

**AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE TEAM FORMATION BASED ON
BELBIN TEAM ROLE USING FUZZY TECHNIQUE**



MASTER OF SCIENCE (INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

2016

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:



Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences

UUM College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Universiti Utara Malaysia

Abstrak

Dalam kejuruteraan perisian (SE), pasukan memainkan peranan yang penting dalam menentukan kejayaan projek. Untuk memastikan hasil yang optimum projek pasukan bekerja pada, ia adalah penting untuk memastikan bahawa pasukan itu terdiri daripada ahli-ahli dengan ciri-ciri betul. Dalam satu pasukan memberikan peranan yang betul kepada setiap ahli pasukan untuk memastikan bahawa individu yang paling sesuai dipilih untuk tugas-tugas tertentu dan usaha mereka menyumbang maksimum kepada prestasi pasukan secara keseluruhan. Salah satu peranan pasukan lazim adalah Belbin peranan pasukan. Belbin dibangunkan teori ini untuk pembentukan pasukan yang berjaya. Teori ini tertumpu kepada peranan pasukan dan bagaimana mereka harus dipadankan untuk mengelakkan konflik dan membina pasukan bunyi yang diurus secara optimum. Oleh itu, matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan satu pasukan perisian kaedah pembentukan automatik berdasarkan Belbin pasukan Peranan dengan menggunakan teknik kabur. Teknik kabur dipilih kerana ia membolehkan menganalisis data tidak tepat dan mengelaskan kriteria yang dipilih. Dalam kajian ini, dua peranan dalam peranan Belbin pasukan, yang Pembentuk (Sh) dan Plant (Pl) dipilih untuk memberikan peranan tertentu dalam pasukan perisian - ketua pasukan dan programmer, masing-masing. Peranan ini dipilih kerana gabungan peranan ini dapat menentukan ahli-ahli pasukan yang berkesan dalam pasukan SE. Pembentukan pasukan perisian automatik yang dicadangkan ketika itu dinilai dengan menggunakan kajian pakar. Para peserta terdiri daripada 12 pemaju perisian daripada Asiacell Syarikat Telekomunikasi di Kurdistan Rantau Kerajaan Iraq (KRG). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kaedah ini berguna untuk digunakan bagi membentuk pasukan SE dalam suasana industri. Pembentukan pasukan yang dicadangkan automatik perisian boleh menjadi alat yang berguna untuk pengurus apabila memberikan ahli pasukan baru untuk projek perisian. Selain itu, dengan menggunakan kaedah yang dicadangkan, ia boleh membantu pembuat keputusan khusus pengurus untuk membentuk pasukan yang berkesan dan sama rata. Pasukan yang berkesan dan sama boleh mempunyai peluang yang sama untuk mengalami kerja-kerja pasukan yang baik dan dengan itu, untuk menjadi pasukan yang berjaya.

Kata kunci: Pembentukan pasukan, Belbin peranan pasukan, Teknik kabur, Pembentukan pasukan Automasi, Kejuruteraan perisian.

Abstract

In software engineering (SE), team plays an important role in determining the project success. To ensure the optimal outcome of the project the team is working on, it is essential to ensure that the team comprises of the members with right characteristics. In a team assigning the right role to each team member in order to make certain that the most appropriate individuals are chosen for specific tasks and their efforts contribute maximum to the overall team performance. One of the prevalent team roles is Belbin team role. Belbin developed this theory for formation a successful team. This theory is centered on the team roles and how they should be matched in order to avoid conflicts and build sound teams that are optimally managed. Therefore, the main aim of this study is to develop an automated software team formation method based on Belbin Team Role by using a Fuzzy technique. Fuzzy technique was chosen because it allows analyzing of imprecise data and classifying selected criteria. In this study, two roles in Belbin Team role, which are Shaper (Sh) and Plant (Pl) were chosen to assign the specific role in software team – team leader and programmer, respectively. These roles were chosen because the combination of these roles is able to determine effective team members in SE team. The proposed automated software team formation was then evaluated using an expert review. The participants consist of 12 software developers from Asiacell Telecommunication Company in Kurdistan Region Government of Iraq (KRG). The results demonstrate that the method is useful to be used for forming SE team in industrial setting. The proposed automated software team formation can serve as a useful tool for managers when assigning new team members to a software project. In addition, by using the proposed method, it can help decision makers specifically managers to form effective and equal teams. Effective and equal teams can have an equal chance to experience good team work and thus, to be a successful team.

