EFFECT OF TRADE LIBERALIZATION ON MANUFACTURING SECTOR PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA

By AFOLABI LUQMAN OLANREWAJU

Project paper submitted to

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, In Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Economics

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this research in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the library of the University, Perpustakaan Sultanah Bahiyah, may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this research paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or the Dean, Research and Graduate Studies, Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School, University Utara Malaysia. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this research paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my research paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make use of materials in this research paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean,

Research and Post Graduate Studies,

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

This study examined the effect of trade liberalisation on manufacturing sector performance in Nigeria using secondary data sourced from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin and other publications. This paper extends previous few empirical studies on the issue by providing some evidence from time-series data period over 1975-2011 in the context of Nigerian economy. In this study, the dependent variables was manufacturing output growth rate. The model was tested using unit root test, Bound test, Granger causality, Vector Autoregressive (VAR) and Impulse Response Function (IRF) to analysis that dynamic relationship between manufacturing output growth rate, Manufacturing capacity utilization, inflation, Trade openness and Total domestic demand. Based on the findings, this study indicates that the Granger Causality test shows that granger cause trade openness affect capacity utilization of manufacturing sector performance, total domestic demand granger cause manufacturing output while trade openness affect total domestic demand, (all is one way causality relationship). Vector Autoregressive (VAR) and Impulse Response Function (IRF) approach shows that the country's manufacturing sector performance growth rate is affected by the past values of the GDP. Finally this paper draws some policy implications for further studies to focus on how to improve manufacturing sector performance in Nigeria.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini mengkaji kesan liberalisasi perdagangan pada prestasi sektor pembuatan di Nigeria dengan menggunakan data sekunder yang diperoleh daripada Bank Pusat Nigeria (CBN) buletin statistik dan penerbitan lain. Karya ini meliputi sebelumnya beberapa kajian ke atas isu ini dengan menyediakan beberapa bukti dari tempoh data siri masa lebih 1975-2011 dalam konteks ekonomi Nigeria. Dalam kajian ini, pembolehubah bersandar telah pembuatan kadar pertumbuhan output. Model ini telah diuji menggunakan ujian unit akar, ujian Bound, Granger sebab-musabab, Vector Autoregresi (VAR) dan Fungsi Impulse Response (IRF) kepada analisis hubungan dinamik antara pembuatan kadar pertumbuhan output, Pembuatan penggunaan kapasiti, inflasi, keterbukaan Jumlah Perdagangan dan permintaan domestik . Berdasarkan dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa ujian penyebab Granger menunjukkan penyebab Granger keterbukaan perdagangan menjejaskan penggunaan kapasiti bahawa prestasi sektor pembuatan, jumlah permintaan domestik Granger punca pengeluaran pembuatan manakala keterbukaan perdagangan menjejaskan jumlah permintaan dalam negeri, (semua adalah salah satu cara hubungan sebab-musabab) . Vector Autoregresi (VAR) dan Fungsi Impulse Response (IRF) pendekatan menunjukkan bahawa kadar pertumbuhan sektor pembuatan prestasi negara dipengaruhi oleh nilai-nilai yang lepas daripada KDNK. Akhirnya kertas ini menarik beberapa implikasi dasar untuk melanjutkan pelajaran untuk memberi tumpuan kepada bagaimana untuk meningkatkan prestasi sektor pembuatan di Nigeria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise is to Almighty Allah (SWT), the most Exalted, the most gracious and the most merciful who offer his guidance toward the completion of this research work. The success of this study was attributed to the professional guidance. Firstly, I owe a debt of gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Shri Dewi a/p Applanaidu for her guidance, insightful critiques and suggestion, her tireless advice, encouragement and support make this study successfully accomplished.

I would also wish to extend my sincere appreciation to my lecturers at economic department Utara University Malaysia. My special appreciation goes to my lovely family especially my incomparable father Alhaji Rasaq Afolabi and my Sweet mother Alhaja Sherifat Afolabi, for their understanding, encouragement and financial supports at all time, which has enabled me to successfully complete my postgraduate study in UUM. Not forgetting, special thanks to my junior sisters and brothers (Nafisat, Kamal, Basit, Ramat and Mahmud), who always give me their endless support toward successful completion of my master's program. Without their encouragement and understanding it would have been impossible for me to complete this work.

