THE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE JOB PERFORMANCE



MASTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA September 2015

THE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE JOB PERFORMANCE

By NOR HIDAYAH BINTI OMAR



Dissertation Submitted to School of Business Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, In Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Master Degree of Human Resources Management (MHRM)



PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PENYELIDIKAN

(Certification of Research Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certified that) NOR HIDAYAH BINTI OMAR (813356)

Calon untuk ljazah Sarjana (Candidate for the degree of) MASTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

telah mengemukakan kertas penyelidikan yang bertajuk (has presented his/her research paper of the following title)

THE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE JOB PERFORMANCE

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas penyelidikan (as it appears on the title page and front cover of the research paper)

Bahawa kertas penyelidikan tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the research paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the research paper).

Nama Penyelia

(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh

20 DISEMBER 2015

DR. TAN FEE YEAN

(Date)

PERMISSION TO USE

This dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Human Resource Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), Sintok Kedah. I agree to allow the UUM's Library to display as general references. I do agree that any form of duplication either all or partially of this project paper for the purpose of academic only allowed under the approval of this research advisor or Dean of Research and Postgraduate, College of Business, UUM. Any form of duplication or printing for commercial reason is prohibited prior consent and written approval from the researcher. Any reference made on this project paper must be first acknowledged to the researcher and UUM.

Approval to copy or use either fully or partially of this project paper must be applied through:



ABSTRACT

Job performance is an individual output in terms of quality and quantity expected from every employee in a particular job. Individual performance is most of the determined by motivation and the will and ability to do the job. This study was conducted to find the factors that influence job performance among non-executive employees at Telekom Malaysia Berhad Kedah and Perlis and used quantitative method in determining the relationship between all the independent variables (reward and recognition, training and development and proactive personality) and dependent variable (job performance). This study involved 250 respondents from non-executive employees Kedah and Perlis. Researcher has distributed 300 sets of questionnaire to the respondents but researcher only received back 250 completed questionnaires. The questionnaire contains of 34 items that have used five point Likert scale. Each hypothesis was tested using Statistics Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. The obtained data were analyzed using descriptive analysis and inferential analysis namely correlation and regression. Three hypotheses were formed after performed the inferential analysis was used to determine the relationship between independent variables and dependent variable. The results of the study showed that that most of the respondents agreed that the two factors which are training and development and proactive personality can increase their job performance. As a conclusion, training and development and proactive personality can give positive effects to the non-executive employees through their good performanc. The findings were discussed and recommendations for further research were also addressed.

Key word: Reward and recognition, training and development, proactive personality and job performance.

ABSTRAK

Prestasi kerja adalah output individu dari segi kualiti dan kuantiti yang diharapkan daripada setiap pekerja dalam pekerjaan tertentu. Prestasi individu adalah sebahagian besar daripada yang ditentukan oleh motivasi dan kemahuan dan kemampuan untuk melakukan sesuatu pekerjaan. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mencari faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi prestasi kerja dalam kalangan pekerja bukan eksekutif di Telekom Malaysia Berhad Kedah dan Perlis dan kaedah kuantitatif digunakan dalam menentukan hubungan antara semua pembolehubah bebas (ganjaran pengiktirafan, latihan dan pembangunan dan personaliti proaktif) dan pembolehubah bersandar (prestasi kerja). Kajian ini melibatkan 250 responden yang terdiri daripada kakitangan bukan eksekutif Kedah dan Perlis. Penyelidik telah mengedarkan 300 set soal selidik kepada responden tetapi penyelidik hanya menerima kembali 250 soal selidik selesai. Soal selidik yang mengandungi 34 item yang telah menggunakan lima mata skala Likert. Setiap hipotesis telah diuji menggunakan Statistik Pakej untuk Sains Sosial (SPSS) versi 20.0. Data yang diperolehi dianalisis dengan menggunakan analisis deskriptif dan analisis inferensi iaitu korelasi dan regresi. Tiga hipotesis telah dibentuk selepas dilakukan analisis inferensi telah digunakan untuk menentukan hubungan antara pembolehubah bebas dan pembolehubah bersandar. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan responden bersetuju bahawa kedua-dua faktor yang latihan dan pembangunan dan personaliti proaktif boleh meningkatkan prestasi kerja mereka. Sebagai kesimpulan, latihan dan pembangunan dan personaliti proaktif boleh memberi kesan positif kepada pekerja bukan eksekutif melalui prestasi mereka. Hasil kajian telah dibincangkan dan cadangan untuk kajian lanjutan turut dinyatakan.