Keywords: Team formation, Belbin team role, Fuzzy technique, Automated team formation, Software engineering.

Acknowledgement

In the name of Allah, the Most Merciful and the Most Gracious, I give praise and thanks to Him for giving me the strength to complete this research.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Mazni Binti Omar, who was abundantly helpful and offered invaluable assistance, support and guidance, there are no words to describe my gratitude and appreciations. Furthermore, I would like to thank Dr. Mazida Binti Ahmed, the co- supervisor for her efforts to accomplish this work successfully.

I would like to express my most sincere and warmest gratitude to my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to my mother Aho Raheem for giving me pray and to patient for I have been far away from her during my studies, my brothers Baker, Ali, Othman, Rebwar, Yosif and Omar. And my sisters Nabat, Golabakh and Negar, your prayers and blessings were with me all the way, so thank you is the least I can say.

Deeply from my heart with love and faith, I would like to thank my lovely wife and my best friend Mrs. Vian Abdulmajeed Ahmed for all of your love and support and for your outstanding. I highly appreciated patience day and night throughout the time of my study. Without your support, I would never be able to accomplish this work. To my cute son (Adam), thank you for his patient for not have been able to spend enough time with him during the course of study. They accompany me to spend the most memorable time in my life. Thanks to them support and encourage. I am so proud of you. May Allah bless my lovely family.

Finally, I Dedicate this thesis to the spirit of my father Hasan Abdulrahman (may Allah have mercy on him); who taught me the value of education and who made sacrifices for us, there children. You have successfully made me the person I am becoming. You will always be remembered.

Table of Content

Permission to Use	i
Abstrak	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figure	ix
List of Appendices	ix
List of Abbreviations	xi
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Background of the Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	6
1.4 Research Questions	11
1.5 Research Objectives	11
1.6 Scope of Study	11
1.7 Significant of Study.....	12
1.8 Contributions of Study	13
1.8.1 Theoretical Contributions	13
1.8.2 Practical Contributions	13
1.9 Thesis Structure.....	14
1.10 Summary.....	15
CHAPTER TWO	16
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	16
2.1 Overview	16
2.2 Definition of Teams	16
2.3 The benefit of team	18
2.4 Related works on team formation	19

2.5	Method for team formation.....	21
2.5.1	Random-formation.....	21
2.5.2	Self-formation	21
2.5.3	Intelligent-formation (Instructor- formation).....	22
2.6	Automated Team Formation.....	23
2.7	Software Engineering Teams.....	24
2.8	Belbin Theory	26
2.7.1	Belbin Team Roles	27
2.7.2	Importance of Belbin team role.....	30
2.7.3	Application of Belbin Team Role.....	31
2.7.3.1	Belbin Team Role in education.....	31
2.7.3.2	Belbin Team Role in industry	32
2.9	Belbin Team Role and Software Engineering Team.....	32
2.10	Comparing Belbin's Team Role with Others Team Role	36
2.11	Techniques to form team	39
2.12	Overview and Comparison of Team Formation Techniques.....	41
2.13	Fuzzy technique	45
2.14	Summary.....	51
CHAPTER THREE		52
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		52
3.1	Introduction.....	52
3.2	Phase 1 - Conceptual Study	54
3.3	Phase 2 – Constructing automated software team formation method.....	55
3.3.1	Analysis of role characteristics.....	55
3.3.2	Construct an automated software team formation method	58
3.4	Phase 3 – Evaluate the constructed method.....	59
3.5	Sampling Technique.....	62
3.6	Summary.....	64
CHAPTER FOUR		65
DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE TEAM FORMATION USING FUZZY TECHNIQUE.....		65

