# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND FIRM'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE: MALAYSIAN EVIDENCE

# By:

### MUHAMMAD ASHRAF BIN ANUAR

## **Dissertation Submitted to**

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduates School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science (Finance)

### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this project paper is based on my original work except for the citations and quotations that are used in this study. All of them have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that this project paper has not previously been submitted to any Master's program in Universiti Utara Malaysia and any other institutions.

Muhammad Ashraf Bin Anuar

814433

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

2015

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation/project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University

Library makes it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for

copying of this dissertation/project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for

scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or, in her absence, by the Dean

of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any

copying or publication or use of this dissertation/project paper or parts thereof for

financial gain shall not be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any

scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this

dissertation/project paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

### ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationships between corporate governance mechanisms (bankers on board, family-owned company, CEO duality, board size, and board composition), including control variables (firm size, firm age and firm's profitability) with capital structure (debt-equity ratio) of listed companies in Malaysia. This study uses data from 60 largest listed companies, based on their market capitalization, from all sectors in Malaysia except financial institution and insurance companies. The time period covered is from 2000 to 2004, that is, after the announcement of the Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance in 2000. This study finds positive relationships between capital structure and bankers on board, family-owned company, board composition, and firm size. The relationships on family-owned company and firm size are significant, with both have strongly influencing the firms' capital structure. Profitability has a negative relationship. Board size and firm age both have negative, but significant relationships with the firms' capital structure. Generally, the existing literature on the relationships between corporate governance and capital structure has supported the findings of this study.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** 

بسم اللة الرحمن الرحيم

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

Alhamdulillah. All praise goes to Allah SWT for His kindness, mercy and blessing

which has guided me to face all the trials and tribulations to complete this thesis

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to

my supportive, charismatic, and committed supervisor Associate Professor Norafifah

Binti Ahmad, for her constructive comments, encouragement and suggestions.

Without her patience and guidance, I might not be able to complete this thesis.

Not to forget, to all my lecturers at Universiti Utara Malaysia who had taught me a

lot, thank you very much. To all my classmates, especially Ahmad Harith Ashrofie,

Taufiq, Izzatul Amal, Hazwani, Ahmed Hadi, Syed Fairul, Hisham, Anton Eise De

Vries, Noraini, Maizatul, Izni and Zaza who had helped me a lot when I was in

trouble and down. Thank you for all your support.

Finally, I also would like to express my dedication to my parents, Mr. Anuar bin Md.

Zain and Mrs Zaiton Binti Ariffin, and all my family members, for their full moral

support and encouragement for me to finish my study. I love everyone of you.

May Allah bless.

Sincerely,

Muhammad Ashraf Bin Anuar.

ii

# TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION			
PERMISSION TO USE			
ABSTRACT			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			
TABLE OF CONTENT			
LIST OF TABLES			
LIST OF FIGURES		ix	
СНА	CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY		
1.1	Introduction	1	
1.2	Overview of the Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance	4	
1.3	Problem Statement	5	
1.4	Research Questions	9	
1.5	Objectives of Study	10	
1.6	Significance of Study	11	
1.7	Organization of Thesis	12	

# **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Dependent Variables	13
2.3	Theoretical Foundation	15
2.4	Related Theories and Capital Structure	16
2.5	Independent Variables	18
2.5.1	Bankers on Board	18
2.5.2	Family-owned Company	20
2.5.3	CEO Duality	22
2.5.4	Board Size	25
2.5.5	Board Composition	26
2.6	Control Variables	28
2.6.1	Firms Size	28
2.6.2	Firm Age	29
2.6.3	Firm Profitability	30

