

COMPONENT-BASED TOOLS FOR
EDUCATIONAL SIMULATIONS

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the

Degree

of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science and Software Engineering

in the University of Canterbury

by Ruzelan Khalid

University of Canterbury

2013

ABSTRACT

e-Learning is an effective medium for delivering knowledge and skills. In spite of improvements in electronic delivery technologies, e-Learning is still a long way away from offering anything close to efficient and effective learning environments. To improve e-Learning experiences, much literature supports simulation based e-Learning. This thesis begins identifying various types of simulation models and their features that induce experiential learning. We focus on designing and constructing an easy-to-use Discrete Event Simulation (DES) tool for building engaging and informative interactive DES models that allow learners to control the models' *parameters* and *visualizations* through runtime interactions. DES has long been used to support analysis and design of complex systems but its potential to enhance learning has not yet been fully utilized. We first present an application framework and its resulting classes for better structuring DES models. However, importing relevant classes, establishing relationships between their objects and representing lifecycles of various types of active objects in a language that does not support *concurrency* demand a significant cognitive workload. To improve this situation, we utilize two design patterns to ease model structuring and logic representation (both in time and space) through a *drag and drop* component approach. The patterns are the *Delegation Event Model*, used for linking between components and delegating tasks of executing and updating active objects' lifecycles, and the *MVC (Model-View-Controller)* pattern, used for connecting the components to their graphical instrumentations and GUIs. Components implementing both design patterns support the process-oriented approach, can easily be tailored to store model states and visualizations, and can be extended to design higher level models through hierarchical simulation development. Evaluating this approach with both teachers and learners using *ActionScript* as an implementation language in the Flash environment shows that the resulting components not only help model designers with few programming skills to construct DES models, but they also allow learners to conduct various experiments through interactive GUIs and observe the impact of changes to model behaviour through a range of engaging visualizations. Such interactions can motivate learners and make their learning an enjoyable experience.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to sincerely thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Wolfgang Kreutzer and my associate supervisor, Professor Dr. Tim Bell for all their constant intellectual challenges and very kind guidance and encouragement during this study.

I would also like to thank all staff and postgraduate students at University of Canterbury for whatever help they gave to complete this study.

To my family, thanks so much for giving your continuous moral support and encouragement, and sharing your valuable time during our stay in New Zealand. You all have always been my source of strength and inspiration.

Lastly, thanks to all of those who implicitly or explicitly committed until the completion of this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	4
1.3 Objectives and Motivations	4
1.4 Scope of the Research	12
1.5 Contributions to Knowledge	14
1.6 Thesis Overview	17
2. SIMULATION AND EDUCATION	19
2.1. Introduction	19
2.2. Simulation Models and Their Purposes	20
2.3. Types of Simulation Models	22
2.4. The Role of Simulation in Education and Learning	26
2.4.1 The Role of Simulation in Learning Theories	26
2.4.2 Empirical Evidence	32
2.4.3 Simulation and e-Learning	33
2.4.3.1 Promises and Problems of e-Learning	33
2.4.3.2 The Roles of Course Management Systems	35
2.4.3.3 Pedagogical Aspects of e-Learning	36
2.5. DES Development Tools	38
2.6. Animated DES Systems	40
2.7. Summary	44

3. A FRAMEWORK FOR DES AND ANIMATION	46
3.1. Introduction	46
3.2. DES and Queuing Scenarios	48
3.3. Modelling Time	50
3.2.1 The Event-Oriented Approach	51
3.2.2 The Process-Oriented Approach	52
3.4. The DES Framework	54
3.4.1 The <i>Data Collector</i> Package	56
3.4.2 The <i>Distribution</i> Package	57
3.4.3 The <i>Monitor</i> (Simulation Executive) Package	59
3.4.4 The <i>Resource</i> (Servers and Queues) Package	61
3.5. Graphical Objects in Discrete Event Models	62
4. USING FLASH FOR SIMULATION	67
4.1 Introduction	67
4.2 Visual Simulation and Visual Interactive Simulation	68
4.3 Animation Approaches	71
4.4 Managing Simulation and Animation	74
4.5 Flash as an Implementation Language for Simulation and Animation	77
4.5.1 Flash Features for VIS Development	78
4.5.2 Flash Component Construction	79
4.5.3 Other Advantages of Flash and Its Drawbacks	81
4.6 Flash Components for Queuing Systems	83
4.7 Flash Components for Visualizing Queuing Systems	89
4.8 Example	91
4.9 Problems and Pitfalls	96
4.10 Extensibility	99
5. COMPONENT-BASED MODELING FOR ANIMATED SIMULATION	102
5.1 Introduction	102
5.2 Component Based Simulation	104
5.3 The Environment of Animated Simulation Models	105
5.4 The Delegation Event Model for Linking Components	107
5.5 The MVC for Visualizing Component States	111

5.6	Connecting External Data	114
5.7	Example	118
5.8	Towards Hierarchical Simulation Model Designs	122
5.9	Designing Mechanisms for Hierarchical DES Models	125
5.9.1	Monitor Delegation Mechanism	126
5.9.2	Monitor Communication Mechanism	130
5.10	Problems and Challenges	133
6.	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS	136
6.1	Introduction	136
6.2	Evaluating Models' Attractiveness and Interactivity	137
6.2.1	Assessment and Evaluation Methods	137
6.2.2	Experiment Participants	139
6.2.3	Data Analysis and Results	142
6.2.3.1	General Information	142
6.2.3.2	General Questions	143
6.2.3.3	Model Rating	145
6.3	Evaluating the Tool's Ease of Use, Usefulness and Enjoyment	159
6.3.1	Assessment and Evaluation Methods	159
6.3.2	Experiment Participants	160
6.3.3	Running the Experiment	162
6.3.4	Data Analysis and Results	164
6.3.4.1	General Information	164
6.3.4.2	Questionnaire Reliability and Validity	165
6.3.4.3	Usefulness, Ease of Use and Enjoyment of the Tool	166
6.3.4.4	Self Predicted Future Usage	168
6.3.4.5	Participants' Cognitive Workload	171
7.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH	175
7.1	Introduction	175
7.2	Conclusion	175
7.3	Limitations of the Research	179
7.4	Recommendations for Future Research	181

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Consent Form	53
Appendix B: Questionnaire Information Sheet	64
Appendix C: Learner Questionnaire	66
Appendix D: Model Builder Questionnaire	88
Appendix E: User Manual	98
Appendix F: Source Code (in CD)	73
Figure 3.7 Class Diagram for the Factory Package	41
Figure 3.8 Graphical UI for a DES	43
Figure 4.1 Visual Simulation Components	68
Figure 4.2 Three Arrangements of Composite Simulations with Components	70
Figure 4.3 DES's Associated Objects	73
Figure 4.4 Transformation of an Model to Factory as Java	75
Figure 4.5 Components of Modules	76
Figure 4.6 Class Diagram of Components for Simulation Logic and Control	78
Figure 4.7 Fluid Component Parts	77
Figure 4.8 Sample of DES Visualization Tools	81
Figure 4.9 Sample of Interactions between Learners and a Model	85
Figure 4.10 Sample of Information Captured from a Model	86
Figure 4.11 Forward Components for Supporting Layout and Manufacturing Logic	89
Figure 5.1 Sample of Web Animation Scripts of a Model	104
Figure 5.2 The DES Delegation-Based Model Structure	105
Figure 5.3 The Flow of a Simulation's Point in DES Computation	110
Figure 5.4 The DES MVC Structure	118
Figure 5.5 Java Development Environment	120
Figure 5.6 A Creating Network System	124
Figure 5.7 A Server's Properties and Default Values	125
Figure 5.8 A Pool Model	126
Figure 5.9 Interactions with Component Instances	127
Figure 5.10 Hierarchical Construction of a DES Model	128
Figure 5.11 Submodel Architecture and Instantiating Mechanisms	130

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Interactions between Teachers, Learners, Models and LMSs	11
Figure 3.1	The Event-Oriented Approach Mechanism	52
Figure 3.2	The Process-Oriented Approach Mechanism	53
Figure 3.3	Package Diagram for Queuing Models	56
Figure 3.4	Class Diagram for the <i>DataCollectors</i> Package	56
Figure 3.5	Class Diagram for the <i>Distribution</i> Package	58
Figure 3.6	Class Diagram for the <i>Monitor</i> Package	59
Figure 3.7	Class Diagram for the <i>Resource</i> Package	61
Figure 3.8	<i>Graphical Objects</i> in DES	63
Figure 4.1	Visual Simulation Components	69
Figure 4.2	Three Approaches to Combine Simulation with Animation	71
Figure 4.3	DES's Animated Objects	75
Figure 4.4	Transformation from <i>Model</i> to <i>Animation</i> Time	76
Figure 4.5	Component Architecture	79
Figure 4.6	Class Diagram of <i>Components</i> for Simulation <i>Input and Output</i>	84
Figure 4.7	Flash Component Panel	87
Figure 4.8	Samples of DES Visualization Tools	91
Figure 4.9	Sample of Interactions between Learners and a Model	95
Figure 4.10	Sample of Information Gained from a Model	96
Figure 4.11	Extended Components for Supporting Logistic and Manufacturing Systems	99
Figure 5.1	Simulation and Animation Aspects of a Model	105
Figure 5.2	The DES Delegation Event Model Structure	108
Figure 5.3	The flow of a SimProcess Object in DES Components	110
Figure 5.4	The DES <i>MVC</i> Structure	112
Figure 5.5	Flash Development Environment	118
Figure 5.6	A Queuing Network System	119
Figure 5.7	A Server's Properties and Default Values	120
Figure 5.8	A Final Model	121
Figure 5.9	Interactions with Component Instances	122
Figure 5.10	Hierarchical Construction of a DES Model	124
Figure 5.11	Submodel Architecture and Transferring Mechanisms	126