4.1	Overview	65
4.2	Belbin Team Roles in Software Engineering	65
4.3	Belbin Team Role Self Perception Inventory (BTRSPI)	68
4.4	Automated Software Team Formation Method Using Fuzzy Technique	69
4.5	Prototype Development and their Operations.....	76
4.6	Summary.....	79
CHAPTER FIVE		80
EVALUATION RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....		80
5.1	Overview	80
5.2	Evaluation Technique.....	80
5.3	Data Analysis	81
5.3.1	Result of Usability of the Prototype	81
5.3.1.1	Demographic Profile for usability.....	81
5.3.1.2	Instrument Reliability	84
5.3.2	Result of Satisfaction of the Respondent to the Proposed Method	86
5.3.2.1	Demographic Profile.....	86
5.3.2.2	Expert Satisfaction Results	88
5.4	Summary.....	91
CHAPTER SIX.....		92
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		92
6.1	Overview	92
6.2	Revisit the Research Objectives.....	92
6.3	Study Contribution	94
6.4	Limitation of the Study.....	94
6.5	Recommendation and Future Work	95
6.6	Summary.....	95
References		96

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Summary of benefit of team work.....	18
Table 2.2	Summary of related work about team formation.....	19
Table 2.3	Summary of Belbin Team Role adapted from Belbin (2013).....	29
Table 2.4	The different approaches to understanding team roles.....	36
Table 2.5	Compares the terminology used in the theories.....	37
Table 2.6	Summary of team formation technique.....	39
Table 4.1	Analysis of Belbin Team Roles in Software Engineering.....	66
Table 5.1	Data Preparation during Usability Test of Prototype	82
Table 5.2	Descriptive Statistics of Participants Demographic Profiles for Usability Testing	82
Table 5.3	Descriptive Statistic of Usability of the Prototype.....	84
Table 5.4	Data Preparation Satisfaction of Respondent on the Designed method	86
Table 5.5	Descriptive Statistics of Participants' Demographic Profiles for Satisfaction Testing	87
Table 5.6	Descriptive Statistic of Expert Satisfaction of the Prototype	89

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Fuzzification process.....	48
Figure 2.2	Roles Membership Functions.....	48
Figure 2.3	Different Types of Fuzzy Set Membership Functions.....	49
Figure 2.4	Process of applying Fuzzy.....	50
Figure 3.1	Research procedure.....	53
Figure 3.2	Steps of constructing method	59
Figure 3.3	Steps of expert review for Prototype usability activities.....	61
Figure 3.4	Steps of expert review activities.....	62
Figure 4.1	Steps for software engineering team formation method by fuzzy technique	69
Figure 4.2	Team diagram depicting two inputs, rule base, one output.....	70
Figure 4.3	Membership functions for input fuzzification.....	71
Figure 4.4	Represent of team rule.....	73
Figure 4.5	Membership functions for output Defuzzification.....	74
Figure 4.6	Distributing member's process.....	75
Figure 4.7	Homepage of the prototype.....	76
Figure 4.8	Shaper and Plant Team Roles Values.....	77
Figure 4.9	Generated Total Weight of the Participants.....	78

List of Appendices

Appendix A	The Belbin Self Perception Inventory (SPI).....	104
Appendix B	Usability questionnaire.....	107
Appendix C	Expert review questionnaire.....	111
Appendix D	Example of (BTRSPI) report.....	114
Appendix E	Company supported letter.....	126



UUM
Universiti Utara Malaysia

List of Abbreviations

SE	Software engineering
KRG	Kurdistan Region Government
ITRU	Industrial Training Research Unit
ME	Monitor Evaluator
CF	Completer-Finisher
CO	Coordinator
IMP	Implementer
PL	Plant
SH	Shaper
SP	Specialist
RI	Resource Investigator
TW	Team worker
MDT	Multi-Dimensional Trust
IS	Information System
BTRSPI	Belbin Team Role Self-Perception Inventory
FTSE-100	Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index
GBMs	Group Balance Metrics
MAUT	Multi-Attribute Utility Theory
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
CBR	Case-Based Reasoning
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis
SMART	Simple Multi-Attribute Rating Technique
GO	Goal Programming
SAW	Simple Additive Weighting
TOPSIS	Technique for Order Preferences by Similarity to Ideal Solutions
MCDM	Multi Criteria Decision Making
SPI	Self-Perception Inventory

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter introduces the background of study, followed by statement of the problem, research questions, and objectives of the research. The research scope, significance and contribution of the research are also presented.

