DETERMINANTS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING IN INDONESIA: AN ANALYSIS FROM ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND POLITICAL PERSPECTIVES

CHRISTINA TRI SETYORINI

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA March 2015

DETERMINANTS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING IN INDONESIA: AN ANALYSIS FROM ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PERSPECTIVES

By

CHRISTINA TRI SETYORINI

Thesis Submitted to
School of Accountancy
Universiti Utara Malaysia
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of the requirments for a degree of Doctor of Philosophy from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Library of this University may make it freely available for inspection. I futher agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly puspose may be granted by my supervisor or, in her absence, by the Dean of School of Accountancy. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without any written permission. It is understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in which or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of School of Accountancy Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study are to examine the extent of corporate social and environmental reporting among Indonesian companies and to ascertain its determinants from multiple perspectives: economic, social, and political. The multiple-perspective approach offers alternative interpretations of a similar phenomenon. This study attempts to fill both the theoretical and empirical gaps in corporate social and environmental reporting in Indonesia. It focuses on contentquality and uses a combination of the tools of Clarkson's environmental index and Sutantoputra's social index. The sample of this study consisted of 740 observations for five years from 2005 to 2009. Panel data was employed and pooled regression was performed using EViews v.8 to observe the association among the variables of the company characteristics and corporate social and environmental disclosure. Profitability, leverage and size were included as control variables. The results revealed that the level of corporate social and environmental reporting in Indonesia was low. However, the corporate social and environmental disclosure had increased from previous years and was dominated by soft disclosures. The results reported in this study show strong support for the firm's industry sensitivity, government environmental monitoring, media coverage, strategic posture, government shareholding, foreign activity, leverage and size. Conversely, blockholder ownership, award, and profitability were not supported by this study. Hence, this study has shown that company characteristics can be determined by economic, social, and political perspectives. In this respect, a multiple-perspective approach can help one to take advantage of the complementariness of different theories and gain alternative interpretations of the same phenomenon through a more holistic analysis.

Keywords: corporate social and environmental reporting, company's characteristics, multiple-perspectives, Clarkson's environmental index, Sutantoputra's social index

ABSTRAK

Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji tahap pelaporan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar di kalangan syarikat di Indonesia, dan juga untuk mengetahui faktor penentunya dari perspektif yang pelbagai: ekonomi, sosial dan politik. Pendekatan perspektif-pelbagai ini menawarkan tafsiran alternatif terhadap fenomena yang sama. Kajian ini cuba untuk mengisi jurang teoritikal dan empirikal dalam pelaporan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar di Indonesia. Tumpuan diberi kepada kualiti kandungan serta penggunaan gabungan indeks alam sekitar Clarkson dan indeks sosial Sutantoputra. Sampel kajian ini terdiri daripada 740 pemerhatian bagi lima tahun bermula daripada 2005 sehingga 2009. Kajian ini menggunakan EViews V.8 untuk membuat data panel dan regresi terkumpul bagi melihat hubungan pemboleh ubah ciri-ciri syarikat dengan pendedahan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar. Beberapa pemboleh ubah kawalan juga dirmasuk dalam analisis ini, iaitu: keuntungan, leveraj dan saiz. Hasil daripada kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa tahap pelaporan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar di Indonesia adalah rendah. Namun begitu, pendedahan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar telah meningkat daripada tahun-tahun sebelumnya dan didominasi oleh 'soft disclosure". Hasil yang dilaporkan dalam kajian ini menyokong pemboleh ubah kepekaan industri, pemantauan alam sekitar kerajaan, liputan media, postur strategik, pegangan saham kerajaan, aktiviti luar, leveraj dan saiz. Sebaliknya, kajian ini tidak menyokong pemboleh ubah pemilikan blok, anugerah, dan keuntungan. Oleh itu, kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa ciri-ciri syarikat boleh ditentukan oleh perspektif ekonomi, sosial dan politik. Sehubungan itu, pendekatan perspektif-pelbagai boleh membantu seseorang untuk mengambil kesempatan daripada teori yang berbeza dan mendapat tafsiran alternatif bagi fenomena yang sama melalui analisis yang lebih holistik.

Kata kunci: pelaporan korporat sosial dan alam sekitar korporat, ciri-ciri syarikat, perspektif-pelbagai, indeks alam sekitar Clarkson, indeks sosial Sutantoputra

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In The name of Allah, Most Gracious and, Most Merciful. Praise and peace be upon His beloved our Prophet Muhammad S.A.W., his family and his companions from whom, and by the will of God we escape from darkness into enlightenment. I would like to address my special thanks to those people who had given contributions to this work. This research has benefited greatly from the expertise of my supervisor Prof. Madya Dr. Zuaini Ishak and also insightful comments from my examiners.

My sincere thank also goes to my beloved mother, Hj. Rusmini for her constant prayer and motivation. Moreover, to my helpful brother, H. Agung Budi Prasetijo for his efforts and valuable time for supporting my study. I am also dedicating this thesis to my beloved father, late H. Soetrisno.

Finally, I thank to my beloved husband H. Taufik Hidayat and also to my son Naufal Izzuddin Taufik, and all my daughters: Firzana Nurul Izza Taufik, Fathiya Nurul Iffah Taufik, and Fadiyah Nurul Irdina Taufik. I wish to convey my endless gratitude for their sacrifice and prayers, without which I would not have been able to complete this work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORK	ii
PERMISSION TO USE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES	viii xii
LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST ABBREVIATION	xiv
CHAPTED ONE, INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Real ground of the Study	1 1
1.1 Background of the Study 1.2 Problem Statement	11
1.3 Research Questions	18
1.4 Research Objectives	19
1.5 Significance of the Study	21
1.6 Scope of the Study	22
1.7 Organization of the Thesis	22
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATUR REVIEW	25
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2 Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting(CSER)	25
2.2.1 Corporate Disclosure and Social Accounting Research	25
2.2.2 Definition of Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting (CSER)	28
2.2.3 Stages of Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting (CSER)	29
2.2.4 Guidelines for Social and Environmental Reporting	35
2.2.5 Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting in Indonesia	36
2.2.5.1 Regulation and Codes	37
2.2.5.2 Award and Guidance	41
2.3. Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting under Economic	12
Based Theory Perspective	43
2.3.1 Positive Accounting Theory (PAT)2.3.2 The Origin of Positive Accounting Theory (PAT)	43 44
2.3.3 Bonus Plan Hypothesis	44
2.3.4 The Debt Covenant Hypothesis	47
2.3.5 Political Cost Hypothesis	48
2.3.6 Social and Environmental Research in Positive Accounting	10
Theory	49
2.4. Systems-Oriented Theory	51
2.4.1 Political Economy Theory	52
2.4.1.1 Legitimacy Theory	54
2.4.1.1.1 Definition	54
2.4.1.1.2 Legitimacy, Expectation and Social Contract	54

2.4.1.1.3 Corporate Reporting as Strategy for Changing Expectation	56
2.4.1.1.4 Empirical Research of Legitimacy Theory	59
2.4.1.2. Stakeholder Theory	61
2.4.1.2.1 Definition	61
2.4.1.2.2 Normative Branch of Stakeholder Theory	64
2.4.1.2.3 Managerial Branch of Stakeholde Theory	67
2.4.1.2.4 Empirical Research in Corporate Social and	69
Environmental Reporting Based on	
Stakeholder Theory	
2.4.1.3. Institutional Theory	71
2.4.1.3.1 Definition	71
2.4.1.3.2 Decoupling	73
2.4.1.3.3 Isomorphism	74
2.4.1.3.4 Research under Institutional Theory in Social	76
and Environmental Area	
2.5. Summary	78
CHAPTER THREE: HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT AND	
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	79
3.1 Introduction	79
3.2 Hypothesis Development and Theoretical Framework	79
3.2.1 A Multi-Theoretical Framework for Corporate Social and	
Environmental Disclosure	79
3.2.2 Determinants Of CSR Disclosure: Development Of Hypotheses	83
3.2.2.1 Industry Sensitivity	83
3.2.2.2 Government Environmental Monitoring Program	0.5
(PROPER)	85
3.2.2.3 Print Media Coverage	87
3.2.2.4 Blockholder Ownership	89
3.2.2.5 Strategic Posture	91 92
3.2.2.6 Government Shareholding 3.2.2.7 Award	95
3.2.2.8 Foreign Activities	93 96
3.2.3. Control Variables	98
3.2.3.1 Profitability	98
3.2.3.2 Leverage	99
3.2.3.3 Size	100
3.3 Theoretical Framework	103
3.4 Summary	105
5.1 Sammary	102
CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	106
4.1 Introduction	106
4.2 Method and Techniques	106
4.2.1 Research Philosophy	106
4.2.1.1 Epistemological Considerations	107
4.2.1.2 Ontological Considerations	108
4.2.1.3 Role of Values Considerations (Axiology) 4.2.1.4 Theoretical Considerations	109 110
4.2.1.4 Theoretical Considerations 4.2.1.5 Practical Considerations	110
	111