Figure 5.12	Monitor Delegation Mechanism	128
Figure 5.13	Submodel Class Definition	129
Figure 5.14	Simulation Class Definition	129
Figure 5.15	Agenda States	132
Figure 6.1	Simple Queuing Networks	141
Figure 6.2	More Complicated Queuing Networks	141
Figure 6.3	Participants' Feedback on Simulation Knowledge	144
Figure 6.4	Arena Screenshot	161
Figure 6.5	Perceived Usefulness Results	167

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Classification of Constructive Computer Simulations	23
Table 2.2	Simulation Types and Learning Support	24
Table 2.3	Some Learning Theories and Their Features	28
Table 2.4	Available DES Simulation Tools	38
Table 2.5	Desirable Features for the Design of DES Tools	44
Table 3.1	Types of Directed Graphs	64
Table 3.2	Properties and Events for Dynamic Objects	65
Table 4.1	Aspects of Simulation-Animation Approaches	73
Table 4.2	Interaction Characteristics of Concurrent and Post-processed Animations	74
Table 4.3	Available Simulation Tools and Their Features	74
Table 4.4	Simulation to Animation Conversion	75
Table 4.5	Events and Model Time Difference in a Sample System	76
Table 4.6	VIS Graphic Displays and Flash Features	78
Table 4.7	DES Component Types	86
Table 4.8	Flash Components for Building DES Models and Their Functionalities	86
Table 4.9	Flash Components for Visualizing DES Models and Their Functionalities	90
Table 5.1	Server Properties and Description	120
Table 6.1	Items in Model Rating	140
Table 6.2	Time Spent (in minutes) for Each Score	144
Table 6.3	Good Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Models	146
Table 6.4	No Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Models	146
Table 6.5	Undecided Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Models	147
Table 6.6	Feedback on the Quality of Animation from the Participants Who Always Used Computer as a Learning Tool	149
Table 6.7	Sub-questions of "These tools help to understand the model better (Please write if you have any comments)"	153
Table 6.8	Good Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Model Tools	153

Table 6.9	No Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Model Tools	154
Table 6.10	Undecided Simulation Knowledge Participants' Feedback about the Model Tools	154
Table 6.11	TAM Factors and Their Variables	160
Table 6.12	Items of Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Enjoyment and Self-predicted Future Usage of the Component-based Tool	163
Table 6.13	The Participants' Gender	164
Table 6.14	The Participants' Knowledge and Experiences	164
Table 6.15	Cronbach's Alpha Values	165
Table 6.16	Factor Analysis of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Enjoyment	166
Table 6.17	Descriptive Statistics of the Items	167
Table 6.18	Descriptive Statistics of Self-Predicted Future Usage	168
Table 6.19	Correlations between Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Enjoyment to Self-Predicted Future Usage	169
Table 6.20	Regression Analyses of the Effect of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use on Self-Predicted Future Usage	170
Table 6.21	Participants' Feedback about the TLX Subscales	172

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

e-Learning (i.e., technologies that use digital technologies to deliver and facilitate learning) is increasingly used in schools, higher education and training centres either to support distance learning or to complement the traditional classroom environment. Since it uses electronic media; e.g., the Internet, to support learning, this style of knowledge transmission eases traditional constraints on time, space and distance. The advantage to learners is that they can learn at anytime and anywhere. As a result, the use of e-Learning has grown rapidly throughout the world. However, this technology requires that learners themselves are responsible for gaining knowledge; a key concept of *learner-centred* education.

The teacher-student ratios either for primary, secondary or tertiary education in some countries (e.g., India, South Africa, Philippines, etc.) are still high. In India, the teacher-student ratio for secondary school was reported 32.7 in 2004 and 25.33 in 2010 (<http://www.tradingeconomics.com>). Although the ratios have slightly been improved in most countries during past few years, less time dedicated by teachers to the needs of each individual student demands attractive and interactive learning materials to promote and enhance their learning experiences. Learning materials that focus on *activities* (i.e., some degree of interaction) during the learning process are crucial in this and have proved to have more positive impacts on learning than static materials, such as numbers, texts and pictures (Holzinger & Ebner, 2003; Neumann, Page, Kreutzer, Kiesel, & Meyer, 2005; L. P. Rieber, 1996). Multimedia materials that allow content navigation that integrate texts, pictures, diagrams, sound and dynamic images (i.e., animations and movies) are increasingly integrated in learning environments. More recently, techniques that make learning more enjoyable and fun

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Aamodt, A., & Plaza, E. (1994). Case-Based Reasoning: Foundational Issues, Methodological Variations, and System Approaches. *AI Communications*, 7(1), 39-59.
- Adams, D.A., Nelson, R.R., & Todd, P.A. (1992). Perceived Usefulness, Ease of Use, and Usage of Information Technology: A Replication. *MIS Quarterly*, 16(2), 227-247.
- Ainsworth, S. (1999). The Functions of Multiple Representations. *Computers & Education*, 33, 131-152.
- Ainsworth, S., Bibby, P., & Wood, D. (2002). Examining the Effects of Different Multiple Representational Systems in Learning Primary Mathematics. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 11(1), 25 - 61.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Ala-Mutka, K., Gaspar, P., Kismihok, G., Suurna, M., & Vehovar, V. (2010). Status and Developments of eLearning in the EU10 Member States: The Cases of Estonia, Hungary and Slovenia. *European Journal of Education*, 45(3), 494-513. doi: 10.1111/j.1465-3435.2010.01442.x
- Alam, G.M., Oloruntegbe, O.K., Oluwatele, A.T., Alake, M., & Ayeni, A.E. (2010). Is 3D just an Addition of 1 to 2 or Is It More Enhancing Than 2D Visualizations. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 5(12), 1536-1539.
- Aldrich, C. (2002). A Field Guide to Educational Simulations. Retrieved Oct 18, 2007, from <http://www.simulearn.net/pdf/astd.pdf>
- Aldrich, C. (2004). *Simulations and the Future of Learning: An Innovative (and Perhaps Revolutionary) Approach to e-Learning*. San Francisco, California: Pfeiffer.
- Aldrich, C. (2005). *Learning by Doing: A Comprehensive Guide to Simulations, Computer Games, and Pedagogy in e-Learning and Other Educational Experiences*. San Francisco, California: Pfeiffer.
- Alejandra, C., Mario, P., & Antonio, V. (2003). *Component-Based Software Quality: Methods and Techniques*. Berlin: Springer.
- Alonso, F., Lopez, G., Manrique, D., & Vies, J.M. (2005). An Instructional Model for Web-based e-learning Education with a Blended Learning Process Approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 36(2), 217-235.
- Anderson, J.R., Corbett, A.T., Koedinger, K.R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive Tutors: Lessons Learned. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4(2), 167-207.
- Anderson, L.W., & Krathwohl, D.R. (2000). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Aragon, S.R., Johnson, S.D., & Shaik, N. (2002). The Influence of Learning Style Preferences on Student Success in Online Versus Face-to-face Environments. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 16(4), 227-245.
- Arbaugh, J.B., & Benbunan-Fich, R. (2007). The Importance of Participant Interaction in Online Environments. *Decision Support Systems*, 43(3), 853-865. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2006.12.013>
- Arnold, K., Gosling, J., & Holmes, D. (2006). *The Java Programming Language* (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River: Addison-Wesley.

