THE EFFECT OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP ON GROWTH, INCOME INEQUALITY AND POVERTY IN THAILAND

MUHAMMADSUHAIMEE YANYA



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA September 2015

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my thesis. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Abstrak

Keusahawanan memainkan peranan penting dalam pembangunan ekonomi. Aktiviti keusahawanan di Thailand telah mencatatkan jumlah yang tinggi berbanding negaranegara Asia yang lain. Namun, secara paradoksnya pembangunan ekonomi Thailand dari segi pertumbuhan, kemiskinan dan ketidaksamaan agak memberangsangkan berbanding dengan negara-negara Asia yang lain. Justeru, melalui pemerhatian ini menimbulkan keraguan tentang peranan keusahawanan dalam pembangunan ekonomi di Thailand. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat kesan keusahawanan terhadap pertumbuhan, kemiskinan ketidaksamaan pendapatan di Thailand. Kajian ini mengguna pakai model regresi pertumbuhan untuk meneliti hubungan antara keusahawanan dan pertumbuhan ekonomi. Di samping itu, kajian ini mengguna pakai model regresi ketidaksamaan dan kemiskinan untuk meneliti hubungan antara keusahawanan dan kemiskinan, dan antara keusahawanan dan ketidaksamaan pendapatan. Bagi menentukan sebab akibat antara keusahawanan dan pertumbuhan, kajian ini menggunakan ujian "Granger Causality". Kajian ini menggunakan data panel bagi 76 buah wilayah di Thailand yang meliputi tempoh di antara tahun 1997 hingga 2008. Hasil dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa, keusahawanan mempunyai kesan positif yang ketara terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi di Thailand. Keusahawanan juga didapati mempunyai kesan negatif yang ketara terhadap kemiskinan. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian ini gagal mendapatkan bukti mengenai kesan keusahawanan terhadap ketidaksamaan pendapatan dan pendapatan golongan miskin. Malahan, ujian Granger Causality menunjukkan bahawa hal ini boleh menjadi penyebab kepada pertumbuhan tetapi tidak sebaliknya. Secara keseluruhan, dapatan ini menyarankan bahawa walaupun keusahawanan mempunyai kesan yang diingini terhadap individu secara keseluruhan, manfaat keusahawanan nampaknya lebih dinikmati oleh individu kaya. Oleh itu, pembuat dasar disarankan untuk mengkaji semula dasar dan strategi yang sedia ada berhubung pembangunan keusahawanan di Thailand.

Kata kunci: Kemiskinan, Ketidaksamaan pendapatan, Keusahawanan, Pertumbuhan ekonomi.

Abstract

Entrepreneurship has been argued to play a key role in economic development. In this regard, Thailand has recorded remarkably high entrepreneurial activities compared to other Asian countries. Paradoxically, Thailand's economic development in terms of growth, poverty and inequality has been relatively dismal compared to other Asian countries. Accordingly, these observations cast doubts on the role of entrepreneurship in economic development in Thailand. Therefore, the objective of this study is to investigate the impact of entrepreneurship on growth, poverty and inequality in Thailand. This study employs the growth regression model to investigate the relationship between entrepreneurship and growth. In addition, this study employs the inequality and poverty regression model to investigate the relationship between entrepreneurship and poverty, and between entrepreneurship and inequality. Besides, to ascertain the causality direction between entrepreneurship and growth, this study employs the Granger Causality test. This study uses the panel data for 76 provinces in Thailand covering the period 1997–2008. The results of the study show that entrepreneurship has a significantly positive impact on economic growth in Thailand. Entrepreneurship also is found to have a negative significant impact on poverty. However, the study fails to find evidence on the impact of entrepreneurship on income inequality and income of the poor. Furthermore, the Granger Causality test shows that entrepreneurship Granger-causes growth but not vice versa. Overall, the results imply that while entrepreneurship has a favorable effect on individuals as a whole, the benefits of entrepreneurship appear to accrue more to the non-poor individuals. Therefore, it is imperative that the policy- makers review the existing policies and strategies with regard to entrepreneurship development in Thailand.

