. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 17(4), 13-20.

Fahibruch, B. (2010). *Integrating human factors in safety and reliability approaches*. Paper presented at the 4th European-American Workshop on Reliability of NDE. Berlin, Germany.

Flin, R., Meams, K., O'connor, P., & Bryden, R. (2000). Measuring safety climate: Identifying the common features. *Safety Science*, 34(1-3), 177-192.

Gillen, M., Baltz, D., Gassel, M., Kirsch, L., & Vaccaro, D. (2002). Perceived safety climate, job demands, and coworker support among union and nonunion injured construction workers. *Journal of safety research*, 33(1), 33-51.

Glendon, A. I., & Stanton, N. A. (2000). Perspectives on safety culture. *Safety Science*, 34(1-3), 193-213.

Glendon, A.I., & Litherland, D.K. (2001). Safety climate factors, group differences and safety behavior in road construction. *Safety Science*, 39(3), 157-188.

Gordon, R., Flin, R., & Mearns, K. (2001). *Designing a human factors investigation tool to improve the quality of safety reporting*. Paper presented at the 45th Annual meeting of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 1519-1523.

Grabowski, M., Ayyalasomayajula, P., Merrick, J., Harrald, J.R., & Roberts, K. (2006). Leading indicators of safety in virtual organizations, *Safety Science*, Vol. 45, pp. 1013-1043.

Griffin, M.A., & Neal, A. (2000). Perceptions of safety at work: A framework for linking safety climate to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(3), 347-358.

Guldenmund, F.W. (2000). The nature of safety culture: A review of theory and research. *Safety Science*, 24, 215-257.

Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., & Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Seventh Edition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.

Hale, A.R. (2000). Culture's confusions. *Safety Science*, Vol, 34, No. 1-3, pp. 1-14.

Hayes, B. E., Perander, J., Smecko, T., & Trask, J. (1998). Measuring perceptions of workplace safety: development and validation of the workplace safety scale. *Journal of Safety Research*, 29(3), 145-161.

Henning, B. J., Stuff, C. J., Payne, S. C. Bergman, M. E., Mannan, M. S., & Keren, N. (2009). The influence of individual differences on organizational safety attitude. *Journal of Safety Science*, 47, 337-345.

Hofmann, D.A., & Stetzer, A. (1996). A cross-level investigation o f factors influencing unsafe behaviors and accidents. *Personnel Psychology*, 49, 307-339.

Huang, Y. H., Ho, M., Smith, G. S., & Chen, P. Y. (2006). Safety climate and selfreported injury: assessing the mediating role of employee safety control. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 38, 425-433.

Hughes, S. W., Tippett, D. D., & Thomas, W. K. (2004). Measuring project success in the construction Industry. *Engineering Management Journal*, 16(3), 31-37.

Inness, M., Turner, T., Barling, J., & Stride, C. B. (2010). Transformational leadership and employee safety performance: A within-person, between-jobs design. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 15(3), 279-290.

Institut Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan (2012), Malaysia: Kementerian Sumber Manusia.

Jabatan Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan (2012), Malaysia: Kementerian Sumber Manusia.

Johnstone, R., Quinlan, M., & Walters, D. (2005). Statutory occupational health and safety workplace arrangements for the modern labour market. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 47(1), 93-116.

Kelley, R. (1996). Worker psychology and safety attitudes. *Professional Safety*, 41, 14-17.

Kelloway, E. K., Stinson, V., & MacLean, C. (2004). Can eyewitness research improve occupational health and safety? Towards a research agenda. *Law and Human Behavior*, 28.

Khan, M. S. (2010). Effects of human resource management practices on organizational performance an empirical study of oil and gas industry in Pakistan. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, (24), 157-175.

Kilborne, C. (2009). 5 Keys to Improving Worker Safety Attitude. *Safety Daily Advisor*. Retrieved 1/10/2012 from http://safetydailadvisor.blr.com/archive/2009/04/28/safety_attitude_economy_creative_training.aspx.

Kohli, S. (2007). *Safety management system*. Bangalore, Indian: Bangalore International Airport Limited.

Kozlowski, S.W., & Klein, K.J. (2000). *A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations*. In: Klein, K.J., Kozlowski, S.W. (Eds.), *Multilevel Theory, Research and Methods in Organizations*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA, pp. 4-90.

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological measurement*, 30, 607-610.

Larsson, S., Pousette, A., & Tömer, M. (2008). Psychological climate and safety in the construction industry-mediated influence on safety behavior. *Safety Science*, 46(3), 405-412.

Lawton, R., & Parker, D. (1998). Individual differences in accident liability: A review and integrative approach. *Human Factors*, 40, 655-671.

Lingard, H., Cooke, T., & Blismas, N. (2010). Safety climate in conditions of construction subcontracting: A multi-level analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(8), 813-825.

Lu, C.S., & Tsai, C.L. (2008). The effects of safety climate on vessel accidents in the container shipping context. *Accidents Analysis and Prevention*, 40(2), 594-601.

Lu, C.S., & Yang, C.S. (2011). Safety climate and safety behavior in the passenger ferry context. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 43, 329-341.

McDonald, N., Corrigan, S., Daly, C., & Cromie, S. (2000). Safety management systems and safety culture in aircraft maintenance organisations. *Safety Science*, 34, 151-176.

Mearns, K., Flin, R., Gordon, R., & Fleming, M. (2001). Human and organizational factors in offshore safety. *Work & Stress*, 15, 144-160.

Morrow, S.L., McGonagle, A.K., dove-Steinkamp, M.L., Walker C.T. Jr., Marmet, M., & Bames-Farrell, J.L. (2010). Relationships between psychological safety climate facets and safety behavior in the rail industry: A dominance analysis. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42, 1460-1467.