- Atkinson, C., Bunse, C., Gross, H.-G., & Peper, C. (2005). *Component-Based Software Development for Embedded Systems: An Overview of Current Research Trends*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Au, G., & Paul, R.J. (1996). Visual Interactive Modelling: A Pictorial Simulation Specification System. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 91(1), 14-26.
- Aubidy, K.M.A. (2007). Teaching Computer Organization and Architecture Using Simulation and FPGA Applications. *Journal of Computer Science*, 3(8), 624-632.
- Babar, M.A., Winkler, D., & Biffi, S. (2007). Evaluating the Usefulness and Ease of Use of a Groupware Tool for the Software Architecture Evaluation Process. *First International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement 2007 (ESEM 2007)*, 430-439.
- Banduras, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191-215.
- Banks, J. (1998). *Handbook of Simulation: Principles, Methodology, Advances, Applications, and Practice*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bapat, V., & Sturrock, D.T. (2003). The Arena Product Family: Enterprise Modeling Solutions. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 210-217.
- Barnes, C.D., & Laughery, J.K.R. (1997). Advanced Uses for Micro Saint Simulation Software. *Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference*, 680-686.
- Bedor, H.S., Mohamed, H.K., & Shedeed, R.A. (2004). A General Architecture of Student Model to Assess the Learning Performance in Intelligent Tutoring Systems. *Proceedings of International Conference on Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering 2004*, 173- 178.
- Belfore, A.L., Mielke, R.R., & Kunam, K.C. (2003). A Framework for Creating VRML Visualizations from Discrete Event Simulations. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Collaborative Technologies and Systems*, 93-98.
- Bell, P.C. (1989). Stochastic Visual Interactive Simulation Models. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 40, 615-624.
- Benjamin, D.M., Mazziotti, B.W., & Armstrong, F.B. (1994). Issues and Requirement for Building a Generic Animation. *Proceedings of the 1994 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1304-1310.
- Beux, P.L., & Fieschi, M. (2007). Virtual Biomedical Universities and e-learning. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 76(5-6), 331-335.
- Birtwistle, G.M. (1979). *DEMOS: A Discrete Event Modelling on Simulation*. London: McMillan.
- Birtwistle, G.M. (1980). *Simula Begin* (2 ed.). Lund, Sweden: Studentlitteratur.
- Bodemer, D., & Faust, U. (2006). External and Mental Referencing of Multiple Representations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 22(1), 27-42.
- Bose, S.K. (2002). *An Introduction to Queueing Systems*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publisher.
- Boyar, J. (1989). Inferring Sequences Produced by Pseudo-random Number Generators. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 36(1), 129 - 141
- Bransford, J.D. (2000). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience and School*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.
- Brouwer, N., Muller, G., & Rietdijk, H. (2007). Educational Designing with MicroWorlds. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 15(4), 439-462.

- Browne, T., Jenkins, M., & Walker, R. (2006). A Longitudinal Perspective Regarding the Use of VLEs by Higher Education Institutions in the United Kingdom. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 14(2), 177-192.
- Bryant, R.M. (1981). A Tutorial on Simulation Programming with SIMPAS. *Proceedings of the 1981 Winter Simulation*, 363-377.
- Bunt, A., Conati, C., Huggett, M., & Muldner, K. (2001). On Improving the Effectiveness of Open Learning Environments through Tailored Support for Exploration. *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AI-ED 2001)*, 365-376.
- Bunt, A., Conati, C., & Muldner, K. (2004). Scaffolding Self-explanation to Improve Learning in Exploratory Learning Environments. *Intelligent Tutoring Systems*, 3220, 656-667.
- Buss, A. (2000). Component-Based Simulation Modelling. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 964-971.
- Buss, A. (2002). Component Based Simulation Modeling with SIMKIT. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 243-249.
- Buss, A., & Blais, C. (2007). Composability and Component-Based Discrete Event Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*, 694-702.
- Bustamante, E.A., & Spain, R. D. . (2008). Measurement Invariance of the NASA TLX. *Human Factors and Ergonomics* 52, 1522-1526.
- Castagna, G. (1997). *Object Oriented Programming: A Unified Foundation*. Boston: Birkhauser.
- Castillo, S., Hancock, S., & Hess, G. (2004). *Using Flash MX to Create e-Learning* (1st ed.). Vancouver: Rapid Intake Press.
- Chang, K.-E., Chen, Y.-L., Lin, H.-Y., & Sung, Y.-T. (2008). Effects of Learning Support in Simulation-based Physics Learning. *Computers & Education*, 51(4), 1486-1498.
- Charles, C.M. (2008). *Today's Best Classroom Management Strategies: Paths to Positive Discipline*. Boston: Pearson/Allyn Bacon.
- Chau, P.Y.K. (1996). An Empirical Investigation on Factors Affecting the Acceptance of CASE by Systems Developers. *Information and Management*, 30, 269-280.
- Chen, G., & Szymanski, B.K. (2002). COST: A Component-Oriented Discrete Event Simulator. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 776-782.
- Cho, Y.I., & Kim, T.G. (2002). DEVS Framework for Component-based Modeling/Simulation of Discrete Event Systems. *Proceedings of the 2002 Summer Computer Simulation Conference*.
- Chwif, L., & Barretto, M.R.P. (2003). Simulation Models as an Aid for the Teaching and Learning Process in Operations Management. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1994-2000.
- Clark, R.C., Nguyen, F., & Swelle, J. (2006). *Efficiency in Learning: Evidence-based Guidelines to Manage Cognitive Load*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Clark, R.E., Yates, K., Early, S., & Moulton, K. (2010). An Analysis of the Failure of Electronic Media and Discovery-Based Learning. In K. H. Silber & W. R. Foshay (Eds.), *Handbook of Improving Performance in the Workplace: Volumes 1* (pp. 263-297). San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Coakes, S.J. (2007). *SPSS Version 12.0 for WIndows: Analysis without Anguish*. Singapore: John Wiley & Sons Australia.
- Concannon, K., Elder, M., Hindle, K., Tremble, J., & Tse, S. (2006). *Simulation Modeling with SIMUL8*. Mississauga, Ontario: Visual Thinking International.

- Conway, R., & Maxwell, W. (1987). *Modeling Asynchronous Materials Handling Systems in XCELL+*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 19th Conference on Winter Simulation.
- Craig, I.D. (2007). *The Interpretation of Object Oriented Programming Languages*. London: Springer.
- Crain, R.C., & Henriksen, J.O. (1999). Simulation Using GPSS/H. *Proceedings of the 1999 Winter Simulation Conference*, 182-187.
- Cronbach, L. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297-334. doi: 10.1007/bf02310555
- Davies, C., H., J. (2002). Student Engagement with Simulations. *Computers and Education*, 39 (3), 271-282.
- Davis, F.D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P., & Warshaw, P.R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982-1003. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982
- Davis, F.D., & Venkatesh, V. (1996). A Critical Assessment of Potential Measurement Biases in the Technology Acceptance Model: Three Experiments. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 45(1), 19-45.
- Deitel, H.M. (2006). *Visual Basic 2005: How to Program*. Upper Saddle River: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Deitel, H.M., Deitel, P.J., & Goldberg, A.B. (2004). *Internet & World Wide Web: How to Program* (3rd ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Education International.
- Djajadiningrat, T., Matthews, B., & Stienstra, M. (2007). Easy Doesn't Do It: Skill and Expression in Tangible Aesthetics. *Personal Ubiquitous Computing*, 11(8), 657-676. doi: 10.1007/s00779-006-0137-9
- Dochy, F., Segers, M., & Buehl, M.M. (1999). The Relation between Assessment Practices and Outcomes of Studies: The Case of Research on Prior Knowledge. *Review of Educational Research*, 69(2), 145-186.
- Donatis, A.D. (2006). *Advanced ActionScript Components: Mastering the Flash Component Architecture*. Berkeley: APress.
- Donikian, S., & Cozot, R. (1995). General Animation and Simulation Platform. *Computer Animation and Simulation '95*, 197-209. .
- Dublin, L. (2004). The Nine Myths of e-learning Implementation: Ensuring the Real Return on Your e-learning Investment. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 36(7), 291-294.
- Duinkerken, M.B., Ottjes, J.A., & Lodewijks, G. (2002). The Application of Distributed Simulation in Tomas: Redesigning a Complex Transportation Model. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1207-1213.
- Ebner, M., & Taraghi, B. (2010). Personal Learning Environment for Higher Education – A First Prototype. *World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications 2010*, 1158-1166.
- Eck, R.V., & Dempsey, J. (2002). The Effect of Competition and Contextualized Advisement on the Transfer of Mathematics Skills in a Computer-Based Instructional Simulation Game. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(3), 23-41.
- Eden, A.H. (2002). A Theory of Object-Oriented Design. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 4(4), 379-391.