Keywords: Poverty, Income inequality, Entrepreneurship, Economic growth.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to everyone who have

contributed directly or indirectly to the completion of this thesis. It is a great honour

to be supervised by Prof. Dr. Roslan Abdul Hakim and Dr. Nor Azam Abdul Razak.

The words of thanks do not suffice the amount of guidance and knowledge given by

these two eminent academicians throughout my candidature. Without their valuable

support, my thesis would not have been possible.

I would also like to express my thanks to my beloved wife, all of my children and

my parents, for their continuous love and support. My goal would not have been

achieved without them. I dedicate this work to my wife Suwaibah and my three

children.

I have been very fortune to be given the chance to study at Universiti Utara Malaysia

(UUM). Its academic excellence and the professionalism of the staff deserve a

special mention. Finally, I would like to thank all of my friends for their

encouragement and moral support throughout my study.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

Muhammadsuhaimee Yanya

September 30, 2015.

 \mathbf{V}

Table of Contents

Permission to Use	ii
Abstrak	iii
Abstract	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	xii
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Economic Growth and Entrepreneurship in Thailand	5
1.3 Problem Statement	10
1.4 Research Questions	
1.5 Research Objectives	12
1.6 Significance of the Study	
1.7 Organization of the Study	13
1.8 Scope and limitation of the study	13
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Concepts, definition and framework	14
2.2.1 Meaning of Entrepreneurship	
2.2.2 Measuring Entrepreneurship	
2.2.3 Entrepreneurship and Economic growth	
2.2.4 Entrepreneurship and Physical capital	
2.2.5 Entrepreneurship and Human capital	31
2.2.6 Entrepreneurship and Labor	
2.2.7 Studies used framework of Mankiw, Romer, and Weil (1992)	35
2.2.8 Growth Accounting with Entrepreneurship	
2.2.9 Concept and definitions of Poverty and Inequality	
2.2.10 Measures of poverty	
2.2.11 Poverty headcount ratio	

CII	ADTED EATID DESIII TS AND DATA ANAI VSIS	02
	3.6.1 Data	86
3.6	Causality between Entrepreneurship and Growth: Model Specification	85
3.5	Estimation Method	78
3.4	Entrepreneurship and Poverty: Model Specification	77
	3.3.1 Data	75
3.3	Entrepreneurship and Income Inequality: Model Specification	74
	3.2.1 Data	72
3.2	Entrepreneurship and Growth : Model Specification	71
3.1	Introduction	71
СН	APTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	71
	2.4.3 Literature on Poverty and Inequality in Thailand	69
	2.4.2 Literature on economic growth in Thailand	
	2.4.1 Literature on Entrepreneurship in Thailand	65
2.4	Past Studies	
	2.3.11 Income distribution in Thailand	63
	2.3.10 Effects of economic crisis on poverty of Thailand	
	2.3.9 Poverty in Thailand	
	2.3.8 The effects of Economic Growth on Thailand	54
	2.3.7 Period 2001 – Present.	54
	2.3.6 Period 1997 – 2000.	52
	2.3.5 Period 1986 – 1996.	51
	2.3.4 Period 1970 – 1985.	50
	2.3.3 Period 1951-1973	49
	2.3.2 Period 1900-1950	49
	2.3.1 Thailand before the twentieth Century	48
2.3	A brief review about Thailand	47
	2.2.15 Research Framework	46
	2.2.14 Entrepreneurship and Inequality	44
	2.2.13 Entrepreneurship and Poverty	43
	2.2.12 Inequality	42

4.1 Introduction	92
4.2 Preliminary Analysis	92
4.3 Entrepreneurship and Growth	94
4.4 Entrepreneurship and Income Inequality	100
4.4.1 Entrepreneurship and Gini coefficient	101
4.4.2 Entrepreneurship and Income of the poor	114
4.5 Entrepreneurship and Number of poor people	125
4.6 Causality between Entrepreneurship and Growth	132
CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSION	149
5.1 Introduction	149
5.2 Summary of the Findings	150
5.3 Policy Implications	152
5.4 Recommendations for Future Studies	153
APPENDIX	155
Appendix A: Economic growth rate of Thailand and Southeast Asia Countr	
Appendix B: Thailand growth rate	156
Appendix C: Thailand poverty incident year 1988-1996	
Appendix D: Percentage of Poverty severity to population	
Appendix E: Number of the Poor	159
Appendix F: Percentage of Poverty at regional level	160
Appendix G: Percentage of Poverty at area level	161
Appendix H: Poverty at the time of crisis	162
Appendix I: Percentage of Poverty severity to population after crisis	163
Appendix J: Number of poor people between the crises	164
Appendix K: Percentage of Poverty by region between the crises	165
Appendix L: Percentage of Poverty at area level	166
Appendix M: Poverty Gap Ratio, Severity of Poverty, Poverty line, Percenta	age of
Poverty and number of poverty year 1988-2010	167
Appendix N: Gini coefficient of Thailand	168
Appendix O: Percentage of income of Thai population	169
Appendix P: Average income of Thai population	170