4.2.1.6 Factors that Influenced the Choice of Research	
Strategy	111
4.2.2 Research Design	112
4.2.2.1 Population and Sample	113
4.2.2.2 Data Collection and Procedures	114
4.2.3 Techniques of Data Analysis	114
4.2.3.1 Method of Content Analysis	115
4.2.3.2 Location of Corporate Social and Environmental	
Reporting	116
4.2.3.3 Testable Dimensions of Social and Environmental	
Reporting Disclosure	117
4.2.3.4 Reliability of content analysis	121
4.2.3.4.1 Reliability Test of this Study	121
4.2.3.4.2 Pre-tests and Inter-Coder Reliability	122
4.2.4 Measurement of Variables	124
4.2.4.1 Measurement of Independent Variables	124
4.2.4.1.1 Industry Sensitivity	124
4.2.4.1.2 Government Environmental Monitoring	
Program	125
4.2.4.1.3 Print Media Coverage	125
4.2.4.1.4 Blockholder Ownership	126
4.2.4.1.5 Strategic Posture	126
4.2.4.1.6 Government Shareholding	127
4.2.4.1.7 Award	127
4.2.4.1.8 Foreign Activities	127
4.2.4.2 Measurement of Control Variables	128
4.2.4.2.1 Profitability	128
4.2.4.2.2 Leverage	128
4.2.4.2.3 Size	128
4.2.4.3 Measurement of Dependent Variable	129
4.2.4.3.1 Level of Social and Environmental	
Disclosure	129
4.2.5 Data Analysis	131
4.2.5.1 Univariate and Bivariate Analysis	131
4.2.5.2 Statistical Analysis	132
4.2.5.3 Panel Data Analysis	133
4.2.5.4 Panel Data Estimation	134
4.2.5.5 Model Specification Tests	135
4.2.5.5.1 Step One: Examining Group Effects (Using	
F Tests: Pooled Ordinary Least Square or	
Fixed Effect Model)	136
4.2.5.5.2 Step Two: Examining Random Effects	
(Using LM Test: Pooled Ordinary Least	
Square or Random Effects Model)	136
4.2.5.5.3 Step Three: Examining Fixed Effects	
Models vs Random Effects Model (Using	
Hausman Test)	136
4.2.6 Model Specification	137
4.3 Summary	138

CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
5.1 Introduction	139
5.2 Company Activities and the Extent of Corporate Social and	
Environmental Disclosure	139
5.2.1 Company Activities	139
5.2.2 The Extent of Corporate Social and Environmental Disclosure	141
5.2.3 Descriptive Analysis	152
5.3 Assumptions Testing	154
5.3.1 Normality Diagnostics	155
5.3.2 Multicollinearity Diagnostics	156
5.3.3 Heteroscedasticity Diagnostics	160
5.3.4 Autocorrelation Test	161
5.4 Panel Data Analysis	162
5.4.1 The Relationship between CSER Disclosure and its	
Determinants	162
5.4.2 Model Specification Test	162
5.4.2.1 F-Tests (Examining Group Effects: Pooled OLS or	
Fixed Effects Model)	163
5.4.2.2 Lagrange Multiplier Test (Examining Random Effects:	
Pooled or REM)	163
5.4.2.3 Conclusion of Pooled Regresion's Result	163
5.5 Hypotheses Testing	166
5.5.1 Independent Variables	166
5.5.2 Control Variables	169
5.5.3 Sensitivity Analyzes of the CSER Model	170
5.5.4 Summary of Findings	171
5.5.5 Overall Discussion of Hypotheses	172
5.6 Summary	183
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	185
6.1 Introduction	185
6.2 Summary of the Study Finding	181
6.3 Implications of the Study	181
6.3.1 Theoretical Perspectives	181
6.3.2 Methodological Perspectives	182
6.3.3.Practical Perspectives	182
6.3.4 Government Perspective	184
6.4 Limitations	197
6.5 Future Research	198
6.5.1 Future Research Agenda	199
6.6 Concluding Comments	201
REFERENCES	202
APPENDICES	248

LIST OF TABLE

Table		Page
Table 3.1	Summary of Variables and their Underpinning Theory	105
Table 4.1	Differences Between Positivist and Intrepretivist	
	Approaches	108
Table 4.2	Dimension and Content Categories of Social and	
	Environmental Disclosure	118
Table 4.3.	Published Reports used in Pre-Test	123
Table 4.4	Result of the Inter-Coder Reiability Test With Cohen's	
	Kappa For Two Coders	123
Table 4.5	Industry Sensitivity Classification	124
Table 4.6	Benefit and Limitation of Panel Data	133
Table 5.1	Characteristics of Sampled Companies	140
Table 5.2	CSER Disclosure all Years by all Companies	142
Table 5.3	One Way Anova Result	143
Table 5.4	CSER Mean Score by Category of all 740 Companies	
	From 2005 To 2009	146
Table 5.5	CSER Mean Score by Category of all 740 Companies	
	From 2005 To 2009	150
Table 5.6	Descriptives Statistics for Continuous Variables	153
Table 5.7	Descriptives Statistics for Binary Variables	154
Table 5.8	Testing for Multicollinearity	157
Table 5.9	Guidford's Rule of Thumb	158
Table 5.10	Correlation Matrix	159
Table 5.11	Pooled Regression Result	165
Table 5.12	Pooled, Hard and Soft Regression Result	166
Table 5.13	Ols Regression by Year-Spesific Regression	171
Table 5.14	Summary of Findings	172

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 1.1	Organization of The Thesis	24
Figure 2.1	The Link between Legitimacy Theory and Corporate	
	Disclosure Policies	57
Figure 2.2	Organizational Legitimization Strategies	58
Figure 2.3	Firms Stakeholder Interaction-Perspective	64
Figure 2.4	The Stakeholder Model	66
Figure 2.5	Influences in the Firm-Stakeholder Interaction	69
Figure 2.6	Institutionalization Process of Csr Phenomenon	74
Figure 2.7	Organizational Survival	75
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	104
Figure 4.1.	Factors to Consider When Conducting A Social Research	107
Figure 4.2	Ontological Views of Social Research	109
Figure 4.3	Relationship Among Epistemologies	110
Figure 5.1	Corporate Social And Environmental Reporting Trend	143
Figure 5.2.	CSER Trend For all 740 Companies by Category	149
Figure 5.3	Normal P-P Plot	156
Figure 5.4	Studentized Residual Plot	160

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CSER Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting

CSR Corporate Social Responsibility EPA Environmental Protection Authority

FEM Fixed Effect Model

GRI Global Reporting Initiatives IAI Ikatan Akuntan Indonesia

IAI KAM Ikatan Akuntan Indonesia Kompartemen Akuntan

Manajemen

IICG Indonesian Institute For Corporate Governance

IT Institutional Theory LT Legitimacy Theory

PAT Positive Accounting Theory

PROPER Program for Pollution Control Evaluation and Rating

REM Random Effect Model ST Stakeholder Theory

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

The demand for Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting (CSER), also commonly known as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) (Deegan, 2007), has significantly increased in the last decades. CSER is a company's environmental management strategy for providing communication to their stakeholders. CSER plays a pivotal role in the "greening" of corporate accountability (SustainAbility/UNEP, 2002). As such, CSER has already been conceived as a tool to promote corporate policies as well as strategies and management system to minimize the corporate impact that concerns with social and environmental values (SustainAbility/UNEP, 2002). Most companies are aware of CSER, which has become the public relations tool to assure sustainability. In fact, CSERs have now been considered as part of businesses running by companies all over the world (Milvanovic *et al.*, 2009). In the globalization era, CSER becomes a powerful tool to invite investments from foreign investors and government authorities (Scherer & Palazzo, 2008).

Corporate social and environmental disclosures have notably increased since 1970s. Gray *et al.* (1995a) demonstrated that among the UK companies sampled over the period of 1979–1991, CSER was steadily improved in terms of number of companies choosing to report, and the amount of information that they disclosed. In a survey conducted in 2008, almost 80% of 250 world's biggest companies have reported their corporate responsibility actions (KPMG, 2008). Another research by Maguire (2011) describes that CSER reports have been issued by nearly 4,000 companies originating from 123 countries. This figure increased by more than a ten-fold since July 1990s.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Abercrombie, N., Hil, S., & Turner, B. S. (1994). *The Penguin dictionary of sociology* (3rd ed.). London: Penguin.
- Adams, C. A., Hill, W. Y., & Robert, C. B. (1998). Corporate social reporting in Western Europe: Legitimating corporate behavior? *British Accounting Review* 30(1), 1-21.
- Adler, C. R. (1995). A longitudinal study of agenda setting for the issue of environmental pollution. *Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly*, 72(2), 300-311.
- Adnan, Z. and Nankervis, A. (2003). *Management in Indonesia*, in Chatterjee, S. and Nankervis, A. (Eds), Understanding Asian Management: Transition and Transformation, Vineyard Publishing, Guildford, 195-222.
- Ali, Waris and Muhammad Rizwan (2013). Factors influencing corporate social and environmental disclosure (CSED) practices in the developing countries: an institutional theoretical perspective *International Journal Of Asian Social Science*, 3(3), 590-609.
- Almilia, Luciana Spica and Dwi Wijayanto (2007). Pengaruh Environmental Performance dan Environmental Disclosure terhadap Economic Performance.

 The 1st Accounting Conference, Faculty of Economics Universitas of Indonesia.

 Depok.
- Amran, A. (2006). Corporate social reporting in Malaysia: An institutional perspective. Unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Amran, A., & Susela, D. (2004). *Corporate social reporting and institutional theory,*evidence from Malaysia. Paper presented at 16th Asian Pacific Conference on

 International Accounting Issue, November, 2004, Seoul.
- Amran, A., & Susela, D. (2008). The impact of government and foreign affiliate influence on corporate social reporting: The case of Malaysia. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 23(4), 386-404.
- Anggraini, Fr. Reni Retno. 2006. Pengungkapan Informasi Sosial dan Faktor -Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pengungkapan Informasi Sosial dalam Laporan Keuangan Tahunan(Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan-Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di BEJ). Simposium Nasional Akuntansi IX.
- Arli, Denni and Tjiptono Fandy, (2014). Does corporate social responsibility matter to consumers in Indonesia?, *Social Responsibility Journal*, 10(3), 537–49.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Gibbs, B. W. (1990). The double-edge of organizational legitimation. *Organization Science*. *1*(2), 177-194.
- Atkinson, G. (2000). Measuring corporate sustainability. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 43(2), 235-252.
- Ayuso, M. G., & Larrinaga, C. (2003). Environmental disclosure in Spain: Corporate characteristics and media exposure. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting*, (115), 184-214.
- Badan Pengawas Pasar Modal dan Lembaga Keuangan. 2006. Salinan keputusan Ketua Badan Pengawas Pasar modal dan Lembaga Keuangan Nomor: kep-134/bl/2006 tentang kewajiban penyampaian laporan tahunan bagi emiten atau perusahaan publik.
- http://www.bapepam.go.id/pasar_modal/regulasi_pm/peraturan_pm/X/X.K.6.pdf (accessed December 12, 2009).