- Eppler, M.J., & Burkhard, R.A. (2007). Visual Representations in Knowledge Management: Framework and Cases. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 11, 112-122.
- Falvo, D.A. (2008). Animations and Simulations for Teaching and Learning Molecular Chemistry. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 4(1), 68-77.
- Falvo, D.A., & Johnson, B.F. (2007). The Use of Learning Management Systems in the United States. *TechTrends*, 51(2), 40-45. doi: 10.1007/s11528-007-0025-9
- Fenrich, P. (2006). Getting Practical with Learning Styles in Live and Computer-based Training Settings. *The Journal of Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology*, 3, 233-242.
- Filippi, J.B., Delhom, M., & Bernardi, F. (2002). The JDEVS Modelling and Simulation Environment. *Proceedings of the 1st Biennial Meeting of the iEMSS*, 283-288.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research* Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley.
- Fishwick, P.A. (1992). SimPack: Getting Started with Simulation Programming in C and C++. *Proceedings of the 1992 Winter Simulation Conference*, 154-162.
- Fitzpatrick, S. (2003). A Review of Web-based Learning and Teaching. Retrieved Nov 20, 2008, from <http://www.le.ac.uk/cc/rjml/etutor/elearning/reviewofwebbasedtl.html>
- Fletcher, J.D., & Tobias, S. (2005). The Multimedia Principle. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 17-133). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Flynt, J.P., & Vinson, B. (2005). *Simulation and Event Modeling for Game Developers*. Boston, MA: Thomson Course Technology.
- Gaffney, C., Dagger, D., & Wade, V. (2008). A Survey of Soft Skill Simulation Authoring Tools. *Proceedings of the nineteenth ACM Conference on Hypertext and Hypermedia*, 181-185.
- Ganapathy, S., Narayanan, S., & Srinivasan, K. (2003). Simulation Based Decision Support for Supply Chain Logisitics. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1013-1020.
- Garrido, J.M. (1999). *Practical Process Simulation Using Object-Oriented Technique and C++*. Boston: Artech House.
- Garrido, J.M. (2001). *Object-Oriented Discrete-event Simulation: A Practical Introduction*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Garrot, T., Psillaki, M., & Rochhia, S. (2008). Describing E-learning Development in European Higher Education Institutions Using a Balanced Scorecard. *The Economics of E-learning*, 5(1), 57-71.
- Gelenbe, E., & Pujolle, G. (1998). *Introduction to Queuing Network*. New York: Wiley.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2009). *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 18.0 Update*. Boston: Pearson Allyn and Bacon.
- Getting Started with SIMPROCESS. (2006). Retrieved September 6, 2008, from <http://www.renque.com/downloads/RenqueManual.pdf>
- Geuder, D.F. (1995). Object Oriented Modeling with Simple++. *Proceedings of the 1995 Winter Simulation Conference*, 534-540.
- Gibson, D., Aldrich, C., & Prensky, M. (2007). *Games and Simulations in Online Learning: Research and Development Frameworks*. Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing.

- Gilman, A. (1985). Interactive Control of the Model: A Natural Companion to Animated Simulation Graphics. *Proceedings of the 1985 Winter Simulation Conference*, 196-198.
- Goble, J. (1991). Introduction to SIMFACTORY II.5. *Proceedings of the 1991 Winter Simulation Conference*, 77-80.
- Goble, J. (1997). MODSIM III - A Tutorial. *Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference*, 601-605.
- Gokhale, A.A. (1996). Effectiveness of Computer Simulation for Enhancing Higher Order Thinking. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 33(4), 36-46.
- Goldman, S.R. (2003). Learning in Complex Domains: When and Why Do Multiple Representations Help? *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 239-244.
- Gonzalez-Barbone, V., & Anido-Rifon, L. (2010). From SCORM to Common Cartridge: A step forward. *Computers & Education*, 54(1), 88-102.
- Gredler, M.E. (2003). Games and Simulations and Their Relationships to Learning. In D. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of Research for Educational Communications and Technology* (2nd ed., pp. 571-581). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Greenbaum, J., & Kyng, M. (1991). *Design at Work: Cooperative Design of Computer Systems*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Haapala, A. (2006). Promoting Different Kinds of Learners towards Active Learning in the Web-Based Environment. *Informatics in Education*, 2(2), 207-218.
- Hailikari, T., Katajavuori, N., & Lindblom-Ylanne, S. (2008). The Relevance of Prior Knowledge in Learning and Instructional Design. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 72(5).
- Halpin, B. (1999). Simulation in Sociology. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 42(10), 1488-1508.
- Hamlin, J.S., Tarbell, J., & Williams, B. (2003). *The Hidden Power of Flash Components*. San Francisco: Sybex.
- Hannon, B., Ruth, M., & Meadows, D.H. (2001). *Dynamic Modeling* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer.
- Harrel, C.R., & Price, R.N. (2003). Simulation Modeling Using ProModel Technology. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 175-181.
- Harrell, C., Ghosh, B.K., & Bowden, R.O. (2004). *Simulation Using ProModel* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hart, S.G., Stavenland, L.E., Hancock, P.A., & Meshkati, N. (1988). Development of NASA-TLX (Task Load Index): Results of Empirical and Theoretical Research. In P. A. Hancock & N. Meshkati (Eds.), *Human Mental Workload* (pp. 139-183). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publisher.
- Healy, K.J., & Kilgore, R.A. (1998). Introduction to SILK and Java-based Simulation. *Proceedings of the 30th Conference on Winter Simulation*, 327-334.
- Hegarty, M. (2004). Dynamic Visualizations and Learning: Getting to the Difficult Questions. *Learning and Instruction*, 14, 343-351
- Hegarty, M., Kriz, S., & Cate, C. (2003). The Roles of Mental Animations and External Animations in Understanding Mechanical Systems. *Cognition and Instruction*, 21(4), 325-360.
- Heinich, R., Molenda, M., Russell, J.D., & Smaldino, S.E. (1999). *Instructional Media and Technologies for Learning* (6 ed.). Upper Saddle River, N.J: Merrill.

- Henderson, R., & Divett, M.J. (2003). Perceived Usefulness, Ease of Use and Electronic Supermarket Use. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59(3), 383-395.
- Henriksen, J.O. (1997). An Introduction to SLX. *Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference*, 559-566.
- Henriksen, J.O. (2000). Adding Animation to a Simulation Using PROOF. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 191-196.
- Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (1995). Critical Characteristics of Situated Learning: Implications for the Instructional Design of Multimedia. *Proceedings of ASCILITE'95*.
- Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (1997). Multimedia, Magic and the Way Students Respond to a Situated Learning Environment. *Australian Journal of Educational Technology*, 13(2), 127-143.
- Hill, D.R.C. (1996). *Object-Oriented Analysis and Simulation*. Harlow, New York: Addison-Wesley.
- Holzinger, A., & Ebner, M. (2003). Interaction and Usability of Simulations & Animations: A Case Study of the Flash Technology. *Proceedings of International Conference on Human-Computer Interactions 2003 (INTERACT'03)*, 777-780.
- Hoppensteadt, F.C., & Peskin, C.S. (2002). *Modelling and Simulation in Medicine and Life Science*. New York: Springer.
- Huk, T. (2006). Who Benefits from Learning with 3D Models? The Case of Spatial Ability. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 22(6), 392-404. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00180.x
- Hull, T.E., & Dobell, A.R. (1962). Random Number Generators. *SIAM Review*, 4(3), 230-254.
- Hunter, D., Cagle, K., Gibbons, D., Ozu, N., Pinnock, J., & Spencer, P. (2000). *Beginning XML*. Birmingham: Wrox.
- Iazeolla, G., & Ambrogio, A.D. (1998). Distributed Systems for Web-based Simulation. *Advances in Computer and Information Science'98*, 1-8.
- Idrus, H., Dahan, H.M., & Abdullah, N. (2009). Challenges in the Integration of Soft Skills in Teaching Technical Courses: Lecturers' Perspectives. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 5(2), 67-81.
- Igbaria, M., Livari, J., & Maragahh, H. (1995). Why Do Individuals Use Computer Technology?: A Finnish Case Study. *Information & Management*, 29(5), 227-238. doi: 10.1016/0378-7206(95)00031-0
- Igbaria, M., Zinatelli, N., Cragg, P., & Cavaye, A. (1997). Personal Computing Acceptance Factors in Small Firms: A Structural Equation Model. *MIS Quarterly*(279-302).
- Illeris, K. (2000). *The Three Dimensional of Learning: Contemporary Learning Theory in the Tension Field between the Cognitive, the Emotional and the Social*. Frederiksberg: Roskilde University Press.
- Isomaki, H., Pekkola, S., & Bannon, L.J. (2011). "20 Years a-Growing": Revisiting From Human Factors to Human Actors *Reframing Humans in Information Systems Development* (Vol. 201, pp. 181-188). London: Springer
- Jacobs, P.H.M., Lang, A.N., & Verbraeck, A. (2002). D-SOL; A Distributed Java Based Discrete Event Simulation Architecture. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 793-800.
- Jahangir, N., & Begum, N. (2008). The Role of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, Security and Privacy, and Customer Attitude to Engender Customer

