PRIVATIZATION AND STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES PERFORMANCE: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

MAGAJI ABUBAKAR MUHAMMAD

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA JUNE 2015

PRIVATIZATION AND STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES PERFORMANCE: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

 \mathbf{BY}

MAGAJI ABUBAKAR MUHAMMAD

Thesis Submitted to School of Economics, Finance and Banking, College of Business In fulfillment of the Requirement for the Award of Doctor of Philosophy University Utara Malaysia

CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORK

I certify that the work embodied in this thesis is original and to the best of my knowledge has not been submitted in part or full for the award of any kind of certificate of this or any other institution of higher learning. All material not original to this study have been acknowledge by way of reference.

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis as a fulfillment of the requirement for the award of Doctor of Philosophy (Economics) from University Utara Malaysia, I have concerted that the university library makes it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying the thesis in any manner (whole or in part) for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor, Head of Economics Department, Dean School of Economics, Finance and Banking or Dean Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is clear that due acknowledgement shall be given to the author and the University Utara Malaysia for scholarly use of material from the thesis as an established tradition in the world of academics.

ABSTRACT

A government involved in economic activities for national development, redistribution of resources, economic growth, and elimination of bottlenecks in the various sectors of the economy. For these reasons, state-owned enterprises (SOEs) become large and significance. The absence of entrepreneurial class, shortage of capital, and oil revenue spurred more government involvement in all sectors of the Nigerian economy. However, the justifications for government involvement in the economic activities were gradually replaced with inefficiencies, misallocation of resources, rent-seeking and political goals, exposing SOEs as being inefficient and problematic. As a result, the government introduced privatization. The Nigerian government has been privatizing its holding in SOEs since 1990. The objective of this study was to appraise the performance of privatized SOEs in Nigeria. The research used secondary data sourced from the annual reports of selected SOEs. The dependent variables were profitability and efficiency which were divided into six indicators namely gross profit margin, net profit margin, operating profit margin, sale efficiency, net income efficiency and average collection period. The independent variables are privatization, sales, capital, workers and ownership. Privatization is the focus variable. The analysis was divided into mean comparison, panel data analysis (fixed effects model and random effects model) and generalized method of moments. The analysis produced diverse results. The mean comparison results indicated that the post-privatization performances of the selected SOEs are more than their preprivatization performance, implied that the implementation of privatization policy have improved their performances. In panel data analysis, the results of the profitability and efficiency models indicated that most of the enterprises documented mixed performance increased. Similarly, in the generalized method of moments, privatization has revealed diverse results of SOEs performance. In sum, the findings revealed mixed performance improvement of the privatized SOEs. Policy makers and managers of enterprises should be concerned with policies that enhance SOEs performance. The SOEs managers must ensure strict conformity to the profitability enhancing measures rather than political goals that create inefficiencies and waste of resources. Finally, the results of study supported the government effort in privatizing the rest of the SOEs.

Keywords: privatization, state-owned enterprises, profitability, efficiency, Nigeria

ABSTRAK

Kerajaan terlibat dalam aktiviti-aktiviti ekonomi demi pembangunan negara, pengagihan semula sumber, pertumbuhan ekonomi dan penghapusan kekangan dalam pelbagai sektor ekonomi. Hali ini menyebabkan perusahaan milik negara (SOEs) menjadi semakin besar dan signifikan. Ketiadaan usahawan, kekurangan modal dan peningkatan hasil minyak mendorong lebih banyak penglibatan kerajaan dalam semua sektor ekonomi Nigeria. Walau bagaimanapun, justifikasi bagi penglibatan kerajaan dalam aktiviti ekonomi secara beransur-ansur digantikan dengan isu-isu ketidakcekapan, penyalahuntukan sumber, rentseeking dan matlamat politik menyebabkan SOEs lebih bermasalah dan tidak cekap. Oleh itu, kerajaan telah memperkenalkan penwastaan. Kerajaan Nigeria telah menswastakan pegangannya dalam SOEs sejak tahun 1990. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai prestasi SOEs yang telah diswastakan di Nigeria. Kajian ini menggunakan data sekunder yang diperoleh daripada laporan tahunan SOE terpilih. Pemboleh ubah bersandar ialah keberuntungan dan kecekapan yang mana dibahagikan kepada enam penunjuk iaitu margin keuntungan kasar, margin keuntungan operasi, kecekapan jualan, kecekapan pendapatan bersih dan tempoh pungutan purata. Pemboleh ubah bebas ialah penswastaan, jualan, modal, pekerja dan pemilikan. Penswastaan adalah pemboleh ubah tumpuan. Analisis ini telah dibahagikan kepada perbandingan min, analisis data panel (model kesan tetap dan model kesan rawak) dan kaedah umum momen. Keputusan perbandingan min menunjukkan bahawa prestasi pasca-penswastaan SOE terpilih adalah lebih baik daripada prestasi pra-penswastaan. Ini mencerminkan bahawa polisi penswastaan telah meningkatkan prestasi mereka. Dalam analisis data panel, keputusan model keberuntungan dan kecekapan menunjukkan sebahagian besar SOE yang telah diswastakan merekodkan peningkatan prestasi yang bercampur-campur. Keputusan yang sama juga diperoleh daripada analisis menggunakan kaedah umum momen. Kesimpulannya, penemuan kajian menunjukkan peningkatan prestasi yang bercampurcampur bagi SOE yang telah diswastakan. Pembuat dasar dan pengurusan perusahaan perlu prihatin dengan dasar yang mampu meningkatkan prestasi SOE. pengurus SOE mesti memastikan pematuhan yang ketat terhadap langkah-langkah bagi meningkatkan keuntungan dan bukannya matlamat politik yang mewujudkan ketidakcekapan dan pembaziran sumber. Akhir sekali, penemuan kajian menyokong penerusan usaha kerajaan untuk melaksanakan penswastaan ke atas SOE-SOE yang lain.

Kata kunci: penswastaan, syarikat milikan negara, keberuntungan, kecekapan, Nigeria

ACKNOWLEDMENT

All praise and thanks are to almighty Allah, the cherisher and sustainer of everything. Most gracious, most Merciful. I must express my sincere gratitude to Allah (SWT) who out of his infinite mercy spared my life, gave me the determination, courage and wisdom before and during this PhD journey. I am also must to gratitude to Allah (SWT) for giving me the wherewithal to successfully complete doctoral work. It is a rare opportunity.

