PANEL UNIT ROOT AND COINTEGRATION TEST OF PURCHASING POWER PARITY: A STUDY OF SELECTED AFRICAN COUNTRIE

BY AUWAL YAHAYA

Dissertation submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
University Utara Malaysia,
In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Award of Master of Degree in
Economics

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for post graduate degree from University Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Library of the University, Perpustakan Sultan Bahiyya, may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this thesis, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in his absence by the Dean College of Business, or the Dean Research and Innovation, University Utara Malaysia. It is understood that any copy or publication or use of the thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the university Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use to which my thesis may be put.

Request for permission to a copy or to make use of materials in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Research and Innovation Office

University Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

The Purpose of this research is to test the validity of the purchasing power parity (PPP) theory in Africa. The theory is tested through the use of panel unit root and cointegration techniques. Based on the annual data covering the period of 1980-2012, panel unit root tests of Levin, Lin and Chu (2002) and Im, Pesaran and Shin (2003) are conducted on the real exchange rate of the studied countries. The results based on the unit root tests failed to validate the theory in its strong form. However, based on the Pedroni (1995, 1996) cointegration test of price indices and exchange rates, the results appeared remarkable in favor of long term applicability of PPP as a cointegration concept. Further test on the long run relationship revealed that domestic prices played a vital role in determining the equilibrium exchange rates (hence PPP) as far as this data is concern. As concerns major policy, based on this study, these countries could use the PPP theory to determine the equilibrium exchange rates. Even though, the strong form of PPP theory could not be attested given the unit root approach employed, the empirical results emphasized that there is weak evidence about the long run PPP hypothesis in these countries.

Keywords: PPP, Real exchange Rate, Nominal exchange rate, Domestic Consumer Price Index (CPI), foreign Consumer Price Index (CPI*), Panel Unit Root and Panel Cointegration.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menguji kesahan teori kuasa beli pengguna (PPP)di Afrika. Teori ini diuji melalui penggunaan teknik data panel punca kuasa dua dan kointegrasi.Berdasarkan data tahunan ynag meliputi 1980-2012, ujian Levin, Lin dan Chu (2002) dan Im, Pesaran dan Shin (2003) panel punca kuasa dua dijlanakan keatas pertukaran asing benar di Negara yang dikaji. Keputusan berdasarkan ujian punca kuasa dua gagal mengesahkan teori tersebut dalam bentuknya yang kuat. Tetapi, berdasarkan Pedroni (1995, 1996) ujian kointegrasi index harga dan kadar pertukaran, keputusan-keputusan tersebut menjadi luar biasa terhadap aplikasi PPP jangka masa panjang sebagai konsep kointegrasi. Ujian tambahan ke atas hubungan jangka panjang mendedahkan bahawa harga domestic telah memainkan peranan penting dalam menerangkan keseimbangan kadar tukaran (dan PPP) sejauh mana data ini diambil kira. Perhatian terhadap polisi penting, berdasarkan kajian ini, Negara-negara ini boleh menggunakan teori PPP untuk menentukan keseimbangan tukaran asing. Walaupun, bentuk teori PPP yang kuat tidak boleh disahkan apabila pendekatan punca kuasa dua digunakan, keputusan empirical menekankan terdapat bukti lemah terhadap hipotesis PPP jangka panjang di negara-negara ini.

Katakunci: PPP, Kadar tukaran asing benar, Kadar tukaran semasa, Indeks Harga Pengguna Domestik (CPI), Indeks Harga Pengguna Asing (CPI*), Panel Punca Kuasa Dua dan Kointegrasi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and thanks are due to Allah, the One who, by His blessings and favor, perfected good works are accomplished. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my humble supervisor, Prof Madya, Dr Hussin Abdullah for his endless guidance and suggestions throughout the thesis processes. I owe great many thanks to my great reviewers and teachers/lecturers who have immensely gave me a lot of knowledge and experiences that I am able to finish my program successfully today. I would always remember you and be thankful to you all.

Special gratitude and thanks go to my entire family especially my father, Yahaya Sa`ad and my mother, Maimunah Yusuf. Your patients, prayers, help, encouragement and financial supports did not go in vein. A special prayer and thanks remain to my lovely wife and daughter in persons of Amina Usman Yusuf and Aminah Auwal Yahaya for your patients, endurance, love and understanding throughout my stay in UUM. May Allah forgive and grant us Paradise.