- Adaptation in the Context of Electronic Banking. *African Journal of Business Management*, 2 (1), 32-40.
- Jeffries, P.R. (2005). A Framework for Designing, Implementing, and Evaluating: Simulations Used as Teaching Strategies in Nursing. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 26(2), 96-103.
- Jifeng, H., Li, X., & Liu, Z. (2005). Component-Based Software Engineering - the Need to Link Methods and their Theories. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 3722, 70-95.
- Johnson, S.D., Aragon, S.R., Shaik, N., & Palma-Rivas, N. (2000). Comparative Analysis of Learner Satisfaction and Learning Outcomes in Online and Face-to-face Learning Environments. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 11(1), 29-49.
- Jonassen, D.H., & Land, S.M. (2000). *Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environment*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jong, T.D. (1991). Learning and Instruction with Computer Simulations. *Education & Computing*, 6(3-4), 217-229
- Jong, T.D., & Joolingen, W.R.V. (1998). Scientific Discovery Learning with Computer Simulations of Conceptual Domains. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 179-201.
- Jong, T.D., & Joolingen, W.R.V. (2008). Model-Facilitated Learning. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. v. Merriënboer & M. P. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology* (pp. 457-468). New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Jong, T.D., Martin, E., Zamarro, J.M., Esquembre, F., Swaak, J., & Joolingen, W.R.V. (1999). The Integration of Computer Simulation and Learning Support: An Example from the Physics Domain of Collisions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36(5), 597-615.
- Joolingen, W.R.V., & Jong, T.D. (1991a). Characteristics of Simulations for Instructional Settings. *Education & Computing*, 6(3-4), 241-262.
- Joolingen, W.R.V., & Jong, T.D. (1991b). Supporting Hypothesis Generation by Learners Exploring an Interactive Computer Simulation. *Instructional Science*, 20(5), 389-404.
- Kacer, J. (2002). Discrete Event Simulations with J-Sim. *Proceedings of the Inaugural Conference on the Principles and Practice of Programming*, 13-18.
- Kalra, D., & Barr, A.H. (1992). Modeling with Time and Events in Computer Simulations. *Eurographics '92*, 45-58.
- Kalyuga, S., Ayres, P., Chandler, P., & Sweller, J. (2003). The Expertise Reversal Effect. *Educational Psychologist* 38(1), 23-31.
- Kamat, V.R., & Martinez, J.C. (2001). Enabling Smooth and Scaleable Dynamic 3D Visualization of Discrete-Event Construction Simulations. *Proceedings of the 2001 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1528-1533.
- Kamat, V.R., & Martinez, J.C. (2007). Variable-Speed Resource Motion in Animations of Discrete-Event Process Models. *Electronic Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon)*, 12, 293-303.
- Kauchak, D.P., & Eggen, P.D. (2007). *Learning and Teaching: Research Based Methods*. Boston: Pearson Allyn & Bacon.
- Kaye, J., & Castillo, D. (2003). *Flash MX for Interactive Simulation*. New York: Thompson Delmar Learning.

- Kazymyr, V., & Demshevska, N. (2001). Application of Java-technologies for Simulation in the Web. *Proceedings of the 2001 International Conference on Information Systems Technology and Its Applications*, 173-184.
- Keen, R.E., & Spain, J.D. (1992). *Computer Simulation in Biology*. New York: Wiley-Liss.
- Keil, M., Beranek, P.M., & Konsynski, B.R. (1995). Usefulness and Ease of Use: Field Study Evidence Regarding Task Considerations. *Decision Support Systems* 13, 75-91.
- Kelton, W.D., Sadowski, R.P., & Sturrock, D.T. (2004). *Simulation with Arena* (3rd ed.). New York: Mc-Graw Hill.
- Kelton, W.D., Sadowski, R.P., & Swets, N.B. (2010). *Simulation with Arena* (5th ed.). Singapore: Mc Graw Hill.
- Kennepohl, D. (2001). Using Computer Simulations to Supplement Teaching Laboratories in Chemistry for Distance Delivery. *Journal of Distance Education*, 16(2), 58-65.
- Khalid, R., Kreutzer, W., & Bell, T. (2009). Combining Simulation and Animation of Queuing Scenarios in a Flash-based Discrete Event Simulator. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 20, 240-251.
- Kilgore, R.A. (2000). Silk, Java and Object-Oriented Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 246-252.
- Kim, J.O., & Mueller, C.W. (1978). *Introduction to Factor Analysis: What It Is and How To Do it*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Kim, K. (2006). The Future of Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: The Survey Says. *EDUCAUSE Quarterly*, 29(4), 22-30.
- Kirschner, P.A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R.E. (2006). Why Minimal Guidance During Instruction Does Not Work: An Analysis of the Failure of Constructivist, Discovery, Problem-Based, Experiential, and Inquiry-Based Teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75-86.
- Klein, U., Straßburger, S., & Beikirch, J. (1998). Distributed Simulation with JavaGPSS Based on the High Level Architecture. *Proceedings of the 1998 SCS International Conference on Web-Based Modeling and Simulation*, 85-90.
- Klobas, J., & McGill, T. (2010). The Role of Involvement in Learning Management System Success. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 22(2), 114-134. doi: 10.1007/s12528-010-9032-5
- Knowles, M.S. (1984). *Andragogy in Action*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Knuth, D.E. (1981). *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms* (2nd ed.). Reading: Addison-Wesley.
- Kolb, D.A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs: NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Korakakis, G., Pavlatou, E.A., Palyvos, J.A., & Spyrellis, N. (2009). 3D Visualization Types in Multimedia Applications for Science Learning: A Case Study for 8th Grade Students in Greece. *Computers & Education*, 52(2), 390-401.
- Kozma, R. (2003). The Material Features of Multiple Representations and Their Cognitive and Social Affordances for Science Understanding. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 205-226.
- Krahl, D. (2003). Extend: An Interactive Simulation Tool. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 188-196.
- Krahl, D. (2007). *ExtendSim7*. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*, 226-232.

- Krathwohl, D.R., Bloom, B.S., & Masia, B.B. (1996). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Handbook 1: Affective Domain* (2nd ed.). New York: Longman.
- Kreiman, J., & Mullarney, A. (1987). *SIMSCRIPT II.5 Programming Language* (4th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: CACI.
- Kreutzer, W. (1986). *System Simulation: Programming Styles and Languages*. Boston: Addison-Wesley Publisher Limited.
- Kreutzer, W., Hopkins, J., & Mierlo, M.C. (1997). *SimJAVA: A Framework for Modelling Queuing Networks in Java*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- Kreutzer, W., Hopkins, J., & Mierlo, M.V. (1997). SimJAVA - A Framework for Modeling Queueing Networks in Java. *Proceedings of the 29th Conference on Winter Simulation*, 483-488. doi: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/268437.268548>
- Kühl, T., Scheiter, K., Gerjets, P., & Gemballa, S. (2011). Can Differences in Learning Strategies Explain the Benefits of Learning from Static and Dynamic Visualizations?. *Computers & Education*, 56(1), 176-187.
- Kuljis, J., & Paul, R.J. (2000). A Review of Web Based Simulation: Whither We Wander?. *Proceedings of the 2000 Conference on Winter Simulation*, 1872-1881.
- L'Ecuyer, P., Meliani, L., & Vaucher, J. (2002). SSJ: A Framework for Stochastic Simulation in Java. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 234-242.
- Laitenberger, O., & Dreyer, H.M. (1998). Evaluating the Usefulness and the Ease of Use of a Web-based Inspection Data Collection Tool. *Proceedings of Fifth International on Software Metrics Symposium, 1998 (Metrics 1998)*, 122-132.
- Lambert, K.A., & Osborne, M. (2004). *Java: A Framework for Program Design and Data Structures*. Belmont, CA: Thomson-Brooks/Cole.
- Land, S. (2000). Cognitive Requirements for Learning with Open-ended Learning Environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48(3), 61-78.
- Landriscina, F. (2009). Simulation and Learning: The Role of Mental Models. *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society*, 5(2), 23-32.
- Lau, Y.-T. (2000). *The Art of Objects: Object-Oriented Design and Architecture*. Upper Saddle River: Addison-Wesley Professional
- Law, A.M. (2007). *Simulation Modeling and Analysis* (4 ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Law, A.M., & Kelton, W.D. (2000). *Simulation Modeling and Analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- LeBaron, T., & Jacobson, C. (2007). The Simulation Power of AutoMOD. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*, 210-218.
- Ledin, J. (2001). *Simulation Engineering: Build Better Embedded Systems Faster*. Lawrence, KS: CMP Books.
- Lee, J. (1999). Effectiveness of Computer-Based Instructional Simulation: A Meta Analysis. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 26(1), 71-85.
- Legris, P., Ingham, J., & Collette, P. (2003). Why Do People Use Information Technology? A Critical Review of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Information & Management*, 40(3), 191-204.
- Leutner, D. (1993). Guided Discovery Learning with Computer-based Simulation Games: Effects of Adaptive and Non-adaptive Instructional Support. *Learning and Instruction*, 3(2), 113-132.