I am gratefully indebted to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Sallahuddin Bin Hassan. In fact, I am feeling that I have been fortunate in securing the supervision of Prof. Dr. Sallahuddin Hassan. He has devoted much time and thought to correcting the entire work in spite of his much research work, teaching and administrative duties. I am particularly gratitude and deeply appreciate Associate Professor Dr. Sallahuddin Hassan for his tireless guidance, patience, tolerance, wisdom, advice and encouragement throughout my PhD journey. His maturity, understanding and comments made this journey possible. May Allah out of his infinite mercy bless Professor Dr. Sallahuddin Hassan and his entire family with jannatul Firdaus amen.

I must also extend sincere appreciation to the Tertiary Education Trust Fund for providing financial support through my employer: Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda. My employer complemented TET Fund by releasing me for the study, I am grateful.

A special gratitude goes to my dearest wife Hajiya Rahanatu Usman. Your understanding, patience, encouragement and prayers gave me a very high moral and sense of direction. Your ability, foresight and courage to face the daily challenges of managing the family in my absent is a thing of pride to me. My Allah strengthens you, give you more wisdom and accord you with jannatul firdaus. Equally, the understanding and prayers of my children add aptitude to the courage I have in the PhD journey.

I owe a special thanks to my late parents, particularly my late mother Malama Maimuna; how I wish you live to data. May Allah out of his infinite mercy forgives your short comings and grants you jannatul firdaus Ameen. Finally, all gratitude be to Allah the most high with whose mercy all good things are been accomplished.

Abubakar Muhammed Magaji Matric No. 93973.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITI	LE PAGE	i
	TIFICATION OF THESIS WORK	ii
PER	MISSION TO USE	iv
ABSTRACT		V
ABS'	ABSTRAK ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ACK		
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES		xi
LIST	OF FIGURE	xiii
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
СНА	PTER ONE INTRODUCTION	
1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Statement of Problem	4
1.3	Research Questions	10
1.4	Research Objectives	10
1.5	Scope of the Study	10
1.6	Significance of the Study	11
1.7	Organization of Thesis	12
	PTER TWO PRIVATIZATION PROCESS IN NIGERIA	
2.0	Introduction	13
2.1	Area of the Study	13
2.2	Nigerian Economy	14
2.3	Agriculture	16
2.4	Industry	17
2.5	Services	18
2.6	Transport	19
2.7	Labour Force	20
2.8	Human Capital	21
2.9	Investment	22
2.10	The Nigerian Public Sector	23
2.11	The Problems of State-owned Enterprises in Nigeria	26
2.12	Privatization Process in Nigeria	28
2.13	Privatization Policy Implementation in Nigeria	34
СПУ	PTER THREE LITERATURE REVIEW	
3.0	Introduction	39
3.1	The Role of State-owned Enterprises in an Economy	39
3.2	The Concept of Privatization	42
3.3	The Concept of Privatization The Theories of Privatization	46

3.4	Microeconomic of Privatization	50
3.5	The Concept of Firm Performance	54
3.6	Measurement of Firm Performance	56
3.7	The Theory of Firm Performance	59
3.8	Empirical Review of Enterprise Performance	61
3.9	Empirical Firm Performance Study of Multi-Country	69
3.10	Conclusion	76
СНА	PTER FOUR METHODOLOGY	
4.0	Introduction	77
4.1	Conceptual Framework	77
4.2	Model Specification	82
	4.2.1 Profitability Models	82
	4.2.2 Efficiency Models	83
4.3	Justification of Variables	84
	4.3.1 Profitability	84
	4.3.2 Efficiency	86
	4.3.3 Independent Variables	88
4.4	Data	91
4.5	Sampling Method	92
4.6	Methods of Data Analysis	93
	4.6.1 Mean Comparison Analysis	93
	4.6.2 The Fixed Effects Model	96
	4.63 The Random Effects Model	97
	4.6.4 Generalized Method of Movement	99
4.7	Diagnostic Checking	100
	4.7.1 Hausman Test	101
	4.7.2 The Autocorrelation Test	102
	4.7.3 Variance Inflation Test for Multicollinearity	103
	4.7.4 Breush and Pagan Lagrangian Multiplier	105
	4.7.5 Wald Test for Heteroskedasticity	105
4.0	4.7.6 Sargan Test	106
4.8	Conclusion	107
	PTER FIVE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
5.0	Introduction	108
5.1	Descriptive Statistics	108
5.2	Correlation Analysis	109
5.3	Firms Performance Analysis	111
	5.3.1 Gross Profit Margin	111
	5.3.2 Net Profit Margin	113
	5.3.3 Operating Profit Margin	115
	5.3.4 Sale Efficiency	117
	5.3.5 Net Income Efficiency	119
5 A	5.3.6 Average Collection Period Man Comparison Applyois Bosults	121 123
5.4 5.5	Mean Comparison Analysis Results	
5.5	The Empirical Analysis of Panel Data Results	

5.5.1	Model 1: Random Effects Model of Gross Profit Margin	125
5.5.2	Model 2: Fixed Effects Model of Operating Profit Margin	128
5.5.3	Model 3: Random Effects Model of Net Profit Margin	130
5.5.4	Model 4: Fixed Effects Model of Sale Efficiency	132
5.5.5	Model 5: Fixed Effects Model of Net Income Efficiency	134
5.5.6	Model 6: Random Effects Model of Average Collection Period	136
Gener	alized Method of Movement	138
5.6.1	Gross Profit Margin Model	138
5.6.2	Operating Profit Margin	140
5.6.3	Net Profit Margin	142
5.6.4	Sale Efficiency	143
5.6.5	Net Income Efficiency	145
5.6.6	Average Collection Period	147
Concl	usion	151
PTER S	SIX SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, POLICY	
LICATI	ON AND CONCLUSION	
Introd	uction	152
Summ	nary of Findings	152
The C	ontribution of the Research	155
Manag	gerial and Practical Policy Implications	157
Limita	ation of the Study	159
Recon	nmendation for Future Research	160
Concl	usion	162
REFE	RENCES	163
Annor	ndiv	180
	5.5.2 5.5.3 5.5.4 5.5.5 5.5.6 Gener 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.6.4 5.6.5 5.6.6 Concli PTER S LICATI Introd Summ The C Manag Limita Recon Concli	 5.5.2 Model 2: Fixed Effects Model of Operating Profit Margin 5.5.3 Model 3: Random Effects Model of Net Profit Margin 5.5.4 Model 4: Fixed Effects Model of Sale Efficiency 5.5.5 Model 5: Fixed Effects Model of Net Income Efficiency 5.5.6 Model 6: Random Effects Model of Average Collection Period Generalized Method of Movement

