BOARD DYNAMICS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

AKPAN EDEM OKON

MASTER OF SCIENCE INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

2014

BOARD DYNAMICS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

AKPAN EDEM OKON (811010)

Project paper submitted to Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science (International Accounting) 2013

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the desertion is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or simultaneously submitted for any other degrees at UUM or any other University.

.



Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK

(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (*I*, the undersigned, certified that) AKPAN EDEM OKON (811010)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana (Candidate for the degree of) MASTER OF SCIENCE (INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

0

t

BOARD DYNAMICS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia (Name of Supervisor) DR. NOOR AFZA AMRAN

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh (Date) 22 JANUARY 2014

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project work in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for coping of this project work in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or, in his absence by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project work or part thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition will be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for my scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project work.

Any request for permission to copy or make other use of the materials in this project work, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean

Othman Yeop Abdullah School of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah DarulAman, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between board characteristics and company performance in Nigeria. The paper uses secondary data from 90 companies listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange over a period of three years from 2010 - 2012. Conceptual framework was developed based on extensive review of literature and hypotheses postulated to examine the relationship between board size, board composition, board equity, women on board, board age and board higher educational qualification. Firm performance is measured by Turnover and Return on equity. Empirical analysis was undertaken using multiple regressions. The findings of the study show that board size, board age and board equity were negatively significant measured by ROE. However, when measured by Turnover board education and board size were significant and positively correlated with firm performance, while board women are negatively significant. On the other hand, board composition was found to be insignificant. The study recommends legislation mandating companies to appoint at least 30 - 35% of women on board of directors.

Keywords: board characteristics, board size, board composition, board higher educational qualification, firm performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would like to give thanks to Almighty God for his grace and mercy upon my life. I am what I am by his grace not by my own effort or doing. To Him be all glory, honor and praise. I owe a lot to my supervisor Dr. Noor Afza Amran for her contributions, support, suggestions, guidance, and encouragement throughout the period of this study. I appreciate your quick response to my calls, SMS, e-mails, patient and understanding.

My thanks go to the Rector, Dr. Musa M. Shuaibu, Deputy Rector, Dr Konni and Registrar of Federal Polytechnic Bauchi, Nigeria for granting me permission for study leave to pursue this course. I also thank Mal. Yahaya Wali, head of Accountancy department, Federal Polytechnic, Dr. Alabede, Dr Ekpe who introduced me to UUM and all staff members of Accountancy department Federal Polytechnic, Bauchi for their support. My thank goes to Ms Patience of NUT Bauchi for her financial support.

I also want to thank my pastor His Lord Bishop Isaac Crown, pastor Yusuf, pastor Abubakar and all Peculiar People's Church members Bauchi for their spiritual support. May I acknowledge here the tremendous support received from Mal. Usman Baba Aliyu('2nd Prof.') Dr. Lucky Ossai-Igwe, Dr. Adebola Babatunde, Brother Suleiman Purokayo and brother Popoola Toyin at a very critical stage in my study. Finally, May I say a big thank to my one and only wife, Margrate Akpan for taking care of the home front while I pursue this programme. My children El-shaddai, Agape and Shalom you have endured, gave up many things just for me to complete my study, your reward shall be great.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION OF THE STUDY	ii
DECLARATION	iii
PERMISSION TO USE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	xii

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Questions	7
1.4 Research Objectives	.8
1.5 Significance of the Study	8
1.6 Scope of the Study	9
1.7 Organization of the Project	9

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Corporate	Governance in	Nigeria1	1

2.2 Firm Performance.	13
2.3 Code of Corporate Practice	14
2.4 Duties and Responsibilities of the Board	15
2.4.1 Responsibilities of the Board	15
2.5 Board Size	16
2.6 Composition of the Board	20
2.7 Age Diversity	23
2.8 Board Educational Qualification	24
2.9 Director Equity Ownership	26
2.10 Gender Diversity	26
2.11 Theories in Relation to Board Characteristics	
2.11.1 Agency Theory	29
2.11.2 Resources Dependency Theory	29
2.12.Summary	31