Kata kunci: Ganjaran dan pengiktirafan, latihan dan pembangunan, personaliti proaktif dan prestasi kerja.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On the whole, Allahsubhanahuwataa'la has made the success of this work possible, Alhamdulillah. My gratitude goes first to my supervisor, Dr. Tan Fee Yean, Senior Lecturer whose direction and efforts aided the outcome of this study. Her invaluable contributions, encouragement and assistance throughout this study will always be remembered.

Besides that, an honourable mention goes to my beloved husband, Mohamad Zubir Ghazali and my adored sons, Muhammad Darwish Anaqi and Muhammad Danish Aryan. Without their understandings and sacrifices, I would not have the strengths to complete this study. In addition, pray and love from my parents, Haji Omar Ahmad and Hajah Khadijah Walad, siblings and other family are very much appreciated and delightful. It is very grateful to have all of you in my life.

Finally, to all my dearest fellow friends who never give up in giving I support information and assistance in completing this study. Thank you very much for all and best of luck. A word of thanks also extends to those who have indirectly provided comments and helpful suggestion especially to all respondents of this study. Any other individual whom I have not recognized by name but who gave their support

Universiti Utara Malaysia

and cooperation, I give my sincere thanks.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLE	viii
LIST OF FIGURE	ix
1.0 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background of Study 1.2 Problem Statement 1.3 Research Question 1.4 Research Objective 1.5 Significant of Study 1.6 Definition of Key Term 1.7 Organization of Study	1 3 6 7 7 8 9
2.0 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Definition and Conceptualization of Variables 2.2.1 Job performance 2.2.2 Reward and recognition 2.2.3 Training and development 2.2.4 Proactive personality	10 10 10 12 13 16 17
 2.3 Gaps in Literature 2.4 Underpinning Theory 2.4.1 Social Exchange Theory 2.4.2 Social Cognitive Theory 2.5 Research Framework 2.6 Relationship between Reward and Recognition and Job Performance 2.7 Relationship between Training and Development and Job Performance 2.8 Relationship between Proactive Personality and 	19 20 21 22 24 26
2.8 Relationship between Proactive Personality and Job Performance2.9 Summary	27
3.0 CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Design of Study 3.3 Population and Sample 3 4 Measurements	28 28 30 33 36

3.5 Questionnaire Design	36
3.6 Pilot Test	38
3.7 Data Collection	39
3.8 Techniques of Analysis	42
3.9Summary	
4.0 CHAPTER 4 : FINDINGS	43
4.1 Introduction	43
4.2 Screening Process	44
4.3 Demographic of Respondents	46
4.4 Normality Test	47
4.5 Reliability Analysis	48
4.6 Descriptive Analysis (Mean & Standard Deviation)	49
4.7 Correlation Analysis	51
4.8 Regression Analysis	52
4.9 Summary Hypothesis	52
4.10 Summary	
5.0 CHAPTER 5 : DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	54
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Discussion of Findings	54
5.2.1 Relationship between reward and	
recognition and job performance	55
5.2.2 Relationship between training and	
development and job performance	56
5.2.3 Relationship between proactive	
personality and job performance	58
5.3 Implication of Study	59
5.4 Limitation of Study	60
5.5 Recommendation for Further Research	61
5.6 Conclusion	

