AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN MALAYSIA

CHEW XIAO CHIN

MASTER OF ECONOMICS UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

MAY 2013

AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN MALAYSIA

A Project Paper Summited to Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Master's Degree in Economics

> By CHEW XIAO CHIN (811906)

© Chew Xiao Chin, May 2013. All Rights Reserved

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that library of the university, Perpustakaan Sultanah Bahiyah may take it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission to use this project paper, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or, in their absent by Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper for financial gain is not be allowed without written permission. It is also understood that due recognition should be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any parts of this project paper.

Request for permission to copy or make use of materials in this project paper, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between education and economic growth. The study is based on annually time series data range from period 1970 until 2010. The indicators for education are government operating expenditure and government development expenditure in education sector. Following the endogenous growth theory, log linear model is build based on Cobb Douglas production function. In order to answer the three objectives in this study, the tests been carried out included Augument Dikey Fuller test (ADF) test to test unit root, Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) test to estimate how dependent variable changes when there is an increase in independent variables, Johansen cointegration test to investigate the existence of long run relationship in the model and Granger causality test to determine the direction of causality between all variables. The finding of the study is consistent with most of the empirical studies and theory where there is a long run relationship between education and economic growth. Besides that, the estimated results show that operating expenditure is relatively bring more impact to gross domestic product compared to development expenditure. In addition, the empirical evidence demonstrates that operating expenditure exits bilateral causality relationship with economic growth.

Keywords: Education, Economic growth, Granger causality, Expenditure on education

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank for the power of my religion for blessing me with good condition of health and mental to accomplish and complete this project paper. Besides that, I would like to thank to myself for the energy, positive thinking and determination when encounters with obstacle and problems during the process of writing project paper.

In addition, the credits should go to my supervisor Dr Shamzaeffa bt Samsudin for the valuable guidance and advice on the ways to present my idea, giving suggestions to improve my paper and emphasize on the important of the organization in the study. She is friendly and willing to share the precious knowledge in economic field. Furthermore, my sincere gratitude must also extend to Dr Hussin bin Abdullah for his willingness to spend time for assistance and professional advices.

Moreover, sincerely thanks to my beloved family members for their understanding and giving me freedom in any decision making for greater achievement in my life. Lastly, friends are always the best accompanies who give support and encouragement when I am facing difficulty during the period of my study and I really appreciate it from the bottom of my heart.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective	
1.3.1 General Objectives	5
1.3.2 Specific Objectives.	5
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Organization of the Study	6

CHAPTER TWO: OVERVIEW OF EDUCATION SYSTEM IN MALAYSIA

2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Education System in Malaysia	8
2.3 Statistics on Education Sector	11
2.4 Conclusion	17

CHAPTER THREE: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR EVOLUTION OF GROWTH THEORY

3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Harrod Dommar Growth Model	19
3.3 Solow's Model	20
3.4 Endogenous Growth Theory	22
3.5 Human Capital in Growth Model	23
3.6 Conclusion	24

CHAPTER FOUR: LITERATURE REVIEW

4.1 Introduction	25
4.2 Indicators for Education	25
4.3 Empirical Studies in Malaysia	27
4.4 Empirical Studies for Cross Country	30
4.5 Empirical Studies in Individual Countries	33
4.6 Conclusion	38

CHAPTER FIVE: METHODOLOGY

5.1 Introduction	.39
5.2 Source of Data	.39
5.3 Description of Variables	.40
5.4 Model Specification	.41
5.5 Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF)	.43
5.6 Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)	46
5.7 Johansen Cointegration Test	.47

5.8 Granger Causality Test	49
5.9 Conclusion	50

CHAPTER SIX: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

6.1 Introduction	51
6.2 Results for Augmented Dickey Fuller Test	51
6.3 Results for Ordinary Least Squares	53
6.4 Results for Johansen Cointegration Test	54
6.5 Results for Granger Causality Test	56
6.6 Conclusion	59

CHAPTER SEVEN: SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS

7.1 Summary	60
7.2 Suggested Policies	61
7.3 Limitations of the Study	62
7.4 Conclusion	62

REFFERENCES63

APPENDICES	
------------	--

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Enrolment rate in local universities for period 2002- 2007	12
2.2	Federal Government Operating and Development Expenditure in Education for Period 1970-2011	13
5.1	Data Sources	39
6.1	Results for ADF Test	52
6.2	Results for OLS Test	53
6.3	Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)	54
6.4	Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test	55
	(Maximum-Eigenvalue)	
6.5	Results for Granger Causality Test	56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1.1	The determinants of economic growth	2
2.1	Enrolment in Public University for Period 1976-2011	12
2.2	Enrolments in Public University at Different Qualification for Period 2002-2007	13
2.3	Federal Government Operating Expenditure in Education	15
2.4	Federal Government Development Expenditure in Education	16
2.5	Economic Returns to Education	18
6.1	Granger Causality Relationship	58