Finally, a thousand thanks to my roommate, course mates and all my unforgettable friends for helping me to complete this project. Their assistance really means a lot to me. Also special thanks to the all lecturers and members of the University Utara Malaysia for their information, help and guidance. Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone involved who has given me inspirations and guidance whether directly or indirectly during my study.

Thank you

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Pages |
|---|
| PERMISSION TO USEi |
| ABSTRACTii |
| ABSTRAKiii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTv |
| LIST OF TABLES |
| LIST OF FIGURESix |
| ABBREAVIATIONSx |
| CHAPTER ONE |
| INTRODUCTION |
| 1.1 Background |
| 1.2 Problem Statement |
| 1.3 Resarch Question |
| 1.4 Objective of the Study14 |
| 1.5 Significant of the Study15 |
| 1.6 Organisation of the Study16 |
| CHAPTER TWO |
| Nigeria GDP and it's Manufacturing sector |
| 2.0.Introduction |
| 2.1 A Glimpse of Nigeria GDP since Independence |
| 2.2 Pre and the oil boom Era19 |

| | 2.3 Stablisation and Structural Adjustment Program | .22 |
|-------|---|-----|
| | 2.3.1 Guided Deregulation | .25 |
| 2.4 | 4 An Overview of the Manufacturing Sector in Nigeria | .27 |
| 2.4 | 4.1 Pre and Post Independence Era | .27 |
| 2.4 | 4.2 The transition Period | .28 |
| 2.4 | 4.3 The Manufacturing Sector from 1999 till date | .29 |
| 2. | 5 Review of Industrialization policies in African | .29 |
| 2. | 5.1 Import Substitution Industrialization Stage | .29 |
| 2. | 5.2 Structural Adjustment Program | .30 |
| 2. | 5.3 Poverty Reducing Strategy Paper Model | .31 |
| СНА | PTER THREE | 32 |
| 3.0 L | Literature Review | 32 |
| 3.: | 1 Introduction | .32 |
| 3.: | 1.1 Traditional Theories of International Trade | .33 |
| | 3.1.2. The Neodassical Factor Endowment Theory | .33 |
| | 3.1.3 Contemporary Trade theories | .35 |
| | 3.1.4 The international Product lifecyde | .36 |
| 3.: | 1.5 Dynamic Comparative Advantage Theory | .37 |
| 3.: | 1.6 The size and Distance Theory of External Trade | .38 |
| 3.: | 1.7 Country Similarity theory | .39 |
| 3. | 2 Review of Empirical studies | .40 |
| 3.3 | 3 Conclusion | .48 |
| СНА | PTER FOUR | 49 |
| Data | a and Methodology | 49 |
| 4.0 | 0 Introduction | .49 |
| 4.: | 1 Model Specification and Techniques of data Analysisvi | .49 |

| 4.1 Operational Definitions | .50 | |
|--|-----|--|
| 4.3 Measurement of Variables | .52 | |
| 4.3.1 Unit Root Tests | .52 | |
| 4.3.2 Cointegration Test | .54 | |
| 4.3.3 Error Correction Model | .56 | |
| 4.3.4 Vector Autoregressive Models (VAR) | .58 | |
| 4.4 Scope of the study | .59 | |
| 4.5 Data Collection Procedures | .59 | |
| 4.6 Techniques of data Analysis | .60 | |
| CHAPTER FIVE | 61 | |
| Result and Analysis | 61 | |
| 5.1 Introduction | .61 | |
| 5.2 Results of Unit Root Tests | .61 | |
| 5.3 Bound Test | .63 | |
| 5.4 Vector Autoregressive (VAR) and Impulse Response Function (IRF) Analysis | .66 | |
| 5.5 Granger Causality test | .68 | |
| 5.5 Conclusion | .71 | |
| CHAPTER SIX | 72 | |
| Conclusion and Policy Implication | 73 | |
| 6.1 Summary of Findings | .71 | |
| 6.2 Policy Implication | .72 | |
| 6.3 Conclusion | .75 | |
| 6.4 Recommendation for Future Research | .76 | |
| REFERENCES | 77 | |
| APPENDIX | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 5.1 | Unit Root Test | 62 |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| Table 5.2 | Bound Test | 63 |
| Table 5.3 | Vector Autoregression Estimates (VAR) | 64 |
| Table 5.4 | Response of GDP to one S.D. shocks to Manufacturing output, Tr | Openness. |
| Table 5.5 | Total domestic Demand & Inflation | 67 |
| | Granger Causality Test | 83 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.0. | Industrial Growth Performance of African Countries | 10 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 | Nigeria Non-Oil Import Volume from Foreign Countries | 13 |
| Figure 1.2 | Nigeria Non-Oil Export Volume from Nigeria | 16 |
| Figure 1.3 | Contribution of Manufacturing Sector to the GDP in Nigeria | 18 |
| Figure 1.4 | Average Capacity Utilization of Manufacturing industries | 18 |
| | Nigeria | |
| Figure 1.5 | Nigeria Industrial Production Growth Rate | |
| Figure 5.1 | Result of Impluse Response Function | 54 |

