THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ATTITUDE AND MOTIVATION TOWARDS LEARNING ENGLISH AND ENGLISH ACHIEVEMENT OF THE FORM FOUR STUDENTS IN THE SARIKEI DIVISION

HII YEW CHUO

DISSERTATION PRESENTED TO THE UUM COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA AS THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MASTERS DEGREE OF EDUCATION (CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION)

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

2011



Bidang Pengajian Pendidikan UUM College of Arts and Sciences (Universiti Utara Malaysia)

PERAKUAN PROJEK SARJANA

(Certification of Masters Project)

Saya yang bertandatangan di bawah, memperakukan bahawa

(1. the undersigned, certify that)

	Sarjana Pendidikan (Kurikulum & Pengajaran)
(candidate for the de	gree of)
elah mengemukakan	kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/he	er project paper of the following title)
THE RELATIONS	HIP BETWEEN ATTITUDE AND MOTIVATION TOWARDS
LEARNING ENG	LISH AND ENGLISH ACHIEVEMENT OF THE FORM FOUR
STUDENTS IN TH	E SARIKEI DIVISION.
	dila
1 0	di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek boleh diterima dari segi gan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
	he title page and front cover of project paper is acceptable in form
	a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper

: Prof. Madya Dr. Abdull Sukor Shaari

Tandatangan (Signature)

Nama Penyelia

(Name of Supervisor)

Tarikh (Date)

: 30 April 2011

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this assignment is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

30 APRIL 2011

HII YEW CHUO

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, my utmost gratitude goes to God Almighty for His kindness in blessing me with good health, strength and most importantly endurance to successfully complete my work.

My sincere appreciation also especially dedicated to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Abdull Sukor Bin Shaari, for his assistance, patience and guidance in the writing of this thesis. His concern and constructive advice has enabled me to proceed with this thesis despite the difficulties encountered when writing it. In addition, I would like to thank him for his valuable suggestions and proofreading to improve this research.

I would like to thank all the lecturers in UUM CAS that have taught me till the very end of this course and also the continual supports from the coordinator of this course, Dr Laurence who has given his advice and full support throughout this course. His help and thought are very much appreciated for me to take this course.

The completion of this study would not have been possible without the cooperation from all my colleagues, the respondents who willingly participated in this study. I am very grateful to all of them for providing useful information for the analysis of this study. Most of all, I wish to deeply thank my beloved family for their continuous encouragement during my study.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ATTITUDE AND MOTIVATION TOWARDS LEARNING ENGLISH AND ENGLISH ACHIEVEMENT OF THE FORM FOUR STUDENTS IN THE SARIKEI DIVISION

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine the relationship between attitude and motivation towards learning English and the achievement in English. 330 form four students are chosen as sample from the Sarikei Division secondary schools. All the form four students are chosen randomly from the population approximately 2119 students. Data was collected through a 46 – item questionnaires (2 sections : Attitude and Motivation). Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse the data. For earlier stage, a pilot study was carried out with the 30 students to test on the questionnaires chosen from Attitude and Motivation Test Battery (Gardner, 2004). An analysis using the Coefficient reliability test (Cronbach's alpha) was conducted to ensure the reliability of the constructs on the attitudes (25 questions) and motivation (21 questions). The reliability of all question items in the questionnaire was at a high level, depicting .90. The independent sample t-test was used to see the differences of the attitude, motivation and students' achievement based on the gender. Pearson correlation method was used to analyse the relationships between the variable of attitude, motivation and students' achievement in English. The findings revealed the non-significant results of the attitude and motivation with the gender. This indicated that there was no clear difference between the male and female students in the aspects of their attitude and motivation that would influence the learning of English. Interestingly, there was a significant result on gender in the comparison with the achievement of the students whereby the female students performed better result in English than the male students. The Pearson correlation reflected the significant relationships for the variables of attitude, motivation and the achievement. The obvious relationship was shown between the attitude and motivation that conveyed the positive strong association.