- Ball, R. J., & Brown, P. (1968). An empirical evaluation of accounting income numbers. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6, 159-178.
- Ballou, B., Heitger, D. L., & Landes, C. E. (2006). The future of corporate sustainability reporting. *Journal of Accountancy*, 202(6), 65-74.
- Baltagi, Badi H. (2005). *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. Third Edition, John Wiley &. Sons.
- Baltagi, B. H., & Giles, M. D. (1998). Panel data methods. *Statistics Textbooks And Monographs*, 155, 291-324.
- Banarjee, S. B. (2002). Corporate environmentalism: The construct and its measurement. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(3), 177-191.
- Banwarie, U. R. (2011). *The relationship between ownership structure and CSR disclosure*. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Erasmus National University, Rotterdam, Netherland.
- Barako, D. G., Hancock, P., & Izan, H. Y. (2006). Relationship between corporate governance attributes and voluntary disclosures in annual reports: The Kenyan experience. *Financial Reporting, Regulation and Governance*, 5(1), 1-25.
- Basuki & Patrioty, C.N. (2011). Pengaruh regulasi pemerintah, tekanan masyarakat, tekanan organisasi lingkungan, tekanan media massa terhadap corporate social disclosure. *Ekuitas*. 15(1), Maret. 23-39.
- Beattie, V., McInnes, B., & Fearnley, S. (2004). A methodology for analyzing and evaluating narratives in annual reports: A comprehensive descriptive profile and metrics for disclosure quality attributes. *Accounting Forum*, 28(3), 205-236. doi:10.1016/j.accfor.2004.07.001

- Beaver, W. H., Lambert, R. and Morse, D. (1980). The information content of security prices. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 2, 3-28.
- Beets, S. D., & Souther, C. C. (1999). Corporate environmental reports: The need for standards and an environmental assurance service. *Accounting Horizons*, 13(2), 129-145.
- Belkaoui, A., & Karpik, P. G. (1989). Determinants of the corporate decision to disclose social information. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 2(1), 36-51.
- Birch, D. (2003). Corporate social responsibility: Some key theoretical issues and concepts for new ways of doing business. *Journal of New Business Ideas and Trends*, *1*(1), 1-19.
- Blacconiere, W. G., & Patten, D. M. (1994). Environmental disclosures, regulatory costs, and changes in firm value. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 18, 357-377.
- Blomquist, C., & Deegan, C. (2000). Stakeholder influence on corporate reporting: an exploration of theinteraction between the World Wide Fund for Nature and the Australian Minerals Industry. 3rd Asian Pacific Interdisciplinary Research in Accounting. *Conference Proceedings*, APIRA, Adelaide, July.
- Brammer, P., Pavelin, S. (2006) Voluntary environmental disclosures by large UK companies, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 33(7-8), 1168-1188.
- Brammer, P., Pavelin, S. (2008) Factors influencing the quality of corporate environmental disclosure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 17(2), 120-136.

- Branco, M. C., & Rodrigues, L. L. (2007). Positioning stakeholder theory within the debate on corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics and Organization Studies*, 12(1), 5-15.
- Breusch, T.S. and A.R. Pagan (1980). The Lagrange multiplier test and its applications to model specification in econometrics, *Review of Economic Studies* 47, 239–253.
- Broberg, P., Tagesson, T., & Collin, S. (2010). What explains variation in voluntary disclosure? A study of the annual reports of corporations listed on the Stockholm Stock exchange. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 14(4), 351-377.
- Brown, N., & Deegan, C. (1999). The public disclosure of environmental performance information: A dual test of media agenda setting theory and legitimacy theory. *Accounting and Business Research*, 29(1), 21-41.
- Brummer, J. J. (1991). Corporate social responsibility and legitimacy: An interdisciplinary analysis. New York: Greenwood Press.
- Bryman, A. (2004). *Social research methods* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- Bujaki, M. L., & Richardson, A. J. (1997). A citation trail review of the uses of firm size in accounting research. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 16, 1-27.
- Burrell, G., & Morgan, G. (1979). Sociological paradigms and organizational analysis: Elements of the sociology of corporate life. London: Heinemann.
- Cahan, S. F. (1992). The effect of antitrust investigations on discretionary accruals: A refined test of the political-cost hypothesis. *The Accounting Review*, 67(1), 77-95.

- Cahan, S. F., Chavis, B. M., & Elmendorf, R. G. (1997). Earnings management of chemical firms in response to political cost from environmental legislation. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance, 12*(1), 37-65.
- Cahaya, F. R., Porter, S. A., & Brown, A. M. (2008). Social disclosure practices by Jakarta stock exchange listed entities. *Journal of the Asia-Pacific Centre for Environmental Accountability*, 14(1), 2-11.
- Campbell, D. J. (2001). *Environmental disclosure in UK corporate reports: The evidence for legitimacy theory*. Paper presented at the 10th CSEAR Summer School, University of Glasgow, September 2001.
- Cannon, T. (1994). Corporate responsibility: A textbook on business ethics, governance, and environment: Roles and responsibilities. London, UK: Longman Group UK Limited.
- Carnevale, C., Giunta, F., & Cardamone, P. (2009). The Value Relevance of Social Report. *The European Financial Management Association*.
- Carpenter, V., and Feroz, E. (2001). Institutional theory and accounting rule choice:

 An analysis of four US state governments' decisions to adopt GAAP.

 Accounting, Organizational and Society, 26, 565-596.
- Cazier, R. A. (2011). *R&D Spending Among Short-Horizon CEOs: A Reexamination*. Proquest, Umi Dissertation.
- Cerin, P. (2002). Communication in corporate environmental reports. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 9(1), 46-66.
- Carruthers, P., & Smith, P. K. (Eds.). (1996). *Theories of theories of mind* (p. 22). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Chambers E., Chapple, W., Moon, J., & Sullivan, M. (2003). A seven country study of CSR website reporting. *ICCSR Research Paper Series*, No. 09-2003, 1-40.
- Chan, C., & Kent, P. (2003). Application of stakeholder theory to the quantity and quality of australian voluntary corporate environmental disclosures. Paper presented to the Accounting and Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand (AFAANZ), Brisbane, 6th to 8th July.
- Christopher, T., & Hassan, S. (1996). Determinants of voluntary cash flow reporting: Australian evidence. *Accounting Research Journal*, 19, 113-124.
- Christman, P., and Taylor, G.: 2002, Globalization and the environment: strategies for international voluntary initiatives, *Academy of Management Executive*, 16(3), 121-135
- Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 92-117.
- Clarkson, P. M., Li, Y., & Richardson, G. D. (2004). The market valuation of environmental capital expenditures by pulp and paper companies. *The Accounting Review*, 79(2), 329-353.
- Clarkson, P. M., Li, Y., Richardson, G. D., & Vasvari, F. P. (2007). Revisiting the relation between environmental performance and environmental disclosure. Accounting, Organization and Society, 33(4/5), 303-327.
- Collin, S. Y., Tagesson, T., Andersson, A., Cato, J., & Hansson, K. (2009).
 Explaining the choice of accounting standards in municipal corporations: Positive accounting theory and institutional theory as competitive or concurrent theories.
 Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 20 (2), 141–174.

- Cooke, T. E. (1998). Regression analysis in accounting disclosure studies. Accounting and Business Research, 28(3), 209-224.
- Cooper, D. J., & Sherer, M. J. (1984). The value of corporate accounting reports: Arguments for a political economy of accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 9(3/4), 207-232.
- Cormier, D. and Gordon, I.M. (2001). An examination of social and environmental reporting strategies. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 14(5), 587-616.
- Cormier, D., and Magnan, M. (1997). Investors' assessment of implicit environmental liabilities: an empirical investigation, *Journal of Accounting & Public Policy*, *16* (2), 215-41.
- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (2003). Environmental reporting management: A European perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 22, 43-62.
- Cormier, D., Ledoux, M., & Magnan, M. (2009). The informational contribution of social and environmental disclosures for investors. *SSRN Working Paper*. April, Available at SSRN:http://ssrn.com/abstract=1327044.
- Cormier D., Magnan M., & Van Velthoven, B. (2005). Environmental disclosure quality in large German companies: Economic incentives, public pressures or institutional conditions? *European Accounting Review*, *14*(1), 3–39.
- Covaleski, M.A., Evans, J.H. III, Luft, J.L., Sheilds, M.D (2003). Budgeting research: three theoretical perspectives and criteria for selective integration, *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 15,3-49.

- Cowen, S. S, Fereri, L. B., & Parker, L. D. (1987). The impact of corporate characteristics on social responsibility disclosure: A typology and frequency based analysis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *12*(2), 111-122.
- Craig, R., & Diga, J. (2009). Corporate accounting disclosure in ASEAN. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 9(3), 246-274.
- Crisostomo, V. L., de Souza Freire, F., & de Vasconcellos, F. C. (2011). Corporate social responsibility, firm value and financial performance in Brazil. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 7(2), 295-309.
- Crumbley, D. L. & Mitra, Santanu (2003). Earnings management and politically sensitive environments: another test of corporate response to political costs Accounting and Financial Management Journal, 22(3), 1-24.
- Dacin, M. T. (1997). Isomorphism in context: The power and prescription of institutional norms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40(1), 46-81.
- Darwin, A. (2006). Akuntabilitas, kebutuhan, pelaporan dan pengungkapan CSR bagi perusahaan di Indonesia. *Economics Business Accounting Review*, *3*, 83-95.
- Darwin, A. dan Guntensperger, P., 2007. Mandatory CSR: Waiting for the details.

 Jakarta Post, 21 November 2007, Jakarta, Indonesia.
- De Silva, T. A. (2008). *Voluntary environmental reporting: Why, what and how.*Unpublished doctoral thesis, Lincoln University.
- De Villiers, C., & Van Standen, C. J. (2006). Can less environmental disclosure have a legitimizing effect? Evidence from Africa. *Accounting, Organization, and Society*, 31, 763-781.
- De Villiers, C., & Alexander, D. (2008). *The institutionalisation of corporate social responsibility reporting*. EAA Conference. Rome. April.

