

FAMILY COMPANIES AND POST-IPO PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE IN
MALAYSIA

A Thesis Submitted to the Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate

School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

in Fulfillment of the Requirement

for the Degree of Master of Science (Finance)

By

SITI SOLEHAH BINTI GHAZALI

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled “Family Companies and Post-IPO Performance: Evidence in Malaysia” is based on my original research except for quotations and citations that have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Utara Malaysia or other institutions.

Siti Solehah Binti Ghazali

810127

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman.

June, 2013

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this study as partial fulfilment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Sultanah Bahiyah Library of Universiti Utara Malaysia may take it freely for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this study in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia. It is understood that any copying of the publication or the use of this study or any part thereof for financial gain will not be allowed without any written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this study, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of corporate governance mechanisms (board characteristics), namely family member's directors, board size, and CEO duality, on the pre and post-IPO performance. This study also differentiated the pre and post-IPO performance between family and non-family companies. For the purpose of this study, 77 companies listed on Bursa Malaysia have been selected for the year 2006 to 2009.

The control variable in this study includes company size and age of the company. The descriptive statistics show that in the post-IPO period, the performance of family companies do not exhibit better performance compared to non-family companies. Multiple regressions analysis is utilized in this study in order to achieve the research objectives. The findings showed that percentages of family members as board members have positive relationship between pre and post-IPO performance. The findings also showed that board size, CEO duality and the age of the company have negative relationships between IPO performances. This result will gave an overview regarding the performance of family and non-family IPO companies in Malaysia.

Keywords: Family companies, IPO performance

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan mekanisme tadbir urus korporat –ciri-ciri lembaga pengarah iaitu pengarah ahli keluarga, saiz lembaga pengarah, dan Ketua Pegawai Eksekutif yang juga berperanan sebagai pengarah– mengenai prestasi sebelum dan selepas IPO. Kajian ini juga membezakan prestasi sebelum dan selepas IPO antara syarikat berkait keluarga dan yang tidak berkait keluarga. Bagi tujuan kajian ini, 77 syarikat yang disenaraikan di Bursa Malaysia telah dipilih bagi tahun 2006 hingga 2009.

Pembolehubah kawalan dalam kajian ini termasuk saiz syarikat dan usia syarikat. Statistik deskriptif menunjukkan bahawa dalam tempoh selepas IPO, prestasi syarikat berkait keluarga tidak menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding dengan syarikat-syarikat yang tidak berkait keluarga. Analisis terurus pelbagai digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mencapai objektif kajian. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa peratusan ahli-ahli keluarga yang menjadi lembaga pengarah mempunyai hubungan yang positif dengan prestasi sebelum dan selepas IPO. Hasil kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa saiz lembaga pengarah, Ketua Pegawai Eksekutif yang juga berperanan sebagai pengarah dan usia syarikat mempunyai hubungan negatif antara prestasi IPO. Keputusan kajian ini dapat memberi gambaran mengenai prestasi syarikat milik keluarga dan syarikat bukan milik keluarga.

Kata kunci : Syarikat-syarikat keluarga, Prestasi IPO

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, all my praises and gratitude to Allah the Merciful, for His kindness and for letting my path to meet with so many wonderful people who, with His Grace, have helped me tremendously in the successful completion of this research. Firstly, I would like to render my utmost appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nurwati Ashikkin Ahmad Zaluki for her patience, earnest guidance and advice during the construction of my dissertation. Special thanks also go to Dr. Nor Afza Amran, the examiner responsible for my thesis.

I would also like to express my sincere recognition and gratitude to my parents, Salmiah Saad and Ghazali Ahmad for being so supportive and for their patience and attention during my course of study. I will always appreciate their advice. My grateful appreciation also goes to my fellow friends, Nas' Asshraf Naina Mohamad, Sabirah Sulaiman and Shahariah Ariff for helping me to complete my thesis. Last but not least, I would also like to thank the staff of Sultanah Bahiyah Library of Universiti Utara Malaysia for their kindness and cooperation in helping me to get the research material in preparing this thesis. Thank you so much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	i
PERMISSION TO USE.....	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ivi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Background of study	1
1.1 Overview corporate governance in Malaysia.....	4
1.2 Problem statement.....	7
1.3 Research objectives.....	7
1.4 Research questions	9
1.5 Significance of the study.....	9
1.6 Scope and Limitations of the study.....	9
1.7 Organization of chapters	9
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.0 Introduction.....	10
2.1 Theories of family business performance	10
2.1.1 The system theory perspective	10
2.1.2 Agency theory perspective	11
2.1.3 Stewardship theory perspective	12
2.1 Literitue review and Hypotheses development.....	13