CONTENTS

AKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
CONTENTS	iii
TABLES	vii
FIGURES	ix
ABBREVIATION	x
CHAPTER I BACKGROUND STUDY	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	4
1.3 Objectives of Study	8
1.4 Research Questions	9
1.5 The Conceptual Framework of the Study	10
1.6 Significance of Study	11
1.7 Operational Definitions	
1.7.1 Attitude	12
1.7.2 Motivation	15
1.7.3 Learning English	17
1.7.4 Achievement in English	18
1.8 Scope of Study	19
1.9 Limitations of the Study	19
1.10 Summary	20

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction	21
2.2 The Nature of Learning Language	21
2.3 The Concept of Attitude and Second Language Learning	22
2.4 The Concept of Motivation and Second Language Acquisition	24
2.4.1 Integrative motivation	25
2.4.2 Instrumental motivation	26
2.4.3 Intrinsic motivation	27
2.4.4 Extrinsic motivation	28
2.5 The Relationship Between Affective Factors (attitude and motivation) and	
Language Acquisition	29
2.6 Gender and Motivation in Learning	33
2.7 Attitude in Learning	35
2.8 Gender, Attitude. Language and Motivation	36
2.9 Students' Perspectives on the Motivation towards the Learning of English	39
2.10 Teachers' Perspectives on the Motivation towards the Learning of English	41
2.11 Summary	
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	44
3.2 Research Design	44
3.3 Population and Sampling	46
3.4 Instrumentation	46
3.5 Data Collection Procedures	48

3.6 Technique of Data Analysis	48
3.7 Pilot study	49
3.8 Summary	50
CHAPTER IV ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS	
4.1 Introduction	51
4.2 Demographic Profile of Students	51
4.3 Reliability Analysis of the Questionnaires	59
4.4 The comparison of means	
4.4.1 Means for attitude	60
4.4.2 Means for motivation	63
4.5 The Independent Sample t-test	
4.5.1 The difference of attitude according to gender	66
4.5.2 The difference of motivation according to gender	66
4.5.3 The achievement and gender	67
4.6 Inferential statistics – Pearson Correlation	
4.7 Summary	72
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Introduction	73
5.2 Summary	73
5.3 Discussion	74
5.4 Implications of the study	79

5.5 Recommendations for Better Motivation	80
5.6 Suggestions for Further Research	81
5.7 Conclusion	82
REFERENCES	85
APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRES	94
APPENDIX B: APPLICATION LETTER OF THE MINISTRY OF	
EDUCATION	104
APPENDIX C: APPLICATION LETTER OF THE STATE EDUCATION	
DEPARTMENT	105
APPENDIX D: STATISTICS OUTPUT	106

LIST OF TABLES

Tab	le Particular	Page
4.1	Background information on respondents for gender	52
4.2	The ethnics	52
4.3	Streams of the students	53
4.4	Types of primary schools	53
4.5	The respondents' parents income	54
4.6	Father's education	55
4.7	Mother's education	56
4.8	Siblings	56
4.9	Medium of communication at homes	57
4.10	Frequency of using English	58
4.11	The grades on English school-based exam results	58
4.12	2 Range of reliability for questionnaires	59
4.13	3 Interpretation of results in terms of mean levels	60
4.14	The comparisons of mean for the attitude towards English	61
4.15	The comparisons of mean for the motivation towards English	64
4.16	The results of independent sample t-test of attitude and gender in	
	Learning English	66
4.17	The result of independent sample t-test of motivation and gender in	
	Learning English	67

4.18	The result of t-test independent sample between the achievement	
	and gender for the learning of English	68
4.19	The number of students for the grades based on the gender	68
4.20	Descriptive statistics for students' attitudes and motivation	71
4.21	Correlations of students' attitudes and motivation	71

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure	Particular	page
1	Conceptual framework	10
2	The relationship between affective factors and language acquisition	30
3	Quantitative research design	45

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AMTB Attitudes and Motivation Test Battery

ELT English Language Teaching

L2 Second Language

PMR Penilaian Menengah Rendah

SLA Second Language Acquisition

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science

STPM Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia

TL Target Language

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AMTB Attitudes and Motivation Test Battery

ELT English Language Teaching

L2 Second Language

PMR Penilaian Menengah Rendah

SLA Second Language Acquisition

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science

STPM Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia

TL Target Language

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure	Particular	page
1	Conceptual framework	10
2	The relationship between affective factors and language acquisition	30
3	Quantitative research design	45