Mullen, J. (2004). Investigating factors that influence individual safety behavior at work. *Journal of Safety Research*, 35, 275-285.

Neal, A. and Griffin, M.A. (2004). Safety climate and safety at work. In Barling, J. and Frone, M.R. (Eds.), *The Psychology of Workplace Safety* (pp. 15-34). USA: American Psychological Association.

Neal, A. G., & Griffin, M. A. (2002). Safety climate and safety behaviour. *Australian Journal of Management*, 27, 67-76.

Neal, A., & Griffin, M. A. (2006). A study of the lagged relationships among safety climate, Safety motivation, safety behaviour, and accidents at the individual and group levels. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(4), 946-953.

Neal, A., Griffin, M. A., & Hart, P. M. (2000). The impact of organizational climate on safety climate and individual behaviour. *Safety Science* 34, 99-109.

Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Paul, P.S., & Maiti, J. 2007. The role of behavioral factors on safety management in underground mines. *Safety Science*, 45(4), 449-471.

Pertubuhan Keselamatan Sosial (2012), Malaysia: Kementerian Sumber Manusia.

Pousette, A., Larsson, S., & Tömer, M. (2008). Safety climate cross-validation, strength and prediction of safety behaviour. *Safety Science*, 46(3), 398-404.

Rundmo, T. (1997). Associations between risk perception and safety. *Safety Science*, 24, 197-209.

Rundmo, T. (2000). Safety climate, attitudes and risk perception in Norsk Hydro, *Safety Science*, 34 (1-3), 47-59.

Sawacha, E., Naoum, S., & Fong, D. (1999). Factors affecting safety performance on construction sites. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(5), 309-315.

Schein, E. H. (1992). *Organizational culture and leadership: A dynamic view* (2nd Ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Schutte, R. (2010). *Safety performance in the construction sector the influence of transformational leadership and the mediating role of safety climate*. Dissertation Master, Utrecht University.

Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (3rd ed.). USA: John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (5th ed.). UK: John Wiley & Sons.

Seo, D.S. (2005). An explicative model of unsafe work behavior. *Safety Science*, 43, 187-211.

Siu, O., Phillips, D.R., & Leung, T. (2004). Safety climate and safety performance among construction workers in Hong Kong: The role of psychological strains as mediators. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 36(3), 359-366.

Tharaldsen, J., Mearns, K., & Knudsen, K. (2010). Perspectives on safety: The impact of group membership, work factors and trust on safety performance in UK and Norwegian drilling company employees. *Safety Science*. Vol. 48, pp. 1062-1072.

Tomás, J. M., Meliá, J. L., & Oliver, A. (1999). A cross-validation of a structural equation model of accidents: Organizational and psychological variables as predictors of work safety. *Work & Stress*, 13(1), 49-58. doi:10.1080/026783799296183.

Veloo, A. (2012). *Kaedah Analisis & Interpretasi Data*. UUM Pres: Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah.

Vinodkumar, M. N., & Bhasi, M. (2009). Safety Climate factors and its relationship with accidents and personal attributes in the chemical industry. *Safety Science*, 47(5), 659-667.

Vinodkumar, M. N., & Bhasi, M. (2010). Safety management practices and safety behaviour: Assessing the mediating role of safety knowledge and motivation. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42, 2082-2093.

Watson, G., Scott, D., Bishop, J., & Turnbeagh, T. (2005). Dimensions of interpersonal relationships and safety in the steel industry. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 19, 303-318.

Wiegmann, D. A., Zhang, H., & von Thaden, T. L. (2001). *Defining and assessing safety culture in high reliability systems: An annotated bibliography* (Tech. Rep. ARL 01-12/FAA-01-4). Savoy, IL: Aviation Research Lab.

Williamson, A.M., Feyer, A.M., Cairns, D., & Biancotti, D. (1997). The development of a measure of safety climate: The role of safety perceptions and attitudes. *Safety Science*, 25, 15-27.

Wu, S. (2009). *The impact of collaborative working on construction project performance*. Dissertation PhD, University of Northumbria , Newcastle.

Wu, T. C. (2000). *The correlational study between safety climate and safety performance in four categories of manufacturing industries in central Taiwan*. Dissertation PhD, National Changhua University of Education.

Wu, T., Lee, G., Shu, Y., & Shu, C. (2010). Disordinal interaction effects of organizational and individual factors on safety performance in university laboratories. *Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*, 18, 15-32.

Wu, T.C., Chen, C.H., & Li, C.C. (2008). A correlation among safety leadership, safety climate and safety performance *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 21(3), 307-318.

Yang, C., Wang, Y., Chang, S. Guo, S., & Huang, M. (2010). A study on the leadership behaviour, safety culture, and safety performance of the healthcare industry. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology L: Educational and Psychological Sciences*, 2(2), 87-94.

Zhou, Q., Fang, D., & Wang, X. (2008). A method to identify strategies for the improvement of human safety behavior by considering safety climate and personal experience. *Safety Science*, 46(10), 1406-1419.

Zikmund, W.G. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. 7th Edition: South-Western: Ohio.

Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: Theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65(1), 96-102.

Zohar, D. (2003). Safety climate: Conceptual and measurement issues. In Quick, J.C. and Tetrick, L.E. (Eds.), *Handbook of Occupational Health Psychology* (pp. 123-142). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Zohar, D., & Luria, G. (2004). Climate as a social-cognitive construction of supervisory safety practices: Scripts as proxy of behavior patterns. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(2), 322-333.

Zohar, D., & Luria, G. (2005). A multilevel model of safety climate: Cross-level relationships between organization and group-level climates. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90, 616-628.