CHAPTER THREE

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	
3.2. Research Framework	34
3.3 Hypotheses Development	35
3.3.1 Board Size	35
3.3.2 Board Composition/Independence	36
3.3.3 Age Diversity	37
3.3.4 Board Higher Educational Qualification	
3.3.5 Directors' Equity Ownership	

3.3.6 Gender Diversity	38
3.4. Research Design	39
3.5. Measurement Of Variables	40
3.6. Data Collection	41
3.6.1 Sampling	41
3.6.2 Sample Size	41
3.6.3 Data Collection Procedures	.42
3.7. Data Analysis Techniques	42
3.7.1 Descriptive Statistics	43
3.7.2 Correlation	43
3.7.3 Multiple Regression Analysis	43
3.8 Summary	44

CHAPTER FOUR

RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction	.45
4.2 Sample Profile	45
4.3 Descriptive Analysis	46
4.4 Correlation Analysis	47
4.5 Regression Model	49
4.6 Multiple Regressions	49
4.7 Summary	61

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

5.1 Introduction	62
5.2 Summary of Findings	62
5.3 Research Contributions	63
5.4 Limitations of the Study	64
5.5 Conclusion	64
5.6 Recommendation	65
5.7 Recommendation for future studies	65
REFERENCES	66
APPENDIX	77

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of Selected Published Empirical studies on Corporate Governance)
Table 3.5.1 description of variables	7
Table 4.1 Industrial Classification4	4
Table4.2 Descriptive Statistics 4	5
Table 4.3 Correlation Result46	5
Table 4.4 Model Summary of ROE 48	}
Table 4.5 ANOVA ROE 49)
Table 4.6 Regression result of ROE 50	0
Table 4.7 Model Summary of Turnover	0
Table 4.8 ANOVA Turnover	2
Table 4.9 Regression result of Turnover	2
Table 4.10 Summary of Hypotheses	5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Proposed Model of Board Characteristics	3
--	---

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Nigeria is one of the African Countries that is endowed with so many natural resources as well as human resources yet the country is often counted among the poor developing countries in the world today, characterized by lack of infrastructures and high rate of business failures. Besides this, is the recent crisis in the Nigerian banking sector which has exposed the inadequate and poor practice of corporate governance (Ademakun, 2010). According to Laioso and Semiu (2002), corporate governance failure create problems that could negatively influence investor's funds and consequently deteriorate the stability of the companies. Failures and scandals in the corporate organizations in today's world have created attention within the academic literature and research in the corporate governance domain with particular attention on the principles and codes that emphasizes on the practices that would enhance and improve the performance including the survival of the corporate organizations (Akhalumeh & Ohiokha, 2011).

Several steps, frameworks including concepts have been advanced at both international and national levels with a view to ensure corporate organization survival as well as guarantee the interest of the shareholders (Sanusi, 2002). In Nigeria for example, the issue of corporate governance and its best practices is still generating heat among the practitioners particularly since the financial crisis and public corporation collapse. Even though, the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) which advocates private business