1.2 Background of the Study

In software engineering (SE), team plays an important role in determining the project success (Ebert & Neve, 2001; Ralph & Kelly, 2014). To ensure the optimal outcome of the project the team is working on, it is essential to ensure that the team comprises of the members with right characteristics (Syed-Abdullah, Omar, & Idris, 2011). According to the prevalent definition, team is any group of small number of individuals with matching skills and other characteristics, all of whom are dedicated to a common resolution, performing objectives, as well as approach, for which the responsibilities they are jointly accountable (Gilley, Morris, Waite, Coates, & Veliquette, 2010). When the team members are able to cooperate, the entire unit can accomplish greater heights of thought as well as preserve information better and longer than individuals that work quietly and lonely.

Gibson (2009) also noted that the importance of a team lies in the ability of participation in group endeavors to improve leadership skills and boost the morale of the team members. This also facilitates efficiency in the processes and procedures, thus enhancing

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Ahmed, S., & Doski, M. (2014). The effect of initial public offering on company performance :A case study on Asia Cell in Kurdistan Region. *Research Journal of Fianance and Accounting*, 5(18), 103–117.
- Ahn, J., DeAngelis, D., & Barber, S. (2008). Attitude driven team formation using multi-dimensional trust. *Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Intelligent Agent Technology, IAT 2007*, 229–235. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IAT.2007.77>
- Albanese, R. (1994). Team-building process: key to better project results. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 10(6), 36–44.
- Andrew, D. P. S., Pedersen, P. M., & McEvoy, C. D. (2011). *Research methods and design in sport management*. Human Kinetics.
- Aritzeta, A., Swailes, S., & Senior, B. (2007). Belbin's team role model: Development, validity and applications for team building. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(January), 96–118. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00666.x>
- Aritzeta, S. S. and B. S. (2005). Team roles: psychometric evidence, construct validity and team building. *ISBN*, 1–39. <http://doi.org/ISBN: 1-90203 452-X>
- Balmat, J.-F., Lafont, F., Maifret, R., & Pessel, N. (2011). A decision-making system to maritime risk assessment. *Ocean Engineering*, 38(1), 171–176.
- Belbin. (2012). "Frequently asked questions. *Belbin UK*, 1–2. Retrieved from <http://www.belbin.com>
- Belbin. (2013a). How to use Belbin team role reports to form a team. *Belbin UK*. Retrieved from <http://www.belbin.com>
- Belbin. (2013b). Self-Perception Inventory Self-Perception Inventory, 1–4. Retrieved from <http://www.belbin.com>
- Belbin. (2014). A comprehensive review of Belbin team roles. *Belbin UK*, 1–26. Retrieved from <http://www.belbin.com/content>
- Beranek, G., Zuser, W., & Grechenig, T. (2005). Functional group roles in software engineering teams. *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*, 30(4), 1. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1082983.1083108>
- Botha, W. (1994). n Ondersoek na die verband tussen die Myers-Briggs type indicator en Belbin se indeling van spanrolle. Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University.
- Bradley, J. H., & Hebert, F. J. (1997). The effect of personality type on team performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 16(5), 337–353. <http://doi.org/10.1108/02621719710174525>
- Cann, R. Van, Jansen, S., & Brinkkemper, S. (2012). Team composition in distributed software development. *Universiteit Utrecht*, (2005).
- Chen, E. K. (2003). Fuzzy logic routing in opnet tm 9.0 tm final project. *High*

Performance Network.