# CHAPTER THREE: HYPHOTHESES DEVELOPMENT AND

# **METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Research Framework	32
3.3	Hyphoteses Development	34
3.3.1	Bankers on Board	34
3.3.2	Family-owned Company	35
3.3.3	CEO Duality	35
3.3.4	Board Size	35
3.3.5	Board Composition	36
3.3.6	Firm Size	36
3.3.7	Firm Age	37
3.3.8	Firm Profitability	37
3.4	Research Design	37
3.4.1	Data Collection	38
3.4.1.1	Data Collection Procedures	40
3.4.2	Ordinary Least Square Regression and Model Specification	41
3.4.2.1	Model Specification	42

3.5	Operational Definition and Measurement of the Variables	43
3.5.1	Dependent Variable	43
3.5.2	Independent Variables	43
3.5.3	Control Variables	45
3.6	Data Analysis	47
3.6.1	Descriptive Analysis	47
3.6.2	Multicollinearity	48
3.6.3	Correlation of Variables	48
3.6.4	Regression Analysis	48
3.7	Summary of the Chapter	49
CHAI	PTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	50
4.2	Descriptive Statistics	50
4.3	Multicollinearity	52
4.4	Correlation Analysis	54
4.5	Linear Regression Analysis	55

4.6	Findings and Discussion	58
4.7	Summary	63
СНА	PTER 5: CONCLUSION	
5.1	Introduction	65
5.2	Summary of the Study	65
5.3	Limitations of the Study	68
5.4	Recommendations for Future Research	68
	Bibliography	70
	Appendixes	79

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Final Sample After Applying Filters	39
Table 3.2	Summary of Research Variables and Proxies Used	46
Table 4.1	Summary of Descriptive Statistics	51
Table 4.2	Multicollinearity Test Summary	53
Table 4.3	Correlation Matrix Summary	54
Table 4.4	Linear Regression Model Summary	55
Table 4.5	ANOVA	56
Table 4.6	Summary of Linear Regression Analysis	57
Table 4.7	Summary of Hyphothesis Results	64

# LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 3.1	re 3.1 Theoretical Representation of the Relationship Between	
	Corporate Governance and Capital Structure	3

### CHAPTER ONE

### BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

### 1.1 Introduction

Capital structure is how a corporation finances its assets with a mix of short-term debt, long-term debt, equity, or a mix of securities. In other words, it is how a firm develops a strategy in financing its growth and operation using different sources of financing.

Researchers have placed great concern on capital structure as one of the most important issues in corporate finance (see for example, Hasan & Butt (2009); Huang & Song (2006) and Saad (2010)). This concern arises due to the fact that the mix of financing sources, cost and availability of capital affects the decision making for the companies (Omet & Mashharawe, 2002). While considering investment strategy in the company, a basic understanding about the capital structure is necessary, particularly its level of gearing and a originating point to arrive at a conclusion.

There are a number of theories that have been forwarded to clarify the variation in capital structure for companies. Most of the theories argue that companies choose capital structure because they can verify better the costs and benefits pertaining to financial and equity financing of company, starting with capital structure irrelevance hypothesis as explained by Modigliani and Miller (1958), followed by financial

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Abor, J. (2007). Corporate governance and financing decisions of Ghanaian listed firms. *Corporate Governance*, 7(1), 83–92.
- Abor, J., & Fiador, V. (2013). Does corporate governance explain dividend policy in Sub-Saharian Africa?: *International Journal of Law and Management*, 55(3), 201–225.
- Adams, R., & Mehran, H. (2003). Is corporate governance different for bank holding companies? *Economic Policy Review*, (9), 123-142.
- Ahmed, N., Ahmed, Z., & Ahmed, I. (2010). Determinants of capital structure: A case of life insurance sector of Pakistan. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 24(24).
- Alias, N., Rahim, R. A., Nor, F. M., & Yaacob, M. H. (2014). Board structure, capital structure and dividend per share: Is there interaction effect? *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 2–14.
- Ampenberger, M., Achleitner, A., & Kaserer, C. (2011). Capital structure decisions in family firms Empirical evidence from a bank-based economy. *Review of Managerial Science*, (7),247-275.
- Amran, N. A. (2011). Corporate governance mechanisms and company performance: evidence from Malaysian companies. *International Review of International Research Paper*, 7(6), 101–114.
- Anderson, R. C., Mansi, S. A., & Reeb, D. M. (2004). Board characteristics, accounting report integrity, and the cost of debt. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 37(3), 315–342.
- Anderson, R. C., & Reeb, D. M. (2003). Founding-family ownership and firm performance: Evidence from the S&P 500. *American Finance Association*, 58 (3), 1301–1328.
- Andres, C. (2008). Large shareholders and firm performance-An empirical examination of founding-family ownership. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14, 431–445.
- Antoniou, A., & Paudyal, K. (2002). Determinants of corporate capital structure: Evidence from European countries, *Working Paper*, Universiti of Durham