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 4.1	Summary of Hausman Test Results of the Various Models	101
Table 4.2	The Result of Variance Inflation Test for Model One	103
Table 4.3	The Treated Results of Variance Inflation for Model One	104
Table 4.4	The Results of Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian Multiplier Test	105
Table 4.5	Wald Test for Heteroskedasticity in Fixed Effects Models	106
Table 5.1	Descriptive Statistics	108
Table 5.2	Spearman Correlation	110
Table 5.3	Average Mean Gross Profit Margin of the Selected Firms	113
Table 5.4	Average Mean Net Profit Margin	115
Table 5.5	Average Mean Results of Operating Profit Margin	117
Table 5.6	Average Mean Results of Sale Efficiency	119
Table 5.7	Average Mean Results of Net Income Efficiency	121
Table 5.8	Average Mean Results of Average Collection Period	123
Table 5.9	Mean Comparison Analysis	124
Table 5.10	Estimated Results of Gross Profit Margin Model	127
Table 5.11	Estimated Results of operating profit Margin Model	129
Table 5.12	Estimated Results of Net Profit Margin Model	131
Table 5.13	Estimated Results of Sale Efficiency Model	133
Table 5.14	Estimated Results of Net Income Efficiency Model	135
Table 5.15	Estimated Results of Average Collection Period Model	138
Table 5.16	Estimated Results Gross Profit Margin Model	139

Table		Page
Table 5.17	Estimated Results of Operating Profit Margin Model	140
Table 5.18	Estimated Results of Net Profit Margin Model	142
Table 5.19	Estimated Results of Sale Efficiency Model	144
Table 5.20	Net Income Efficiency	145
Table 5.21	Average Collection Period	148
Table 5.22	The Impact of privatization on variables	150

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure		Page
Figure 4.1	Conceptual Framework of Privatization Theories	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACCs Advanced Capitalist Countries
ACP Average Collection Period
AGC Ashanti Goldfield Company

AIICO American International Insurance Company

BCC Benue Cement Company
BPE Bureau of Public Enterprises

CAP Capital

CCNN Cement Company of Northern Nigeria

DEA Data Envelopment Analysis

FAs Financial Advisers

FGN Federal Government of Nigeria

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GOCs Government Owned Corporations

GPM Gross Profit Margin
GT Ghana Telecom

IPOs Initial Privatization Officers
LSDV Least Square Dummy Variable

MBO Management Buy Out

MTN Mobile Telephone Network NAA National Airport Authority

NAFCON National Fertilizer Company of Nigeria NEPA National Electric Power Authority NGOs Non Governmental Organizations

NIE Net Income Efficiency

NITEL Nigerian Telecommunication NLC National Labour Congress

NNPC Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation NNSC Nigerian National Supply Company

NPA Nigerian Port Authority

NPM Net Profit Margin

NRC Nigerian Railway Corporation NSE Nigerian Stock Exchange

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

OPPM Operating Profit Margin

OWN Ownership PR Privatization

SAP Structural Adjustment Programme

SE Sale Efficiency

SIPs Share Issue Privatizations

SOEs State Owned Enterprises
TAGs Technical Advisory Groups

TCPC Technical Committee on Privatization and Commercialization

UBA United Bank for Africa

UK United Kingdom

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

WAPCO West Africa Port Company



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

In this chapter, the rationale for government involvement in the economic activities is generally discussed as background information and the discussion is further narrowed down to focus on Nigeria. This is followed by statement of problem where problems of Nigerian public enterprises are presented as a practical gap. The theoretical and empirical depth particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and Nigeria are discussed to strengthen the need for further research in the area. The research questions and objectives are stated in the chapter, followed by scope and significance of the study. The chapter concluded with the proposed outlined of chapters.

1.1 Background of the Study

Government involves in the economy by using public enterprises as one of the mechanism for national development is a marked feature the world over (Sader, 1993). Government increasingly intervened directly in the economic process to support economic development. Efficiency criterion is the based argument for this strategy. Important sectors such as petroleum, mining, telecommunication, finance, transport, and

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Adams, C., Cavendish, W. and Mistry, P. (1992). Adjusting privatization: Case studies from development countries. London: James Curry.
- Ade, S.O., Akande, S. O., Adesanya, A.O., Wadinga, W. O., Adeagbo, A. O., Carim-Sanni, A.A. and Alonge, S. O. (2009). Privatization commercialization of public services delivery: Implication for pro-poor growth and attainment of MDGs in Nigeria. The Global Development Network. Working Paper No.35.
- Afza, T. and Nazir, M.S. (2007). Working capital approaches and firn's return, *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, **1**, 25 31.
- Aharoni, Y. (1986). *The evolution and management of state owned enterprises*. Cambridge: Ballinger.
- Aharoni, Y. (1991). On measuring the success of privatization, in Ramamurti, Ravi and Raymond Vernon, eds. Privatization and Control of State-Owned Enterprises.

 Development Studies, 65, 73 85.
- Aigner, D.J, Hsiao, C., Kapteyn, A. and Wansbeek, T. (1984). Latent variable models in econometrics. in Griliches Z., Intriligator M.D (eds) Handbook of Econometrics, 2. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1322 1393
- Alchian, A. and Demsetz, H. (1972). Production, information costs and economic organization. *American Economic Review*, **62**, 777 795.
- Alchian, A. and Kessel, R. (1962). Competition, monopoly and the pursuit of money. in National Bureau of Economic Research. Princeton, N.J. Princeton University Press.