This dissertation would not have been successful without the work of so many people in so many ways. My deep sense of gratitude to; Muktaar Baba, Aminu Hassan Jakada, Ishaq Auwal Moh`d, Ali Ibrahim Sani, Idris Idris Musa, Ahmad Taye Binali, Umar Moh`d Kumo, Mohammad Adamu, Abdul-Rahman Pantamee, Shum li Li and to my Tutor and friend Muhammad Zakir Abdullah.

Lastly, I would like to thank my institution-sponsor, Bauchi State University (Basug) for giving me this chance. Very special thanks go to my VC and the entire governing and administrative bodies of Bauchi State University, Gadau. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to all well-wishers.

Remember you all

(814680)

	PAGE Error! Bookmark not	
CERTIF	FICATION OF STUDY	ii
	SSION TO USE	
ABSTR	ACT	iv
ABSTR	AK	v
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENT	vi
	OF CONTENTS	
LIST O	F TABLES	ix
LIST O	F ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPT	TER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of research problem	3
1.3	Objectives of the Study	6
	1.3.1 General Objective	6
	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	7
1.4	Significance of the Study	7
1.5	Scope of the Study	
1.6	Organization of the Study	8
CHAPT	TER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Theoretical Framework	9
	2.2.1 Purchasing power parity	9
	2.2.2 The Absolute Purchasing power parity	9
	2.2.3 Relative purchasing power parity	11
2.3	Empirical framework	
	2.3.1 Early Studies based on Short-horizon data	14
	2.3.2 Empirical Studies based on Long-horizon data	
	2.3.3 Studies based on Panel Approach	
CHAPT	FER 3: METHODOLOGY AND DATA	31
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Model specification	
3.3	Estimation of the Procedures	
	3.3.1 Levin-Lin and Chu (2002) Test	34
	3.3.2 Im, Pesaran and Shin (IPS, 2003) Test	37
3.4	Panel Co-integration	39
3.5	Fully Modified OLS (FMOLS) Panel Estimates	43
3.6	Data description and it sources	45
	TER 4: EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	
4.1	Introduction	
4.2	Panel Unit Root Test Results	
4.3	Panel Co-integration Test Results	
4.4	Fully Modified Ordinary Least Square (FMOLS)	53
OFF / T-		= =
CHAP	FER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	55

5.1	Introduction	55
5.2	Discussions of Major Findings	55
	Conclusions	
5.4	Policy Implications	59
	Limitations of the Study	
5.6	Suggestion for Future Studies	61
REFER	RENCE	62
APPEN	NDEX	68

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 4. 1: Panel Unit-Root Test Results without Linear Trend	47
Table 4. 2: Panel Unit-Root Test Results with Linear Trend	48
Table 4. 3: Im, Pesaran and Shin Unit Root Test Results at country level	50
Table 4. 4: Pedroni Residual Co-integration Test Results	52
Table 4. 5: Fully Modified OLS Estimates	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADF Augmented Dickey-Fuller CPI Consumer Price Index

CPI* Foreign Consumer Price Index

EU European Union

FMOLS Fully Modified Ordinary Least Square

IPS Im, Pesaran and Shin (2003)
IMF International Monetary Fund

KPSS Kwiatkoswski, Phillips, Schmidt & Shin test (1992)

LDCs Less developed Countries
LLC Levin, Lin and Chu (2002)
LM Lagrange Multiplier
LOP Law of One Price

MENA Middle East and North African Countries

NER Nominal Exchange Rate

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

PPP Purchasing Power Parity
PPI Producer Price Index
RER Real Exchange Rate
SPM Sequential Panel-Selection

SURKSS Seemingly Unrelated Regressions Kapetanios-Shin-Snell(2009)

WPI Whole Sales Price Index

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The theory of purchasing power parity as one of the oldest topics in international economics plays a central role in macroeconomic models in an open economy. It constitutes one of the oldest and the most practical relationships in the theory of exchange rates (the relationship between relative prices and exchange rate). Earlier versions of the theory can be traced back to the works of scholars dating to 15th up until 16th centuries. Though, the intellectual presentation of the theory began as far back as early 1800s, with the writings of Wheatly and Ricardo.