- Arping, S., & Sautner, Z. (2010). Corporate governance and leverage: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Finance Research Letters*, 7(2), 127–134.
- Baral, K. J. (2004). Determinants of capital structure: A case study of listed companies of Nepal. *The Journal of Nepalese Business Studies*, *I*(1), 1–13.
- Berger, A. N., Udell, G. F., Acs, Z., Berlin, M., Bonaccorsi, E., Bonime, Wolken, J. (1998). The economics of small business finance: The roles of private equity and debt markets in the financial growth cycle. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 22, 1–69.
- Berle, Adolph, & Means, G. (1932). *The Moden and Private Property*. United States: Transaction Publisher.
- Bevan, A. A., & Danbolt, J. (2002). Capital structure and its determinants in the UK A decompositional analysis. *Applied Financial Economics*, 12, 159–170.
- Bodaghi, A., & Ahmadpour, A. (2010). The effect of corporate governance and ownership structure on capital structure of Iranian listed companies, (7<sup>TH</sup> ICESAL 2010) 28-29 June 2010, Rhodes Island Greece.
- Bokpin, G. a., & Arko, A. C. (2009). Ownership structure, corporate governance and capital structure decisions of firms: Empirical evidence from Ghana. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 26(4), 246–256.
- Boone, A. L., Casares Field, L., Karpoff, J. M., & Raheja, C. G. (2007). The determinants of corporate board size and composition: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(1), 66–101.
- Booth, J. R., & Deli, D. N. (1999). On executives of financial institutions as outside directors. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *5*(3), 227–250.
- Borokhovich, K. A. (2004). Board composition and corporate use of interest rate derivatives. *The Journal of Financial Research*, *XXVII*(2), 199–216.
- Boyd, B. K. (1995). CEO duality and firm performance: A contingency model. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(4), 301-312.
- Brailsford, T. J., Oliver, B. R., & Pua, S. L. H. (2002). On the relation between ownership structure and capital structure. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 42, 1–26.
- Brickley, J. A., Coles, J. L., Jarrell, G., Chapman, D., Coles, J., Denis, Zirnmer, J. (1997). Leadership structure: Separating the CEO and chairman of the board. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 3(January 1994), 189–220.

- Burak Güner, a., Malmendier, U., & Tate, G. (2008). Financial expertise of directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 88(2), 323–354.
- Burkart, M., & Shleifer, A. (2002). *Family firms*, Harvard University, Cambrige, MA.
- Byrd, D. T., & Mizruchi, M. S. (2005). Bankers on the board and the debt ratio of firms. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11(1-2), 129–173.
- Cadbury, A. (1992). The financial aspects of corporate governance, *Report*, 1–90.
- Castanias, R. (1983). Bankruptcy risk and optimal capital structure. *Journal of Finance*, *XXXVIII*(5), 1617–1636.
- Cau, G., & Stacchini, M. (2010). The certification role of bank directors on corporate boards, MOFIR Working Paper. 1–30.
- Chen, C., Lin, J. B., & Yi, B. (2008). CEO duality and firm performance An endogenous issue. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 6(1).
- Chen, Z., Cheung, Y.-L., Stouraitis, A., & Wong, A. W. S. (2005). Ownership concentration, firm performance, and dividend policy in Hong Kong. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *13*(4), 431–449.
- Choi, J. J., Park, S. W., & Yoo, S. S. (2009). The value of outside directors: Evidence from corporate governance reform in Korea. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 42(04), 941.
- Ciamarra, E. S. (2006). Monitoring by affiliated bankers on board of directors: Evidence from corporate financing outcomes, *Working Paper Series*.
- Claessens, S., & Djankov, S. (2002). Disentangling the incentive and entrenchment effects of large shareholdings. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(6), 2741-2771.
- Claessens, S., Djankov, S., & Colin, L. (2000). Corporate performance in the East Asian financial crisis, Vol. 15, 23–46.
- Coles, J., Daniel, N., & Naveen, L. (2008). Boards: Does one size fit all? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 329–356.
- Cosh, & Hughe. (2009). Size, financial structure and profitability: UK companies in the 1980s. In *Entrepreneurship and Small Business Development in Post-Socialist Economies* 163–189.