- Ali, F. (2000). *Privatization of public enterprises and reform*, Westport Conn: Greenwood Press.
- Al-Qudah, A.M.A. (2011). The operating efficiency and market value of Jordanian privatized firms: Fixed and Random effects Analysis, *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research*, **1**, 99 116.
- Anderson, J. H., Lee, Y., and Murrell, P. (2000). Competition and privatization amidst weak institutions: Evidence from Mongolia. *Economic Inquiry*, **38**, 527 549.
- Anderson, R.E., Djankov, C., Pohl, G. and Claessens, S. (1997). *Privatization and restructuring in Central and Eastern Europe* // Private Sector, **11**, 23 26.
- Anderson, T.W. (1959), On asymptotic distributions of estimates of parameters in:

 Andreasson, B. (1998). Privatization in Sub-Saharan Africa: Has it worked and what lesson can be learnt? Gothenburg, Sweden: Swedish Development Advisers. www.swedevelop.com, 15 June, 2012.
- Appiah-Kubi, K. (2001). Privatization in Ghana, *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, **39**, 197 229.
- Arellano, M. and Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations, *Review of Economic Studies* **58**, 277 297.
- Arellano, M. and Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimatio of error-components models, *Journal of Econometrics*, **68**, 29 51.
- Arellano, M. Bover, O. and Labeaga. J, (1999). Autoregressive models with sample selectivity for panel data. In: Hsiao, C. Lahiri, K., Lee. L.F., Pesaran, M.H (ed.) Analysis and limited dependent variable models. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, **42**, 23 48.

- Asante, Y. (1998). Privatization: The Ghanaian experience, AERC Biannual research workshop, May.
- Asteriou, D. and Hall, S.G. (2007). *Applied Econometrics*, Revised ed. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Atkinson, S. and Robert, H. (1986). The relative efficiency of public and private firms in a regulated environment: The case of U.S. electric utilities, *Journal of Public Economics*, **29**, 281 294.
- Ayodele, O. (1999). *Commercialization and privatization policy in Nigeria*, National Centre for Economic management and Administration, Ibadan.
- Babouki, N. and Cosset, C. (1998). The finance and operating performance of newly privatized firms: Evidence from developing countries. *The Journal of Finance*, **53**, 1081 1110.
- Bailey, C., and Elizabeth, E. (1986). Price and productivity change following deregulation:

 U.S. experience, *Economic Journal* **96**, 96 117.
- Baltagi, B.H. and Levin, D. (1986). Estimating dynamic demand for cigarettes using panel data, the effects of bootlegging, taxation, and advertising reconsidered, *Review of Eonomics and Statistics*, **68**, 148 155.
- Baltagi, B.H. and Kao, C. (2000). Nonstationary panels, cointegration in panels and dynamic panel, a survey, in: Baltagi, B.H. (ed.). Nonstationary panels, panel cointegration and dynamic panels. *Advances in Econometrics*, **15**. 7 51.
- Baltagi, B. H. (2008). *Econometric analysis of panel data*, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.

- Bavon, A. (1998). Does ownership matter, comparing the performance of public and private enterprises in Ghana, *Journal of Developing Areas*, **33**, 53 72.
- Bennel, O. (1997). Privatization in Sub-Saharan Africa: Prospects during the 1990s, *World Development*, **25**, 1785 1803.
- Bennel, P. (1997). Privatization in Sub-Saharan Africa: Prospects during the 1990s', *World Development*, **25**, 1785 1803.
- Ben-Porath, Y. (1973). Labor force participation rates and the supply of labor, *Journal Political Economy*, **81**, 697 704.
- Bienen, H. and Waterbury, J. (1989). The political economy of privatization in developing countries, *World Development*, **17**, 617 632.
- Biggs, T. (1999). Structure and performance of manufacturing in Mozambique, *RPPED Paper* No.107, Washington D.C.: The World Bank.
- Binder, M., Hsiao, C. and Pesaran, M.H. (2005). Estimation and inference in short panel vector autoregressions with unit roots and cointegration. *Economic Theory*, **21**, 795 837.
- Biørn, E. (1992). Econometrics of panel data with measurement errors. In: Mátyás, L. & Sevestre, P. eds. *The econometrics of panel data: theory and applications*, 1st edition. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 152 195.
- Boardman, A. E. and Vining, A. R. (1989). Ownership and performance in competitive environments: A comparison of the performance of private, mixed and state-owned enterprises. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **32**, 1 33.

- Boardman, E.T. (2003). Privatization in North America, In David Parker and David Seal, *International Handbook on privatization*, Cheltentenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 129 – 160.
- Bös, D. (1991). Privatization: A theoretical treatment, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Boubakri, N., Cosset, J. and Guedhami, O. (2004). Privatization, corporate governance and economic environment: Firm-level evidence from Asia, *.Pacific-basin Finance Journal*, **12**, 65 90.
- Bourbarki, N. and Cosset, J.C. (1999). Does privatization meet the expectations? Evidence from African Countries, Paper presented at the *African Economic Research Consortium Biannual Research Workshop*, Nairobi (Kenya).
- Boycko, M., Schleifer, A. and Vishny. R. (1996). A theory of privatization, *The Economic Journal*, **106**, 309 319.
- Blundell, R., Browning, M. and Meghir, C. (1994). Consumer demand and the life cycle allocation of household expenditure, *Review of Economic Studies*, **61**, 57 80.
- Blundell, R. and Bond, S. (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models, *Journal of Econometrics*, **87**, 115 143.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A., Cocking, L. and Randy, R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school.* Washington DC: National Academy Press, available online at www.nap.edu). 23 July 2012.
- Buchanan, J.M. (1972). *Theory of public choice*, Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan Press.

- Buchs, T. (2002). Privatization in Sub-Saharan Africa: Some lessons from experiences, International Finance Corporation, 23, 20 – 57.
- Campbell, W. (2000). *Privatization Handbook*, Revised ed., Abuja, Government Print Press.
- Bun, M.J.G. (2004). Testing poolability in a system of dynamic regressions with nonspherical disturbances, *Empirical Economics*, **29**, 89 106.
- Cadez, S. and Guilding, C. (2008). An exploratory investigation of an integrated contingency model of strategic management accounting. *Accounting, Organizations* and Society, 33, 836 863.
- Caine, R. N., Caine, G. McClintic, C. and Klimek, K. (2005). *12 Brain/mind learning principles in action*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Callaghy, T.M. and Ernest, J. W. (1988). Africa: Policy, reality or ritual? In Vernon, R., *The Policy of Privatization: A Challenge for U.S. Foreign Policy*. New York: Council on Foreign Relations.
- Campbell, W. and Anita, B. (1998). *Privatization in Africa*, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Castro, J. and Uhlenbruck, K. (1997). Characteristics of privatization: Evidence from developed, less developed and from communist countries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, **28**, 123 143.
- Caves, R. E. and Christensen, L. R. (1980). The relative efficiency of public and private firms in a competitive environment: The case of Canadian railroads. *Journal of Political Economy*, **88**, 958 976.