- Dechow, P., Sloan, R., & A., Sweeney (1995). Causes and consequences of earnings manipulations: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by the SEC. Contemporary Accounting Research, 13, 1-36.
- Deegan, C. M. (2000). Financial accounting theory (1st ed.). Australia: McGraw-Hill.
- Deegan, C. M. (2002). The legitimizing effect of social and environmental disclosures: A theoretical foundation. *Accounting, Auditor & Accountability Journal*, 15(3), 282-311.
- Deegan, C. M. (2007). Financial Accounting Theory (2nd ed.). Australia: McGraw-Hill.
- Deegan, C., & Blomquist, C. (2006). Stakeholder influence on corporate reporting: an exploration of the interaction between WWF Australia and Australian minerals industry. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 31(4), 343-372.
- Deegan, C., & Gordon, B. (1996). A study of the environmental disclosure policies of Australian corporations. *Accounting & Business Research*, 26(3), 187-99.
- Deegan, C. M., & Rankin, M. (1996). Do Australian companies report environmental news objectively? An analysis of environmental disclosures by firm prosecuted successfully by the environmental prosecution authority. *Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal*, 9(2), 50-67.
- Deegan, C., Rankin, M., & Vought, P. (2000). Firm's disclosure reactions to major social incident: Australian evidence. *Accounting Forum*, 24(1), 101-30.
- Deegan, C., & Unerman, J., (2005). Financial accounting theory. London, UK: McGraw Hill.
- Deephouse, D. L. (1996). Does isomorphism legitimate?. Academy of management journal, 39(4), 1024-1039.

- Defusco, R. A., Leavey, D. W., Pinto, J. E., & Runkle, D. E. (2011). *Qualitative investment analysis* (2nd ed.). USA: CFA Institute Publisher.
- Delmas, M. A., & Toffel, M. W. (2003). *Institutional pressure and environmental management practices*. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference of the Greening of Industry Network, San Francisco, October 12-15.
- Demski, J. S., Dopuch, N., Lev, B., Ronen, J., Searfoss, G., & Sunder, S. (1991).

 Astatement on the state of academic accounting. Statement to the Research

 Director of the American Accounting Association.
- Devina, Florence. 2004. Pengaruh Karakteristik Perusahaan terhadap Pengungkapan Social dalam Laporan Tahunan Perusahaan Go Publik di Bursa Efek Jakarta. Fakultas Ekonomi. *Tesis* Fakultas Ekonomi. Universitas Diponegoro
- Dhaliwal, D. S. (1980). The effect of the firm's capital structure on the choice of accounting methods. *The Accounting Review*, 55(1), 78-84.
- Dhaliwal, D. S., Salamon, G., & Smith, E. (1982). The effect of owner versus management control on the choice of accounting methods. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 4, 41-53.
- Dierkes, M., & Antal, A. B. (1985). The usefulness and use of social reporting information. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 10(1), 29-34.
- Dillard, J. F., Rigsby, J. T., & Goodman, C. (2004). Making and remaking of organizations context: duality and the institutionalization process. *Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal*, 17(4), 506-542.
- DiMaggio, P. J., and Powell, W.W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 147-160.

- DiMaggio, P.J, and Powell, W.W.(1991). Introduction, in WW Powell and PJDiMaggio (eds). The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis, pp. 1–38.University of Chicago Press: Chicago.
- Djajadikerta, H.G, and Terri T. (2012). Corporate social and environmental disclosure by Indonesian listed companies on their corporate web sites, *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, Vol. 13 Iss: 1, .21 36.
- Douglas, A., Doris, J., & Johnson, B. (2004). Corporate social reporting in Irish financial institutions. *The TQM Magazine*, *16*(6), 387-395.
- Donaldson, L., & Davis, J. H. (1991). Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns. *Australian Journal of Management*, 16(1), 49-64.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L.E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65-91.
- Dowling, J., & Pfeffer, J.(1975). Organizational legitimacy: Social values and organizational Behavior. *Pacific Sociological Review*, *18*(1), 122-136.
- Easterby-Smith, M., Crossan, M., & Nicolini, D. (2000). Organisational learning: Debates past, present and future. *Journal of Management Studies*, *37*(6), 783-796.
- Epstein, M. J., & Freedman, M. (1994). Social disclosure and the individual investor. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 7(4), 94-109.
- Elijido-Ten, E. (2004). *Determinants of environmental disclosure in a developing* country: an application of stakeholder theory. Paper presented to the Fourth Asia Pacific Interdisciplinary Research in Accounting Conference (APIRA), Singapore, 4th-6th July.

- Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. London: Capstone.
- Emtairah, T., & Mont, O. (2008). Gaining legitimacy in contemporary world:

 Eenvironmental and social activities of organizations. *International Journal Sustainable Society*, *1*(2), 134-148.
- Eng, L.L., & Mak, Y.T.(2003). Corporate governance and voluntary disclosure. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 22, 325–345.
- Energy Information Administration (EIA) (2007). Indonesia: Environmental Issues.

 Retrieved: 27 February 2007, from: http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/indoe.

 html.
- Farook, S., & Lanis, R. (2005). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure: The case of Islamic bank. Paper presented at the Accounting and Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand Conference, Melbourne, 3rd-5th July.
- Fekrat, M. A., Inclan, C., & Petroni, D. (1996). Corporate environmental disclosure:

 Competitive disclosure hypothesis using 1991 annual report data. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 31(2), 175-195.
- Field, A. P. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. London: SAGE publications Ltd.
- Fiedler, T., & Deegan, C. (2002). Environmental collaborations within the building and construction industry: A consideration of the motivations to collaborate.

 Paper presented at the Critical Perspectives on Accounting Conference, New York, NY, 22nd-24th April.

- Fields, T. D, Lys, T. Z., & Vincent, L. (2001). Empirical research on accounting choice. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *31*, 255-307.
- Filios, V. P. (1984). Corporate social responsibility and public accountability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *3*(4), 305-314.
- Foster, G. (1977). Quarterly accounting data: Time-series properties and predictive-ability results. *Accounting Review*, 52, 1-21.
- Freedman, M., & Jaggi, B. (1988). An analysis of the association between pollution disclosure and economic performance. *Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal*, *1*(2), 43-58.
- Freedman, M., & Stagliano, A. J. (1992). European unification, accounting harmonisation, and social disclosures. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 27(2), 112-122.
- Freedman, M., & Wasley, C. (1990). The association between environmental performance and environmental disclosure in annual reports and 10Ks. *Advances in Public Interest Accounting*, *3*, 183-193.
- Freeman, R. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Marshall, MA: Pitman.
- Freeman, R. E. (1998), A stakeholder theory of the modern corporation, in Pincus, L. B. (Ed.), *Perspectives in business ethics*, McGraw-Hill, Singapore, pp. 171-181
- Friedman, M. (1970). The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits. In D.J. McCarthy, R.J. Minichiello, and J.R. Curran, *Business policy and strategy:* concepts and readings. Homewood, Illinois: Irwin.

- Frost, G. R. (1999). Environmental Reporting: An Analysis of Company Annual Reports of the Australian Extractive Industries 1985-1994, Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, University of New England, Armidale, NSW.
- Frost, G., Jones, S., Loftus, J., & Van der Laan, S. (2005). A survey of sustainability reporting practices of Australian reporting entities. *Australian Accounting Review*, 15(1), 89-96.
- Frost, G. R., & Wilmshurst, T. D. (2000). The adoption of environmental-related management accounting: an analysis of corporate environmental sensitivity. *Accounting Forum*, 24(4), 344-365.
- Gamble, G. O., Hsu, K., Kite, D., & Radtke, R. (1995). Environmental disclosures in annual report and 10Ks: An examination. *Accounting Horizons*, 9(3), 34-54.
- Ghazali, N. (2007). Ownership structure and corporate social responsibility disclosure: Some Malaysian evidence. *Journal of Corporate Governance*, 7(3), 251-266.
- Ghazali, N. A. M., & Weetman, P. (2006). Perpetuating traditional influences: voluntary disclosure in Malaysia following the economic crisis. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 15, 226-248.
- Ghozali, I. and Chariri, A. (2007). *Teori akuntansi*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Giner, B. (1997). The influence of company characteristics and accounting regulation on information disclosed by Spanish Firms. *European Accounting Review* 6(1), 45–68.doi:10.1080/096381897336863.

- Global Reporting Initiative. (2002). Sustainability reporting guidelines.

 Amsterdam:GRI, www.globalreporting.org
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2006), Sustainability reporting guidelines,
 Amsterdam:GRI, www.globalreporting.org
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2009), Sustainability reporting guidelines,
 Amsterdam:GRI, www.globalreporting.org
- Guildford, J. P. (1973). *Foundamental statistics in psychology and education* (5th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Goodard, T. (2005). Corporate citizenship and community relations: Contributing to the challenge of aid discourse. *Business and Society Review*, *110*(3), 269-296.
- Goodpaster, K. E. (2006). Examining the conscience of the corporation. *Business Ethics*, 2, 97-140.
- Graffikin, M. J. R. (2007). Accounting research and theory: The age of neoempiricism. *Australasian Accounting and Business and Finance Journal*, 1(1), 1-19.
- Grant, E. B. (1980). Market implications of differential amounts of interim information. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18, 255-268.
- Gray, R. (1995). On being social whilst being accountants: Centre for social and environmental accounting research. *Accounting Forum*, 19(2-3), 253-258.
- Gray, R. (2002). The social accounting project and accounting organizations and society: Privileging engagement, imaginings, new accountings and pragmatism over critique? *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 27, 687-708.
- Gray, R., (2004). Why is Social Accounting so Difficult? Part I, Social and Environmental Accounting Journal, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 12-17.

- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Adams, C.(1996). Accounting and Accountability: Changes and Challenges in Corporate Social and Environmental Reporting.

 Europe: Prentice-Hall.
- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Maunders, K. (1987). Corporate social reporting: Accounting and accountability. London: Prentice-Hall.
- Gray, R., Javad, M., Power, D. M., & Sinclair, C. D. (2001). Social and environmental disclosure and corporate characteristic: A research note and extension. *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting*, 28(2), 327 356.
- Gray, R., & Milne, M. (2002). Sustainability reporting: Who's kidding whom? Chartered Accountant Journal of New Zealand, 81(6), 66-70.
- Gray, R., Kouhy, R., & Lavers, S. (1995a). Corporate social and environmental reporting: A review of the literature and a longitudinal study of UK disclosure.