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 3.1 Population of department / unit
- Table 3.2 Desired sample size of each department/unit
- Table 3.3 Measurements of items
- Table 3.4 Layout of the questionnaire
- Table 3.5 Coefficent Alpha (α) Scales
- Table 4.1 Frequency demographic profile
- Table 4.2 Details of the finding
- Table 4.3 Reliability values
- Table 4.4 Descriptive analysis for the variables
- Table 4.5 Dimension correlations with variables
- Table 4.6 Result of regression analysis of reward and recognition, training and development, proactive personality and job performance
- Table 4.7 Summary of the hypotheses testing results



LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1 Big Five Model Figure 2.1 Research Model



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

According to Gibbs (2000), the crucial issues of the job performance will be numerous in the coming decades. A continuing emphasis on organizational effectiveness, productivity, and increasing community pressures for quality of service, will focus attention on the link between human resources management practices and employees' performance. These pressures will require a much more strategic approach to performance management. Performance management is a continuous process of identifying, measuring and developing performance in organisations by linking each individual's performance and objectives to the organisation's overall mission and goals (Aguinis H., 2005).

Hunter and Hunter (1984) pointed out that job performance is an interest to the organizations because of the importance of high productivity in the organization. According to Marisson, Stein, Lincolon, Schmidt, Gage and Pitaff (2008), the importance of job performance can help employees understand the expected scope, key responsibilities, required knowledge and skills and duties of the job. In addition, the job performance is very important because it can support equitable evaluation of all employees doing the same job task (Marisson et al. 2008). Job performance also significant to increase the employees' job and make sure that communication between employees and their employer can be related and perform very well. For example, job performance will be used in assessing the duty that are given to the

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFFERENCES

- Aguinis H. 2009. Performance Management. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall. 2nd ed.
- Aselage, J. and R. Eisenberger (2003). "Perceived organizational support and psychological contracts: a theoretical integration." Journal of Organizational Behavior 24: 491-509.
- Bandura, A.,1989. "Perceived Self-Efficacy in the Exercise of Control Over AIDS Infection". In Primary prevention of AIDS: Psychological approaches, edited by Vickie M., Mays and George Albee, (128-141). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Pub.
- Burns, A. C., & Bush, R. F. (2003). Marketing research (4th ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.

Universiti Utara Malavsia

- Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette, & L. M. Hough (Eds.), Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.
- Crant, J. M. (2000). *Proactive behavior in organizations*. Journal of Management, 26, 435-462.
- Crant, M. J., & Bateman, T. S. (2000). Charismatic leadership viewed from above: *The impact of proactive personality*. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 21, 63-75.

- Coyle-Shapiro, J., & Shore, L. (2007). The employee–organization relationship: Where do we go from here? Human Resource Management Review, 17(2), 166-179.
- Craig D. D. & Tetrick L. E. (2001). Psychological contract breach and violation:

 The role of the employment exchange relationship and organizational justice.

 Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management,

 Washington
- Deeprose, D. (1994). *How to recognise and reward employees*. New York:AMACOM.
- Fischer, J. A. V., & Sousa-Poza, A. (2009). Does job satisfaction improve the health of workers? New evidence using panel data and objective measures of health. Health Economics, 18(1), 71-89.
- Frese, M., Kring, W., Soose, A. & Zempel, J. (1996). *Personal initiative at work:Differences between east and west germany*. Academy of Management Journal, 39, 37–63.
- Fuller, B., Jr., & Marler, L. E. (2009). Change driven by nature: *A meta-analytic review of the proactive personality literature*. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 75, 329-345.
- Gilbert, K. (2005). The role of job evaluation in determining equal value in tribunals. Employee Relations, 27(1), 7-19.
- Goldstein I. L. & Ford K. (2002) Training in Organizations: *Needs assessment,*Development and Evaluation (4th Edn.). Belmont: Wadsworth