REFERENCES
Appendix T: Data for testing the relationship between entrepreneurship and Poverty
of the poor
Appendix S: Data for testing the relationship between entrepreneurship and Income
economic growth
Appendix Q: Data for testing the relationship between entrepreneurship and



List of Tables

Table 3.1 Description of Data
Table 3.2 Description of Data
Table 3.3 Description of Data
Table 4.1 Descriptive statistics
Table 4.2 Correlations 93
Table 4.3 Variance Inflation Factors
Table 4.4 Regression results on entrepreneurship and growth with cross-sectional
data95
Table 4.5 Regression results on entrepreneurship and growth with four-year interval
panel data97
Table 4.6 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with cross-sectional
data
Table 4.7 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with four-year
interval panel data
Table 4.8 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with two-year
Table 4.9 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with cross-sectional
Table 4.9 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with cross-sectional
data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 population)
Table 4.10 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with four-year
interval panel data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 populations)109
Table 4.11 Regression results on entrepreneurship and inequality with two-year
interval panel data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 populations)112
Table 4.12 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
cross-sectional data
Table 4.13 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
four-year panel data
Table 4.14 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
two-years panel data
Table 4.15 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
cross-sectional data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 populations)121

Table 4.16 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
four-year panel data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 populations)
Table 4.17 Regression results on entrepreneurship and lowest income quintile with
two-year panel data (entrepreneurship per 100,000 populations)123
Table 4.18 Regression results on entrepreneurship and poverty head count with
Cross-sectional data
Table 4.19 Regression results on entrepreneurship and poverty head count with two-
year interval panel data
Table 4.20 Regression results on entrepreneurship and poverty headcount with
cross-sectional data (entrepreneurship per 100 thousand populations)129
Table 4.21 Regression results on entrepreneurship and poverty headcount with two-
year interval panel data (entrepreneurship per 100 thousand populations)130
Table 4.22 Panel Unit Root Test Result
Table 4.23 Granger causality test results.(VAR Granger Causality/Block
Exogeneity Wald Tests)
Table 4.24 The variance Decomposition results of education growth133
Table 4.25 The variance Decomposition results of economic growth
Table 4.26 The variance Decomposition results of physical capital growth139
Table 4.27 The variance Decomposition results of education growth labor growth
Table 4.28. The variance Decomposition results of economic growth

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Rate of entrepreneurial activity of Thailand and several countries3
Figure 1.2 Percentage of population that involved in early stage of entrepreneurship
of Thailand and several countries
Figure 1.3 Rate of change in new firm establishment of Thailand4
Figure 1.4 Economic growth rates of Thailand and Southeast Asia Countries
between periods 1994 to 20087
Figure 1.5 Gini coefficient trend of Thailand8
Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework
Figure 2.2 Thailand economic growth rate
Figure 2.3 Percentage of Poverty at area level
Figure 2.4 Thailand poverty gap ratio between year 1988 – 201060
Figure 2.5 Severity of poverty in Thailand between year 1988 – 201060
Figure 2.6 Poverty line of Thailand between year 1988 – 201061
Figure 2.7 Percentage of poverty in Thailand between year 1988 – 201061
Figure 2.8 Number of poverty in Thailand between year 1988 – 201062
Figure 2.9 Gini-coefficient in Thailand between year 1988 – 201163
Figure 2.10 Percentage of income of Thai population between year 1988 – 201064
Figure 2.11 Average income of Thai population between year 1988 – 200964
Figure 4.1 The response of growth in gross provincial product (YG) and growth in
firm establishment (EG)
Figure 4.2 The response of growth in firm establishment (EG) and growth in gross
provincial product (YG)145
Figure 4.3 The response of growth in Physical capital (KG) and growth in gross
provincial product (YG)146
Figure 4.4 The response of growth of Education (EDUG) to other variables147
Figure 4.5 The response of growth of labor (LG) to other variables