- Chang, Y. (2002), Nonlinear V unit root tests in panels with cross-sectional dependency, *Journal of Econometrics*, **110**, 261 – 292.
- Chen, C.R, Guo, W. and Mande, V. (2003) Managerial ownership and firm valuation. Evidence from Japanese firm, *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, **2,** 267 283.
- Chenhall, R. H., and Langfield-Smith, K. (2007). Multiple perspectives of performance measures. *European Management Journal*, **25**, 266 282.
- Chenhall, R. H. and Morris, D. (1995). Organic decision and communication processes and management accounting systems in entrepreneurial and conservative business organizations. *Omega*, **23**, 485 497.
- Chiou, J.R. and Li, C. (2006). The definition of working capital management, the *Journal of American Academy of Business*, **10**, 149 155.
- Chong, A. and Florencio, L. (2003). The truth about privatization in Latin America http://iicg.som.yale.edu/working_papers/papers/privatization_in_LA.pdf. Accessed 5 December 2003.
- Chong, H.G. (2008). Measuring performance of small-and-medium enterprises: The grounded theory approach, *Journal of Business and Public Affairs*, **2**, 87 101.
- Claessens, S. and Djankov, S. (1999). Ownership concentration and corporate performance in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, **27**, 498 513.
- Cohen, J.W. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences, (2nd edn).

 Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cook, P. and Uchida, Y. (2004). Performance of privatized regulated and non regulated enterprises in Developing countries, *CRC International Conference*, South Africa.

- Cook, P. and Kirkatrick, C. (1988). Privatization in less developed countries: An overview, In Cook P. and C. Lirkpatrick, C. ed., *Privatization in less developed countries*. London: Prentice Hall/Harvester Wheat sheaf.
- Corder, G.W and Dele, I. F. (2009). *Nonparametric statistics for non-statisticians*, New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Cornett, M.M., Marcus, A.J., Saunders, A. and Tehranian, H. (2007). The impact of institutional ownership on corporate operating performance. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, **31**, 1771 1794.
- Crabtree, A. D. and DeBusk, G. K. (2008). The effects of adopting the balance scorecard on shareholder return. *Advances in Accounting*, **24**, 8 15.
- D'Souza, J. and Megginson, W. L. (1999). The financial and operating performance of privatized firms during the 1990s. *The Journal of Finance*, **54**, 1397 1406.
- D'Souza, J. and Megginson, W. L. (1998). Source of performance improvements in privatized firms: Evidence from the telecommunications industry. *Working Paper*, University of Oklahoma.
- Daft, R. L. (2005). Organization theory and design. New York: West Publishing.
- Danjuma, M. (2005). Privatization and poverty reduction in Nigeria. *The Nigerian Economic Summit Group Ltd*, Presented at a two-day meeting on pro-poor growth in Nigeria Overseas Development Institute, 111 Westminster Bridge Road, London.
- Deloof, M. (2003), Does working capital management affects profitability of Belgium firms, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, **30**, 573 587.

- Dewenter, K.L, and Malatesta, P.H. (2001). State owned and privately owned firms: An empirical analysis of profitability, leverage, and labour intensity. *An American Economic Review*, **57**, 320 334.
- Dickey, D.A. and Fuller, W.A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of Statistical Associassion*, **74**, 427 431.
- Dickey, D.A. and Fuller, W.A. (1981). Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Econometrica*, **49**, 1057 1072.
- Djankov, S. and Murrell, P. (2000). Enterprise restructuring in transition: A quantities survey, Mimeo, Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Djankov, S. (1999). Restructuring of insider-dominated firms: a comparative analysis. *Economic Transition* **7**, 467 479.
- Dong, H. P. and Su, J. F. (2010). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: A Vietnam case. *Journal of Finance and Economics* **49**, 56 68.
- Dockner, E., Mosburger, J. and Schaffauser-Lizatti, M. (2005). *The financial and operating performance of privatize firms in Austria*. University of Vienna.
- Due, J.M., Temu, A.E., and Temu, A.A. (2000). Privatization in Tanzania. A case study 1999, *Research Report*, Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro.
- Eckel, C., Eckel, D. and Singal, V. (1997). Privatization and efficiency: Industry effects of the sale of British Airways. *Journal of Financial Economics*, **43**, 275 298.
- Eckel, J. Catherine, C. and Theo, V. (1986). Internal regulation: The effect of government ownership on the value of the firm, *Journal of Law and Economics*, **29**, 338 403.
- Edozien, J. and Adeoye, S.O. (1989). Privatisation in Nigeria, in Ramanadham, V.V. (Ed.), *Privatisation in Developing Countries*, New York: Routledge.

- El-Rufai, N. A. (2001). *Privatization and national development: Implication for efficiency, job creation and productivity*. Bureau of Public Enterprises, Public Policy.
- Federal Government of Nigeria (1984). The Report of the commission on statutory corporations and state owned enterprises and public utilities, Federal Government Press, Lagos.
- Federal Government of Nigeria. (1986). Structural adjustment programmed July 1986 to June 1988. Federal Government Information Memorandum, November.
- Fink, C., Matoo, A. and Rathindran, R. (2000). Liberalizing basic telecommunications: Evidence from Developing Countries, *World Bank Policy Research* 2000.
- Fraquelli, G. and Vannoni, D. (2000). Multidimensional performance in telecommunications, regulation and operation: Analysing the European major players. *Information Economics and Policy*, 12, 27 46.
- Frech, H. E. (1974). The regulation of health insurance. *Ph.D. dissertation*, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Frydman, R., Gray, C. M. Hessel, and Rapaczynski, A. (1997). *Private ownership and corporate performance: Some lessons from transition economies*. Economic research reports. No. 97–28. New York: New York University, C.V.Starr Center for Applied Economics, 47.
- Frydman, R., Gray, C., Hessel, M. and Rapaczynski, A. (1998). When does privatization work? The impact of private ownership on corporate performance in the transition, *Economics, Economic Research Reports.* **23,** 98 132 (New York, New York University, C.V. Starr Center for Applied Economics).