- Liao, T.T., & Miller, D.C. (1996). Computer Games: Increase Learning in an Interactive Multidisciplinary Environment. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 24(2), 195-205.
- Little, M.C., & McCue, D.L. (1993). Construction and Use of a Simulation Package in C++: University of Newcastle Upon Tyne.
- Livesey, P.J. (1986). *Learning and Emotion: A Biological Synthesis*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lomow, G., & Baezner, D. (1989). A Tutorial Introduction to Object-Oriented Simulation and SIM++. *Proceedings of the 1989 Winter Simulation Conference*, 140-146.
- Lopez, L.A. (2006). *New Perspective on Macromedia Flash 8: Comprehensive*. Boston: Thompson Course Technology.
- Lott, J., & Patterson, D. (2007). *Advanced ActionScript 3 with Design Patterns*. Berkeley, CA: Peachpit Press.
- Lowe, R. (2004). Interrogation of a Dynamic Visualization During Learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(3), 257-274.
- Lunce, L.M. (2004). Computer Simulations in Distance Education. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 1(10), 29-40.
- Lunce, L.M. (2006). Simulations: Bringing the Benefits of Situated Learning to the Traditional Classroom. *Journal of Applied Educational Technology*, 3(1), 37-45.
- m-Plant: Empower for Manufacturing Process Management. (2003). from http://www.sim-serv.com/pdf/tools/tool_14.pdf
- Macal, C.M. (2001). Simulation and Visualization. *SIMULATION*, 77(49), 90-92.
- Maldonado, H., Lee, J.-E.R., Brave, S., Nass, C., Nakajima, H., Yamada, R. (2005). We Learn Better Together: Enhancing eLearning with Emotional Characters. *Proceedings of the 2005 Conference on Computer Support for Collaborative Learning 2005: The Next 10 Years*, 408-417.
- Markowitz, H., Hausner, B., & Karr, H.W. (1963). *SIMSCRIPT: A Simulation Programming Language*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Martinez, M. (2000). International Learning in an International World. *ACM Journal of Computer Documentation*, 24(1), 3-20. doi: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/330409.330411>
- Mascarenhas, E., Rego, V., & Sang, J. (1995). DISplay: A System for Visual-Interaction in Distributed Simulations. *Proceedings of the 1995 Winter Simulation Conference*, 698-705.
- Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting User Intentions: Comparing the Technology Acceptance Model with the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 173-191.
- Matloff, N. (2008). Introduction to Discrete-Event Simulation and the SimPy Language. Retrieved September 2008, 2008, from <http://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/~matloff/156/PLN/DESIntro.pdf>
- Matwiczak, K.M. (1990). Interactive Simulation: Let the User Beware. *Proceedings of the 1990 Winter Simulation Conference*, 453-456.
- Mayer, R.E. (2003). Elements of a Science of E-learning. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* 29(3), 297 - 313
- Mayer, R.E., Hegarty, M., Mayer, S., & Campbell, J. (2005). When Static Media Promote Active Learning: Annotated Illustrations Versus Narrated Animations in Multimedia Instruction. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 11(4), 256-265.

- Mayer, R.E., & Moreno, R. (2003). Nine Ways to Reduce Cognitive Load in Multimedia Learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 43-52.
- McKenna, P., & Laycock, B. (2004). Constructivist or Instructivists Pedagogical Concepts Practically Applied to a Computer Learning Environment. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 36(3), 166-170.
- McNab, R., & Howell, F.W. (1996). Using Java for Discrete Event Simulation. *Proceeding of Twelfth UK Computer and Telecommunications Performance Engineering Workshop (UKPEW)*, 219-228.
- McNab, R., & Howell, F.W. (1998). simjava: A Discrete Event Simulation Package for Java with Applications in Computer Systems Modelling. *Proceeding of the First International Conference on Web-based Modelling and Simulation*.
- Melão, N., & Pidd, M. (2007). Using Component Technology to Develop a Simulation Library for Business Process Modelling. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 172(1), 163-178.
- Meyer, R., Page, B., Kreutzer, W., Knaak, N., & Lechler, T. (2005a). DESMO-J - A Framework for Discrete Event Modelling & Simulation. In B. Page & W. Kreutzer (Eds.), *The Java Simulation Handbook - Simulating Discrete Event Systems with UML and Java* (pp. 263-335). Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Meyer, R., Page, B., Kreutzer, W., Knaak, N., & Lechler, T. (2005b). DESMO-J - A Framework for Discrete Event Modelling & Simulation. In B. Page & W. Kreutzer (Eds.), *Simulating Discrete Event Systems with UML and Java*. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Michael, K.Y. (2000). *A Comparison of Students' Product Creativity Using a Computer Simulation Activity Versus a Hands-on Activity in Technology Education*. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Michelson, J.D., & Manning, L. (2008). Competency Assessment in Simulation-based Procedural Education. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 196(4), 609-615.
- Milrad, M. (2002). Using Construction Kits, Modeling Tools and System Dynamics Simulations to Support Collaborative Discovery Learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 5(4), 76-87.
- Miller, J.A., Ge, Y., & Tao, J. (1998). Component-Based Simulation Environment: JSIM as a Case Study Using Java Beans. *Proceedings of the 1998 Winter Simulation Conference*, 373-381.
- Miller, J.A., Ge, Y., & Tao, J. (1998). *Component-based Simulation Environments: JSIM as a Case Study Using Java Beans*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 30th conference on Winter simulation, Washington, D.C., United States.
- Milrad, M. (2002). Using Construction Kits, Modeling Tools and System Dynamics Simulations to Support Collaborative Discovery Learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 5(4), 76-87.
- Min, R. (2003). Simulation and Discovery Learning in an Age of Zapping and Searching: Learning Models. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 4(2).
- Mohler, J.L. (2006). *Flash 8: Graphics, Animation and Interactivity*. New York: Thomson/Delmar Learning.
- Moock, C. (2002). *ActionScript for Flash MX: The Definitive Guide, Second Edition* (2 ed.). Sebastopol: O'Reilly Media.
- Moock, C. (2004). *Essential ActionScript 2.0*. Farnham: O'Reilly.
- Moreno, R. (2006). Does the Modality Principle Hold for Different Media? A Test of the Method-Affects-Learning Hypothesis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 22(3), 149-158. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00170.x

- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. (2007). Interactive Multimodal Learning Environments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(3), 309-326. doi: 10.1007/s10648-007-9047-2
- Moretti, S. (2002). Computer Simulation in Sociology: What Contributions?. *Social Science Computer Review*, 20(1), 43-57.
- Narayanan, N.H., & Hegarty, M. (2002). Multimedia Design for Communication of Dynamic Information. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 57(4), 279-315. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/ijhc.2002.1019>
- Narayanan, S., Cowgill, J., Malu, P., Nandha, H., Patel, C., Schneider, N. (1997). Web-based Distributed Interactive Simulation Using Java. *Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Manufacturing and Cybernetics*, 3, 2690-2695.
- Neumann, G., Page, B., Kreutzer, W., Kiesel, G., & Meyer, R. (2005). Simulation and E-Learning. In B. Page & W. Kreutzer (Eds.), *Simulating Discrete Event Systems with UML and Java* (pp. 401-433). Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Nigel, N. (2008). *Curriculum and the Teacher: 35 years of the Cambridge Journal of Education*. London: Routledge.
- Njoo, M., & Jong, T.D. (1993). Exploratory Learning with a Computer Simulation for Control Theory: Learning Processes and Instructional Support. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), 821-844.
- Noguez, J., & Sucar, L. (2005). A Semi-open Learning Environment for Virtual Laboratories *MICAI 2005: Advances in Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 1185-1194).
- Nordgren, W.B. (2003). Flexsim Simulation Environment. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, 197-200.
- O'Reilly, J. (2002). Introduction to AweSim. *Proceedings of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, 221-224.
- Odhabi, H.I., Paul, R.J., & Macredie, R.D. (1998). Developing a Graphical User Interface for Discrete Event Simulation. *Proceedings of the 1998 Winter Simulation Conference*, 429-436.
- Oloruntegbe, K.O., & Alam, G.M. (2010). Evaluation of 3d Environments and Virtual Realities in Science Teaching and Learning: The Need to Go Beyond Perception Referents. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 5(9), 948-954.
- Oses, N., Pidd, M., & Brooks, R.J. (2004). Critical Issues in the Development of Component-based Discrete Simulation. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 12(7-8), 495-514.
- Paas, F., Tuovinen, J., Tabbers, H., & Gerven, P.V. (2003). Cognitive Load Measurement as a Means to Advance Cognitive Load Theory. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 63-71.
- Page, B., & Kreutzer, W. (2005). *The Java Simulation Handbook: Simulating Discrete Event Systems with UML and Java*. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Page, E.H., Moose, R.L.J., & P.Griffin, S. (1997). Web-Based Simulation in Simjava Using Remote Method Invocation. *Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference*, 468-473.
- Parrish, P. (2009). Aesthetic Principles for Instructional Design. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 57(4), 511-528. doi: 10.1007/s11423-007-9060-7
- Payne, J.W. (1982). Contingent Decision Behavior. *Psychological Bulletin*, 92(2), 382-402.
- Pedgen, C.D. (2007). Simio: A New Simulation System Based on Intelligent Objects. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*, 2293-2300.

