THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAFETY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE AND SAFETY BEHAVIOUR AMONG EMPLOYEES OF ONE AUTOMOTIVE COMPANY IN MALAYSIA

LUKMAN ARIF BIN SIDEK



MASTER OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA November 2015

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAFETY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE AND SAFETY BEHAVIOUR AMONG EMPLOYEES OF ONE AUTOMOTIVE COMPANY IN MALAYSIA



Project Paper Submitted to Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia, in Fullfiment of the Requirement for the Masters of Occupational Safety and Health Management



Pusat Pengajian Pengurusan Perniagaan SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

Universiti Utara Malaysia

a de la contra de la

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK (Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certified that) LUKMAN ARIF SIDEK (801533)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana (Candidate for the degree of) <u>MASTER OF SCIENCE (OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH MANAGEMENT)</u>

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAFETY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE AND SAFETY BEHAVIOR AMONG EMPLOYEES OF ONE AUTOMOTIVE COMPANY IN MALAYSIA

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia (Name of Supervisor) DR. FADZLI SHAH BIN AB. AZIZ

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh *(Date)* : 03 DISEMBER 2015

DISCLAIMER

The author is responsible for the accuracy of all opinions, technical comment, factual report, data, figures, illustrations and photographs in the dissertation. The author bears full responsibility for the checking whether material submitted is subjected to copyright or ownership right. Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) does not accept any liability for the accuracy of such comment, report any other technical and factual information and the copyright or ownership right claims.

The author declares that this dissertation is original and his own except those literatures, quotations, explanations and summarizations which are duly identified and recognized. The author hereby granted the copyright of the dissertation to College of Business, University Utara Malaysia (UUM) for publishing if necessary.



PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of the University may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) on their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my project paper. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper, parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due to recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my project paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project paper in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business



Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

Universiti Utara Malaysia

ABSTRACT

There are limited research and studies been carried out involving Safety Management Practices and Safety Behaviour. Safety awareness programs have been actively conducted to inspire employees' involvement and commitment toward safety, however there are still many accidents and incidents reported involving manufacturing firms. Safety management practices improve working conditions, employees' safety motivation and behavior which in turn will reduce the accidents in workplace. This study measured employee perception on six safety management practices; management commitment, safety training, worker's involvement in safety, safety communication and feedback, safety rules and procedures and safety promotion and policies as the independent as the independent variables and its relationship towards safety behavior; safety compliances and safety participation as dependent variables by conducting a survey using questionnaire among 360 employees of one automotive company in Malaysia. The analysis using SPSS Version 19 software showed that some of the safety management practices have a strong relationship with the safety behavior. Management commitment, safety training and workers' involvement were the safety management practices that highly correlate the safety compliances and safety participation. These results provided valuable guidance for scholars and practitioners in identifying the method where they can improve safety at the workplace.



Universiti Utara Malaysia

ABSTRAK

Terdapatnya kajian yang terhad terhadap amalan-amalan pengurusan keselamatan dan kelakuan keselamatan pekerjaan. Program-program kesedaran keselamatan telah dilakukan secara aktif bagi menggalakkan komitmen kepada keselamatan pekerjaan, walaubagaimanapun kemalangan dan insiden yang tidak diingini yang dilaporkan masih berlaku dengan banyaknya terutama di sector perkilangan. Amalan pengurusan keselamatan pekerjaan yang baik dapat memberi kesan kepada keaadaan pekerjaan, motivasi serta kelakuan kesalamatan pekerjaan dan seterusnya mengurangkan kemalangan dan insiden yang tidak diingini daripada berlaku. Kajian ini telah mengambilkira enam amalan keselamatan pekerjaan; komitmen pihak pengurusan, latihan keselamatan pekerjaan, penglibatan para pekerja, komunikasi keselamatan dan maklum balas, peraturan dan prosedur keselamatan serta polisi dan promosi keselamatan sebagai pembolehubah bebas dan hubungannya ke atas pematuhan keselamatan pekerjaan dan penyertaan keselamatan pekerjaan sebagai pembolehubah bersandar dengan menjalankan kaji selidik menggunakan soal-selidik antara 360 kakitangan sebuah syarikat automotif di Malaysia. Analisis telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan SPSS versi 19 menghasilkan keputusan bahawa amalan-amalan pengurusan keselamatan pekerjaan mempunyai kaitan yang kuat dan sederhana dengan kelakuan keselamatan. Komitmen pengurusan, latihan keselamatan pekerjaan dan penglibatan para pekerja adalah amalan pengurusan yang paling penting dan memberi hubungan ke atas pematuhan dan penyertaan pekerja terhadap keselamatan pekerjaan. Dapatan ini akan dapat memberi panduan yang baik untuk para pengkaji dan pengamal keselamatan pekerjaan dalam mengenalpasti metod atau cara-cara yang dapat meningkatkan keselamatan pekerjaan di tempat kerja.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I dedicate this study to my beloved Father and Mother who are very patient in my further studies. Although the duration of the study has taken the time to settle, they have been there for me throughout my journey both financially and emotionally. I am really honoured and grateful for all the advices given to excel in the worldly life and also hereafter.