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Title	Page
А	GDP- Level – Intercept	67
В	GDP- Level - Trend and Intercept	67
С	GDP- First Difference – Intercept	68
D	GDP-First Difference – Trend and Intercept	69
E	CAP- Level - Intercept	70
F	CAP- Level – Trend and Intercept	70
G	CAP- First Difference –Intercept	71
Н	CAP-First Difference – Trend and Intercept	72
Ι	LAB- Level – Intercept	73
J	LAB- Level – Trend and Intercept	73
K	LAB- First Difference – Intercept	74
L	LAB- First Difference – Trend and Intercept	75
М	DEV – Level - Intercept	76
Ν	DEV- Level – Trend and Intercept	76
0	DEV- First Difference – Intercept	77
Р	DEV- First Difference - Trend and Intercept	78
Q	OPE- Level – Intercept	79
R	OPE- Level - Trend and Intercept	79
S	OPE- First Difference – Intercept	80

Т	OPE- First Difference – Trend and Intercept	81
U	Outcome for Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)	82
V	Outcome for Johansen Cointegrating Test	83
W	Outcome for Granger Causality Test	86
X	List for Public and Private University	87

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The relationship between education and economic growth has become an issue in the macroeconomic field. The relationship between these two variables remains controversial in theory and empirical findings. There are two things to be determined. The first is the nature of the relationship between the two, if one exists. The second is the direction of causality between education and economic growth. While several researchers and academicians have surveyed the theme, the results obtained are not consistent with some studies suggesting a positive relationship and others a negative or indeterminate relationship.

The ultimate goal of a country is to achieve economic development through economic growth, which is distinct from the former. Economic growth refers to rises in national income per capita from increasing production of goods and services in a country, while economic development refers to benefits from structural changes in economy and society. Examples of structural changes in the Malaysian context are the transition from an agricultural to an industrial economy, reduction in gender inequality, equity of income distribution and reduction of poverty rate. Economic growth alone is necessary but not sufficient for economic development. It is important because the increase in the incomes of the people and increase in government income allow greater expenditure on public services which raise the standard of living of the nation. While this may not benefit every

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFFERENCES

Adawo, M. A., (2011). Has Education (Human Capital) Contributed to the Economic Growth of Nigeria?. *Journals of Economics and International Finance 3*(1), 46-58.

Afzal, M., Farook, M. S., Ahmed, H. K., Begum, I. & Quddus, M. A., (2010). Relationship between School Education and Economic Growth in Pakistan: ARDL Bounds Testing Approach to Cointegration, *Pakistan Economic and Social Review 48*(1), 39-60.

Babalola, S. J., (2011). Long-Run Relationship between Education and Economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, *1*(14), 123-128.

Babatunde, M. A. & Adefabi, R. A., (2005). Long Run Relationship between Education and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Evidence from the Johansen's Cointegration Approach.
Regional Conference on "Education in West Africa: Constraints and Opportunities... Dakar, Senegal, November 1-2.

Baldacci, E., Clements, B., Gupta, S., & Cui, Q., (2008). Social Spending, Human Capital, and Growth in Developing Countries. *World Development 36*(8), 1317-1341.

Baltagi, B. H., (2008). Econometrics Fourth Edition. New York: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Barro, R. J., (2013). Education and Economic Growth. *Annals of Economics and Finance 14*(2), 301-328.

Bassanini, A. & Scarpetta, S., (2001). Does Human Capital Matter for Growth in OECD Countries? Evidence from Pooled Mean-Group Estimates. *Economics Department Working Paper* No. 282, Paris, France: OECD.

Dadkhah, K., (2009). *The Evolution of Macroeconomic Theory and Policy*. New York: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Gordon, R. J., (2012). *Macroeconomics Twelfth Edition*. United States: Addison Wesley.

Granger, C. W. J., (1969). Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods. *Econometrica* 37 (3), 424–438.

Granger, C.W. J. & Newbold, P., (1974). Spurious Regressions in Econometrics. *Journal* of Econometrics 2, 111-120.