- Cheng, X. Y., & Leung, T. P. (2001). Decentralized tracking for a class of interconnected nonlinear systems using fuzzy variable structure control with application to a drill and dry jet mixing machine. *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 23(2), 102–126. <http://doi.org/10.1191/014233101678575539>
- Clutterbuck, D. (2006). *Coaching the Team at Work*. Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
- Deibel, K. (2005). Team formation methods for increasing interaction during in-class group work. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 37, 291–295. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1151954.1067525>
- Ebert, C., & Neve, P. De. (2001). Surviving global software development. *IEEE Software*, 18(April). <http://doi.org/10.1109/52.914748>
- Eclipse. (2009). Effective teamwork: A best practice guide for the construction industry. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21970080>
- Elizabeth Yeh, Charlene Smith, C. J. & N. C. (2006). Team performance management : An international journal article. *Emerald*.
- Ezziane, Z., Maruthappu, M., Gawn, L., Thompson, E. a., Athanasiou, T., & Warren, O. J. (2012). Building effective clinical teams in healthcare. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 26, 428–436. <http://doi.org/10.1108/14777261211251508>
- Fatahi, S., & Lorestani, a R. (2010). Design and Implementation of the Expert System for Balancing Team Formation on the Basis of Belbin Team Role. *Engineering, I*.
- Fisher, B. A. (1970). Decision emergence: Phases in group decision making. *Communications Monographs*, 37(1), 53–66.
- Gibson, J. L. E. A. (2009). *Organizations: Behavior, structure, processes*. (14th ed.). Irwin: ISBN.
- Gilley, J. W., Morris, M. L., Waite, a. M., Coates, T., & Veliquette, A. (2010). Integrated theoretical model for building effective teams. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12, 7–28. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1523422310365309>
- Gondal, A. M., & Khan, A. (2008). Impact of team empowerment on team performance - case of the telecommunications industry in Islamabad. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 4(5), 138–146.
- Hamilton, S. S. (2010). Optimizing team selection for educational group projects. *United States Military Academy at West Point*. Retrieved from http://www.usma.edu/cfe/literature/hamilton_10.pdf
- Harris, D. P. & H. (1999). Team role balance and team performance: an empirical study. *Journal of Management Development*.
- Henry, S. M., & Todd Stevens, K. (1999). Using Belbin's leadership role to improve team effectiveness: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Systems and Software*,

44(3), 241–250. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-1212\(98\)10060-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-1212(98)10060-2)

- Hongyun, Y., Xiaohong, B., & Shunkun, Y. (2009). Research and improvement of team software process. *2009 WRI World Congress on Computer Science and Information Engineering, CSIE 2009*, 7, 654–658. <http://doi.org/10.1109/CSIE.2009.911>
- Humphrey, S. E., Morgeson, F. P., & Mannor, M. J. (2009). Developing a theory of the strategic core of teams: a role composition model of team performance. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1), 48–61. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0012997>
- İç, Y. T. (2012). An experimental design approach using TOPSIS method for the selection of computer-integrated manufacturing technologies. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 28(2), 245–256.
- Johnson, S. D., Suriya, C., Won Yoon, S., Berrett, J., & Lafleur, J. (2002). Team development and group processes of virtual learning teams. *Computers & Education*, 39, 379–393. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315\(02\)00074-X](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(02)00074-X)
- Kingori, C. (2011). *Usability Study of an Internet*. Turku Univerisitty of Applied Sciences,.
- Koksal, C., & Ozmutaf, N. (2009). Using Analytic Hierarchy Process for selecting appropriate host country to study English language abroad. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 1(1), 37–46.
- Konidari, P., & Mavrakis, D. (2007). A multi-criteria evaluation method for climate change mitigation policy instruments. *Energy Policy*, 35(12), 6235–6257.
- Kozanoglu, O., & Fahri, A. (2009). A goal programming model for optimizing team composition. *Istanbul Kultur Universitesi*, 1–25.
- Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Bell, B. S. (2001). Work Groups and Teams in Organizations. *ILR Collection*, (2003), 1–70.
- Kr.Misra, S., & Ray, A. (2012). Software developer selection: A holistic approach for an eclectic decision. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 47(1), 12–18. <http://doi.org/10.5120/7151-9855>
- Lavrakads, P. J. (2008). *Encyclopedia of survey research methods sampling*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Layton, R. A., Loughry, M. L., Ohland, M. W., & Ricco, G. D. (2010). Design and validation of a web-based system for assigning members to teams using instructor-specified criteria. *Advances in Engineering Education*, 2(1), 1–28.
- Lewis, J. R. (1995). IBM computer usability satisfaction questionnaires: Psychometric evaluation and instructions for use. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(1), 57–78. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10447319509526110>
- Licorish, S., Philpott, A., & MacDonell, S. G. (2009). Supporting agile team composition: A prototype tool for identifying personality (in) compatibilities. In *Proceedings of the 2009 ICSE Workshop on Cooperative and Human Aspects on Software Engineering* (pp. 66–73). IEEE Computer Society.