ABBREAVIATIONS

WTO World Trade Organization

MVA Manufacturing Value Added

GDP Gross Domestic Product

MCU Manufacturing Capacity Utilization

CBN Central Bank of Nigeria

UNIDO United Nation Industrial Development Organization

SAP Structural Adjustment Program

IMF International Monetary Fund

ADF Augmented Dickey Fuller

ECM Error Correction Model

VAR Vector autoregressive

IRF Impulse Response Function

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 Introduction

This chapter consist of background of the study, problem statement, research questions and objectives, significance of the study and organisation of the study.

1.1Background

The wave of trade liberalization is fast shaping the nature of a cross-border transaction. With the re-emergence of neo-liberal philosophy in the 1980s, which espouses as one of its fundamental policies the removal of all forms of trade restrictions, most developing countries did a u-turn in major policy thrusts to embrace this neo-liberal development orthodoxy. (Charles, D. S, 2001)

Openness of trade has been of utmost relevance among nations ever since the realization that international specialization is a prerequisite for global output growth. World Trade Organisation (WTO) been the champion in clamouring for free trade in other to enhance economic growth and development in the global trade but did not pay attention to the likely problems developing countries might faces when opening up the economy rather focusing more on the benefits which is mostly favoured by the metropolitan state due to the attainment of developed economy, which exposed developing countries' economies to various kinds of problems.

In Africa, the industrial growth performance can be divided into five stages namely: forerunners, achievers, catching up, falling behind and infant stage. The following listed stages determine where each African countries fall within. This has been illustrated in Figure 1

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

References:

Ademola, I. S. Government Expenditure in the Manufacturing Sector and Economic Growth in Nigeria "2010.

Adenikinju, A. F., & Alaba, O. B. (1999). Energy use and productivity performance in the Nigerian manufacturing sector (1970-1990). Opec review, 23(3), 251-264.

Adenikinju, A. F. (2003). African Imperatives In The New World Trade Order Country Case Study Of The Manufacturing Sector: Nigeria. Final Report Submitted to the AERC, Nairobi, Kenya.

Adenikinju, A. F. (2003). African Imperatives In The New World Trade Order Country Case Study Of The Manufacturing Sector: Nigeria. Final Report Submitted to the AERC, Nairobi, Kenya.

Aluko, M. (2003). The impact of culture on organizational performance in selected textile firms in Nigeria. Nordic journal of African studies, 12(2), 164-179.

Aluko, M., Akinola, G., & Fatokun, S. (2004). Globalization and the Manufacturing Sector: A Study of Selected Textile Firms in Nigeria. J. Soc. Sci, 9(2), 119-130.

Anyanwu, C. (2004). Microfinance institutions in Nigeria: policy, practice and potentials. Paper presented at the G24 Workshop on Constraints to Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Ayanwale, A. B. (2007). FDI and economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria (Vol. 165): African Economic Research Consortium.

Cai, Z. (2013). Financial Econometrics, ECON6219.

CBN. (2005). Statistical Bulletin.

CBN. (2012). Statistical Bulletin.

cleaver, t. seminar economics of trade and development.

Ekpo, A. H. (1995). Openness and economic performance in Nigeria: A time series analysis. External Trade and Economic Development in Nigeria, 107-121.