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Adams, R. & Mehra, H. (2002). *Board structure and banking firm performance*. Working Paper, Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
- Adams, R. B., & Mehran, H. (2005).Corporate performance, board structure and its determinants in the Banking Industry.EFA 2005 MOSCOW Meetings.
- Adams, R.B., & Ferreira, D. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(2), 291-309.
- Adewakun, A. (2010, September 29). Poor corporate governance of Nigerian banks. *The Tribune*
- Agrawal, A., & Knoeber, C. (1996). Firm performance and mechanisms to control agency problems between managers and shareholders. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 31, 377 403.
- Akhalumeh, P., Ohiokho, F., & Ohiokha, G. (2011). Board composition and corporate performance: An analysis of evidence from Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance* and Accounting, 2(4), 64 – 73.
- Al-Khateeb, B. A., & Dahalin, Z. B. (2013). Information source, information channels and information choice: The mediating effect of personal characteristics. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Computing and Informatics, ICOCI 2013 28 – 30 August, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Ameer, R., Ramil, F., &Zakaria, F. (2010). A new perspective on board composition and firm performance in an emerging market. Corporate Governance, 10(5), 647-669.
- Amran, N. A. (2011). Corporate governance mechanisms and company performance: Evidence from Malaysia Company. International Review of Business Research Papers. 7(6), 101 – 114.
- Amran, N. A., & Ahmad, A. C. (2010).Corporate governance mechanisms and performance: Analysis of Malaysian family and non-family controlled companies. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 6(2), 1 – 15.
- Ararat, M. Aksa, M., & Cetin, A. T. (2010). Impact of board diversity on board monitoring intensity and firm performance: Evidence from the Istanbul Stock Exchange. Paper Presented at the 17th Annual Conference of the Multinational Finance Society 27 30 June Barcelona, available at: <u>http://paper.ssrn.com/50/3/paper.cfm?abstractid=1572283</u> accessed 26 June 2013.

- Ayuba, A. (2013). Factors promoting the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The Perception of management in Nigeria. Unpublished Dissertation.
- Babatunde, A., & Olaniran, O. (2009). The effect of internal and external mechanisms on governance and performance of corporate firms in Nigeria. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 7(2), 330 340.
- Barnhart, S. W., & Roseinstein, S. (1998). Board composition, managerial ownership, and firm performance: An Empirical Analysis. *The Financial Review*, 33, 1 16.
- Bhabra, G. S., Ferris, P. S., Seri, N. (2003). Corporate governance in Singapore: The impact of director equity ownership. Advance in Financial Economics, 8, 29 – 46.
- Bhagat, S., Carey, D., & Elson, C. M. (1998).Director ownership corporate performance and management turnover. *Business Lawyer*, 54(3), 885 919.
- Bhugat, S., & Black, B. (2002). The non-correlation between board independence and long term firm performance. *Journal of Corporation Law*, 27(2), 231 274.
- Black, B. S., Jang, H., & Kim, W. (2006). Does corporate governance predict firms' market values? Evidence from Korea. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 22*(2), 366-413.
- Booth, J. R., Cornett, M. M., & Tehranian, H. (2002). Boards of directors, ownership and regulation. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 26, 1973 1996.
- Boumosleh, A. S., & Reeb, D. M. (2005). The governance role of corporate insiders. Retrieved on 20 June 2013 from: http://ssm.com/abstract=674082
- Brickley, J. A., & Zimmerman, J. L. (2010). Corporate governance myths: Comments on Armstrong, Guay, and Weber. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2), 235 245.
- Bryant, P., Davis, C. (2012). Regulated change effects on boards of directors: A look at agency theory and resource dependency theory. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 11(2), 1-15.
- Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D.R., Neal, T. L., & Riley, R. A. (2002). Board characteristics and audit fees. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 19(2), 365 – 384.
- Carey, D. C., & Elson, C. M. (1998). Director ownership, corporate performance and manager turnover. *Business Law*, 54, 885 – 917.

- Carter, D. A., D'Souza, F.,Simkins, B., & Simpson, W. G. (2010). The Gender and ethnic diversity of US boards and committees and firm financial performance. *Corporate Governance*, 18(5)396-414.
- Carter, D. A., Simkins, B. J. & Simpson, W. G. (2002). Corporate governance, board diversity, and firm value. *The Financial Review*, 38(1) 33 53.

Carter, D., Simkins, B. J., Simpson, W. G.(1992). Corporate Governance, board diversity and firm performance. Oklahoma State University Working Paper, Retrieved from http://ssrn.com.