 **Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal, 8(2), 47-77.
- Gray, R. H., Kouhy, R., & Lavers, S. (1995b). Constructing a research database of social and environmental reporting by UK companies: A methodological note, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(2), 78-101.
- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Adams, C. (1996). Accounting and accountability: Changes and challenges in corporate social and environmental reporting. London: Prentice Hall.
- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Maunders, K. (1987). *Corporate social reporting*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Maunders, K. (1988). Corporate social reporting: Emerging trends in accountability and the social contract. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *I*(1), 6-20.

- Gray, S. J., Radebaugh, L. H., & Robert, C. B. (1990). International perception of cost constraints on voluntary information disclosures: A comparative study of UK and US multinationals. *Journal of International Business Studies*, Fourth Quarter (Winter), 597-622.
- Greene, WH. (2007). *Econometric Analysis (7 th)*, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Guerrette, R. H. (1986). Environmental integrity and corporate responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 5(5), 409-415.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2003). *Basic econometrics* (4th ed.). Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Gunawan, J. (2000). Analisa pengaruh informasi tahunan pada perusahaan yang terdaftar di bursa efek Jakarta. Paper presented at the Simposium Nasional Akuntansi III, Jakarta, 20 September.
- Gunawan, J. (2010). Perception of important information in corporate social disclosures: evidence from Indonesia. *Social Responsibility Journal*. *6*(1), 62-71.
- Guthrie, J., & Abeysekera, I. (2006). Content analysis of social, environmental reporting: What is new? *Journal of Human Resource Costing & Accounting*, 10(2), 114-126.
- Guthrie, J., & Mathews, M. R. (1985). Corporate social accounting in Australia.

 Corporate Social Performance and Policy, 7, 251-277.
- Guthrie, J., & Parker, L. D. (1989). Corporate social disclosure: A rebuttal of legitimacy theory. *Accounting and Business Research*, 19(76), 343-352.
- Guthrie, J., & Parker, L. D. (1990). Corporate social disclosure practice: A comparative international analysis. *Advances in Public Interest Accounting*, *3*, 159-175.

- Hackston, D., & Milne, M. J. (1996). Some determinants of social and environmental disclosures in New Zealand companies. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, *9*(1), 77-108.
- Hadi, N. (2009). The interaction of social cost, social performance, financial performance and the extent of social disclosure: A social responsibility testing practice in the Indonesian companies listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange.

 Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Diponegoro University, Indonesia.
- Hadi, N., & Sabeni, A. (2002). Analisa faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi luas pengungkapan sukarela dalam laporan tahunan emiten di bursa efek Jakarta. *Journal of Maksi*, 1, 90-105.
- Hagerman, R., & Zmijewski, M. (1979). Some determinants of accounting policy. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *I*, 142-161.
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate* data analysis (5th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Hall, J. A. (2002) An exploratory investigation into the corporate social disclosure of selected New Zealand companies. *Discussion Paper Series*. Palmerston North, New Zealand, Massey University.
- Han, J. C. Y., & Wang, S. (1998). Political costs and earnings management of oil companies during the 1990 Persian Gulf crisis. *The Accounting Review*, 73(1), 103-117.
- Haniffa, R. M., & Cooke, T. E. (2005). The impact of culture and corporate governance on corporate social reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 24, 391-430.

- Haron, H., Sofri, J., Chambers, A., Manasseh, S., & Ismail, I. (2006). Level of corporate social disclosure in Malaysia. *Malaysian Accounting Review*, 5(1), 159-184.
- Hart, S. (1995). A natural resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986-1014.
- Hartanti, D., 2007, Pengaruh kinerja lingkungan hidup dan manajemen lingkungan hidup terhadap kinerja keuangan perusahaan, *Working Paper*, Departemen Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia.
- Harte, G., & D. Owen. (1991). Environmental disclosure in the annual reports of british companies: A research note. Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 4(3), 51-61.
- Hasibuan Sedyono, C.(2005). CSR in Indonesia: Are We Up to the Challenge. *The Jakarta Post*. September 4, p. 6.
- Hasibuan Sedyono, C. (2006). CSR Communications: A challenge on its own. *Economic Business Review*, 3, 71-82.
- Hasnas, J. (1998). The normative theory of business ethics: a guide for the perplexed.

 Business Ethics Quarterly, 8(1), 19-42.
- Hassan, N. (2010). Corporate social responsibility disclosure: an examination of framework of determinants and consequences (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).
- Haswidi, A. (2007). CSR becomes mandatory for most companies. The Jakarta Post, July 21st., p.13.
- Hatten, K. J., Schendel, D. E., & Cooper, A. C. (1978). A strategic model of the U.S. brewing industry: 1952-1971. *Academy of Management Journal*, 21(4), 592.

- Academy of Management. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable /255702?origin=crossref
- Haveman, H. A. (1993). Follow the Leader: Mimetic isomorphism and entry into new markets. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *38*(4), 593-627.
- Hays, W. L. (1994). Statistics (5th ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Hedberg, C. J. (2002). Sustainability reporting-the global reporting initiative, experience and future scenarios. *Unpublished master's thesis*, Linkopings Universitet, Sweden.
- Henderson, S., Peirson, G., & Harris, K. (2004). *Financial accounting theory*. Sydney: Pearson Education Australia.
- Herzig, C., & Schaltegger, S. (2006). Corporate sustainability reporting. An overview. In *Sustainability accounting and reporting*, 301-324, Springer Netherlands.
- Hibbit, C. (2003). External environmental disclosure and reporting by large european companies: An economic, social and political analysis of managerial behaviour.

 Doctoral dissertation, Vrije Universiteit. Retrieved http://www.rozenbergps.com/files/hibbit.pdf
- Hines, R. D. (1988). Financial accounting: In communicating reality, we construct reality. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 13(3), 251-261.
- Hitt, M.A., Lee, H.U. & Yucel E.(2002). The importance of social capital to the management of multinational enterprises: Relational networks among Asian and Western firms. *Asia Pasific Journal of Management*, 19, pp.353-372.
- Hoffman, A.J. (2000). Competitive environmental strategy-a guide to changing business landscape. Washington, USA: Island Press.

- Hoffman, A. J. (2001). Linking organizational and field-level analyses: The diffusion of corporate environmental practice. *Organization & Environment*, 14(2), 133-156.
- Hogner, R. H. (1982). Corporate social reporting: Eight decades of development in US Steel. *Research in Corporate Performance and Policy*, *4*, 243-250.
- Holthasusen, R. W., & Leftwich, R. W. (1983). The economic consequences of accounting choice: Implication of costly contracting and monitoring. *Journal of Accounting & Economics*, 5(2), 77-117.
- Hong, Y. and Kao, C.(2002). Wavelet-based testing for serial correlation of unknown form in panel model, *Econometrica* 72(5), 1519-1563.
- Hooks, J., Coy, D., & Davey, H. (2002). The information gap in annual reports.

 *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 15(4), 501-522.
- Hopper, T. & Hoque, Z. (2006). Triangulation Approaches to Accounting Research.

 In Zahirul Hoque (Eds.), *Methodological issues in accounting research:theories,*method and issues (p.477-534). London:Spiramus Press Ltd.
- Hoque, Z. (2006). *Methodological issues in accounting research:theories, method and issues*. London:Spiramus Press Ltd.
- Hoque, Z., Covaleski, M. A., & Gooneratne, T. N. (2013). Theoretical triangulation and pluralism in research methods in organizational and accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 26(7), 1170-1198.
- Horrigan, B. (2010). Corporate Social responsibility in the 21st Century: Debates, models and practices across government, law and business. United Kingdom: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Hsiao, C.(1985). Benefits and limitations of panel data', *Econometric Reviews*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 121–74.

- Hughes, K. E. (2000). The value relevance of non financial measures of air pollution in the electric utility industry, *The Accounting Review*, 75(2). 209-228.
- Hughes, S. B., Anderson, A., & Golden, S. (2001). Corporate environmental disclosures: Are they useful in determining environmental performance? *Journal* of Accounting and Public Policy, 20(3), 217-240.
- IAI-KAM. (2007). ISRA 2007. The 2nd Sustainable Enterprise Performance Conference (SEPC), Sept.
- ICAEW (2004). Sustainability: The role of accountants in London. London: Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.
- IDX.(2009). Report from the President Director. Indonesia Stock Exchange.
- IFRC (2009). Indonesia Appeal No. MAAID002 2008-2009. www.ifrc.org June 25
- Ince, D. (1997). Determinants of social and environmental disclosures of the UK companies in environmental policy statements. Interdisciplinary Perspectives Accounting Conference, Manchester, July.
- Inoue, A. and Solin, G.(2006). A portmanteau test for derially correlated errors in fixed effects model. *Econometric Theory* 22, 835-851.
- Ingram, R. W., & Frazier, K. B. (1980). Environmental performance and corporate disclosure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *18*(2), 614-622.
- Islam, M. A., & Degan, C. (2008). Motivations for an organization within a developing country to report social responsibility information: Evidence from Bangladesh.

 *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 21(6), 850-874.
- ISRA. (2011). Indonesia Sustainability Reporting Award 20011. Sponsorship package. National Center of Sustainability Reporting.