Enebong, A. (2003). Manufacturing Association of Nigeria (MAN), Nigeria imperative in the new World trade order, workshop report. African economic research consortium (AERC).

Essien, A., & Mozie, I. (2012). Globalization and Industrial Performance in Nigeria: A Case Study of the Plastic Industry; 1975-2010. Available at SSRN 2167266.

Feder, G. (1983). On exports and economic growth. Journal of development economics, 12(1), 59-73.

Garnaut, R. (1996). Open regionalism and trade liberalization: an Asia-Pacific contribution to the world trade system: Institute of Southeast Asian.

Greenwald, B., & Stiglitz, J. E. Learning and Industrial Policy: Implications for Africa.

Hutchful, E. (1998). Organisational Decomposition and Junior Ranks Political Action in Ghana'. Hutchful and Bathily.

Irwin, D. (2013). Doing Business: using ratings to drive reform. Journal of International Development.

Iyoha, M. A. (1995). Traditional and contemporary theories of external trade. External Trade and Economic Development in Nigeria. Selected Papers for the, 1-23.

Jung, W. S., & Marshall, P. J. (1985). Exports, growth and causality in developing countries. Journal of development economics, 18(1), 1-12.

Jung, W. S., & Marshall, P. J. (1985). Exports, growth and causality in developing countries. Journal of development economics, 18(1), 1-12.

Krugman, P. (1983). New theories of trade among industrial countries. The American Economic Review, 73(2), 343-347.

Ku, H. S., Mustapha, U., & Goh, S. (2010). Literature review of past and present performance of the Nigerian manufacturing sector. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 224(12), 1894-1904.

Lee, E. (2005). Trade liberalization and employment: UN.

Lendrum, T. (1998). The strategic partnering handbook: McGraw-Hill.

Liu, X., Wang, C., & Wei, Y. (2001). Causal links between foreign direct investment and trade in China. China Economic Review, 12(2), 190-202.

Mahoney, D., Trigg, M., Griffin, R., & Pustay, M. (1998). International business: a managerial perspective: Pearson Education Australia.

Mankiw, N. G. (1998). Teaching the principles of economics. Eastern Economic Journal, 24(4), 519-524.

Megginson, W. L., & Netter, J. M. (2001). From state to market: A survey of empirical studies on privatization. Journal of economic literature, 39(2), 321-389.

Mundial, B. (1999). Global Economic Prospects and the Developing Countries: World Bank Publications.

Obadina, T. (1999). Nigeria's Economy at the Crossroads. Africa Recovery, 13(1), 14.

Osmundsen, P., Rosendahl, K. E., & Skjerpen, T. (2012). Understanding rig rates 1.

Ram, R. (1985). Exports and economic growth: some additional evidence. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 33(2), 415-425.

Saibu, M. (2004). Openness and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Further Evidence on Causality Issue. South African Journal of Economics and Management Sciences (SJEMS), 7(2), 115-131.

SÃ derbom, M. n., & Teal, F. (2002). The Performance of Nigerian Manufacturing Firms: Report on the Nigerian Manufacturing Enterprise Survey 2001: Centre for the Study of African Economies.

Söderbom, M. n., & Teal, F. (2002). The Performance of Nigerian Manufacturing Firms: Report on the Nigerian Manufacturing Enterprise Survey 2001: Centre for the Study of African Economies.

Sharma, S. C., & Dhakal, D. (1994). Causal analyses between exports and economic growth in developing countries. Applied Economics, 26(12), 1145-1157.

Sharma, S. C., & Dhakal, D. (1994). Causal analyses between exports and economic growth in developing countries. Applied Economics, 26(12), 1145-1157.

Stock, J. H., & Watson, M. W. (2001). Vector autoregressions. The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 15(4), 101-115.

Suranovic, S. (1997). International Trade Theory and Policy: The Heckscher-Ohlin (Factor Proportions) Model. The International Economics Study Center, 2005.

Todaro, M. P & Smith, Stephen. C, 2003 ^{*}Economic Development': Pearson Education, Delhi.

TODARO, M. P., & SMITH, S. (2003). Economic developement.

Udah, E. (2011). Openness, Resilience and Economic Performance in Nigeria: An Empirical Investigation. European Journal of Social Sciences, 26(4), 545-560