- Carter, D.A., Simkins, B.J., & Simpson, W.G. (2003), Corporate governance, board diversity, and firm value. *Financial Review*, 38(1), 33-53.
- CBN (2006). CBN Code of Corporate Governance for Banks in Nigeria Post Consolidation, Nigeria Abuja
- Chamberlain, T. W. (2010). Board composition and firm performance: Some Canadian evidence. *International Advances in Economic Research*, 16(4), 421 422.
- Cheng, L. T. W., Chan, R. Y. K., & Leung, T. Y. (2010). Management demography and corporate performance: Evidence from China. *International Business Review and Quantitative Analysis*, 42(4), 941 962.
- Coles, J. N., Daniel, N. (2008). Boards: Does one size fit all? Journal of Financial Economics, 87(2), 329 - 356.
- Conger, J. A., Finegold, D. & Lawler, E. E. (1998). Appraising boardroom performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 76(1) 136 – 148.
- Cornett, M. G., Hovakimian, D. Palia, & H. Tehranian, (2003). The impact of manager shareholder conflict on acquiring bank returns. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 27, 103-131.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approach (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Dagsson, S. (2011). How age diversity on the board of directors affects firm performance. (Unpublished Thesis).
- Daily, M. C., & Dalton, D. R. (1994). Bankruptcy and corporate governance: The impact of board composition and structure. Academy of Management Journal, 37(60), 1603 – 1617.

- Daily, C. M., Johnson, J. L., & Dalton, D. R. (1999). On the measurement of board composition: Poor consistency and a serious mismatch of theory and operationalization. *Decision Sciences*, 30(1), 83 106.
- Dalton, C. M., & Dalton, D. R. (2005). Boards of directors: Utilizing empirical evidence in developing practical prescriptions. *British Journal of Management*, 16, 591-597.
- Dalton, D. R., Daily, C. M., Johnson, J. L., & Ellstrand, A. E. (1999). Number of directors and financial performance: A Meta-Analysis. Academy of Management Journal, 42(6), 674 – 686.
- Denis, D., & Sarin, A. (1999). Ownership and board structures in publicly traded corporations. *Journal of Financial Economic*, 52, 187-224.
- Duchin, R., Matsusaka. J. G., &Ozbas, O. (2010). When are outside directors effective? Journal Financial Economic, 96,195 – 214.
- Ehikioya, B. I. (2009). Corporate governance structure and firm performance in developing economies: Evidence from Nigeria. Corporate Governance, 9(3), 231 - 243.
- Eisenberg, T., & Sundgren, S. (1998). Large board size and decreasing firm value in small firms. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 48(1), 35 54.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Making fast strategic decisions in high-velocity environments. Academy of Management Journal, 32(3), 543 – 576.
- Eklund, J. E., Palmberg, J., &Wiberg, D. (2009).Ownership structure, board composition and investment performance.Working Paper, Center for Excellence for Science and Innovation Studies. Stockholm, March, 2009.
- Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency problems and theory of the firm. Journal Political Economics, 88(2), 288 – 307.
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Agency problems and residual claims. Journal of Law and Economics, 26, 327 – 349.
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M, (1983). Separation of ownership and control. Journal of Law and Economics, 26(2), 301 325.
- Farrell, K. A., & Hersch, P. L. (2005). Additions to corporate boards: the effect of gender. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11, 85-106.