2.2.1 Family and non-family performance.....	13
2.2.2 Family board of directors	14
2.2.3 Board size.....	15
2.2.4 CEO duality.....	16
2.2.5 Other factors influencing company performance.....	17
2.3 Conclusion	18
CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY	18
3.0 Introduction	18
3.1 Sample selection and Data sources.....	18
3.2 Research design	21
3.3 Theoretical framework	23
3.3.1 Dependent variable	23
3.3.2 Independent variable.....	25
3.3.3 Control variables: other factors influencing company performance..	26
3.4 Analysis.....	27
3.5 Conclusion	27
CHAPTER FOUR : FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	28
4.0 Indroduction	28
4.1 Descriptive analysis	28
4.2 Correlation of companyperformance and characteristics of family and . non-family IPO companies	39
4.3 Multiple regression analysis.....	43
4.4 Chapter summary	49
CHAPTER FIVE : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	50
5.0 Introduction.....	50
5.1 Overview of the Study	50
5.2 Summary of Findings.....	49

5.3 Recommendation for Further Study.....	50
REFERENCES.....	51

LIST OF TABLES

Tables

Table 3.1: Number of IPOs in Bursa Malaysia from 2006 to 2009	19
Table 3.2: Data screening process.....	20
Table 3.3: Dependent variable (company performance).....	24
Table 3.4: Independent variable, control variable and the measurement.....	26
Table 4.1 : Descriptive statistics prior the IPO listing for all samples, family and non family companies	39
Table 4.2 : Descriptive statistics of corporate governance characteristic for all samples, family and non family companies	31
Table 4.3: The median and mean changes in return on assets (ROA)	33
Table 4.4: The median and mean changes in operating cash flow to total assets (OCFTA).....	36
Table 4.5: The median and mean changes in Tobin's Q.....	38
Table 4.6: Correlation matrix between changes in company performance, family and non-family companies and pre-IPO characteristic	42
Table 4.7: Regression analysis between family, corporate governance variables and . pre-IPO characteristics with the post-IPO company performance.....	45

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures

Figure 2.1: Family company structure	10
Figure 4.1: Level of ROA performance (5) for all IPO companies, family and non-.... family companies	34
Figure 4.2: The mean changes of Tobin's Q performance	40
Figure 4.2: The median changes of Tobin's Q performance	40

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendices

Appendix 1: List of family IPO listed coompanies in 2006-2009	59
Appendix 2: List of non-family IPO listed coompanies in 2006-2009	60

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Background of study

As one of the step in company development, going for listing in the Initial Public Offering (IPO) is one of the critical decision that have to be made by the management. As the company expecting a continuation in growth, the decision for the company to go to public market may exhibit decline in the company's performance. Thus, strategic decision made during the time of going public by board of directors at IPO is very important. This is due to the ability of the company to survive and grow during the post period are relying on the managerial decision made by the board of directors. In the context of IPO, most prior studies on company performance such as Jain and Kini (1994), Mikkelson, Partch and Shah (1997), Ahmad-Zaluki (2008) and Wong (2012) are performed all over the world and they found that post-IPO performance exhibit decline.

Most of companies tend to transform from privates to public companies as to grab the opportunity provided in the public market such as equity financing. In addition, the transition from private to public also attains an increasing in the currency of shares for acquisitions as well as to establish a market price or value of shares in the future (Brau and Fawcett, 2006). Other than that, by going public, it allows the company to raise external financing if necessary and also allows it to grab market share from other companies in the industry that are private (Chemmanur and He, 2011). Jain and Kini (1994) elaborated three explanations of the declining post-IPO performance which is, the potential increased of agency costs when a company makes the transition from private to public ownership, managers attempt to window-

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Ahmad-Zaluki, N. A. (2008). Post-IPO Operating Performance and Earning Management. *International Business Research*, 1 (2), 39-48.
- Amran, N. A., & Che-Ahmad, A. (2010). Corporate governance mechanisms and performance: Analysis of Malaysian family and non-family controlled companies. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 6(2), 1-15.
- Anderson, R.C, & Reeb, D.M. (2003). Founding family ownership and firm performance: Evidence from the S&P 500. *Journal of Finance*, 58(3), 1301-1328.
- Andres, C. (2008). Large shareholders and firm performance: An empirical examination of founding-family ownership. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14, 431-445.
- Barry, B. (1975). The development of organization structure in the family firm. *Journal of General Management*, 3,42-60.
- Baysinger, B. D., & Butler, H. N. (1985). Corporate governance and board of directors: Performance effects of changes in board composition. *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization*, 2, 101-124.
- Bokpin, G. A., Isshaq, Z. & Aboagye O.F.(2011). Ownership structure, corporate governance, and corporate liquidity policy: Evidence from the Ghana Stock Exchange. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 11, (3),262-279.
- Brau, J.C., & Fawcett, S.E. (2006). Initial public offering: An analysis of theory and practice. *Journal of Finance*, 6(1),20-47.
- Brealey, R.A., & Myers, S.C. (2003). Principle of corporate finance (7th ed.). United States. Mc Grawhill higher education.