This appreciation also specially goes to my loving wife and children who gave the loving support for me to stand up tall in the struggle to complete a challenging phase in life. With all the tears shed, it was worth the waiting, inshaAllah.

I would like to also thank Dr. Fadzli Shah bin Abdul Aziz for guiding me the way in accomplishing the task given within the required time, Alhamdulillah. This includes my lecturers, colleagues and friends of Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) who had given the tremendous support in completing the project paper.

Finally, my highest appreciation goes to my management who granted the chance for me to continue my studies and had made things easy for me to focus and excel in education. In the end, I once again thank everybody whom are direct or indirectly involved in my journey to complete the project paper. May Allah bless us all.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER.		iii
PERMISSION 7	ГО USE	iv
ABSTRACT		v
ABSTRAK		vi
ACKNOWLED	GEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CO	NTENT	viii
LIST OF TABL	Е	xi
LIST OF FIGUE	RE	xii
LIST OF ABBR	EVIATIONS	xiii
13/	IE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduct	tion	1
1.2 Backgrou	und of Study	3
1.3 Company	y Background	4
1.4 Problem	Statement	6
1.5 Research	n Objective	7
1.6 Research	a Question	8
1.7 Significa	ance of Study	8
CHAPTER TW	O: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduct	tion	11
2.2 Safety M	Ianagement Practices	11
2.2.1 N	Management commitment	12
2.2.2 \$	Safety training	13
2.2.3 W	Vorker's involvement	14

	2.2.4 Safety communication and feedback	15
	2.2.5 Safety rules and procedure	17
	2.2.6 Safety promotion policies	17
2.3	Safety Behaviour	18
2.4	Emperical studies on Safety Management Practices towards Safety	
	Behaviour	19
2.5	Theory	20
2.6	Summary	21
CHA	PTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Conceptual Framework	23
3.3	Hypothesis	25
3.4	Research Design	25
3.5	Instrumentation and Measurement	26
3.6	Sampling Procedure and Data Collection	28
3.7	Pilot Studies	29
3.8	Summary	30
CHA	PTER FOUR: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	32
4.1	Introduction	32
4.2	Data Analysis	32
4.3	Response Rate	32
4.4	Distribution of frequency analysis	33
	4.4.1 Profile of respondents	33
4.5	Reliability test of questionnaire items	40
4.6	To answer research question	42

4.7	Correlations	45
4.8	Summary of Hypothesis Test Results and Test Method	46
4.9	Summary	47
CHAI	PTER FIVE: DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	48
5.1	Introduction	48
5.2	Discussion of research questions	48
5.3	Implication	53
	5.3.1 Theoretical implication	53
	5.3.2 Practical implication	53
5.4	Limitation	54
5.5	Recommendation future studies	54
REFE	CRENCES	56
APEN	DIX	60
A	Survey Questionnaire	60
	Universiti Utara Malaysia	

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Five-point Likert Scale	28
3.2	Number questionnaires circulated and returned	29
3.3	Tested Alpha for Pilot Study	30
4.1	The Reliability Test of All Variables	41
4.2	The Reliability Test of Each Variable	41
4.3	Classification of Mean	42
4.4	Safety Management Practices and Safety Behaviour - Mean Statistics	43
4.5	Safety Management Practices - Mean Statistics	43
4.6	Safety Behaviour - Mean Statistics	44
4.7	Table of Correlation	45
4.8	Correlation Analysis of Safety Management Practice and Safety	
	Behaviour	46
4.9	Summary of Hypothesis Test Result and Test Method	46





LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Comparison of Industrial Accidents (IA) Cases in 2014 and 2015	7
3.1	Hypothesis Model (Vinodkumar & Bhasi,2010)	24
3.2	Research Conceptual Framework	24
4.1	Job Position Category of Survey Respondents	34
4.2	Education Level of Survey Respondents	35
4.3	Age Group of Survey Respondents	36
4.4	Gender of Survey Respondents	37
4.5	Years of Experience of Survey Respondents	38
4.6	Unreported Occupational Accidents of Survey Respondents	39
4.7	Total Occupational Accidents of Survey Respondents	40





LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
IA	Industrial Accidents
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISO/ TS	International Organization for Standardization/ Technical
	Specification
MC	Medical Certificate
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NPD	Non-Permanent Disability
OHSAS	Occupational Health and Safety Management System
OSH	Occupational Safety and Health
OSHMS	Occupational Safety and Health Management System
PD	Permanent Disability
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
SHE	Safety, Health and Environment
SMS	Short Messaging System
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences





CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Employees who are in the mining, construction, transport and manufacturing are the people most vulnerable to the risk of serious accidents and injuries (Ivancevich, 1995). Vredenburgh (2002) in his study revealed that a mere 10 percent of the rate of accidents in the workplace is caused by machinery and physical conditions of the workplace. Meanwhile, other issues are due to the unsafe acts of employees and employee interaction with the systems in the organization (Wilpert, 1994). In addition, workplace injury or occupational injuries also commonly to occur as a result of employee unsafe behaviour, the organization factor and ascending risks of the work environment. Thus, occupational safety and health management provides the legislative framework to secure the safety, health and welfare among all workforces and to protect others against risks to safety or health in connection with the activities of persons at work (Dessler, 2011).

Malaysia, in the field of occupational safety and health is being governed by the Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH). It is a department under the Ministry of Human Resources responsible for ensuring the safety, health and welfare of people at work as well as protecting other people from the safety and health hazards arising from the activities sectors which include manufacturing, mining and quarrying, construction, hotels and restaurant, agriculture, forestry and fishing, transport, storage and communication, public services and statutory authorities, utilities - gas, electricity, water and sanitary services, finance, insurance, real estate

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCE

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational behavior and human decision processes, 50(2), 179-211.
- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1979). Educational Research. New York: Longman.
- Cheyne, A., Cox, S., Oliver, A., & Tomás, J. M. (1998). Modelling safety climate in the prediction of levels of safety activity. *Work & Stress*, 12(3), 255-271.
- Cabridge English Dictionary Online 2015, *Meaning of "behaviour" in the English Dictionary*, retrieved on November 2015 from <u>http://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/behaviour</u>
- Chua, Y.P. (2006). *Asas statistik penyelidikan*. Kuala Lumpur: McGraw Hill Education.
- Cohen, A. (1977). Factors in successful safety programs. *Journal of Safety Research* 9, 168–178.
- Cohen, H. H., & Cleveland, R. J. (1983). Safety program practices in record-holding plants. *Professional Safety*, 28(3), 26-33.
- Cox, S.J., Cheyne, A.J.T., 2000. Assessing safety culture in offshore environments. *Safety Science* 34, 111–129.
- Coyle, I., Sleeman, S., Adams, D., 1995. Safety climate. Journal of Safety Research22,247-254.
- Cooper, M.D. & Phillips, R.A. (2004). Exploratory analysis of the safety climate and safety behavior relationship. *Journal of Safety Research* 35 (2004) 497– 512
- Cox, S. J., & Cheyne, A. J. T. (2000). Assessing safety culture in offshore environments. *Safety science*, 34(1), 111-129.
- Cronbach, L.J., 1951. Coefficient alpha and the internal structures of tests. *Psychometrika* 16, 297–334. 61
- De Greef, M. and Van den Broek, K., Quality of the Working Environment and Productivity: Research Findings and Case Studies, *European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, Belgium*, 2004a
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) 2015, *Profile of Department* of Occupational Safety and Health, retrieved on November 2015 from <u>http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&i</u> <u>d=39&Itemid=172&lang=en</u>

- Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) 2014, *Guidelines on* Occupational Safety and Health Management Systems, retrieved on November 2015 from <u>http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php?option=com_docman&task=cat_view&g</u> <u>id=12&Itemid=179&lang=en</u>
- DePasquale, J.P., Geller, E. (1999). Critical success factors for behaviour based safety: a study of twenty industry-wide applications. *Journal of Safety Research* 30, 237–249.
- Dessler, G, 2011, *Human Resource Management*, 12th edition, Upper Saddle River, NJ, Pearson / Prentice Hall.
- DeVellis, R. F. (2003). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (2 ed., Vol. 26). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dyer, W. G. (1994). Potential Contributions of Organizational Behavior to the Study of Family-Owned Businesses. *Family Business Review*, 7(2), 109-131.
- Fidderman, H & McDonnell. K (2010). Worker involvement in health and safety: what works? A report to the HSE prepared by on behalf of the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents (RoSPA).
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to theory and research.
- Flin, R., Mearns, K., O'Connor, P., Bryden, R., 2000. Measuring safety climate: identifying the common features. *Safety Science* 34, 177–193.
- Garrett, R. B., & Perry, A. J. (1996). A safer way to move patients. Occupational health & safety (Waco, Tex.), 65(9), 60-1.
- Geller, E.S. (2001). The Psychology of safety handbooks, Boca Raton, Florida: Lewis Publisher.
- Glendon, A. I., & Litherland, D. K. (2001). Safety climate factors, group differences and safety behaviour in road construction. *Safety science*, *39*(3), 157-188.
- Griffin, M.A., & Neal, A. (2000). Perceptions of safety at work: a framework for linking safety climate to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation. Journal of Occupational Health and Psychology 5, 347–358.
- Goodrum, P. M., & Gangwar, M. (2004). Safety incentives. A study of their effectiveness in construction. *Professional Safety*, 49(7), 24–34.
- Hagan, P.E., Montgomery, J.F., O'Reilly, J.T., 2001. Accident Prevention Manual for Business and Industry, 12th ed. NSC, Illinois, USA.

- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Babin, B.J., Black, W.C., 2009. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Prentice-Hall International, New Jersey, USA.
- Hinze, J. (2002). Safety incentives: do they reduce injuries? ASCE Practice Periodical on Structures and Construction 7 (2), 81–84.
- Ivancevich, J.M. (1995). Human Resource Management. 6th Ed. Chicago: Irwin. International Labor Organization, (2015). Retrieved on November 2015 <u>http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/safety-and-health-at-work/lang--</u><u>en/index.htm</u>
- Kirwan, B., 1998. Safety management assessment and task analysis—a missing link? In: Hale, A., Baram, M. (Eds.), *Safety Management: The Challenge of Change*. Elsevier, Oxford.
- Kolvereid, L. (1996). Prediction of employment status choice intentions. Working Paper Series-Henley Management College Hwp.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educ Psychol Meas*.
- Krueger, R. A., 86 Casey, M.A. (2000). Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research.
- Krueger, N.F. and Carsrud, A.L. (1993). Entrepreneurial intentions: Applying the theory of planned behaviour. *Entrepreneurship for Regional Development*, 5, 315-330.
- Labodová, A. (2004). Implementing integrated management systems using a risk analysis based approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 12(6), 571-580.
- Lamm, F., Massey, C., Perry, M., Is there a link between workplace health and Safety and Firm Performance and Productivity. *New Zealand Journal of Employment Relations*. Vol.32, No.1, 2006, pp. 75-90.
- Mattson, M., Torbiörn, I & Hellgren, J. (2014). Effects of staff bonus systems on safety behavior. *Human Resource Review* 24. 17-30.
- Mearns, K., Whitaker, S. M., & Flin, R. (2003). Safety climate, safety management practice and safety performance in offshore environments. *Safety Science*, 41(8), 641-680.
- Neal, A., & Griffin, M. A. (1997). Perceptions of safety at work: Developing a model to link organizational safety climate and individual behavior. In 12th Annual Conference of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, St. Louis, MO.
- Neal, A., Griffin, M.A., Hart, P.M., 2000. The impact of organizational climate on safety climate and individual behavior. Safety Science 34, 99–109.

- National Institute Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) 2015, *Message from the Chairman*, retrieved on November 2015 from http://www.niosh.com.my/corporate-info/message/chairman
- Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Othman, M. (2013) Middle-management support and safety training from towards employees safety behavior in the manufacturing environment. 67.
- Pidgeon, N. F. (1991). Safety culture and risk management in organizations. *Journal* of cross-cultural psychology, 22(1), 129-140.
- Pidgeon, N., 1998. Safety culture: key theoretical issues. Work and Stress 12 (3), 202–216.
- Proton News, 2014, Safety, Health and Environment Policy retrieved on November 2015 from http://aspire/News/Proton%20Com/Pages/SAFETY,HEALTHANDENVIRO NMENT(SHE)POLICY-October2014.aspx
- Vinodkumar, M. N., & Bhasi, M. (2010). Safety management practices and safety behaviour: Assessing the mediating role of safety knowledge and motivation. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 42(6), 2082-2093.
- Veloo, A., & Raman, A. (2013). Kaedah Analisis & Interpretasi Data. Sintok: Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Vredenburgh, A. G. (2002). Organizational safety: which management practices are most effective in reducing employee injury rates?. *Journal of safety Research*, 33(2), 259-276.
- Wachter, J. K., Yorio P.L. (2014). A system of safety management practices and worker engagement for reducing and preventing accidents: An empirical and theoretical investigation. *Accident Analysis and Prevention 68 (2014) 117–130*.
- Wilpert, B. (1994). Industrial/organizational psychology and ergonomics toward more comprehensive work sciences. *Proceedings of the 12th Triennial Congress of the International Ergonomics Association*,1,(37–40).
- Williamson, A.M., Feyer, A., Cairns, D., Biancotti, D., 1997. The development of ameasure to safety climate: the role of safety perceptions and attitudes. Safety Science 25, 15–27.
- Williams, J., & Geller, E. S. (2001) (Eds.). Keys to behavior-based safety from Safety Performance Solutions. Rockville, MD: Government Institutes.
- Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65, 96–102.