- http://www.amcham.or.id/auto_/ISRA%202011%20Sponsorhip%20Package.pdf.
 Acces in March 13 2012.
- Jennings, P., & Zandbergen, P. (1995) Ecologically sustainable organizations: An institutional approach. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 1015-52.
- Jensen, M.C., Meckling, W.H. (1976). Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, 305-360
- Jones, A. P., Johnson, L. A., Butler, M. C., & Main, D. S. (1983). Apples and oranges: An empirical comparison of commonly used indices of inter-rater agreement. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26, 507-519.
- Jones, T. M., & Wicks, A. C. 1999. Convergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 206-221.
- Jung K. and Kwon, S.Y. (2002). Ownership structure and earnings informativeness: evidence from Korea. *The International Journal of Accounting*, Vol. 37 No. 2, . 301-325.
- Jupe, R. (2005). Disclosures in corporate environmental report: a test of legitimacy theory. Working Paper No.19, July, Kent Business School, United Kingdom.
- Kartadjumena, E, Hadi, D. A., & Budiana, N. (2011). *The relationship of profit and corporate social responsibility disclosure*. Paper presented at International Conference on Business and Economic Research (2nd ICBER), Malaysia, 14-15 March.
- Kashyap, R., Mir, R., & Mir, Ali. (2009). Social responsibility: A call for multidisciplinary inquiry. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 2(7), 51-58.
- Kemp, M. (2001). Corporate social responsibility in Indonesia: Quixotic dream or confident expectation? United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, Technology, *Business and Society Programme*, Paper Number 6.

- Kent, P. and Chan, C. (2009). Application of stakeholder theory to corporate environmental disclosures. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 7(1), 399-414.
- Kennedy, Peter. (2008). A Guide to Econometrics, 6th ed. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Kent, P., Kwong, E., & Marshall, B. (1997). Social responsibility and environmental disclosures: Evidence from Australian chemical companies, *Accountability & Performance*, 3(2), 21-39.
- Khor, A.K.H. (2004). Social contract theory, legitimacy theory and corporate social and environmental disclosure policies: constructing a theoretical framework. Runnerup in IBE/EBEN-UK Student Essay Competition in Business Ethics. Institute of Business Ethics, London, UK.
- King A.A.& Toffel M.W. (2009). Self-regulatory institutions for solving environmental problems: perspectives and contributions from the management literature. In Governance for the Environment: New Perspectives, Delmas M, Young O (Eds). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK 98–115.
- Klevmarken, N. (1989). Panel studies: what can we learn from them? Introduction, *European Economic Review*, vol. 33, no. 2/3, pp. 523–42.
- Kolk, A. (1999). Evaluating corporate environmental reporting. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 8(4), 225-237.
- Komite Nasional Kebijakan Governance. (2006). *Pedoman umum good corporate governance*Indonesia.

 http://www.bapepam.go.id/pasar_modal/publikasi_pm/info_pm/Pedoman%20GC

 G%20Indonesia%202006.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

- Korathotage, K. T. (2012). Corporate social responsibility and company performance: evidence from Sri Lanka (Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University).
- Kothari, C. R. (1995). *Research methodology* (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Wishwa Prakhasan.
- KPMG (2008). KPMG International Survey of Corporate Social Responsibility, October, KPMG LLP, UK.
- Krechowicz, D., & Fernando, F. (2009). *Undisclosed risk: Corporate environmental* and social reporting in emerging Asia. World Resources Institute.
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). Content analysis: An Introduction to its methodology.

 London: Sage.
- Kusumadilaga, R. (2010). Pengaruh corporate social responsibility terhadap nilai perusahaan dengan profitabilitas sebagai variabel moderating. *Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis*, Diponegoro University, Indonesia.
- Lai, K. Wong, C., & Cheng, E. (2006). Institutional isomorphism and the adoption of information technology for supply chain management. *Computers in Industry*, 5(1), 93-98.
- Lai, C. S., Chiu, C. J., Yang, C. F., & Pai, D. C. (2010). The effects of corporate social responsibility on brand performance: the mediating effect of industrial brand equity and corporate reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(3), 457-469.
- Lakatos, I. (1976) *Proofs and refutations: The logic and mathematical discovery.*London: Cambridge University Press.

- Lang, M. H., & Lundholm, R. J. (1996). Corporate disclosure policy and analyst behavior, *Accounting Review*, 71, 467-492.
- Laufer, W. S. (2003). Social accountability and corporate greenwashing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 43(3), 253-261.
- Lawrence, S. R., Collins, E., Pavlovich, K., & Arunachalam, M. (2006). Sustainability practices of SMEs: The case of NZ. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 15(4), 242-257.
- Lemon, A. J., & Cahan, S. F. (1997). Environmental legislation and environmental disclosures: Some evidence from New Zaeland. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 5(1), 78-105.
- Lev, B. (1992). Information disclosure strategy. *California Management Review*, *34*, 9-32.
- Levin, D. M. (1988). *The opening of vision: Nihilism and the postmodern situation*. London: Routledge.
- Li, J., Pike, R., & Haniffa, R. (2008). Intellectual capital disclosure and corporate governance structure in UK firms. *Accounting and Business Research*, *38*(2), 137-159. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=33751341&sit e=ehost-live.
- Lindblom, C. K. (1994). The implication of organizational legitimacy for corporate social performance and disclosure. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting Conference*.
- Littlejohn, S. W. (2002). *Theories of human communication* (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wardsworth.

- Ljungdahl, F. (1999). Explaining the development and diffusion of environmental reporting, paper presented at the 8th CSEAR Summer School, University of Dundee, September 1999.
- Lober, D. J., Bynum, D., Campbell, E., & Jacques, M. (1997). The 100 plus corporate environmental report study: A survey of an evolving environmental management tool. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 6(2), 57-73.
- Lu, Y., & Abeysekera, I. (2014). Stakeholders' power, corporate characteristics, and social and environmental disclosure: evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 64, 426-436.
- Lubis, T.M.(2005). The Necessity for Corporate Social Responsibility. *The Jakarta Post*, March 2, p. 11.
- Maguire, M.(2011). The Future of Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting. *Issue in Brief.* Vol.09, January, pp.1-8.
- Maksum, Azhar (2010). Studi empiris penerapan akuntansi sosial dan pengaruhnya terhadap good corporate governance di provinsi Sumatera Utara. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Bisnis*, 2 (1), Maret, 8-30.
- Malone, D., Fries, C., & Jones, T. (1993). An empirical investigation of the extent of corporate financial disclosure in the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Accounting*, *Auditing & Finance*, 8(3), 249-273.
- Maltby, J. (1997). Setting its own standards and meeting those standards: voluntarism versus regulation in environmental reporting. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 6(2), 83-92.
- Marshall, R. S., & Brown, D. (2003). Corporate environmental reporting: What's in a metric? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 12(2), 87-106.

- Matthews, M. R. (1993). *Socially responsible accounting*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Matthews, M. R. (1997). Towards a mega-theory of accounting. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting*, 4(2), 273-289.
- Matten, D., Crane, A., & Chapple, W. (2003). Behind the mask: Revealing the true ace of corporate citizenship. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 45(1/2), 109-120.
- McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *36*, 176-187.
- McMurtrie, T. (2005). Factors influencing the publication of social performance information: An Australian case study. *Corporate Social Responsibility & Environmental Performance*, 12(3), 129-143.
- McNichols, M., & Manegold, J. G. (1983). The effect of the information environment on the relationship between financial disclosure and security price variability.

 *Journal of Accounting & Economics, 5, 49-74.
- McNichols, M. F., & Wilson, G. P. (1988). Evidence of earning management from the provision for bad debts. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6, 1-31.
- McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 117-27.
- McWilliams, A., Siegel, D., & Wright, P. M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility: strategic implication. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(1), 1-18.
- Menz, K. M. (2012). Corporate Governance and Credit Spreads-Correlation, Causality, or neither nor?.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340-363.

- Miller, P. (1994). Accounting as a social and institutional practice: An introduction. InP. Miller, & Hopwood, A. G. (Eds.), *Accounting as a social and institutional practice* (pp. 1-39). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Milne, M. J. (2002). Positive accounting theory, political cost and social disclosure analysis: A critical look. *Critical Perspective on Accounting*, *13*(3), 369-395.
- Milne, M. J., & Adler, R. W. (1999). Exploring the reliability of social and environmental disclosure content analysis. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 12(2), 237-256.
- Milne, M. J., & Patten, D. M. (2002). Securing organizational legitimacy: An experimental decision case examining the impact of environmental disclosures. *Accounting, Auditing &Accountability Journal*, 15(3), 372-405.
- Milvanovic, G., Barac, N., & and Andjelkovic, A.(2009). Corporate social responsibility in the globalization era. *Economics and Organization Journal*, 6(2), 88-104.
- Mirfazli, E. (2008). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) information disclosure by annual reports of public companies listed at Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 1(4), 275-284.
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853-886.
- Mizruchi, M., & Fein, L. (1999). The social construction of organizational knowledge: A study of the uses of coercive, mimetic and normative isomorphism. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(4), 653-683.

- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83, 340-363.
- Murcia, F. D. R., & Santos, A. D. (2012). Discretionary-based disclosure: evidence from the Brazilian market. *BAR-Brazilian Administration Review*, *9*(1), 88-109.
- Murray, A., Sinclair, D., Power, D., & Gray, R. (2006). Do financial markets care about social and environmental disclosure?: Further evidence and exploration from the UK. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 19(2), 228-255.
- Munila, L. S., & Miles, M. P. (2005). The corporate social responsibility continuum as a component of stakeholder theory. *Business and Society Review*, 110(4), 371-387.
- Naser, K., & Nuseibeh, R. (2003). Quality of financial reporting: evidence from the listed Saudi non-financial companies. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 38, 41-69.
- Nasi, J., Nasi, S., Philips, N., & Zyglidopoulos, S. (1997). The evolution of corporate social responsiveness: An exploratory study of Finnish and Canadian forestry companies. *Business and Society*, *36*(3), 296-321.
- Nelling, E & Webb, E.(2009). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: the virtuous circle revisited. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 197–209.
- Ness, K., & Mirza, A. (1991). Corporate social disclosure: A note on a test of agency theory. *British Accounting Review*, 23, 211-217.
- Neu, D., Warsame, H., & Pedwell, K. (1998). Managing public impressions: Environmental disclosures in annual reports. *Accounting, Organization and Society*, 23, 265-282.

- Ng E J, Koh H C. (1994). An agency theory and profit analytic approach to corporate nonmandatory disclosure compliance. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting*, 1 (December),29–44.
- Niu, S. J. (2009). An empirical study of important factors of value-added-reseller relationship in high-technology sales management: a dual perspective. *Doctoral dissertation*, Helsinki University of Technology.
- North, D. (1992). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*.