The series of debates on the collapse of world financial system and the necessary ways to restore it marked the modern origin of the theory of purchasing power parity (PPP). Before 1st World War, exchange rates between two countries were simply represented by their relative gold values. However, maintaining the relative gold standard after the end of the war was faced with lot of problems. Countries were highly concern about the possibilities of currency devaluation that could be easily adopted all in an effort to gain seignorage revenues. This let to abandoning of the gold standard (Rogoff 1996).

In a series of influential articles, Cassel (1921, 1922) advocated that PPP should be used to set gold parities. Even though, the theory of PPP had been given earlier discussion by the so-called classical economist, Cassel was really the first to digest and present it as a practical empirical theory.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCE

- Abuaf, N. & Jorion P. (1990). Purchasing power parity in the long run. *The Journal of Finance*. 1.(3), 157-74.
- Alba, J. D., & Papell, D. H. (2007). Purchasing power parity and country characteristics: evidence from panel data tests. *Journal of Development Economics*, 83(1), 240–251.
- Alba, J. D., & Park, D. (2003). Purchasing power parity in developing countries: multi-period evidence under the current float. *World Development*, 31(12), 2049–2060.
- Ali, A., & Ilhan, O. (2010). Testing purchasing power parity in transition countries: evidence from structural breaks. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 112(27), 190–198.
- Arize, A. C., Malindretos, J., & Nam, K. (2010). Cointegration, dynamic structure, and the validity of purchasing power parity in African countries. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 19(4), 755–768.
- Azali, M., Habibullah, M. S. & Baharumshah, A. Z. (2001). Does purchasing power parity hold between Asian and the Japanese economies? Evidence using panel unit root and panel cointegration. *Japan and the World Economy*, 35–50.
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Hegerty, S. W. (2009). Purchasing power parity in less-developed and transition economies. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 23(4), 617–658.
- Bela, B. (1964). The purchasing-power parity doctorine. A reapraisal. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 584-596., (12.) 12.
- Brissimis Sophocles, N., Dimitris, S. A., & Fragiska, V. K. (2004). Testing long-run purchasing power parity under exchange rate. *Targeting working paper*.
- Cassel, G. (1921). The world's money problems. New York: E.P. Dutton and Co.
- Cassel, G. (1922). Money and foreign exchange after 1914. New York: Macmillan,
- Chang T., Lee, C.H., Chou, P.I., & Tang, D.P. (2011). Revisiting long-run purchasing power parity with asymmetric adjustment for G-7 countries. *Japan and the World Economy*, 23(4), 259–264.
- Chang, T., Liu, W.-C., Yu, C.-P., & Kang, S. (2010a). Purchasing power parity for 10 latin American integration association countries, panel SURKSS tests. *Applied Economics Letters*, 17, 1575–1580
- Chang, T., Lu, Y.C., Tang, D. P., & Liu, W.C. (2011). Long-run purchasing power parity with asymmetric adjustment, further evidence from African countries. *Applied Economics*, 43(2), 231–242.