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Schumpeter (1934) contends that the role of entrepreneurship is a central factor that affects the evolution of capitalist societies based on the argument that new businesses drive economic and employment growth. Entrepreneurship is also believed to be an important tool needed to achieve the target of economic growth and development of a nation (Schumpeter 1934; Wennekers and Thurik 1999; Baumol 2002; Van Stel, Carree and Thurik, 2005). This claim is also supported by Anokhin, Grichnik and Hisrich (2008), who regard entrepreneurship to be the main vehicle of economic development by fulfilling roles that have been emphasized by Schumpeter (1934) and Romer (1994), on promoting prosperity in a particular region by creating new jobs (Birch, 1987; Fritsch and Mueller, 2004; Van Stel and Storey, 2004), reducing unemployment (Evans and Leighton, 1989), increasing the economic development and growth of a region (Carree, Van Stel, Thurik and Wennekers, 2002; Van Stel, Carree and Thurik, 2005; Acs, Desai and Hessel, 2008) and also increase life and job satisfaction (Noorderhaven, Thurik, Wenneker and Van Stel, 2004). Economic development benefits from increasing productivity through efforts driven by entrepreneurs, who bring innovation, speed up structural changes in the economy, force old existing businesses to reform and increase competition. The fact that entrepreneurship represents a significant variable in propelling development and growth in any given locality cannot be underestimated.

Since entrepreneurship has been considered to be a significant feature in initiating development, many measurements of entrepreneurship have been taken as indicators

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Acs, Z. (2006). How is Entrepreneurship Good for Economic Growth? *Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization* 1(1): 97-107.
- Acs, Z. J., Audretsch, D., Braunerhjelm, P., and Carlsson, B. (2004). The Missing Link: The Knowledge Filter and Endogenous Growth, *Discussion Paper*, *London, Center for Economic Policy Research (CEPR)*.
- Acs, Z. J., Desai, S., and Hessels, J. (2008). Entrepreneurship economic development and institutions. *Small Business Economics*, 31(3), 219-234.
- Acs, Z. J., Arenius, P., Hay, M., and M. Minniti (2005). 2004 Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. (London U.K. and Babson Park, MA: London Business School and Babson College).
- Acs, Z., and Armington, C. (2006). Entrepreneurship, Agglomeration and US Regional Growth, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Acs, Z.J., Audretsch, D.B., Braunerhjelm, P.and Carlsson, B. (2006). The Knowledge Spillover Theory of Entrepreneurship. *Electronic Working paper series, paper no.77*.CESIS.
- Acs, Z., and Armington, C. (2005), Using Census BITS to Explore Entrepreneurship, Geography, and Economic Growth,. *Small Business Research Summary No.* 248, U.S. Small Business Administration's Office of Advocacy.
- Anokhin, S., Grichnik, D., and Hisrich, R. D. (2008). The Journey From Novice To Serial Entrepreneurship in China and Germany: Are the Drivers the Same? *Managing Global Transitions*, 6 (2): 117–42.
- Armington, C. and Acs, Z. J. (2002). The determinants of regional variation in new firm formation. *Regional Studies*, 36: 33-45.