- Linberg, K. R. (1999). Software developer perceptions about software project failure: A case study. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 49, 177–192. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-1212\(99\)00094-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-1212(99)00094-1)
- Lipnack, J. (1997). *Virtual teams: Reaching across space, time, and organizations with technology*. Jeffrey Stamps.
- Liu, S., Joy, M., & Griffiths, N. (2013). An exploratory study on group formation based on learning styles. *2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies*, 95–99. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2013.32>
- Marcolino, L. S., Jiang, A. X., & Tambe, M. (2013). Multi agent team formation: diversity beats strength. *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 279–285.
- Margerison, C. J., & McCann, D. (1990). *Team management: Practical new approaches*. Mercury Books.
- Mathworks, C. (2014). *Fuzzy Logic Toolbox™ User 's Guide R 2014 b*. Math Works, Inc.
- Mathworks, C. (2015). *Fuzzy Logic Toolbox™ User 's Guide R 2015 b*. The MathWorks, Inc.
- Miao, S., Hammell II, R. J., Hanratty, T., & Tang, Z. (2013). Comparison of Fuzzy Membership Functions for Value of Information Determination.
- Mujanovic, A., Lowe, D., Guetl, C., & Kostulski, T. (2011). An architecture for automated group formation within remote laboratories. *REV 2011: 8th International Conference on Remote Engineering and Virtual Instrumentation*, 91–100.
- Mukherjee, K., & Bera, A. (1995). Application of goal programming in project selection decision—A case study from the Indian coal mining industry. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 82(1), 18–25.
- Na, K.-S., Simpson, J. T., Li, X., Singh, T., & Kim, K.-Y. (2007). Software development risk and project performance measurement: Evidence in Korea. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 80, 596–605. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2006.06.018>
- Nielsen, J. (2000). Why you only need to test with 5 users. Retrieved March 21, 2015, from <http://www.nngroup.com/articles/>
- O'Doherty, D. M. (2005). Working as part of a balanced team. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 21(1), 113–120.
- Oakley, B., Felder, R. M., & Brent, R. (2004). Turning student groups in to effective teams. *Team Formation Methods for Increasing Interaction During In-Class Group Work*, 2(1), 9–34.
- Omar, M., Syed-Abdullah, S.-L., & Hussin, N. M. (2012). A rule-based team performance prediction model prototype. *Procedia Technology*, 1, 390–394. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2012.02.089>