- Pegden, C.D. (1989). *Introduction to SIMAN*. Sewickley, PA: Systems Modelling Cooperation.
- Pegden, C.D., Alan, A., & Pritsker, B. (1978). SLAM Tutorial. *Proceedings of the 1982 Winter Simulation Conference*, 661-668
- Pegden, C.D., Shannon, R.E., & Sadowski, R.P. (1995). *Introduction to Simulation Using Siman* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Peters, K., & Yard, T. (2004). *Extending Macromedia Flash MX 2004: Complete Guide and Reference to JavaScript Flash*. Birmingham: Friends of ED.
- Piaget, J. (1977). *The Development of Thought: Equilibration of Cognitive Structures*. Oxford: B. Blackwell.
- Pidd, M. (2004). *Computer Simulation in Management Sciences* (5th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Pikkarainen, T., Pikkarainen, K., Karjaluoto, H., & Pahlila, S. (2004). Consumer Acceptance of Online Banking: An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Internet Research*, 14(3), 224-235.
- Pilkington, R., & Parker-Jones, C. (1996). Interacting with Computer-based Simulation: The Role of Dialogue. *Computers and Education*, 27(1), 1-14.
- Porter, T.S., Riley, T.M., & Ruffer, R.L. (2004). A Review of the Use of Simulations in Teaching Economics. *Social Science Computer Review*, 22(4), 426-443.
- Praehofer, H., Sametinger, J., & Stritzinger, A. (2001). Concepts and Architecture of a Simulation Framework Based on the JavaBeans Component Model. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 17(5), 539-559.
- Prensky, M. (2001). *Digital Game-Based Learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Pritsker, A.A.B., & O'Reilly, J.J. (1999). *Simulation with Visual SLAM and AweSim*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Pritsker, A.A.B., Sigal, C.E., & Hammesfahr, R.D.J. (1994). *SLAM II: Network Models for Decision Support*. New York: Scientific Press.
- Quinn, C.N. (2005). *Engaging Learning: Designing e-Learning Simulation Games*. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Quinn, J., & Alessi, S. (1994). The Effects of Simulation Complexity and Hypothesis-generation Strategy on Learning. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education* 27(1), 75-91.
- Radcliff, J.B. (2005). Why Soft Skill Simulation. www.competenet.com/downloads/SimulationWP-F1.pdf
- Reid, D.J., Zhang, J., & Chen, Q. (2003). Supporting Scientific Discovery Learning in a Simulation Environment. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19, 9-20.
- Rekapalli, P.V., & Martinez, J.C. (2007). A Message-Based Architecture to Enable Runtime User Interaction on Concurrent Simulation-Animations of Construction Operations. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*, 2028-2031
- Renque Discrete Event Simulation: User's Guide. (2008). Retrieved September, 6, 2008, from <http://www.renque.com/downloads/RenqueManual.pdf>
- Renshaw, C.E., & Taylor, H.A. (2000). The Educational Effectiveness of Computer-based Instruction. *Computer & Geocities*, 26, 677-682.
- Repenning, A., Ioannidou, A., Payton, M., Ye, W., & Roschelle, J. (2001). Using Components for Rapid Distributed Software Development. *Journal of Software*, 18(2), 38-45.
- Rice, S.V., Marjanski, A., M., M.H., & Bailey, S.M. (2004). Object Oriented SIMSCRIPT. *Proceedings of the 37th Annual Simulation Symposium*, 178-187.

- Rice, S.V., Marjanski, A., Markowitz, H.M., & Bailey, S.M. (2005). The SIMSCRIPT III Programming Language for Modular Object-Oriented Simulation. *Proceedings of 2005 Winter Simulation Conference*, 621-630.
- Rieber, L.P. (1992). Computer-based Microworlds: A bridge between Constructivism and Direct Instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 40(1), 93-106.
- Rieber, L.P. (1995). Using Computer-based Microworlds with Children with Pervasive Developmental Disorders: An Informal Case Study. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 4(1), 75-94.
- Rieber, L.P. (1996). Seriously Considering Play: Designing Interactive Learning Environments Based on the Blending of Microworlds, Simulations, and Games. *Educational Technology Research & Development*, 44(2), 43-58.
- Rieber, L.P. (2002). Supporting Discovery-based Learning with Simulations. *The International Workshop on Dynamic Visualizations and Learning*, Knowledge Media Research Center.
- Rieber, L.P., Tzeng, S.-C., & Tribble, K. (2004). Discovery learning, representation, and explanation within a computer-based simulation: finding the right mix. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(3), 307-323.
- River, R.H., & Vockell, E. (1987). Computer Simulations to Stimulate Scientific Problem Solving. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24, 403-415.
- Rob, P., & Semaan, E. (2000). *Databases: Design, Development and Deployment*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Robinson, S.L. (1994). An Introduction to Visual Interactive Simulation in Business. *International Journal of Information Management*, 14(1), 13-23.
- Robinson, W.R. (2000). A View of the Science Education Research Literature: Scientific Discovery Learning with Computer Simulations. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 77(1), 17. doi: 10.1021/ed077p17
- Rohrer, M.W. (2000). Seeing is Believing: The Importance of Visualization in Manufacturing Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1211-1216.
- Romiszkowski, A. (2004). How's the E-learning Baby? Factors Leading to Success or Failure of an Educational Technology Innovation. *Educational Technology*, 44(1), 5-27.
- Rooks, M. (1991). A Unified Framework for Visual Interactive Simulation. *Proceedings of the 1991 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1146-1155.
- Roschelle, J., DiGiano, C., Koutlis, M., Repenning, A., Phillips, J., Jackiw, N. (1999). Developing Educational Software Components. *Journal of Computer*, 32(9), 50 - 58
- Rose, L.L. (1981). Hierarchical Modelling in GASP. *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Symposium on Simulation*, 199-213.
- Rossetti, M.D., Aylor, B., Jacoby, R., Prorock, A., & White, A. (2000). SIMFONE: An Object-Oriented Simulation Framework. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1855-1864.
- Rosson, M.B., & Seals, C.D. (2001). Teachers as Simulation Programmers: Minimalist Learning and Reuse. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 237-244.
- Saadé, R., & Bahli, B. (2005). The Impact of Cognitive Absorption on Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use in On-line Learning: An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Information & Management*, 42(2), 317-327.

- Sahin, S. (2006). Computer Simulations in Science Education: Implications for Distance Education. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 7(4), 132-146.
- Sanders, W.B. (2004). *Macromedia Flash MX Professional 2004: Kick Start*. Indianapolis: Sams.
- Sanders, W.B., & Cumarantunge, C. (2007). *ActionScript 3.0 Design Patterns*. Sebastapol, CA: O'Reilly.
- Sargent, R.G. (2004). Some Recent Advances in the Process Worldview. *Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference*, 294-299.
- Schank, R.C., Berman, T.R., & Macpherson, K.A. (1999). Learning by Doing. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), *Instructional-Design Theories and Models: A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory, Vol. 2 (Instructional Design Theories & Models)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schnotz, W., & Bannert, M. (2003). Construction and Interference in Learning from Multiple Representation. *Learning and Instruction*, 13 (2), 141-156.
- Schwartz, D.L., Bransford, J.D., & Sears, D. (2005). Efficiency and Innovation in Transfer. In R. E. Haskell (Ed.), *Transfer of Learning from a Modern Multidisciplinary Perspective* (pp. 1-51). CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Schwetman, H. (1988). Using CSIM to Model Complex Systems. *Proceedings of the 1988 Winter Simulation Conference*, 246-253.
- Schwetman, H. (2001). CSIM19: A Powerful Tool for Building System Models. *Proceedings of the 2001 Winter Simulation Conference*, 250-255.
- Seila, A.F. (1986). *Discrete Event Simulation in PASCAL with SIMTOOLS*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Winter Simulation, Washington, DC.
- Seufert, T. (2003). Supporting Coherence Formation in Learning from Multiple Representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 13 (2), 227-237.
- Shannon, R.E. (1998). Introduction to the Art and Science of Simulation. *Proceedings of the 30th Conference on Winter Simulation*, 7-14.
- Sheppard, B.H., Hartwick, J., & Warshaw, P.R. (1998). The Theory of Reasoned Action: A Meta Analysis of Past Research with Recommendations for Modifications and Future Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15(3), 325-343.
- Shi, J.J., & Zhang, H. (1999). Iconic Animation of Construction Simulation. *Proceedings of the 1999 Winter Simulation Conference*, 992-997.
- Shupe, R., & Hoekman, R. (2006). *Flash 8: Projects for Learning Animation and Interactivity*. Sebastapol: O'Reilly Media Inc.
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3-10.
- Sikora, A., & Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz, E. (2007). A Federated Approach to Parallel and Distributed Simulation of Complex Systems. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences*, 17(1), 99-106.
- Smialek, M. (2002). Developing e-Learning Simulations with Tools You Already Know. Retrieved May 12, 2008, from <http://www.elearningguild.com/pdf/2/120302DEV-P.pdf>
- Smith, L.H., & Renzulli, J.S. (1984). Learning Style Preferences: A Practical Approach for Classroom Teachers. *Theory into Practice*, 23(1), 44-50.
- Stahl, I. (2003). How Should We Teach Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1602-1612.