- Dittmann, I., Maug, E., & Schneider, C. (2009). Bankers on the boards of German firms: What they do, what they are worth, and why they are (still) there Bankers on the Boards of German Firms, *Review of Finance*(pp. 1–45).
- Dobrica, F. I. (2007). UK and US multinational corporations capital structure: Different approaches to shareholder value maximization, *Analele Stinfice ale Universitatii*, 54, 126-132
- Drakos, a. a., & Bekiris, F. V. (2010). Corporate performance, managerial ownership and endogeneity: A simultaneous equations analysis for the Athens stock exchange. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 24(1), 24–38.
- Faccio, M., & Lang, L. H. P. (2002). The ultimate ownership of Western European. *Journal of Finacial Economics*, 65, 365–395.
- Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency Problems and the Theory of the Firm, *The Journal of Political Economy*, 88 (2), 288–307.
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics, XXVI*
- Feidakis, A., & Rovolis, A. (2007). Capital structure choice in European Union: Evidence from the construction industry1. *Applied Financial Economics*, 17(12), 989–1002.
- Ferry, K. (2007). Board of directors study in Australia and New Zealand. *International & Eagan Associates*, 0–28.
- Fosberg, R. H. (2004). Agency problems and debt financing: leadership structure effects. Corporate governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society*, 4(1), 31–38.
- Friend, I., & Lang, L. H. P. (1988). An empirical test of the impact of managerial self-interest on corporate capital structure. *Journal of Finance*, 43(2), 271–282.
- Ganiyu, Y. O., & Abiodun, B. Y. (2012). The impact of corporate governance on capital structure decision of Nigerian firms. *Research Journal in Organizational Psychology & Educational Studies*, *1*(2), 121–128.
- Ghosh, C., & Sirmans, C. F. (2005). On REIT CEO compensation: Does board structure matter? *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 30(4), 397–428.
- Gill, A., Biger, N., Mand, H. S., & Shah, C. (2012). Corporate governance and capital structure of small business service firms in India. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(8), 83–92.

- Givoly, D., Hayn, C., & Ofer, A. R. (2001). Taxes and capital structure: Evidence from firms response to the tax reform act of 1986. *Review Financial Studies*, 5(2), 331–355.
- Glen, J., Pinto, B., Edisis, W., Griffin, C. C., & Marsden, K. (1994). Debt or equity? How firms in developing countries choose. *Discussion Paper 22*.
- Gregory, B. T., Rutherford, M. W., Oswald, S., & Gardiner, L. (2005). An empirical investigation of the growth cycle theory of small firm financing. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 43(4), 382–392.
- Guest, P. (2008). The determinants of board size and composition: Evidence from the UK. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14(44), 51–72.
- Guner, A. B., Malmendier, U., & Tate, G. (2005). The impact of boards with financial expertise on corporate policies. *National Bureau of Academic Research*.
- Hall, G., Hutchinson, P., & Michaelas, N. (2010). Industry effects on the determinants of unquoted SMEs' capital structure. *International Journal of the Economics of Business*, 7(3), 297–312.
- Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2006). Corporate governance structure and performance of Malaysian listed companies. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 33(7-8), 1034–1062.
- Hasan, A., & Butt, S. A. (2009). Impact of ownership structure and corporate governance on capital structure of Pakistani listed companies. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(2), 50–57.
- Heidrick, & Struggles. (2007). Annual corporate board effectiveness study.
- Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (2003). Boards of directors as an endogenously determined institution: A survey of the economic literature. *FRBNY Economic Policy Review*, 7–26.
- Hillman, A. J., Canella, A. A., & Paetzold, R. L. (2000). The resource dependence role of corporate directors: Strategic adaptation of board composition in response to environmental change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(2), 235-255.
- Huang, S. G. H., & Song, F. M. (2006). The determinants of capital structure: Eevidence from China, *China Economic Review 17*, 14-35.