 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Nurhayati, R., Brown, A., & Tower, G. (2006). Natural environment disclosure of Indonesian listed companies. *Journal of The Asia Pasific Centre for Environmental Accountability*, 12(3), 4-11.
- Nuryaman (2013). The effect of corporate social responsibility activities on profitability and stock price. *Journal of Global Management*. 6(1), 113-124.
- O'Donovan, G. (1999). Corporate legitimacy and environmental reporting: Identifying the audiences and choosing disclosure approaches. *Interdisciplinary Environmental Review: An Anthology*, *1*(2), 83-106.
- O'Donovan, G. (2002). Environmental disclosures in the annual report: Extending the applicability and predictive power of legitimacy theory. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, *15*(3), 344-371.
- O'Dwyer, B. (2002). Managerial perceptions of corporate social disclosure: An Irish story. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 15(3), 406–436.
- O'Dwyer, B., & Owen, D. L. (2005). Assurance statement practice in environmental, social and sustainability reporting: A critical evaluation. *British Accounting Review*, 37, 205-229.

- Oliver, C. (1991). Strategic responses to institutional processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(1), 145-179.
- Orij, R. (2007). Corporate social disclosures and accounting theories: an investigation. European Accounting Association, Lisbon, 25th to 27th April.
- Orij,R.(2012). Societal Determinants of Corporate Social Disclosures: An International Comparative Study. Ph.D Disertation. Leiden University Press.
- Orlitzky, M., & Benjamin, J. D. (2001). Corporate social performance and firm risk:

 A meta-analytic review. *Business & Society*, 40(4), 369-396.
- Osborne, J., & and Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assesment, Research & Evaluation* 8(2). Retrieved September 7, 2011 from http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=8&n=2.
- Owen, D. (2008). Chronicles of wasted time? A personal reflection on the current state of and future prospects for, social and environmental accounting research.

 Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal, 21(2), 240-267.
- Oeyono, Juanita, <u>Samy</u>, M and <u>Bampton</u>, <u>R</u>. (2011). An examination of corporate Social responsibility and financial performance: A study of the top 50 Indonesian listed corporations. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 2(1), 100 112.
- Pancapakhesan, S., & McKinnon, J. (1992). Proxies for political visibility: A preliminary examination of the relation among some potential proxies. *Accounting Research Journal*, Spring, 71-80.
- Park, Hun Myoung. (2008). Univariate Analysis and Normality Test Using SAS, Stata, and SPSS. *Working Paper*. The University Information Technology Services (UITS) Center for Statistical and Mathematical Computing, Indiana University. http://www.indiana.edu/~statmath/stat/all/normality/index.html

- Park, Hun Myoung (2011). Practical Guides To Panel Data Modeling: A Step-by-step Analysis Using Stata. Tutorial. *Working Paper*. Graduate School of International Relations, International University of Japan.
- Parker, L. D. (1986). Polemical themes in social accounting: A scenario for standard setting. *Advances in Public Interest Accounting*, 1, 67-93.
- Patten, D. M. (1992). Intra-industry environmental disclosures in response to the Alaskan oil spill: A note on legitimacy theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 17(5), 471-475.
- Patten, D. M. (2002). The relation between environmental performance and environmental disclosure: A research note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 27, 763-773.
- Patten, D. M..(2002b), Media Exposure, Public Policy Pressure, and Environmental Disclosure: An Examination of the Impact of Tri Data Availability, *Accounting Forum* 26(2), 152–171.
- Patten, D. M., & Trompeter, G. (2003). Corporate responses to political costs: An examination of the relation between environmental disclosure and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 22(1), 83-94.
- Perrow, C. (1985). Review essay: Overboard with myth and symbols. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91, 151-55.
- Peltzman, S. (1976). Towards a more general theory of regulation. *Journal of Law* and *Economics*, 19(2) 211-240.
- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia (2007). Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor
 40 tahun 2007 tentang perseroan terbatas

- http://www.djkn.depkeu.go.id/download/Peraturan/Undang-Undang/UU40-2007.pdf (accessed December 10, 2008).
- Pfarrer, M. D., Smith, K. G., Bartol, K. M., Khanin, D. M., & Zhang, X. (2005).

 Coming forward: institutional influences on voluntary disclosure. *Working Paper*. University of Maryland.
- Poelloe, A. (2010). Is there a trade-off between social responsibility and financial performance?. Erasmus University.
- Ponnu, C. H., & Okoth, M. O. A. (2011). Corporate social responsibilities and stock returns: Stochastic dominance approach. *African Journal of Business*Management, 3(10), 1277–1285.
- Prayogi (2003). Pengaruh Karakteristik Perusahaan Terhadap Luas Pengungkapan Sukarela Laporan Keuangan Tahunan Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Jakarta. *Tesis*, Magister Akuntansi Universitas Diponegoro.
- Preston, L.E.,(1975). Corporation and Society: The search for a paradigm. *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 434-453.
- Prior, D., Surroca, J., & Tribó, J. A. (2008). Are socially responsible managers really ethical? Exploring the relationship between earnings management and corporate social responsibility. *Corporate Governance An International Review*, *16*(3), 160-177. Retrieved from http://blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2008.00678.x
- PROPER (2009). Company's environmental performance rating program of the Ministry of Environment Republic of Indonesia. Available at www.menlh.go.id/proper.

- Purushothaman, M., G. Tower, R. Hancock and R. Taplin: 2000, 'Determinants of Corporate Social Reporting Practices of Listed Singapore Companies', Pacific Accounting Review 12(2), 101–133.
- Raffle, H. (2006). Assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability in published meta-analyses related to preschool through Grade 12 education. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, College of Education of Ohio University.
- Rahman, A., & Widyasari, K. N. (2008). The analysis of company characteristic toward CSR disclosure: Empirical evidence of manufacturing companies listed in JSX. *Jurnal Auditing dan Akuntansi Indonesia*, 12(1), 25-35.
- Reverte, C. (2009). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure ratings by Spanish listed firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88, 351-366. doi: 10.1007/s10551-008-9968-9.
- Rhodes, M.J.(2010). Information asymmetry and socially responsible investment, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(1), 145-150.
- Roberts, R. W. (1992). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure.

 Accounting, Organizations and Society, 17(6), 595-612.
- Rodewald, R. A. (1987). The corporate social responsibility debate: Unanswered questions about the consequences of moral reform. *American Business Law Journal* (1986-1998), 25(3), 443-466.
- Rowe, A. L. (2007). *Corporate environmental reporting: Informal institutional chinese cultural norms*. Paper Presented in Fifth Asian Pacific Interdisciplinary Research in Accounting Conference, 8-10 July.
- Ruf, B. M, Muralidhar, K., Brown, Robert M. J., Jay, J., & Paul, K. (2001). An empirical investigation of the relationship between change in corporate social

- performance and financial performance: A stakeholder theory perspective. Journal of Business Ethics, 32(2), 143-156.
- Rust, R. T., & Cooil, B. (1994). Reliability measures for qualitative data: Theory and implications. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *31*, 1-14.
- Rutherford, M. (1996). *Institutions in economics: The old and the new in institutionalism*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Said, R., Zainuddin, Y. H., & Haron, H. (2009). The relationship between corporate social responsibility disclosure and corporate governance characteristics in Malaysian public listed companies. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 5(2), 212-226.
- Saleh, M., Zulkifli, N., & Muhamad, R. (2008). An empirical examination of the relationship between corporate social responsibility disclosure and financial performance in an emerging market. *Retrieved from*, 2008.
- Sari, R. A. (2012). Pengaruh karakteristik perusahaan terhadap corporate social responsibility disclosure pada perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Nominal*, 1..
- Sarumpaet, S. (2005). The relationship between environmental performance and financial performance of Indonesian companies. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 7(2), 89-96.
- Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2007). *Research methods for business students* (4th ed.). London: Prentice Hall.
- Schaltegger, S., Bennet, M., & Burrit, R. (2006). Sustainability accounting and reporting: development, linkages and reflection. In S. Schaltegger, M. Bennet, & R. Burrit (Eds.), *Sustainability accounting and reporting* (pp. 1-33). Dordrecht: Springer.

- Schalttegger, S., & Burrit, R. (2006). Corporate sustainability accounting: a cathphrase for compliance corporations or a business decision support for sustainability leaders? In S. Schaltegger, M. Bennet, & R. Burrit (Eds.), Sustainability accounting and reporting (pp. 37-59). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Scherer, A. G., & Palazzo, G. (2008). Globalization and corporate social responsibility. In A. Crane, A. McWilliams, D. Matten, J. Moon, & D. Siegel (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of corporate social responsibility* (pp.413-431) .Oxford:Oxford University Press.
- Scott W. R. (1992). *Organizations: Rational, natural, and open systems*. Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Sefrilia, Meutia and Yulia Saftiana (2012). Pengaruh kepemilikan saham pemerintah dan profitabilitas terhadap pengungkapan corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Informasi* Akuntansi, 2(2) Mei, 132-139.
- Sembiring, E. R. (2003). Kinerja keuangan, political visibility, ketergantungan pada hutang, dan pengungkapan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan. *Paper presented at the Simposium Nasional Akuntansi VI*.
- Sethi, S. P. (1977). Advocacy advertising and large corporations: Social conflict, big business image, the news media, and public policy. Lexington, MA: D. C. Heath.
- Shane, P., & Spicer, B. H. (1983). Market response to environmental information produced outside the firm. *The Accounting Review*, 48, 521-538.
- Shepard, J., Betz, M., & O'Connell, L. (1997). The proactive corporation: Its nature and causes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *16*, 1001-1010.
- Shireenjit, K. J., & Zuaini Ishak (1998). Social responsibility disclosure: An investigation on the information context of Malaysia annual reports. *Unpublished Research*, School of Accounting, UUM, Malaysia.