- Ounnas, A., Davis, H. C., & Millard, D. E. (2007). Towards semantic group formation. *Proceedings of The 7th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies, ICAALT 2007*, 825–827. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2007.268>
- Ounnas, A., Davis, H., & Millard, D. (2008). A Framework for Semantic Group Formation. *2008 Eighth IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies*, 6–10. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2008.226>
- Ounnas, A., Davis, H., & Millard, D. (2009). A Framework for Semantic Group Formation in Education. *Educational Technology & Society*, 12(4), 43–55. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2008.226>
- Partington, D., & Harris, H. (1999). Team role balance and team performance: an empirical study. *Emerald*, 18(8), 694–705.
- Peffer, K. E. N., Tuunanen, T., Rothenberger, M. a., & Chatterjee, S. (2007). A design science research methodology for information systems research. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(3), 45–77. <http://doi.org/10.2307/40398896>
- Poole, M. S., & Roth, J. (1989). Decision development in small groups IV a typology of group decision paths. *Human Communication Research*, 15(3), 323–356.
- Prescott, D., & El-sakran, T. M. (2014). The role of educational videos in the acquisition of teamwork repair strategies. *International Journal of Pedagogical Innovations*, 2(2).
- Qin, X.-S., Huang, G. H., Chakma, A., Nie, X. H., & Lin, Q. G. (2008). A MCDM-based expert system for climate-change impact assessment and adaptation planning—a case study for the Georgia Basin, Canada. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34(3), 2164–2179.
- Qureshi, M. R. J., Alshamat, S. A., & Sabir, F. (2014). Significance of the teamwork in agile software engineering. *Lahore Leads University*, 26(1), 117–120.
- Rajendran, M. (2005). Analysis of team effectiveness in software development teams working on hardware and software environments using Belbin Self-perception Inventory. *Emerald*.
- Ralph, P., & Kelly, P. (2014). The dimensions of software engineering success. *Icse*, 24–35. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2568225.2568261>
- Raymond, M. B. (2010). Method, Reliability & Validity, Statistics & Research: A Comprehensive Review of Belbin Team Roles. *Belbin UK*, 28. Retrieved from <http://www.belbin.com/content/page/4432/BELBIN-MRVSR-AComprehensiveReview-Mar2010.pdf>
- Rodjito, P. (2006). *Position tracking and motion prediction using Fuzzy Logic*. Colby College.
- Ryu, Y. S. (2005). *Development of usability questionnaires for electronic mobile products and decision making methods*. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering*. State University, Blacksburg, Virginia. Retrieved from

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psych&AN=2005-99022-267&site=ehost-live>

- Saeed, M., & Trab, A. (2012). *Software Engineering: Testing Real-Time Embedded Systems Using Timed Automata Based Approaches*. Brunel University.
- Schoenhoff, P. K. (2001). *Belbin's company worker, the self-perception inventory, and their application to software engineering teams by Belbin's company worker*. Engineering. State University.
- Senaratne, S., & Gunawardane, S. (2013). Application of team role theory to construction design teams. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, 11(1), 1–20. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17452007.2013.802980>
- Senior, B. (1997). Team roles and team performance: is there “really” a link? *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 70(3), 241–258.
- Senior, B. (2005). Construct Validity and Team Building. ISBN, (August), 1–39. <http://doi.org/ISBN:1-90203452-X>
- Sinclair, a. (1992). The tyranny of a team ideology. *Organization Studies*, 13(4), 611–626. <http://doi.org/10.1177/017084069201300405>
- Smith, M., Polglase, G., & Parry, C. (2012). Construction of student groups using Belbin: Supporting group work in environmental management. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 36(June 2012), 585–601. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2012.692156>
- Sommerville, J., & Dalziel, S. (1998). Project teambuilding—the applicability of Belbin's team-role self-perception inventory. *International Journal of Project Management*, 16(3), 165–171. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863\(97\)00054-9](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(97)00054-9)
- Spiegel, J., & Torres, C. (1994). *Manager's official guide to team working*. Pfeiffer.
- Strnad, D., & Guid, N. (2010). A fuzzy-genetic decision support system for project team formation. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 10(4), 1178–1187. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2009.08.032>
- Sudhakar, G. P., Farooq, A., & Patnaik, S. (2011). Soft factors affecting the performance of software development teams. *Team Performance Management*, 17, 187–205. <http://doi.org/10.1108/13527591111143718>
- Syed-Abdullah, S. L., Omar, M., & Idris, M. F. I. M. (2011). Team achievements equality using fuzzy rule-based technique. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 15(3), 359–363.
- Tavana, M., Azizi, F., Azizi, F., & Behzadian, M. (2013). A fuzzy inference system with application to player selection and team formation in multi-player sports. *Sport Management Review*, 16(1), 97–110. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2012.06.002>
- Thanassoulis, E., Kortelainen, M., & Allen, R. (2012). Improving envelopment in data envelopment analysis under variable returns to scale. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 218(1), 175–185.