- Chang, T., & Tzeng, H.-W. (2011). Long-run purchasing power parity with asymmetric adjustment. Further evidence from nine transition countries. *Economic Modelling*, 28(3), 1383–1391
- Chang, T., Zhang, Y., & Liu, W.-C. (2010). Purchasing power parity for Asean-8 countries, panel SURKSS tests. *Applied Economics Letters*, 17, 1517–1523.
- Cheng, B. S. (1999). Beyond the purchasing power parity, testing for cointegration and causality between exchange rates, prices, and interest rates. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 18(6), 911–924.
- Cheung Yin-Wong, & Lai, K. S. (1993). Long-run purchasing power parity during the recent float. *Journal of International Economics*, 34(2), 181–192.
- Chiu, R.-L. (2002). Testing the purchasing power parity in panel data. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 11(4), 349–362.
- Coakley, J., Flood, R. P., Fuertes, A. M., & Taylor, M. P. (2005). Purchasing power parity and the theory of general relativity the first tests. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 24(2), 293–316.
- Crownover, C., Pippenger, J., & Steigerwald, D. G. (1996). Testing for absolute purchasing power parity. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 15(5), 783–796.
- Darby, M. R. (1983). Movement in purchasing power parity: the short-run and the long-run." in the international transmission of inflation. eds.: Michael R. Darby and James R. Lothian et al. Chicago. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 462–77.
- David, P. H., & Ruxandra, P. (2006). Additional evidence of long-run purchasing power parity with restricted structural change. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking.*, 38(8), 1329–1349.
- Drine, I., & Rault, C. (2008). Purchasing power parity for developing and developed countries. what can we learn from non-stationary panel data models? *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 22(4), 752–773.
- Edison, H. J., & Klovland, J. T. (1987). A quantitative reassessment of the purchasing power parity hypothesis: evidence from Norway and the United kingdom. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 2(10), 309–33.
- Faust, J., Rogers, J. H., & H. Wright, J. (2003). Exchange rate forecasting, the errors we've really made. *Journal of International Economics*, 60(1), 35–59.
- Frankel, Jacob. A. (1978). Purchasing power parity, doctrinal perspective and evidence from the 1920s. *Journal of International Economics.*, 8(5), 169–91.
- Frankel, J. A. (1986). International capital mobility and crowding-out in the U.S economy, imperfect intergration of financial markets or goods markets?" In

- how open is the U.S economy? Ed.: rik harfer. Lexinfton: w. Lexington Books, pp. 33–67.
- Frankel, J. A., & Rose, A. K. (1996): A panel project on purchasing power parity. Mean reversion within and between countries. *Journal of International Economics*, 40(1), 209–224.
- Froot, K., & Rogoff, K. (1996). Perspective on PPP and long-run real exchange rates. *NBER Working Papers 4952, National Bureau of Economic Research.*
- Glen, J. D. (1992). "Real Exchange rates in short, medium, and long run". *Journal of International Economic*. 33(8) 147–66.
- Güney, P. Ö., Telatar, E., & Hasanov, M. (2012). Re-examining purchasing power parity for selected emerging markets and African countries. *Applied Economics Letters*, 19(2), 139–144.
- Holmes, M. J. (2000). Does purchasing power parity hold in African less developed countries? Evidence from a panel data unit root test. *Journal of African Economies*, 9(1): 63–78.
- Holmes, M. J. (2001b). New evidence on real exchange rates stationarity and purchasing power parity in less developed countries. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 23(4), 601–614.
- Hoque, A., & Banerjee, R. (2012). Does purchasing power parity hold for garment export-oriented developing countries? *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65, 8–13.
- Huizinga, J. (1987). An empirical investigation of the long run behavior of real exchange rates, in Carnegie-Rochester. *Conf. Ser. Public Policy*, 27 149–215.
- Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 115(1), 53–74.
- Islam, A. M., & Ahmed, S. M. (1999). The purchasing power parity relationship, causality and cointegration tests using Korea-US exchange rate and prices. *Journal of Economic Development*, 24(2), 95–111.
- Kalyoncu, H., Kula, F., & Aslan, A. (2010). The validity of purchasing power parity hypothesis in middle east and northern Africa countries. *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting*, 125–131.
- Karabulut, G., Bilgin, M. H., & Gozgor, G. (2013). Purchasing power parity hypothesis, mixed evidence from eastern Europe emerging markets. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 49, 213–227.
- Kargbo, J. M. (2003a). Cointegration tests of purchasing power parity in Africa. *World Development*, 31 (10), 1673–1685.