Hill, R. C., Griffiths, W. E. & Lim, G. C., (2012). *Principles of Econometrics Fourth Edition*. United States: John Wiley & Sons Pte Ltd.

Hussin, M. Y. M., Muhammad, F, Abu, M. F. & Razak, A. A., (2012). Education Expenditure and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, *3*(7), 71-81.

Jajri, I. & Ismail, R., (2010). Impact of Labour Quality on Labor Productivity and Economic Growth. *African Journal of Business Management* 4(4), 486-495.

Johansen, S., (1988). Statistical Analysis of Co-integration Vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12(23), 1-254.

Johansen, S. & Juselius, K., (1990). Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration-with the Application to the Demand for Money. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 52, 169-210.

Jung, H. S. & Thorbecke, E., (2003). The Impact of Public Education Expenditure on Human Capital, Growth, and Poverty in Tanzania and Zambia: A General Equilibrium Approach. *Journal of Policy Modelling* 25(8), 701-725.

Kakar, Z. K, Khilji, B. A. & Khan, M. J., (2011). Relationship between Education and Economic Growth in Pakistan: A Time Series Analysis. *Journal of International Academic Research 11*(1), 27-32.

Knowles, S. & Owen, P. D., (1997). Education and Health in an Effective Labour Empirical Growth Model. *Econ. Record* 73, 314-328.

Kwack, S.Y. & Lee, Y. S., (2006). Analyzing the Korea's Growth Experience: the Application of R&D and Human Capital Based Growth Models with Demography. *Journal of Asian Economics*, *17*(5), 818–3.

Lee, C. G., (2010). Education and Economic Growth: Further Empirical Evidence. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 23, 161-169.

Lin, T. C., (2004). The Role of Higher Education in Economic Development: An Empirical Study of Taiwan Case. *Journal of Asian Economics*, *15*(2), 355–71.

Liu, C. & Armer, J. M., (1993). Education's Effect on Economic Growth in Taiwan. *Comparative Education Review 37*(3), 304-321.

Loening, J. L., (2004). Time Series Evidence on Education and Growth: The Case of Guatemala, 1951-2002. *Revista de Analisis Economico*, *19*(2), 3 -40.

Malaysia Economic Report 1974/75 – 2011/12, Kuala Lumpur.

Mankiw, N. G., (2010). Macroeconomics Seventh Edition. New York: Worth Publishers.

Permani, R., (2009). The Role of Education in Economic Growth in East Asia: A Survey. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature 23*(1), 1-20.

Rada, C. & Taylor, L., (2006). Developing and Transition Economies in the Late 20th Century: Diverging Growth Rates, Economic Structures, and Sources of Demand. *Department of Economic and Social Affair Working Paper* No.34, New York, USA: UN.

Rahman, I. & Doris, P. S., (1999). Health, Education and Economic Growth In Malaysia. *IIUM Journal of Economics and Management* 7(2), 1-15.

Romer, P., (1986). Increasing Returns and Long-Run Growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(5), 1002–1037.

Sacerdoti, E., Brunshwig, S. & Tang, J., (1998). The impact of human capital on growth: evidence from West Africa. *IMF Working Paper*. *98*(162), 1-32.

Seddighi, H. R., (2012). *Introductory Econometrics: A Practical Approach*. New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

Shaihani, A. L. M., Haris, A., Ismail, N. W. & Said, R., (2011). Long Run and Short Run Effects on Education Levels: Case in Malaysia. *International Journal Economics Research*, 2(6), 77-87.

Sharif, T., Ahmed, J. & Abdullah, S., (2013). Human Resource Development and Economic Growth in Bangladesh: An Econometrics Analysis. *European Journal of Business Management*, 5(7), 133-144.

Sushil, K. H. & Girijasangkar, M., (2010). Does Human Capital Cause Economic Growth? A Case Study of India. *International Journal of Economic Sciences and Applied Research 3*(1), 7-25.

Tamang, P., (2011). The Impact of Education Expenditure on India's Economic Growth. *Journal of International Academic Research 11*(3), 14-20.

Vos, R., (1996). Educational Indicators: What's to be Measured? *INDES Working Paper* Series I(1), Washington D.C.

Wang, Y. & Yao, Y. D., (2001). Sources of China's Economic Growth, 1952-99: Incorporating Human Capital Accumulation. *The World Bank*.

Yang, D. T., (2004). Education and Allocative Efficiency: Household Income Growth during Rural Reforms in China. *Journal of Development Economics*, 74(1), 137–162.