- Frydoman, R., C., Gray, C., Hessel, M. and Ropczynski, A. (1999). When dose privatization work? The impact of private ownership on corporate performance in transition economics. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **114**, 153 191.
- Furubotn, E., and Pejovich, S. (1972). Property rights and economic theory: A Survey of recent literature. *Journal of Economic Literature*, **10**, 137 162.
- Galal, A., Jones, L., Tandon, P. and Vogelsang, I. (1994). Welfare consequences of selling public enterprises: An empirical analysis, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Granger, C.W.J. (1990). Aggregation of time-series variables: a survey. In Barker T, Pesaran MH (eds) *Disaggregation in econometric modeling*. London: Routledge.
- Greene, W.H., Econometric Analysis (1995). New Jersey: Prentice Hall, (3rd. Edition).
- Griliches, Z. (1967). Distributed lags: A survey. *Econometrica*, **35**, 16 49.
- Griliches, Z. and Hausman J.A. (1986). Errors-in-variables in panel data. *Journal of Economics*, **31**, 93 118.
- Guislain, P. (1997). The privatization challenge: A strategic, legal, and institutional analysis of international experience. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Gupta, G.S. (1998). Privatization: Theory, practices and issues, *Indian Economic Journal*, **6,** 96 –106.
- Hansmann, H. (1990). Why do Universities have endowment? The *Journal of Legal Studies*, **19**, **11**3 142.
- Hansen, B. (1982). Efficient estimation and testing of cointegrating vectors in the presence of deterministic trends, *Journal of Econometrics*, **53**, 87 121.

- Hair, J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J, and Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th Edition). Uppersaddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education International.
- Hakro, A. N. and Akram M. (2009). Pre-post performance assessment of privatization process in Pakistan, *International Review of Business Research*, **5**, 70 86.
- Harvylyshyn, O. and McGeHigan, H. (2000). Privatization in transition countries. *Post–Soviet Affairs*, **6**, 257 264.
- Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica*, **46**, 1251 1271.
- Heckman, J.J. Ichimura, H. Smith, J. and Todd, P. (1998). Characterizing selection bias using experimental data, *Econometrica*, **66**, 101 109.
- Hill, R.C., Griffiths, W. E. and Lim, G. C. (2011). *Principles of econometrics* (4th. Ed i t i o n), John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Hsiao, C. (1986). Analysis of panel data, *Econometric society monographs*, **11**. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hsiao, C., Appelbe, T.W. Dineen, C.R. (1993). A general framework for panel data analysis with an application to Canadian customer dialed long distance service, *Journal of Economics* **59**, 63 86.
- Hsiao, C., Luke, C. M. W., Mountain D. C. and Tsui, K. Y. (1989). Modeling Ontario regional electricity system demand using a mixed fixed and random coefficients approach. *Regional Science Urban Economics*, **19**, 567 587.
- Hsiao, C, Mountain, D. C., and Ho-Illman, K. (1995). Bayesian integration of end-use metering and conditional demand analysis. *Journal of Business, Econonomics and Statistics*, **13**, 315 326.

- Huan, G.H. and Song, F.M. (2002). The financial and operating performance of Chima's newly listed H-Firms. *International Workshop on the Chinese Economy*, Shangai.
- Hyvönen, J. (2007). Strategy, performance measurement techniques and information technology of the firm and their links to organizational performance. *Management Accounting Research*, **18**, 343 366.
- Ibrahim, A, (1992). Why structural adjustment has not succeeded in Sub-Sahara Africa, *Country Economic Department World Bank* WP5, October.
- Im, K., Pesaran, M. H. and Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Economics*, **11(5)**, 53 74.
- Israel, G. D. (1992). *Determining sample size*. Program evaluation and organizational development, IFAS, University of Florida. PEOD-6.
- Ittner, C. D. (2008). Does measuring intangibles for manament purposes improve performance?: A review of the evidence. *Accounting & Business Research*, **38**, 261 272.
- Ittner, C. D., and Larcker, D. F. (1997). Quality strategy, strategic control systems and organizational performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, **22**, 293 314.
- Ittner, C. D., Lanen, W. and Larcker, D.F. (2002). The association between activity-based costing and manufacturing performance. *Journal of Accounting Research*, **40**, 711 726.
- Jensen, M. C. and Meckling, W. H. (1976). The theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency cost and ownership structure, *Journal of Financial Economics*, **3**, 305 360.
- Jerome, A. (1999). Restructuring economics through privatization: A comparative analysis, *CBN Bullion*, **23**, 706 719.

- Jerome, A. (2002). Public enterprise reform in Nigeria: Evidence from the telecommunications Industry. *African Economic Research Consortium*, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Jerome, A. (2008). Privatization and enterprise performance in Nigeria: Case study of some privatized enterprises: *African Economic Research Consortium*, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Jerome, A. (2005). Privatization and regulation in South Africa: An evaluation. Chapter 6 in Edmund Amann, ed., *Regulating Development Evidence from Africa and Latin America*. Northampton, Massachusetts, and Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.
- Jesen, A., and Rees, B. (1995). *Financial analysis*. 2nd edition, Essex, England: Prentice Hall.
- John, W. (2011). *The political economy of public sector reform and privatization*, Boulder, Colorado: West View Press.
- Johnston, J. and DiNardo, J. (1997). *Econometric methods*. New York: The Mcgraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Jones, S., Megginson, W.L., Nash, R., and Netter, J., (1999). Share issue privatizations as financial means to political and economic ends. *Journal of Financial Economics*, **53**, 217 253.
- Kate, B. (2001). Privatization of electricity distribution: some economic, social and political perspectives. http://www.psiru.org/reports/2001-04-E-Distrib.doc, 14 July, 2011.
- Kay, J. A. and Thompson, D. J. (1986). Privatization: A policy in search of a rationale, *Economic Journal*, **96**, 18 38.

- Keynes, J.M. (1936). The general theory of employment, interest and money, London:

 Macmillan.
- Kihn, L. (2010). Performance outcomes in empirical management accounting research recent developments and implications for future research. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, **59**, 468 492.
- Kikeri, S. and Nellis, J. (2004). An assessment of privatization. The *World Bank Research*, *Observer*, **19**, 25 39.
- Kikeri, S. Jone, N. and Mary, S. (1992). *Privatization: The lessons of experience*, Washington, D. C.: The World Bank.
- Kocenda, E. and Svejnar, J. (2004). Ownership, control and corporate performance after large- scale privatization. University of Michigan Business School, *Working Paper* No.(652), 131 160.
- Kornai, J. (1980). The economics of shortage, Amsterdam, North Holland. The Soft Budget Constraint, *KYKLOS*, **39**, 13 30.
- La Porta, R. and Lopez-de-Silanes, F. (1999). Benefits of privatization: Evidence from Mexico, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **114**, 1193 1242.
- La Porta, R. and Lopez-de-Silanes, F. (1999). The benefits of privatization: Evidence from Mexico. *NBER Working Paper Series*. Working Paper 6215, 30, Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F. and Shleifer, A. (1998). Corporate ownership around the World. *NBER Working Paper Series*. Working Paper 6625, 58, Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.