CHAPTER I

BACKGROUND OF STUDY

1.1 Introduction

Malaysia, a multinational country in Asia, was once empowered by the British and the English language was then used as the official language of administration and communication between the governmental bodies in the nation. However, after independence in 1957, Bahasa Melayu soon replaced English as the next official and national language of the country though the carefully planned language policies by the authorized governmental bodies and institutes. English was then used only as the second most important language in the country next to Bahasa Melayu. It became a learning subject in schools while Bahasa Melayu was used as the main medium of instructions whereby as a result of this language policy which was strongly held together by political factors and the urgent need to create a national identity, many Malaysians speak a variety of English described generally as Malaysian English (Gill,1993).

In capturing the interest in learning English, motivation has generally been considered to be an important factor in students' learning and achievement (Dornyei, Csizer, & Nemeth, 2006; Gardner, Tremblay, & Masgoret, 1997; Schunk, Pintrich & Meese, 2007; Volet & Jarvela, 2001). In 2002, English was re-introduced in Malaysia school system as an important language for education, especially in the learning of Science and Mathematics, and despite resistance from certain quarters in the country,

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Amezcua, J. A. & Pichardo, M. C. (2000). Diferencias de genero en autoconcepto en sujetos adolescents. [Gender differences in self-concept in adolescent subjects.] *Anales de Psicologia, 16*, 207-214.
- Anderman, L. H., & Anderman, E. M. (1999). Social predictors of changes in students' achievement goal orientations. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25, 21-37.
- Baker, C. (1988). Key issues in bilingualism and bilingual education, Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Baker, C. (1992). Attitudes and language. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Baker, C. (1993). Foundation of bilingual education and bilingualism. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Baker, C., & MacIntyre, P. (2000). The role of gender and immersion in communication and second language orientations. *Language Learning*, 50, 311-341.
- Banfield, S. R., Richmond, V. P., & McCroskey. J. C. (2006). The effect of teacher misbehaviors on teacher credibility and affect for the teacher. *Communication Education* 55, 63-72.
- Beck, R. C. (1978). *Motivation theories and principles*. Third Edition. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Boyle, J. (2000). Education for teachers of English in China. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 26, 147-155.
- Brown, H. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Burgner, D., & Hewstone, M. (1993). Young children's causal attributions for success and failure: Self-enhancing boys and self-derogating girls. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 11, 125-129.
- Burstall, C. (1975). Factors effecting foreign language learning: A consideration of some relevant research findings. *Language Teaching and Linguistics Abstracts*, 8, 5-125.
- Candlin, C. & Mercer, N. (2001). English language teaching in its social context. New York: Routledge.

- Chamber, G. N. (1999). *Motivating language learners*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters. Ltd.
- Chaudron, C. (1988). Second language classrooms: Research on teaching and learning. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Choy, S. C. (2002). An investigation into the changes in perceptions and attitudes towards learning English in a Malaysian college. International Conference IPBA. 24-26 Sept. 2002.
- Cook, V. (1996). Second language learning and language teaching. London: Arnold.
- Cooper, P., & McIntyre, D. (1998). Effective teaching and learning. teachers' and students' perspectives. Open University Press. Great Britain: Biddles Limited, Guildford and King's Lynn.
- Deckers, L. (2001). *Motivation. biological, psychological, and environment.* USA: Pearson Education Company.
- Deckers. L. (2005). *Motivation. biological, psychological, and environmental.* 2nd edition. USA: Pearson Education Inc
- Diener, C. I., & Dweck, C. S. (1978). An analysis of learned helplessness: Continuous changes in performance, strategy and achievement cognitions following failure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36, 451-462.
- Dörnyei, Z. (1998). Motivation in second and foreign language learning. *Language Teaching*, 31, 117-35.
- Dörnyei, Z., & Csizér, K. (2002). Some dynamics of language attitudes and motivation: Results of a longitudinal national survey. *Applied Linguistics*, 23(4), 421-462.
- Dornyei, Z., Csizer, K., & Nemeth, N. (2006). *Motivation, language attitude, and globalization: A Hungarian perspective*. Cleverdon, UK: Multilingual Matters Limited.
- Dulay, H., & Burt, M. (1977). Remarks on creativity in language acquisition. In M. Burt, H. Dulay, and M. Finnochiaro (Eds.), *Viewpoints on English as a second* language. New York: Regents, pp. 95-126.
- Ellis, R. (1994). *The study of second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Elyildirim, S., & S. Ashton. (2006). Creating positive attitudes towards English as a foreign language. *English Teaching Forum*, 44(4)