- Siddique, S.P.(2009). Revisiting the literature on environmental reporting practices in annual reports in Australia. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5(2), 317-328.
- Sim, J., & Wright, C. C. (2005). The Kappa statistic in reliability studies: Use, interpretation and sample size requirements. *Physical Therapy*, 85, 257-268.
- Siregar, Sylvia Veronica and Bachtiar, Yanivi.(2010). Corporate social reporting: empirical evidence from Indonesia Stock Exchange, *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3 (3), 241 252.
- Solomon, J. (2005). Does social and environmental reporting nurture trust and stakeholder engagement and reduce risk. *Working Paper*, Cardiff University.
- Solomon, A., and Lewis, L. (2002). Incentives and disincentives for corporate environmental disclosure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 11(3), 154-169.
- Stanton, P., and Stanton, J. (2002). Corporate annual reports: Research perspectives used. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 15(4), 478-500.
- Stigler, G. (1971). The theory of economic regulation. *Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*, 2, 3-21.
- Studenmund, A. H. (2001). *Using econometrics: A practical guide* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Addison Wesley Longman Inc.
- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571-610.
- Suharjanto, D., Tower, G., & Brown, A. M. (2008). The fallacy of assuming equality: evidence showing vastly different weighting of the global reporting initiative's key items. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 7(8), 21-32.

- Suharni, Siti, Nurharibnu Wibisono, and Girang Maha Siswantoro (2014). Pengaruh Stakeholder Power, Strategic Posture, Dan Kinerja Ekonomi Terhadap Pengungkapan CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility). *Ekomaks*. 3(1), 2-10.
- Sumedi, A. M. P. K. (2010). Pengaruh karakteristik perusahaan dan regulasi pemerintah terhadap pengungkapan corporate social responsibility (CSR) pada laporan tahunan di Indonesia. *Undergraduate Thesis*. Diponegoro University.
- Suratno, I. G., & Darsono, M. S. (2006). Pengaruh environmental performance terhadap environmental disclosure dan economic performance. Paper presented at the Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 9, Agustus, Padang.
- Suryono, H., & Prastiwi, A. (2011). Pengaruh karakteristik perusahaan dan corporate governance terhadap praktik pengungkapan sustainability report. *Paper presented at the Simposium Nasional Akuntansi XIV*.
- SustainAbility/UNEP .(1998). Engaging stakeholders: The non-reporting report.

 SustainAbility, London,
- SustainAbility/UNEP.(2002). Trust us: The Global reporters 2002 Survey of corporate sustainability reporting. SustainAbility, London.
- Sutantoputra, A. W. (2009). Social disclosure rating system for assessing firms' CSR Reports. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, *14*(1), 34-48.
- Suwardjono. (2005). *Teori akuntansi: Perekayasaan pelaporan keuangan* (Ed. 3). Jogjakarta: BPFE.
- Tagesson, T., Blank, V., Broberg, P., & Collin, S. O. (2009). What explains the extent and content of social and environmental disclosures on corporate websites: a reporting Swedish listed study of social and environmental in corporations. Corporate Responsibility Environmental Social and Management, 16(6), 352-364.

- Tietenberg, T. H. (1998). Disclosure strategies for pollution control. *Environmental* and Resource Economics, 11, 587-602.
- Tilt, C. A. (1994). The influence of external pressure groups on corporate social disclosure: Some empirical evidence. *Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 7(4), 47-72.
- Tinker, T., & Niemark, M. (1987). The role of annual reports in gender and class contradictions at General MotorS. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 12, 71-88.
- Tinsley, H. E. A., & Weiss, D. J. (2000). Interrater reliability and agreement. In H. E. A.Tinsley & S. D. Brown (Eds.), *Handbook of applied multivariate statistics and mathematical modeling* (pp. 95-124). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Titus, A. (2006). Has your firm embraced sustainability principle? *The Jakarta Post*, Jakarta. December 22. p.12.
- Tolbert, P., & Zucker, L. (1983). Institutional sources of change in the formal structure of organizations: The diffusion of civil service reforms 1880-1935, Administrative Science Quarterly, 23, 22-39.
- Ullmann, A. (1985). Data in search of a theory: A critical examination of the relationship among social performance, social disclosure and economic performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(3), 540-577.
- Unerman, J. (1999). A critique of content analysis as a research method for corporate social reporting. Paper for critical perspectives on accounting 1999 conference, http://panopticon.csustan.edu/cpa99/unerman.html.
- Unerman, J. (2000). Methodological issues: Reflections on quantification in corporate social reporting content analysis. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal,* 13(5), 667-681. doi:10.1108/09513570010353756

- Unerman, J., & Bennett, M. (2004). Increased stakeholder dialogue and the internet: towards a greater corporate accountability or reinforcing capitalist hegemony?

 Accounting, Organizations and Society, 29(7), 685-707.
- Utama, S.(2008). Evaluasi infrastruktur pendukung pelaporan tanggung jawab sosial dan lingkungan di Indonesia. http://www.ui.edu/post/evaluasi-infrastruktur-pendukung-pelaporan-tanggung-jawab-sosial-dan-lingkungan-di-indonesia-id.html?UI=0e7f723ba866af9ed3db5006261670d5 (accessed January 12, 2009).
- Van der Laan, S. (2006). *Voluntary corporate social disclosures: Mapping the territory*. CSEAR conference paper, 22-24 November, Wellington.
- Van Marrewijk, M. (2003). Concept and definitions of CSR and corporate sustainability: Between agency and communion. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44, 95-105.
- Verschoor, C. C. (2005). Should all companies report on their corporate responsibility? *Strategic Finance*, 87(5), 17-18.
- Walden, W. D. (1993). An empirical investigation of environmental disclosures analyzing reactions to public policy and regulatory effects. Unpublished 9426356, Virginia Commonwealth University, United States Virginia.
- Walden, W. D., & Schwartz, B. N. (1997). Environmental disclosures and public policy pressure. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 16(2), 125-154.
- Waldman, D., Siegel. D, & Javidan, M. (2004). CEO transformational leadership and corporate social responsibility, Rensselaer Working Papers in Economics with number 0415, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

- Wangombe, David Karungu (2013). Multi-Theoretical Perspective of Corporate Environmental Reporting: A Literature Review. Review of Integrative Business and . Econonomics Research. 2(2), 655-671.
- Wartick, S. L., & Mahon, J. F. (1994). Toward a substantive definition of the corporate issue construct: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Business and Society*, *33*, 293-311.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1978). Towards a positive theory of determination of accounting standards. *The Accounting Review*, *53*(1), 112-134.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1986). *Positive accounting theory*. London: Prentice-Hall.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1990). Positive accounting theory: A ten year perspective. *The Accounting Review*, 65(1), 131-156.
- WBCSD.(1999). Corporate social responsibility, World Business Council for Sustainable Development.
- Weber, R.P. (1988). *Basic content analysis*. Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, Series No.07-049, Sage, London.
- Weiss, W. L. (1986). Minerva's owl: Building a corporate value system. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 5(3), 243-247.
- Welford, R. (1995). Environmental strategy and sustainable development: The corporate challenge for 21st century. London: Routledge.
- Willekens, M., Bauwhede, H. V., Gaeremynck, A., & Van De Gucht, L. (2005).

 Internal and external governance and the voluntary disclosure of financial and non-financial performance. Paper presented at BAA Auditing SIG Conference 2005, 15th National Auditing Conference, Aston Business School, March 11-12.

- Williams, S. M. (1999). Voluntary environmental and social accounting disclosure practices in the Asia-Pacific Region: An international empirical test of political economy theory. *The International Journal of Accounting*, *34*(2), 209-238.
- Williams, S. M., & Ho, C.A. P. W. (1999). Corporate social disclosures by listed companies on their web sites: An international comparison. *The International Journal of Accounting*, *34*(3), 389-419.
- Willis, C. A. (2003). The role of the global reporting initiative's sustainability reporting guidelines in the social screening of investments. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 43(3), 233-237.
- Wilmshurst, T. D. (2006). Insights from stakeholder theory: The motivation for managers to report environmental information. *Proceedings of the Eighth Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Accounting Conference*, Cardiff, 10-12 July, United Kingdom.
- Wilmshurst, T. D., & Frost, G. R. (2000). Corporate environmental reporting: A test of legitimacy theory. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 13(1), 10-26.
- Wilson, J. (2010). Essentials of business research: a guide to doing your research project. Singapore: Sage.
- Wiseman, J. (1982). An evaluation of environmental disclosures made in corporate annual reports. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 7(1), 53-63.
- World Commission on Environment and development. (1987). *Our common future*. New York, USA: Oxford University Press.

- Wright P., & Ferris, S. P. (1997). Agency conflict and corporate strategy: The effect of divestment on corporate value. *Strategic Management Journal*, *18*(1), 77-83.
- WWF (2007). Environmental problems in Indonesia. Retrieved on 26 September 2007 from www.panda.org/about_wwf/where_we_work/asia_pasific/where/indonesia/environmental_problems_indonesia/index.cfm.
- Yafele, A. (2012). Key Performance Indicator Disclosures by Large UK Private and Public Listed Companies (Doctoral dissertation, Bournemouth University).
- Yao, S., Wang, J., & Song, L. (2011). Determinants of Social Responsibility Disclosure By Chinese Firms. *DisClosure*, July.
- Yip, E., Cahan, S., & Van Staden, C. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and earnings management: the role of political costs. *Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal*, *5*(3), 17-33.
- Yongvanich, K., & Guthrie, J. (2006). An extended performance reporting framework for social and environmental accounting. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 15(5), 309-321. doi:10.1002/bse.541.
- Zakaria, I. (2011). Voluntary disclosure and political sensitivity: The case of executive remuneration. *Working Paper*. Essex Business School. University of Essex.
- Zarzeski, M. T. (1996). Spontaneous harmonization effects of culture and market forces on accounting disclosure practices. *Accounting Horizons*, 10, 18-38.
- Zeghal, D., & Ahmed, S.cA. (1990). Comparison of social responsibility information disclosure media used by Canadian Firms. *Accounting, Auditing, & Accountability Journal*, *3*(1), 38-53.

- Zikmund, W. G. (2000). *Business research methods* (6th ed.). Forth Word: Harcourt College Publishers.
- Zucker, L. (1977). The role of institutionalization in cultural persistence. *American Sociological Review*, 42, 726-743.