- Ibrahim, H. (2011). Corporate governance mechanisms and performance of public-listed family-ownership in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics Finance*, 3(1), 105–115.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 4(3), 305–360.
- Khoo, B. Y. (2003). Review of corporate governance in Asia corporate governance in Malaysia.
- Kroszner, R. S., & Strahan, P. E. (2002). Bankers on boards: Monitoring, conflicts of interest, and lender liability. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 62(2), 415–452.
- Lawler, E. E., & Conger, J. A. (2009). Effective organizations sharing leadership on corporate boards: A critical requirement for teamwork. *Organization Dynamics*, 38(3), 183-191.
- Levrau, A., & Berghe, L. A. A. Van Den. (2007). Corporate governance and board effectiveness: Beyond formalism. *VI*(4), 58–86.
- Liew, P. K. (2008). The (perceived) roles of corporate governance reforms in Malaysia. *Research in Accounting in Emerging Economy*, (8), 455-482.
- Linck, J., Netter, J., & Yang, T. (2008). The determinants of board structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 308–328.
- López-gracia, J., & Sánchez-andújar, S. (2007). Financial structure of the family business: Evidence from a group of small Spanish firms. *Family Business Review*, *XX*(4), 269–288.
- Mansi, S. A., & Agca, S. (2008). Managerial ownership, takeover defenses, and debt financing. *The Journal of Financial Research*, *XXXI*(2), 85–112.
- Matos, J. A. de, Ferreira, M., Matos, P., & Mergulhao, J. (2010). The network centrality of influential bankers: A new capital structure determinant, *Working Paper*.
- Matos, J. Amaro de, & Mergulhao, J. (2011). The network centrality of influential bankers: A new capital structure determinant, *Working Paper*, 1–26.
- Mazur, K. (2007). The determinants of capital structure choice: Evidence from Polish companies. *International Advances in Economic Research*, 13(4), 495–514.

- Mcconaughy, D. L. (2008). The cost of capital for the closely-held, family-controlled firm, *USASBE proceedings* 113–128.
- Miller, D., & Breton-Miller, I. Le. (2006). Family governance and firm performance: Agency, stewardship, and capabilities. *Family Firm Institute*, *XIX*(1).
- Mitchell, K., & Walker, M. D. (2008). Bankers on boards, financial constraint, and financial distress. *Working Paper Series*
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *The American Economic Review*
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963a). American economic association corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: *A Correction*, 53(3), 433–443.
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963b). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433–443.
- Morck, R., Yeung, B., Yu, W., Deardorff, A., Fox, M., Froot, Terrell, K. (2000). The information content of stock markets: Why do emerging markets have synchronous stock price movements? *Journal of Financial Economics*, (58), 1-2.
- Myers, S. C. (1984). Capital structure puzzle. *Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575-592.
- Myers, S. C. (2001). Capital Structure, *Journal of Economic Perspective*, 15(2), 81–102.
- Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221.
- Nazir, M. S. (2012). The impact of CEO duality on capital structure: A case from non-financial sector of Pakistan. *American Journal of Scientific Research*, 56(56), 5–12.
- Omet, G., & Mashharawe, F. (2002). The capital structure choice in tax contrasting environment: Evidence from the Jordanian, Kuwaiti, Omani and Saudi corporate sectors. *Paper Presented at the Econ. Res. Forum 10th Ann. Conference. December (Marrakesh, Morocco).*, 1–24.
- Peng, M. W. (2001). Interlocking directorates as corporate governance in third world multinationals: Theory and evidence from Thailand, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 18 (2), 161–181.