- Langfield-Smith, K. (1997). Management control systems and strategy: A critical review, *Accounting*, *Organizations and Society*, **22**, 207 232.
- Laux, J. K., and Maureen, A. M. (1988). *State capitalism: Public enterprise in Canada*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Lewbel, A. (1994). Aggregation and simple dynamics. *Economic Review*, **84**, 905 918.
- Lewis, P. M. (1990). *State, economy and privatization in Nigeria*, in Ezra Suleiman, Privatization in Nigeria, University Press.
- Lewis, P. M. (1990). The political economy of privatization in Nigeria, in Dennis J. G. and Jonathan, N. G. (ed), *Privatization and deregulation in global perspective*, London: Pinter Publishers, 277 290.
- López-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Robert, W. V. (1997). Privatization in the United States, *Rand Journal Economics*, **28**, 447 471.
- Magaji, A.M. and Hassan, S. (2012). Preliminary Investigation on the performance of a privatized insurance company in Nigeria, *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, **3**, 121 127.
- McDonald, K. R. (1993). Why privatization is not enough, *Harvard Business Review*, 49 59.
- Megginson, W. L. and Jeffery, M. N. (2001). From state to market. A survey of empirical studies on privatization, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **39**, 321 389.
- Megginson, W. R., Nash, M. and Van, R. (1994). The financial and operating performance of newly privatized firms: An international empirical analysis, *Journal of Finance*, **49**, 403 452.

- Megginson, W. R., Nash, M. and Van, R. (1996). The record on privatization, *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, **9**, 23 34.
- Moldofsky, N. (1989). The problems reconsidered, 1920-1989. In Hayek: *Money, Capital, and Fluctuations*. London: Pinter Publishers, 277 290.
- Moles, P., Parrison, R. and Kidwell, D. (2011). *Corporate finance*, European ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Muslumov, A. (2005). The financial and operating performance of privatized companies in Turkish cement industry, *Meta Studies in Development*, **32**, 59 101.
- Naceur, S., Ghazouan, S. and Omran, M. (2007). The performance of newly privatized firms in selected MENA countries: The role of ownership structure, governance and liberalization policies. *International Issue of Financial Analysis*, **16**, 332 353.
- Nellis J. R. (1986). *Public enterprises in Sub-Saharan Africa*, World Bank Discussion Paper No. 1, Washington D.C.: The World Bank.
- Nellis J. R. and Kikeris, (1989). Public enterprise reform: Privatization and the World Bank, *World Development*, **17**, 659 672.
- Nellis, J. (2000). Time to rethink in transition economics, *IFC Discussion paper* 38. Washington, D. C.: International Finance Corporation.
- Nellis, J. and Loser, S. (2002). Recent privatization trends in OECD Countries, *Financial Market Trends*, **82**, 43 58.
- Nellis, J. (2005). Privatization in Africa: What has happened? What is to be done? Working Paper, *Center for Global Development*.
- Nellis, J. R. (2006). *Privatization in developing countries*: A summary assessment, *Centre for Global Development*, Washington, D.C.

- Nerlove, M. (2002). Essays in panel data econometrics. U. K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Niskanen, W.A. (1971). Bureaucracy and representative government, Chicago: Aldine, Atherton Press.
- Obi, A.W. (1986), Industrial public enterprises as an instrument of development policy: The Nigerian Experiment, *Cahiers Africains D'administration Publique*, **26**, 5 22.
- Okten, C. and Arin, P. (2006). The effects of privatization on efficiency: How does privatization work, *World Development*, **3**, 1537 –1556.
- Olashore, O. (1991). *The Challenges of Nigeria's economic reform*, Ibadan: Fountain Publishers.
- Olukoshi, A.O. (1990). The historic significance of the policy of privatisation in Nigeria, In C.N. Nwoku (ed.), *Structural adjustment in Nigeria*. The impact of SFEM on the economy, Lagos: Nigerian Institute of International Affairs, 103 133.
- Osman, M. Z. (2011). The impact of privatization on the financial performance of privatized enterprises in Sudan (1990-2004): Based on new ownership structure: An empirical study, *Khartoum University Journal of Management Studies*, **4**, 48 54.
- Oyieke, S. 2002. *Kenya Airways: A case Study of privatization*. AERC Research Paper No. 119. African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Pakes, A. and Griliches, Z. (1984). Estimating distributed lags in short panels with an application to the specification of depreciation patterns and capital stock constructs, *Review of Economics Studies*, **51**, 243 262.
- Pandey, I.M. (2007). *Essentials of financial management*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House PVT Ltd.
- Paulson, J.A. (1999). African economies in transition, New York: McMillan Press.

- Perevalov, Y., Gimady I. and Dobrodey V. (1999). Impact of privatization on performance of industrial enterprises in Russia, *Global Delopment Network*, Bonn, Germany.
- Pesaran, M.H. (2003). On aggregation of linear dynamic models: an application to life-cycle consumption models under habit formation, *Economic Model*, **20**, 227 435.
- Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations*: New York: Harper and Row.
- Phillips, P.C.B., and Durlauf, S.N. (1986). Multiple time series regression with integrated processes. *Review Economics Studies*, **53**, 473 495.
- Phillips, P.C.B. and Moon, H.R. (1999). Linear regression limit theory for nonstationary panel data, *Econometrica*, **67**, 1057 1111.
- Pryke, Richard. (1982). The comparative performance of public and private enterprises, *Fiscal Studies*, **3**, 68 81.
- Qi, D., Wu, W. and Zhang, H. (2000). Shareholding structure and corporate performance of partially privatized firms: Evidence from listed Chinese companies. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, **8**, 587 610.
- Ramamurti, R. (1997). Testing the limits of privatization: Argentine railroads. *World Development*, **25**, 1973 1985.
- Ramanadham, V.V. (1989). Privatisation in developing countries, London: Routledge.
- Rondinelli, D. and Max, I. (1996). *Policies and institutions for managing privatization*,