- Fiegener, M., Nielsen, J., & Sisson, J. R. (1996). Tenure Characteristics of outside directors and financial performance: Results from the banking industry. *American Business Review*, 14(1), 89 – 101.
- Forbes, D. P., & Milliken, F. J (1999). Cognition and corporate governance: Understanding boards of directors as strategic decision-making groups. *The Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 489 – 499.
- Fosberg, R. (1989). Outside directors and managerial monitoring. Akron Business and Economic Review, 20, 24 32.
- Fracass, C., & Tate, G. 2012. External networking and internal firm governance. *Journal* of Finance. 67, 153 194.
- Gillan, S. L. & Starks, L. T. (2003). Corporate governance, corporate ownership and governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(2), 291-309.
- Guillet, B. D., Seok, K. D. & Seok L., (2003).CEO duality and firm performance in the US. Restaurants industry: Moderating role of restaurant type. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 33, 339 – 346.
- Hambrick, D. C., & Mason, P. A. (1984). Upper Echelons: The organizations as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9(2), 193 206.
- Hanoku, Bathula (2008). Board characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from New Zealand. Unpublished Thesis.
- Hardjo, K., & Alireza, T. (2012). Does board independence matter? Evidence from New Zealand. Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal, 6(2), 3 18.
- Haslindar, I.,& Fazilah, A. S. (2011). Corporate governance mechanisms and performance of public listed family ownership in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(1), 105-115.
- Heravia, S., Saat, N. M., Karbhari., Y. & Nassir, A. (2011). Effective Oversight Roles of Board of Directors: The case of listed firms on Bursa Malaysia. *World Review of Business Research*, 1(1), 231 – 245.
- Hermalian, B. &Weisbach, M. (2003). Boards of directors as an endogenously determined institution: A survey of the economic literature. *Economic Policy Review*, 7-25.

- Hermalin, B. E., &Weisbach, M. S. (2001). Boards of directors as an endogenously determined institution: A survey of the economic literature (No.W8161). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Hillman, A. &Dalziel, T. (2003). Boards of directors and firm performance: Integrating agency and resource dependency perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 28 (3), 383-396
- Hillman, A. J., Cannella, A. A., &Paetzold, R. L. (2000). The resource dependence role of corporate directors: Strategic adoptation of board composition in response to environmental change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 7, 235-255.
- Hsiang-Tsui, C. (2005). An empirical study of corporate governance and corporate performance. *The Journal of American Academy of Business Cambridge*, 5(2) 112 127.
- Huse, M., & Solberg, A. G. (2006). Gender related boardroom dynamics: How Scandinavian women make and can make contributions on corporate boards. *Women in Management Review*, 2I(2), 113 130.
- Jensen, M. C. (1993). The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems. *Journal of Finance*, 48(3), 831 80.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305 360.
- Puthenpurackal, J. Upadhyay, A .(2013). Board gender diversity and firm performance: The impact of information environment. Available at www.efmaefm.org/.../BoardGenderDiversity_Puthenpurackal
- John, K., & Senbet, L. W. (1998). Corporate governance and board effectiveness. *Journal* of Banking and Finance, 22, 371 403.
- Kajola, S. A. (2010). Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: The Case of Nigeria listed firms. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, (14)16-28.
- Kamardin, H., & Haron H. (2011). Roles of Board of Directors: Monitoring and resource dependency perspectives from Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Accounting*, 2(3), 282 – 306.

- Karamanou, I., & Vefeas N. (2005). The association between corporate boards, audit committee and management earnings forecasts: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43(3), 453 486.
- Kilduff, M., Angelmar, R., & Mehran, A. (2000). Top management: Team diversity and firm performance: Examining the role of cognitions. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 21-34.
- Klein, A. (1998). Firm performance and board committee structure 1. Journal of Law and Economics, 41(1), 275 304.
- Lai, O., & Semiu, B. (2012). Concept and practice of corporate governance in Nigeria: The need for public relation and effective communication. J Communication, 3(1)1-16.
- Letendre, L. (2004). The Dynamics of the boardroom. Academy of Management Executive, 18(1), 101-104.
- Lipton, M., & Lorsch, J. W. (1992). A modest proposal for improved corporate governance, *Business Lawyer*, 48(1), 59-77.
- Lu[°]ckerath-Rovers, M. (2010). A comparison of gender diversity in the corporate governance Codes of France, Germany, Spain, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. Available at SSRN: http://www.ssrn.com/abstract=1585280,
- Mak, Y. T., & Li, Y. (2001). Determinants of corporate ownership and board structure: Evidence from Singapore. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 7, 236-256.
- Mak, Y. T., &Yuanto, K. (2003). Board size really matters: Further evidence on the negative relationship between board size and firm value. Pulses by Singapore Stock Exchange.
- Malaysian Code of Corporate Governance 2007, Malaysia Securities Commission, Viewed 20 December, 2012.
- Mangena, M., &Tauringana, V. (2008, April). Corporate boards, ownership structure and firm performance in an environment of severe political and economic uncertainty. British Accounting Association Conference, Black pool.
- Marimuthu, M. (2008), Ethnic diversity on board of directors and its implications on firm financial performance. *The Journal of International Social Research*, 1(4), 431 445.