- Todd Jr, S. K., Henry, S., Kafura, D. G., Smith, E. P., Lewis, J. a, Matheson, L., & Rosson, M. B. (1998). *The effects of roles and personality characteristics on software development team effectiveness. Computer Science.*
- Trendowicz, A., Ochs, M., & Wickenkamp, A. (2008). Integrating human judgment and data analysis to identify factors influencing software development productivity. *E-Informatica*, 2(1).
- Tseng, H., Wang, C., Ku, H.-Y., & Sun, L. (2009). Key factors in online collaboration and their relationship to teamwork satisfaction. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 10(2).
- Tseng, T.-L. (Bill), Huang, C.-C., Chu, H.-W., & Gung, R. R. (2004). Novel approach to multi-functional project team formation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22, 147–159. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863\(03\)00058-9](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(03)00058-9)
- Tuckman, B. W. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63(6), 384–399. <http://doi.org/10.1037/h0022100>
- Tullis, T. S., & Stetson, J. N. (2004). A Comparison of Questionnaires for Assessing Website Usability ABSTRACT : Introduction. *Usability Professional Association Conference*, 1–12. Retrieved from <http://home.comcast.net/~tomtullis/publications/UPA2004TullisStetson.pdf>
- Van, D. L. (1999). *The Application of Belbins Team Role Theory in Information Service Enterprises.* RAND AFRIKAANS UNIVERSITY.
- Varun, V., Govindarajan, B., & Nayak, S. (2012). *Speed Control of Induction Motor using Fuzzy Logic approach.* National Institute of Technology - Rourkela. Retrieved from <http://ethesis.nitrkl.ac.in/3278/>
- Velasquez, M., & Hester, P. T. (2013). An Analysis of Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods. *International Journal of Operations Research*, 10(2), 56–66.
- Venkatamuni, T., & Rao, A. (2010). Reduction of product development time by team formation method in lean manufacturing. *Indian Journal of Science & Technology*, 3(5), 578–582.
- Viitanen, J., Hyppönen, H., Lääveri, T., Vänskä, J., Reponen, J., & Winblad, I. (2011). National questionnaire study on clinical ICT systems proofs: Physicians suffer from poor usability. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 80(10), 708–725. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2011.06.010>
- Wang, Y.-M., Greatbanks, R., & Yang, J.-B. (2005). Interval efficiency assessment using data envelopment analysis. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 153(3), 347–370.
- Wasiak, J., & Newnes, L. (2008). Guiding team selection and the use of the Belbin approach. *DS 48: Proceeding*, 1113–1120. Retrieved from http://www.designsociety.org/publication/26809/guiding_team_selection_and_the_use_of_the_belbin_approach
- West, M. A. (2012). *Effective Teamwor: Practical lesson from organizational research* (third Edit).

- Whichard, J., & Kees, N. L. (2006). *The manager as facilitator*. Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research design and methods. Applied Social Research Methods Series* (Fourth Edi, Vol. 5).
- Young, V. R. (1993). The application of fuzzy to group health underwriting. *Transformation of Society of Actuaries*, 45, 551–590.
- Zaidah, Z., & Zainal, Z. (2007). Case study as a research method. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 9(Journal Article), 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1177/15222302004003007>
- Zarzu, C. (2011). Team composition and team performance : Achieving higher quality results in an international higher education environment. *Knowledge Management & Innovation*, 1321–1328.
- Zhao, J., & Bose, B. K. (2002). Evaluation of membership functions for fuzzy logic controlled induction motor drive. In *IECON 02 [Industrial Electronics Society, IEEE 2002 28th Annual Conference of the]* (Vol. 1, pp. 229–234). IEEE.



UUM
Universiti Utara Malaysia