- Brenes, E.R., Madrigal, K., & Requena, B. (2011). Corporate governance and family business performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 64, 280-285.
- Bushman, R., Chen, Q., Engel, E., & Smith, A. (2004). Financial accounting information, organizational complexity and corporate governance system. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 37, 167-201.
- Bursa Malaysia Berhad. (2013). Listing in Bursa Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://www.bursamalaysia.com/market/listed-companies/listing-on-bursa-malaysia/listing-process/>
- Certo, S.T., Covin, J.G., Daily, C.M., & Dalton, D.R., (2007). Wealth and the effects of founder management among IPO stage new ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22, 641-658.
- Chan, K., Wang, J., & Wei K.C.J. (2004). Under-pricing and long-term performance of IPOs in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 10, 409-430.
- Chang, J. J., & Shin, H. H. (2007). Family ownership and performance in Korean conglomerates. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 15(4), 329-352.
- Chemmanur, T.J., & He, J. (2011). IPO waves, product market competition, and the going public decision: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101, 382-412.
- Chen, Y., Chuang, W., (2009). Alignment or entrenchment? Corporate governance and cash holdings in growing firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 62, 1200-1206.
- Cheung, Y., Stouritis, A., & Tan, W., (2010). Does the quality of corporate governance affect firm valuation and risk? Evidence from a corporate governance scorecard in Hong Kong. *International Review of Finance*, 10(4), 403-432.

- Claessens, S., & Fan, J.P.H. (2002). Corporate governance in Asia: A survey. *International Review of Finance*, 3(2), 71-103.
- Coles, B., & Jarrell, G. (2001). Leadership structure: separating the CEO and chairman of the board. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 4, 189-220.
- Covin, J.G., & Selvin, D.D. (1991). A conceptual model of entrepreneurship as firm behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 7-25.
- Corbetta, G., & Salvato, C.A. (2004). The board of directors in family firms: One size fits all. *Family Business Review*, 17(2), 119-314.
- Companies commission of Malaysia. (2013). Laws of Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://www.ssm.com.my/acts/fscommand/CompaniesAct.htm/>
- Daily, C. M., Dalton, D. R., & Canella, A. A. (2003). Corporate Governance: Decades of Dialogue and Data. *Academy of Management Review*, 28 (3), 371-382.
- Dalton, D. R., Johnson, J. L., & Ellstrand, A. E. (1999). Number of directors and financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(6), 674-686.
- Davila, A., Gupta, M. & Palmer, R., (2003). Moving procurement systems to the Internet: The adoption and use of e-procurement technology models. *European Management Journal*, 21(1). 11-23.
- Donalson, L., & Davis, J.H. (1991). Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns. *Australian Journal of Management*, 16(1), 49-65.
- Faccio, M., & Lang, L.H. (2006). The ultimate ownership of western European corporations. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 65, 365-395.

- Fama, E., & Jensen, M. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26, 301-326.
- Filatotchev I., Lien Y.C., & Piesse, J. (2005). Corporate governance and performance in publicly listed, family-controlled firms: Evidence from Taiwan. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 22(3), 257-283.
- Fischer, H., & Pollock, R.G., (2004). Effects of social capital and power on surviving transformational change: the case of initial public offerings. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47, 463–481.
- Forbes. (2011, March 2). Malaysia's 40 richest. Retrieved from malaysia-billionaires-11_rank.html
- Gale, S. & Scanlan, G. (1998). *The Family business*. London: Butterworths.
- He, L. (2008). Do founders matter? A study of executive compensation, governance structure and firm performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 23, 257–279.
- Hensen, B., Voordeckers, W., Lambrechts, F., & Koiranen, Matti. (2012). A different perspective on defining family firms: The ownership construct revisited. *Research Centre for Entrepreneurship and Innovation*.
- Ibrahim, H., & Abdul-Samad, F. (2010). Family business in emerging markets: The case of Malaysia. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(13), 2586-2595.
- Jain, B., & Kini, O. (1994). The post-issue operating performance of IPO firms. *Journal of Finance*, 49, 1699-1726.
- Jain, B., & Gao, N. (2010). Founder CEO management and the long-run investment performance of IPO firm. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 7, 432-453.