- Hellriegel, D., Jackson, S.E. & Slocum, J.W. jr. (1999). Management. 8th ed. Ohio: South-Western College Publishing.
- Herzberg, F. (1996). Work and the nature of man. Cleveland, OH: World Publishing.
- Holbeche, L. (1998). High Flyers and Succession Planning in Changing Organization, Roffey Park Management Institute.
- Hunter, John E. and R.F. Hunter, 1984, "Validity and utility of alternative predictors of job performance," Psychological Bulletin, 96, 72-98.
- Kirkpatrick, D.(2005). Evaluating Training Program: The four levels, (3rd ed.), Williston, US: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Malhotra, N. K. (2004). Marketing research: An applied orientation. New Jersey: Pearson Education International.
- Minbaeva, D. B. (2005). HRM practices and MNC knowledge transfer. Personnel Review, 34(1), 125-144.
- Millward, L. J. & Hopkins, L. J. (1998). *Psychological contracts and job commitment*. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 28, 1530-1556.
- Mount, M. K., 8c Barrick, M. R. (1998). Five reasons why the "Big Five" article has been frequently cited. Personnel Psychology, 51, 849-857.
- Muchinsky, P. M. (2003). Psychology applied to work (6th ed.). Pacific Grove, Ca.: Brooks/Cole.
- Nelson, B. (2001). Why managers don't recognize their employees.

 WebProNews.[Online]. Available: http://www.webpronews.com/ebusiness/smal

- lbusiness/wpn20030818BobNelsononRecognitionWhyManagersDontRecogniz eTheirEmployees.html. November 25, 2014.
- Pacelli, L. (2005). Strategies for effective problem solving. Retrieved April 15, 2014, from American Management Association Web site:

 http://www.amamember.org/management/2005/apr_01.htm
- Peterson, S. J. and F. Luthans (2006). "The impact of financial and nonfinancial incentives on business-unit outcomes over time." Journal of Applied Psychology 91(1): 156-165.
- Prickett, R. (1998), Firms complain of quality short fall among students. People Management, 9, 10.
- Poh, J. P., (2001). Total quality management (TQM) in Malaysia—A comparative study on employees' perception of management practices in TQM and non-TQM companies. MBA unpublished thesis, Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia.
- Porter, L. W., Pearce, J. L., Tripoli, A. M., & Lewis, K. M. (1998). Differential perceptions of employers' inducements: Implications for psychological contracts. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 19, 769-782.
- Redding, C.A., J. S. Rossi, S.R. Rossi, W.F. Velicer, and J. O., Prochaska, 2000. "Health Behavior Models." The International Electronic Journal of Health Education, 3:180-193.

- Rotundo, M., & Sackett, P. R. (2000). The relative importance of task, citizenship, and counterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance: A policy-capturing approach. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87, 66-80.
- Schuler, R. & Jackson, S (1987). *Linking competitive strategies and human resource*management practices. Academy of management executive. 1, 3, 207 219.
- Seibert, S. E., Crant, J. M., & Kraimer, M. L. (1999). *Proactive personality and career success*. Journal of Applied Psychology, 84, 416-427.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Stajkovic, A. D. and F. Luthans (2003). "Behavioral management and task performance in organizations: Conceptual background, meta-analysis, and test of alternative models." Personnel Psychology 56(1): 155-194.
- Thomas, J. P., Whitman, D. S., & Viswesvaran, C. (2010). *Employee proactivity inorganizations: A comparative meta-analysis of emergent proactive constructs*. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 83, 275-300.
- Torrington, D. & Holden, N. (1992). Human Resource Management and the International Challenge of Change. Personnel Review, 21(2), 19-30.
- Turner, R. J. (1960). Sponsored and contest mobility and the school system.

 American Sociological Review, 25, 855-867.

Zhang, Z. H. (2000). *Implementation of total quality management: An empirical study of Chinese manufacturing firms*. PhD unpublished thesis, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.