- Peng, M. W., Li, Y., Xie, E., & Su, Z. (2009). CEO duality, organizational slack, and firm performance in China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 27(4), 611–624.
- Pfeffer, J. (1972). Size and composition of corporate boards of directors: The organization and its environment Administritive Science Quaterly, 218–229.
- Poutziouris, P. Z. (2001). The views of family companies on venture capital: Empirical evidence from the UK small to medium-size enterprising economy. *Family Business Review*, 14(3), 277–291.
- Poorzamani, Z., & Khademi, S. (2014). The effect of corporate governance factors on cash flow resulting from operating activities and firm financing. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*, 4, 1629–1638.
- Rahman, R. A., & Ali, F. H. M. (2006). Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(7), 783–804.
- Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. *Journal of Finance*, *L*(50).
- Romano, C. A., & Tanewski, G. A. (2000). Capital structure decision making: A model for family business. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9026(99), 285–310.
- Saad, N. M. (2010). Corporate governance compliance and the effects to capital structure in Malaysia, (Note 2). *International Journal of Economic and Finance*, 2(1), 105–114.
- Serrasqueiro, Z., Nunes, P. M., & Vidigal, J. (2011). Are capital structure decisions of family-owned smes different? Empirical evidence from Portugal, CEFAGE-UE *Working Paper* (pp. 1–39).
- Setia-Atmaja, L., Tanewski, G. a., & Skully, M. (2009). The role of dividends, debt and board structure in the governance of family controlled firms. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 36(7-8), 863–898.
- Shah, A., & Khan, S. (2007). Determinants of capital structure: Evidence from Pakistani panel data. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(4), 265–282.
- Shuto, A. (2010). The effect of managerial ownership on the cost of debt: Evidence from Japan. *Discussion Paper Series, RIEB, Kobe University*.
- Stijn Claessens, Simeon Djankove, G. F. (1999). Corporate distress in East Asia. *World Bank report*.

- Titman, S., & Wessels, R. (1988). The determinants of capital structure choice. *The Journal of Finance*, 43(1), 1-19.
- Trezevant, R. (1992). Debt financing and tax status: Tests of the substitution effect and the tax exhaustion hypothesis using firms' responses to the economic recovery tax act of 1981. *Journal of Finance*, (47), 1557–1569.
- Uwuigbe, U. (2014). Corporate governance and capital structure: Evidence from listed firms in Nigeria stock exchange. *Journal of Accounting and Management*, 4(1), 5–14.
- Villalonga, B., & Amit, R. (2006). How do family ownership, control and management affect firm value? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80(2), 385–417.
- Wang, Z.-J., & Deng, X.-L. (2006). Corporate governance and financial distress: Evidence from Chinese listed companies. *Chinese Economy*, 39(5), 5–27.
- Weisbach, M. I. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20, 431–460.
- Wellalage, N. H., & Locke, S. (2012). Corporate governance and capital structure decision of Sri Lankan listed firms. *Global Review of Business and Economic Research*, 8, 157-169
- Wen, Y., Rwegasira, K., & Bilderbeek, J. (2002). Corporate governance and capital structure decisions of the Chinese listed firms. *Corporate Governance*, 10(2), 75–83.
- Williamson, O. E. (1984). Corporate Governance. Yale Law Journal.
- Yermack, D. L., Ofek, E., & Berger, P. G. (1997). Managerial entrenchment, and capital structure decisions. *The Journal of Finance*, 52(4), 1411–1439.