- Jelic, R., Saadouni, B., & Briston, R. (2001). Performance of Malaysian IPOs: Underwriters Reputation and Earnings Forecasts. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 9 (5), 457- 486.
- Jensen, M., & Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(2), 305–360.
- Jensen, M. C. (1993). The modern industrial revolution, exit and the failure of internal control systems. *Journal of Finance*, 48(3), 831-880.
- Jelic, R., Saadouni, B., & Briston, R. (2001). Performance of Malaysian IPOs: Underwriters Reputation and Earnings Forecasts. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 9 (5), 457- 486.
- Kartika, R.,(2012). Family ownership and post IPO performance: Evidence in Malaysia. Unpublished Project Paper, Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Kim, A.K., Kitsabunnarat, P., & Nofsinger, J.R.(2004). Ownership and operating performance in an emerging market: Evidence from Thai IPO firms. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 10, 355-381.
- Kiel, G.,& Nicholson, G. (2003). Board composition and corporate performance: How the Australian experience informs contrasting theories of corporate governance. *An International Review*, 11(3), 189–205.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (1999). Corporate ownership around the world. *The Journal of Finance*, 54(2), 471-517.
- Lee, J. (2006). Family firm performance: Further evidence. *Family Business Review*, 19(2).103-114.

- Maury, B. (2006). Family ownership and firm performance: Empirical evidence from Western European corporations. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 12, 321- 341.
- Mikkelsen, W., Partch, M. and Shah, K. (1997), "Ownership and operating performance of companies that go public", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 44(3), 279-307.
- Martinez, J.I., Stohr, B.S., & Quiroga, B.F. (2007). Family ownership and firm performance : Evidence from public companies in Chile. *Family Business review*, 20(2), 83-94.
- Miller, M., & Dobbins, C. (2001). Key financial performance measures for farms general managers. *Farm Business Management*, 21st Century, 1-18.
- Moustafa, M. (2006). Corporate governance and firm performance: Evidence from Egypt. Unpublished Working paper. University Utara Malaysia.
- Prencipe, A., & Yosef, S.B. (2011). Corporate governance and earnings management in family controlled companies. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 199-227.
- Peasnell, K. V., P. F. Pope, and S. Young. 2005. Board monitoring and earnings management: Do outside directors influence abnormal accruals?. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 32, 1311–1346.
- Poza, E. J. (2007). *Family business*. Mason: South-Western.
- Peng, M. W., Zhang, S., & Li, X. (2007). CEO duality and firm performance during China's institutional transitions. *Management and Organization Review*, 3, 205–225.

- Renato., G(2009).Corporate governance, family ownership and performance. *Journal of Management Governance*, 14(2),145-166.
- Rechner, P. L., & Dalton, D. R. (1991). CEO duality and organizational performance: a longitudinal analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12, 155-160.
- Ross, S. (1973). The economic theory of agency: The principal's problem. *American Economic Review*, 63, 134–139.
- Securities commission Malaysia. (2012). Malaysian code on corporate governance. Retrieved from <http://www.sc.com.my/eng/html/cg/cg2012.pdf>
- Subramanyam, K., R. (2009). Financial Statement Alaysis, University of Southern California John J. Wild, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Sunday, K. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance: the case of Nigerian listed firms. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, (1450-2275), 1-13.
- Shyu, J. (2011). Family ownership and firm performance: evidence from Taiwanese firms. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 7(4), 397-411.
- Sueyoshi,T.,Gato,M., & Omi,Y.(2010). Corporate governance and firm performance:Evidence form Japanese manufacturing indurries after the lost decade. *European Journal of Accounting*, 22-40.
- Topak, I, T. (2011). The Effect of Board Size on Firm Performance: Evidence from Turkey. *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*. 14. 119-127.
- Villalonga,B.,&Amit,T.(2004).How to Family Ownership, Control, and Management Affect firm Value?. 1-45.

Villalonga,B.,&Amit,T.(2010).Family control of firms and industries. *Financial Management*, 863-904.

Villalonga, B., & Amit, R.(2006). How do family ownership, control and management affect firm value?. *Journal of Financial Economics* 80 (2006) 385–417.

Wong,J. (2012). Operating Performance of Initial Public Offering Companies in Hong Kong *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 46-65.

Yermack, D. (1996). Higher market valuation of companies with a small board of directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40, 185-221.

Zahra, S., Hayton, J., Neubaum, D., Dibrell, C., and Craig, J. (2008). Culture of Family Commitment and Strategic Flexibility: The Moderating Effect of Stewardship. *Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice*, 32 (6): 1035 -1054.