- Fasold, R. (1984). The sociolinguistics of society. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Foo, B. & Richards, C. (2004). English in Malaysia. *RELC Journal*, *35(2)*, 229-240. Downloaded from: Massey University Library: http://rel.sagepub.com.ezproxy.massey.ac.nz/cgi/reprint/35/2/229
- Gabelko, N. H. (1997). Age and gender differences in global, academic, social and athletic self-concepts in academically talented students. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association: Chicago.
- Gardner, R. C. (1983). Learning another language: A true social psychological experiment. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 2, 219-239.
- Gardner, R.C. (1985). The attitude and motivation test battery: Technical report. University of Western Ontario.
- Gardner, R.C. (2004). Attitude or motivation test battery: International AMTB research project. The University of Western Ontario, Canada.
- Gardner, R.C. (2006). The socio-educational model of second language acquisition: A research paradigm. *EUROSLA Yearbook*, 6, 237–260.
- Gardner, R. C., Tremblay, R. F., & Masgoret, A.M. (1997). Towards a full model of second language learning: An empirical investigation. *The Modern Language Journal* 81, 344-362
- Gardner, R. C., Masgoret, A. M., & Tremblay, R. F. (1999). Home background characteristics and second language learning. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 18 (4), 419-437.
- Gardner, R., & Lambert, W. (1972). Attitudes and motivations in second language learning. Rowley, Massachusetts: Newbury House.
- Gardner, R., Smythe, P., Clement, R., & Gliksman, L. (1976). Second-language learning: A social-psychological perspective. *Canadian Modern Language Review 32*: 198-213.
- Gardner, R. C., & Macintyre, P. D. (1991). An instrumental motivation in language study: Who says it is not effective? *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 13, 57-72.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for windows step by step: A simple guide and reference, 11.0 update (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Georgiou, S. (1999). Achievement attributions of sixth grade children and their parents. *Educational Psychology*, 19, 399-412

- Gill, S. K (1993). Standards and pedagogical norms for teaching English in Malaysia. *World Englishes*, 12(2) 223-238.
- Gill, S. K., (2002). International communication: English language challenges for Malaysia. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Gumperz, J. (1982a). Discourse strategies. Cambridge: CUP.
- Gumperz, J. (1982b). Language and social identity. (Ed.). Cambridge: CUP.
- Heckhausen, H.,(1991). *Motivation and action*. Germany. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. http://www.rememberanything.com/gender-differences-in-learning. Retrieved on 20 February 2011.
- Hilke, E. V., & Conway, G. C. (1994). *Gender equity in education*. Indiana: Reports Descriptive.
- Hill, J. (1986). *Teaching literature in the language classroom*. London: Macmillan Publishers.
- Jamali Ismail & Hasliza Arif. (2002). The need to speak English in Malaysia: what do learners say. In Jayakaran Mukundan and Teh Chee Seng (eds.). *Trends in English language teaching*. Serdang: UPM Press.
- Kachru, B. (1994). World Englishes and applied linguistics in second language acquisition. In R.K.Agnihotri and A.L. Khanna (Eds.), *Socio-cultural and linguistic aspects of English in India*. New Delhi (pp. 13-40). Thousand Oaks/London: Sage Publications.
- Kaplan, C. (1999). Language and gender. In D. Cameron, (Ed.), *The feminist critique of language : A reader* (pp. 54-64). London : Routledge.
- Kitao, S. K. (1996). Communicative competence, preference organization, and refusals in British English. *Sougou Bunka Kenkyujo Kiyou 13*, 47-58.
- Knowles, M. (1998). The modern practice of adult education: From pedagogy to andragogy. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Krashen, D. (1987). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Prentice-Hall International.
- Krashen, D. (1988). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Prentice-Hall International
- Krashen, D. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. (First Internet Edition). Pergamon Press. University of Southern California.

- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610.
- Lakoff, R. (1973). Language and woman's place. Language in Society 2, 45-79
- Lewis, G. E. (1981). Bilingualism and bilingual education. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Lightbody, P., Siann, G., Stock, R., & Walsh, D. (1996). Motivation and attribution at secondary school: the role of gender. *Educational studies*, 22, 13-25
- Liu, M. (2007). Chinese students motivation to learn English at the tertiary level. *Asian EFL Journal*, 9(1), 126 146.
- MacIntyre, P., Baker, S., Clément, R., & Donovan, L. (2002) Sex and age effects on willingness to communicate, anxiety, perceived competence, and L2 motivation among junior high school French immersion students. *Language Learning*, 52 (3), 537-564.
- Malaysian Ministry of Education. (1989). Compendium: A Handbook for ELT Teachers. 1/89.
- Masgoret, A. M., & Gardner, R. C. (1999). A causal model of Spanish immigrant adaptation in Canada. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 20(3), 216-236.
- McDonough, S. (1983). *Psychology in foreign language teaching*. George Allen & Unwin: London.
- McElhinny, B. (1993). We all wear the blue: Language, gender and police work. Unpublished Phd thesis, Stanford University. Stanford, CA: USA.
- Meece, J. L., & Holt, K. (1993). A pattern analysis of students' achievement goals. Journal of Educational Psychology, 85, 582-590.
- Midgley, C., & Urdan, T. (1995). Predictors of middle school students' use of self-handicapping strategies. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 15, 389-411.
- Mitchell, T. (1982). Motivation: New directions for theory, research and practice. *Academy of Management review*, 7(1), 80-88.
- Mohd Faisal Hanapiah (2002). English language and the language of development : A Malaysian perspective. International Conference. IPBA 24-26 Sept 2002.
- Naimon, N., Frohlich, M., Stern, D., & Todesco, A. (1978). *The good language learner*. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.

- Nasr, A. R., Booth, E., & Gillett, M. (1996). *Relationship between lecturers'* language background and their teaching performance. Retrieved August 11, 2005, from University of Wollongong, Australia, Faculty of Education Web site:http://www.aare.edu.au/96pap/nasra96151.txt
- Nakanishi, T. (2002). Critical literature review on motivation. *Journal of Language* and Linguistics, 1(3), 278 287.
- Nolen, S.B. (1988). Reasons for studying: Motivational orientations and study strategies. *Cognition and Instructions*, 5, 269-287.
- Nor Faridah Abdul Manaf (2008). Human rights and national literature: A comparative study of the experiences in Malaysia, Thailand and the Philippines. *Asiatic*, 2 (2), 73-84, December 2008.
- Oxford, R. L., & Shearin, J. (1994). Language learning motivation: Expanding the theoretical framework. *The Modern Language Journal*, 78, 12-28.
- Pandian, A. (2002). English language teaching in Malaysia today. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Education*, 22(2), 35-52.
- Peacock, M. (1997). The effect of authentic materials on the motivation of EFL learners. *English Language Teaching Journal*, 51 (2),144-156.
- Petri, H. L. (1996). *Motivation, theory, research and applications*. Fourth Edition. USA. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Pillay, H. (1998). Issues in the teaching of English in Malaysia, The English teacher online. Retrieved August 20, 2006, from http://www.jalt-publications.org/tlt/files/98/nov/pillay.html.
- Postigo, Y., Perez, M. & Sanz, A. (1999). Un studio acerca de las diferencias de genero en la resolucion de problemas científicos. [A study about gender differences in solving scientific problems.] *Ensenanza de las Ciencias*, 17, 247-258.
- Powers, S., & Wagner, M. (1984). Attributions for school achievement of middle school students. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 4, 215-222.
- Rahimpour, M. (1990). *Attitudes and foreign language learning*. Paper presented at the 9th world conference of applied linguistics, Thessaloniki—Halkidiki, Greece. April 15-21.
- Reece, I., & Walker, S. (1997). *Teaching, training and learning: A practical guide.* (Third Ed.). Great Britain: Business Education Publishers Limited.