- Marinova, J., Plantenga, J., & Remery, C. (2010), Gender diversity and firm performance: Evidence from Dutch and Danish boardrooms. Discussion paper, University of Utrecht, Utrecht School of Economics, Utrecht, January.
- McIntyre, M. L., Murphy, S. A., & Mitehell, P.(2007). The top team examining board composition and firm performance, corporate governance. *The International Journal of Effective Board Performance*, 7(5), 547-561.
- Mehran, H. (1995). Executive compensation structure, ownership and market valuation: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20, 293 – 315.
- Mohammed, F. (2011). Impact of corporate governance on banking sector performance in Nigeria. International Journal of Economic Development Research and Investment, 2(2), 52-59.
- Nakano, M., & Nguyen, P. (2012). Do older boards affect firm performance? An empirical analysis based on Japanese firms. Available at www.ace2012.org.all/ACE
- Nigerian Stock Exchange (2006). Fact books, various issues, Lagos Nigeria.
- Ning, Y., Davidson, W., & Zhang, K. (2007). The variability of board size determinants, an empirical analysis *Journal of Applied Finance 17*(2), 48 61.
- Ning, Y. Davidson III, W. Wang, N. (2010). Does optimal corporate board size exist.?An empirical analysis. *Journal of Applied Finance*, 17(2), 48-61.
- Ntim, C. (2009). Internal Corporate Governance and Firm Financial Performance: Evidence from South Africa listed firms. Unpublished Thesis.
- Ntim, C. G., & Osei, K. (2011). The impact of corporate board meetings on corporate performance in South Africa. *African Review of Economics and Finance*, 2(2), 83 – 103.
- Okoghenum, J. (2012, Thursday 2nd August). Problems of corporate governance in Nigeria. The Guardian
- Okpara, J. O. (2011). Corporate governance in a developing economy: Barriers, issues and implication for firms. *Corporate Governance*, 11(2), 184-199.
- Pam, R. (2011), Vanguard news www.vanguardngr.com/2011/09/sharehold-associationand-the-rest-of-us

- Pearce, J. A., & Zahra, S. A. (1992). Board composition from a strategic contingency perspective. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(4), 411-438.
- Pfeffer, J. (1972). Size, composition of corporate boards of directors: The organization and its environment. Administrative Science Quarterly, (1972) 218 228.
- Pi, L, Timme, S. (1993). Corporate control and bank efficiency. Journal of Banking and Finance, 17, 515 30.
- Ponnu, C. H. (2008). Corporate governance structure and the performance of Malaysia public listed companies. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 4(2), 217-230.
- Randøy, T., Oxelheim, L., & Thomsen, S. (2006). A Nordic perspective on corporate board diversity. *Working Paper*, Nordic Innovation Centre, Oslo, November.
- Rechner, P. L., & Dalton, D. R. (1991). CEO duality and organizational performance: A Longitudinal analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(2), 155 160.
- Rhode, D. & Packel, A. (2010). Diversity on corporate boards: How much difference does difference make? Retrieved from <u>http://ssm.com/abstract</u> = 1655615.
- Richard, O.C., Barnett, T., Dwyer, S., and Chadwick, K. (2004). Cultural diversity in management, firm performance, and the moderating role of entrepreneurialorientation dimensions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(2), 255-266.
- Rose, C. (2007). Does female board representation influence firm performance? The Danish evidence. *Corporate Governance*, 15(2), 404 413.
- Salim, D. (2011). Board diversity and firm performance. The Indonesia evidence. Journal Corporate Ownership & Control available at SSRN: http://ssm.com/abstract=1727195.
- Sanda, A. U, Mukaila, A. S & Garba, T. (2005): Corporate governance mechanisms and firm financial performance in Nigeria. AERC Research Paper, No 149.
- Sanusi, J. O. (2002). Promoting good corporate governance practices in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges. Paper presented at the 2002 Director's Seminar Organized by Financial Institute Training Center Nigeria 4-6th June.
- Sanusi, J. O. (2003). Embracing good corporate governance Practices in Nigeria. Paper Presented at 2003 Director's Seminar Organised by Institute Training Center Nigeria 17 – 19th June.
- Sebora, T. C. & Wakefield, M. W. (1998). Antecedents of conflicts over business issue in family firms. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education 1*, 2-18