 Turin, Italy: International Training Center, International Labor Office.
- Rosenbaum, P. and Rubin, D. (1985). Reducing bias in observational studies using subclassification on the propensity score. *Journal Statistics Associassion*, **79**, 516 – 524

- Sader, F. (1993). Privatization foreign investment in the developing world, 1985-92 WP. no.1202, *International Economic Development the World Bank*.
- Sandra, A. O. (1987). Justification and strategies for the selective privatization of state-owned enterprises, *Quarterly Journal of Administration*, **23**, 124 138.
- Samuelson, W.F. and Mark S. G. (2012). *Managerial economics*, 7th Edition, New York:

 John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Schmidt, K. (1990). The costs and benefits of privatization: An incomplete contracting approach, Discussion Paper no. A–287, University of Bonn.
- Schmidt, K. (1996), Incomplete contracts and privatization, *European Economic Review*, **40**, 569 –179.
- Sekaran, U. and Bougie, R. (2009). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*, 5th Edition, New York: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Serven, L., A. S. and Olimano, R. S. (1994), The macroeconomics of public enterprise reform and privatization: Theory and evidence from developing countries, Macroeconomics and Growth Division, Policy Research Department, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Shapiro, C. and Robert, W. (1990). Economic rationales for the scope of privatization, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 48, 295 – 325.
- Sheshinski, E. and Calva. L. F. (2000). Privatization and its benefits: Theory, evidence, and challenges, *Harvard Institute for International Development*.
- Shirely, M. (2002). Bureaucrats in business: The roles of privatization versus corporation in SOEs Reform, *World Development*, **27**, 115 136.

- Shleifer, A. and Nishny, R. (1994). Politicians and firms, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **46**, 995 1025.
- Sink, D.S. (1985). Productivity management: Planning, measurement, and evaluation, control, and improvement, New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Sink, S. and Tuttle, T. (1989). *Planning and measurement in organization*. Norcross, GA: Industrial Engineering and Management Press,
- Stano, M. (1975). Executive ownership interests and corporate performance. *Southern Economic Journal*, **42**, 272 278.
- Starr, P. (1989). The meaning of privatization. In *privatization and welfare state*, (ed)., Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sun, Q.J.J. and Tong, W.H.S. (2002). Malaysian privatization: Comprehensive study, *Financial Management*, 31, 5-31.
- Sun, Q. and Tong, W. (2005). Privatization through an overseas listing: Evidence form China's Hshare firms. *Financial Management Autumn*, **24**, 5 30.
- Tabachnic, B. G. and Fidell, L.S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* 5th Ed. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.
- Tangen, S. (2003). An overview of frequently used performance measures, *Work Study*, **52**, 347 354.
- Tatahi, M. and Heshmati, A. (2009). Financial and operating performance of privatized firms in Sweden, IZA, *Economic Journal*, **42**, 272 78.
- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization (1993). Federal Republic of Nigeria: The privatization and commercialization, various Diagnostic Sub-Committee Report, Abuja, Nigeria.

- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization (1989). *Third progress report* (November), Lagos.
- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization., (1991). *Review of UNDP Facility to TCPC through the third country programmed 1987-1991*, Lagos (mimeo).
- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization (1989). Second progress report, Lagos.
- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization (1989). Guidelines on privatisation and commercialization of government enterprises, Lagos.
- Technical Committee on Privatisation and Commercialization (1990), *Fourth progress* report (May), Lagos.
- UNCTAD. (1993). Reforming public enterprises and public sector in Sub-Sharan Africa UNCTAD. *Discussion Paper*, July.
- Usman, S. (1989). Privatization, The Nigerian experience, *Vierteljahresberichte*, 28, 181 186.
- Usman, S. (1987). Conceptualization and implementation of economic policy: The case of privatization in Nigeria, Presidential Address, *Annual General Meeting of NES*, Calabar, May.
- Vickers, J. and Yarrow, G. (1991). Economic perspectives on privatization, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **5**, 111 32.
- Vickers, J. and Yarrow, G. (1989). *Privatization: An economic analysis*, Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Wallsten, S. (2001). Telecommunications privatization in developing countries: The real effects of exclusivity periods, *Working Paper*. 21.

- Wansbeek, T.J. and Koning, R.H. (1989). Measurement error and panel data. *Stat Neerl*, **45**, 85 92.
- Watson, J. (2001). *How to determine a sample size*: Tipsheet #60, University Park, PA Penn State Cooperative Extension. Retrieved on 21/10/2012 from http://www.extension.psu.edu/evaluation/pdf/TS60.pdf
- Wei, Z., Varela, O., D'Souza, J. and Hassan, M.K. (2003). The financial and operating performance of China's newly privatised firms, *Financial Management*, **32**, 107 126.
- Williams, L. J. and Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizinship and in-role behaviors, *Journal of Management*, **17**, 601 617.
- Williamson, O. (1985). The economic institutions of capitalism, U.K.: Free Press.
- Williamson, O. E. (1969). Corporate control and the theory of the firm, In Economic Policya and the Regulation of Corporat See curitiese, ed. American
- Williamson, O. E. (1970). Corporat controal and business behavior: An inquiry into the effects of organizational form on enterprise behavior. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2002). *Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- World Bank (1995). Bureaucrats in business, The World Bank: Oxford University Press.
- World Bank (1991). The reform of public sector management. *Policy and Research Series*, No.18. Washington D.C.: The World Bank.
- Wortzel, L. (1989). Privatization not the only answer, *World Development*, Washington D.C.: The World Bank

- Wortzel, V. H., and Wortzel, L. H. (1989). Privatization: Not the only answer. World Development, **17**, 633 641.
- Yahaya, S. (1991) Financial and management problems of Nigerian public enterprises, *NES Annual Conference*, Sokoto, June.
- Yahaya, S. (1993) State versus market: The privatization programme at the Nigerian State.

 In Olukosh A. O. (ed) *The Politics of Structural Adjustment in Nigeria*, Ibadan.
- Yarrow, G. (1992). Privatization in theory and practice, *Economic Policy*, **2**, 324 364.
- Yuchtman, E. and Seashore, S. (2007). A system resource approach to organizational effectiveness, *American Sociological Review*, **32**, 891 903.
- Zakari, Y.A. (2012). Privatization and firm performance: An empirical study of selected privatized firms in Nigeria, *Mediterranean Journal of Social Science*, **3**, 207 219.
- Zayyad, H.R. (1990). Privatization and commercialization in Nigeria: TCPC Report, 1998.