- Kilian, L., & Taylor, M. P. (2003). Why is it so difficult to beat the random walk forecast of exchange rates? *Journal of International Economics*, 60(1), 85–107.
- L., Sarno. (2005). Viewpoints towards a solution to the puzzle in exchange rate economics, where do we stand? *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 38(3), 678–708.
- Levin, A., Lin, C.F, & Chu, C. S. (2002). Unit root tests in panel data, asymptotic and finite-sample properties. *Journal of Econometrics*, 108(5), 1–24.
- Lin, S.-Y., Chang, H.-J., & Chang, T. (2011). Revisiting purchasing power parity for nine transition countries, a fourier stationary test. *Post-Communist Economies*, 23(02), 191–201.
- Lopez, C., Murray, C. J., & Papell, D. H. (2005). State of the art unit root tests and purchasing power parity puzzle. *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 37(4), 361–369.
- Nagayasu, J. (1998). Does the long run purchasing power parity hold for Africa? Evidence from panel cointegration study. *IMF working paper*, *WP/98/123 African department, International Monetry Fund, Washington DC.*, August.
- Nagayasu, J. (2002). Does the long-run PPP hypothesis hold for Africa? Evidence from a panel cointegration study. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 7, 181–187.
- Nusair Salah, A. (2003). Testing the validity of purchasing power parity for Asian countries during the current float. *Journal of Economic Development*, 28(12), 129–147.
- Odedokun, M. O. (2000). Fulfilment of purchasing power parity conditions in Africa. The differential role of CFA and non-CFA membership. *Journal of African Economies*, 9(2), 213–234.
- P. Pedroni. (1995). Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis`.
- P. Pedroni. (1996). Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegration panels and the case of purchasing power parity. *Working Paper, Indiana University, Bloomington*.
- Pan, G., Chang, T., Lee, C.-H., & Liu, W.-C. (2012). Revisiting purchasing power parity for 18 African countries, sequential panel selection method. *Applied Economics Letters*, 19(9), 877–881.
- Papell, D., Theodoridis, H., (1998). Incresing evidence of purchasing power parity over the current float. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, (17), 41–50.
- Pedroni, P. (2001). Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegrated panels. Reviews of Economics and Statistics 83 (4):727-731

- Rogoff, K. (1996). The purchasing power parity puzzle. *Journal of Economics Literature*, 34(6), 647–668.
- Rogoff, K., & K. Froot. (1995). Perspectives on PPP and the long-run real exchange exchange rate. In. G. Grossman K. Rogoff (eds), Handbook of International Economics, Vol. 3. Amsterdam. Elsevier Science, 1647-1688., 1647–1688.
- Roll, R. (1979). "Violations of Purchasing Power Parity and their implications for effecient international commodity markets," in international Finance and Trade. Eds.: Marshal sarnat and giogio p. szego. cambridge, ma, ballinger, 133–76
- Salehizadeh, M., & Taylor, R. (1999). A test of purchasing power parity for emerging economies. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 9(2), 183–193.
- Sarno, L., & Passari, E. (2011). Purchasing Power Parity in Tradable Goods.
- Sarno, L., & Valente, G. (2006). Deviations from purchasing power parity under different exchange rate regimes: Do they revert and, if so, how? *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 3147–3169.
- Shiller, I. (2013). Testing purchasing power parity in the long-run Ilona Shiller university of new Brunswick, *14*(1), 11–20.
- Shintani, M. (2006). A nonparametric measure of convergence towards purchasing power parity. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 21(7), 589–604.
- Su, C.-W., Chang, T., & Liu, Y.-S. (2012). Revisiting purchasing power parity for African countries with nonlinear panel unit-root tests. *Applied Economics*, 12(25), 3263–3273.
- Sulku, S. N. (2001). Econometric testing of purchasing power parity in less developed countries:, fixed and flexible exchange rate.
- Sulku, S. N. (2010). Econometric testing of purchasing power parity in less developed countries: fixed and flexible exchange rate regime experiences. *Applied Economics*, 42, 2617–2630.
- Taylor, A. M. (2002). A century of purchasing-power parity. *The Review of Economics and Statics*, 84(2), 139–150.
- Taylor, A. M., & Taylor, M. P. (2004). The purchasing power parity debate. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18(4), 135–158.
- Taylor M.P,Peel D.A, & Sarno L. (2001). Nonlinear mean-reversion in real exchange rates toward a solution to the purchasing power parity puzzles. *International Economic Review*, 1015–1042.

- Tsong, C.-C. (2010). Are real exchange rates mean reverting in developing economies in asia? A covariate stationarity approach. *International Economic Journal*, 24(9), 397–412.
- Voinea Laurentiu, G. (2013). The purchasing power parity: evidence from the great financial crisis (p. 35).
- Wu, Y. (1996). Are real exchange rates non-stationary? Evidence from a panel data test. *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, (28), 54–63.
- Zhang, S., & Lowinger, T. C. (2006). An empirical test of purchasing power parity in selected developing countries, a panel data approach. *International Economic Journal*, 20(1), 79–86.