- Sekaran, U., Bougie, R. (2010). Research methods for business: A skill building approach (5th ed.): John Wiley & Sons Ltd
- Semiu, B. A. (2010). Audit Quality: Corporate governance and firm characteristics in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(5), 169-179.
- Shrader, C. B., Blackburn, V. B., & Paul Iles. (1997). Women in management and firm financial value: An exploratory study. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 9(3), 355-372.
- Simon, H. (2009). The leaders, in Hidden Champion of the twenty-first century. Springer New York.
- Tacheva, S. & Huse, M. (2006). Women directors and board task performance: Mediating and moderating effects of board working style. *Conference Paper* presented at European Academy of Management, Oslo, Norway.
- Uba, C. (2009). Issues in corporate governance.Business World. Businessworld.com/web/article/982.retrieved 5th February 2013
- Ujunwa, A. (2012). Board characteristics and financial performance of Nigerian quoted firms. Corporate Governance, 12(5), 656 674.
- Uwuigbe, O. R., Fakile, A. S. (2012). The effect of board size on financial performance of banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 4(20),260 - 267.
- Vefeas, N (1999a). Board meeting frequency and firm performance. Journal of Financial Economic, 53, 113 – 142.
- Vefeas, N. (1999b). The nature of board nominating committees and their role in corporate governance. Journal of Business Finance and Accounting, 26(1 & 2), 199-225.
- Wakefield, M.W., & Castillo, J. (2006). An exploration of firm performance factors in family business: Do families value only the "bottom line". *Journal of Small Business Strategy*, 17(2), 37 51.
- Weir, C. M., & Laing, D. (1999). Governance structures, size and corporate performance in UK firms. *Management Decisions*, 37(5), 459-64.
- Weir, C., & Laing, D. (2003). Ownership structure, board composition and the market control in the UK: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 35(16), 1747 – 1759.

- Weisbach, M. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. Journal of Financial Economics, 20,431-460..
- Weisbach, M. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. Journal of Financial Economics, 20, 431 – 460.
- Wilson, I. (2006). Regulatory and institutional challenges of corporate governance in Nigeria post banking consolidation. Nigeria Summit Group (NESG) Economic indicators, April – June 12(2) 1- 10.
- Yermack, D. (1996). Higher market valuation of companies with a small board of directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40(2), 185-211.
- Yoshikawa, T. B., & Phan, P. H. (2004). Effects of board structure or firm performance: A comparison between Japan and Australia. *Asian Business & Management*, *3*, 105 – 125.
- Yusuf, A. (2010). Audit report that rot in the banking sector. http://economic confidential.et/new/financial/monetary/315 audit. Retrieved 5th February 2013.
- Zubaidah, Z. A., Nurmala, M. K., & Kamamzaman, J. (2009). Board structure and corporate performance in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economic and Finance*, 1(1), 150 164.