- Roeser, R. W., Midgley, C., & Urdan, T. C. (1996). Perceptions of the school psychological environment and early adolescents' psychological and behavioural functioning in school: The mediating role of goals and belonging. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 88, 408-422
- Rogers, C. R. (1983). Freedom to learn for the 80's. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill.
- Rogers, S., Ludington, J., & Graham, S. (1999). *Motivation and learning*. Evergreen, CO: Peak Learning Systems, Inc.
- Rosli Talif (1995). *Teaching literature in ESL the Malaysian context*. Kuala Lumpur: Penerbit Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.
- Rosli Talif & Jayakaran Mukundan. 1994. Using literature in an advantaged situation: Issue and prospects. *The English Teacher*, 17,1-5.
- Ryan, A. M., & Pintrich, P. R. (1997). Should I ask for help? The role of motivation and attitudes in adolescents' help-seeking in math class. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89, 329-341.
- Schunk, D. H. (1995). Self-efficacy and education and instruction. In J. E. Maddux (Ed.), Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: *Theory, research, and application* (pp. 281-303). New York: Plenum Press.
- Schunk, D. H., Pintrich, P. R., & Meese, J. (2007). *Motivation in education: theory, research, and applications* (3rd ed). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Schunk, H. D., Pintrich, R. P., & Meece, J. L. (2008). *Motivation in education: Theory, research and applications.* Third Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall
- Seliger, H. (1977). Does practice make perfect? A study of interaction patterns and second language competence. *Language Learning*, 27(2), 263-278.
- Shulman, L. (1986). Paradigms and research programmes in the study of teaching'. In M. Wittrock (ed.). *Handbook of Research on Teaching*, London: Macmillan.
- Smith, L., Sinclair, K. E., & Chapman, E. S.(2002). Students' goals, self-efficacy, self-handicapping, and negative affective responses: An Australian senior school student study. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 27, 471-485.
- Sorensen, G. A., & Christophel, D. M. (1992). The communication perspective. In V. Richmond, P., & McCroskey, J.C. (Eds.), *Power in the classroom:*

- communication, control, and concern. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Spolsky, B. (1969). Attitudinal aspects of second language learning. *Language Learning*, 19: 271-283.
- Sterick, E. (1976). *Memory, meaning, and method*. Rowley, Massachusetts: Newbury House.
- Stipek, D.(1988). *Motivation to learn from theory to practices*. Third Edition. USA. Ally and Bacon.
- Thorne, B., & Henley, N. (1975). Language and sex: Difference and dominance. (Eds.). Rowley, Mass.: Newbury House.
- Triandis, C.H. (1971). Attitude and attitude change. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Unrau, N., & Schlackman, J. (2006). Motivation and its relationship with reading achievement in an urban middle school. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 100(2), 81-101.
- Urdan, T., Midgley, C., & Anderman, E. M. (1998). The role of classroom goal structure in students' use of self-handicapping strategies. *American Educational Research Journal*, 35, 101-122.
- Volet, S., & Jarvela, S. (2001). *Motivation in learning contex: Theoritical advances and methodological implications*. London: Pergamon.
- Vroom, V. H. (1964). Work and motivation. New York: Wiley.
- Watt, J. D., & Blanchard, M. J. (1994). Boredom proneness and the need for cognition. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 28, 44-51.
- Wen, W. P., & Clément, R. (2003). A Chinese conceptualization of willingness to communicate in ESL. *Language*, *Culture and Curriculum*, 16, 18-38.
- Wenden, A. (1991). *Learner strategies for learner autonomy*. Cambridge: Prentice Hall International.
- Wiegers, I. M., & Friere, I. H. (1977). Gender, female traditionality, achievement level and cognitions of success and failure. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 2, 125-137.
- Wilhelm, K. H. (1999). Collaborative dos and don'ts. TESOL Journal 8(2): 14–19.

Wilkins, D. (1972). Linguistics in language teaching. Cambridge: CPU.

Williams, M., & Burden, R. (1997). *Psychology for language teaching*. Cambridge: CUP.