- Stenalt, M.H., & Godsk, M. (2006). The Pleasure of E-Learning - Towards Aesthetic E-Learning Platforms. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference of European University Information Systems*, 210-212.
- Sterman, J.D. (2001). System Dynamics Modeling: Tools for Learning in a Complex World. *California Management Review*, 43(1), 8-25.
- Stoel, L., & Lee, K.H. (2003). Modeling the Effect of Experience on Student Acceptance of Web-based Courseware. *Internet Research*, 13 (5), 364 - 374.
- Strassburger, S., Schulze, T., Lemessi, M., & Rehn, G.D. (2005). Temporally Parallel Coupling of Discrete Simulation Systems with Virtual Reality Systems. *Proceedings of the 2005 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1949-1957.
- Su, B., Bonk, C.J., Magjuka, R.J., Liu, X., & Lee, S.-h. (2005). The Importance of Interaction in Web-Based Education: A Program-level Case Study of Online MBA Courses. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 4(1), 1-18.
- Swaak, J., & Jong, T.D. (2001a). Discovery Simulations and the Assessment of Intuitive Knowledge. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 17(3), 284-294.
- Swaak, J., & Jong, T.D. (2001b). Learner vs. System Control in Using Online Support for Simulation-based Discovery Learning. *Learning Environments Research*, 4(3), 217-241.
- Syrjakow, M., Berdux, J., & Szczerbicka, H. (2000). Interactive Web-based Animations for Teaching and Learning. *Proceedings of the 2000 Winter Simulation Conference*, 1651-1659.
- Tan, J., & Biswas, G. (2007). Simulation-Based Game Learning Environments: Building and Sustaining a Fish Tank. *The First IEEE International Workshop on Digital Game and Intelligent Toy Enhanced Learning*, 73-80.
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P.A. (1995). Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models. *Information Systems Research*, 6(2), 144-176. doi: 10.1287/isre.6.2.144
- Teo, T.S.H., Lim, V.K.G., & Lai, R.Y.C. (1999). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in Internet usage. *Omega*, 27(1), 25-37.
- Teoh, B.S.-P., & Neo, T.-K. (2007). Using Computer-generated Animation as Additional Visual Elaboration in Undergraduate Courses. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 6(4), 28-37.
- Thomas, R.C., & Milligan, C.D. (2004). Putting Teachers in the Loop: Tools for Creating and Customizing Simulations. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*(15).
- Thompson, W.B. (1996). Introduction to the WITNESS Visual Interactive Simulator and OLEII Automation. . *Proceedings of the 1996 Winter Simulation Conference*, 547-550.
- Tornatzky, L.G., & Klein, K.J. (1982). Innovation Characteristics and Innovation Adoption-Implementation: A Meta-Analysis of Findings. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 29(1), 28-45.
- Towne, D.M. (2007). *Enhancing Human Performance via Simulation-based Training and Aiding: A Guide to Design and Development*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Tumay, K. (1987). Factory Simulation with Animation: The No Programming Approach. *Proceedings of the 1987 Winter Simulation Conference*, 258-260.
- Tversky, B., & Morrison, J. (2002). Animation: Can It facilitate?. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 57, 247-262.

- Tyan, H.Y. (2002). *Design, Realization and Evaluation of a Component-Based Compositional Software Architecture for Network Simulation*. (PhD Thesis), The Ohio State University.
- Valentine, E.C., Verbraeck, A., & Sol, H.G. (2003). Advantages and Disadvantages of Building Blocks in Simulation Studies: A Laboratory Experiment with Simulation Expert. *Proceedings of the 15th European Simulation Symposium*, 141-148.
- Veeke, H.P.M., & Ottjes, J.A. (1999). Tomas: Tool for Object-Oriented Modelling and Simulation. *Proceedings of the Business and Industry Simulation Symposium*, 76-81.
- Veermans, K., Jong, T.D., & Joolingen, W.R.V. (2000). Promoting Self-Directed Learning in Simulation-Based Discovery Learning Environments Through Intelligent Support. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 8(3), 229-255.
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F.D. (2000). A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186-204.
- Venkatesh, V., & Morris, M. (2000). Why Don't Men Ever Stop to Ask for Directions? Gender, Social Influence, and Their Role in Technology Acceptance and Usage Behavior. *MIS Quarterly*, 24(1), 115-139.
- Vogel-Walcutt, J.J., Gebirim, J.B., & Nicholson, D. (2010). Animated versus Static Images of Team Processes to Affect Knowledge Acquisition and Learning Efficiency. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 6(1), 163-173.
- Vossen, G., & Westerkamp, P. (2006). Towards the Next Generation of E-Learning Standards: SCORM for Service-Oriented Environments. *Proceedings of Sixth International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies*, 1031-1035.
- Vries, J.D. (2004). Character-Based Simulations: What Works. http://www.openu.ac.il/research_center/download/CHARAC1.pdf
- Wagner, E.D. (2006). Delivering on the Promise of eLearning. http://www.adobe.com/education/pdf/elearning/Promise_of_eLearning_wp_final.pdf
- Wahlstedt, A., Pekkola, S., & Niemelä, M. (2008). From e-learning Space to e-learning Place. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 39, 1020-1030. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.2008.00821_1.x
- Wainer, G.A., & Mosterman, P.J. (2010). *Discrete-Event Modeling and Simulation: Theory and Applications*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Warshaw, P.R., & Davis, F.D. (1985). Disentangling Behavioral Intention and Behavioral Expectation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 21(3), 213-228.
- Wenzel, S., & Jessen, U. (2001). The Integration of 3-D Visualization into the Simulation-based Planning Process of Logistics Systems. *SIMULATION*, 77(3-4), 114 -127.
- White, B., Shimoda, T., & Frederiksen, J. (1999). Enabling Students to Construct Theories of Collaborative Inquiry and Reflective Learning: Computer Support for Metacognitive Development. *International Journal of AI in Education*, 10, 151-182.
- Whiteside, J.A. (2002). Beyond Interactivity: Immersive Web-Based Learning Experiences. Retrieved May 12, 2007, from www.elearningguild.com/pdf/2/120302DEV-P.pdf

- Whitworth, B., Banuls, V., Sylla, C., & Mahinda, E. (2008). Expanding the Criteria for Evaluating Socio-Technical Software. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Manufacturing and Cybernetics*, 38(4), 777-790.
- Wilson, B.G., Jonassen, D.H., & Cole, P. (1993). The ASTD Handbook of Instructional Technology. In G. M. Piskurich (Ed.), *Cognitive Approaches to Instructional Design* (pp. 21.21-21.22). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Wittrock, M.C. (1989). Generative Processes of Comprehension. *Educational Psychologist*, 24(4), 345.
- Woo, Y., & Reeves, T. (2007). Meaningful Interaction in Web-based Learning: A Social Constructivist Interpretation. *Internet and Higher Education*, 10(1), 15-25.
- Wright, P. (1998). *Beginning Visual Basic 6 Objects*. Indianapolis: Wrox Press.
- Wurdinger, S.D., & Carlson, J. (2010). *Teaching for Experiential Learning: Five Approaches that Work*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Education.
- Yahiaoui, A., Hensen, J.L.M., & Soethout, L.L. (2004). Developing CORBA-based Distributed Control and Building Performance Environments by Run-time Coupling. *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computing in Civil and Building Engineering*, 86-94.
- Yi, M.R., & Cho, T.H. (2001). Hierarchical Simulation Model with Animation for Large Network Security. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2229, 456-460.
- Yi, M.R., & Cho, T.H. (2003). Hierarchical Simulation Model with Animation. *Engineering with Computers*, 19(2), 203-212.
- Yin, C., Ogata, H., & Yano, Y. (2007). Participatory Simulation Framework to Support Learning Computer Science. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation* 1(3), 288 - 304.
- Zak, D. (2009). *Clearly Visual Basic programming with Microsoft Visual Basic 2008*. Boston: Course Technology.
- Zeigler, B.P. (1984). *Multifaceted Modeling and Discrete Event Simulation*. London: Academic Press.
- Zeigler, B.P. (1990). *Object Oriented Simulation with Modular, Hierarchical Models*. New York: Academic Press.
- Zeigler, B.P. (2000). *Theory of Modeling and Simulation* (2nd ed.). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Zhang, J., Chen, Q., Sun, Y., & Reid, D.J. (2004). Triple Scheme of Learning Support Design for Scientific Discovery Learning Based on Computer Simulation: Experimental Research. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 20, 269-282.
- Zhong, Y., & Shirinzadeh, B. (2004). Analysis, Conversion and Visualization of Discrete Simulation Results. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Information Visualisation*, 118-123.