- Audretsch, D. (2007). Entrepreneurship capital and economic growth. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 23: 63-78.
- Audretsch, D. and R. Thurik (2004), A model of the entrepreneurial economy, International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education 2(2), 143-166.
- Audretsch, D. and Feldman, M. (1996). R&D spillovers and the geography of innovation and production. *American Economic Review*, 86: 630-640.
- Audretsch, D., Keilbach, M., and Lehmann, E. (2006), *Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Audretsch, D. and Keilbach, M. (2004). Entrepreneurship Capital and Economic Performance. *Regional Studies*, 38, 949-959.
- Autio, E. (2007). Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. (2007). Global Report on High Growth Entrepreneurship. Wellesley, MA/ London: Babson College and London Business School.
- Autio, E. (2008). High- and low-aspiration entrepreneurship and economic growth in low-income economies. *Paper presented at the UNU-WIDER project workshop on Entrepreneurship and Economic Development*, Helsinki.
- Auzina, A.and Pocs, R. (2008). Overall Macroeconomic Environment Impact on Business Demography. 5th International scientific Conference Business and management 2008. Lithuania.
- Baffie, J. (1993). "From Rags to Riches: Discussing Achievements of Some Chinese Families in Thailand." Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socioeconomic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Banerjee, Abhijit and Esther Duflo (2007) The Economic Lives of the Poor, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21 (1), 141-167.
- Barro, Robert J. (2000). Inequality and Growth in a Panel of Countries. *Journal of Economic Growth* 5, 5-32.

- Bates, T., (1999), Race, Self-employment, and Upward Mobility: An Illusive American Dream; Response to John Sibley Butler's review essay, *Small Business Economics* 12(2), 189-190.
- Bathelt, H. (2001). Regional competence and economic recovery: divergent growth paths in Boston's high technology economy. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 13(4): 287-314.
- Baumol, W. (2002), The Free Market Innovation Machine: analyzing the Growth Miracle of capitalism, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Baumol, W. J. (2004). Entrepreneurial enterprises, large established firms, and other components of the free-market growth machine. *Small Business Economics*, 23(1): 9-21.
- Beck, T., Demiruc-Kunt, A. and Livine R. (2005). SMEs, Growth, and Poverty: Cross-Country Evidence. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 10, 199–229.
- Begley, T. M., Tan, W.-L., and Schock, H. (2005). Politico-economic factors associated with interest in starting a business: A multi-country study. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(1): 35-56.
- Birch, D. (1987). Job creation in America. New York: Free Press.
- Block, J. and Sandner, P. (2009). Necessity and Opportunity Entrepreneurs and Their Duration in Self-employment: Evidence from German Micro Data, *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 9(2), 117-137.
- Bosma, N., Jones, K., Autio, E. and Levie, J. (2007) *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2007 executive Reports*, Babson College, Babson Park MA, and London Business School, London, UK.
- Bosma, N. and Harding, R. (2006) *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: GEM 2006 Summary Results*, Babson College, Babson Park MA, and London Business School, London, UK.

- Bosworth, Barry (2005). *Economic Growth in Thailand: The Macroeconomic Context*, paper prepared for a World Bank project on the investment climate, firm competitiveness, and growth in Thailand, August.
- Brimble, Peter J. (1987), *Total Factor Productivity Growth at the Firm Level in Thailand: A Challenge for the Future. Bangkok*: Faculty of Economics, Thammasat University.
- Brixy, U., and Grotz, R., (2007), Regional Patterns and determinants of Birth and survival of new firms in Germany. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 19: 293-312.
- Bull, I., and Winter, F. (1991). Community differences in business birth and growths. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 6(1): 29-43.
- Bunjongjit, H.-B. S. L. a. N. (1993). Micro and Small Enterprises and Institutional Framework: a Comparative Study of Thailand, Ecuador and Tunisia. *Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia*, Bangkok.
- Cagetti M. and De Nardi M. (2006). Entrepreneurship, Frictions, and Wealth, *Journal of Political Economy*, University of Chicago Press, vol. 114(5), pages 835-870, October.
- Camp, S. Michael (2005). The Innovation-Entrepreneurship NEXUS: A National Assessment of Entrepreneurship and Regional Economic Growth and Development, *Small Business Research Summary Small Business Administration*, Office of Advocacy No. 256, April.
- Cantillon, R. (1931), Essai Sur la Nature du Commerce en General, (Trans) Higgs, H., MacMillan and Co., London.
- Carree, M., Thurik AR. (1998) Small Firms and Economic Growth in Europe. Atlantic Economic Journal 26: 137–46.

- Carree, M., Van Stel, A., Thurik, R., and Wennekers, S. (2002). Economic development and business ownership: An analysis using data of 23 OECD countries in the period 1976-1996. *Small Business Economics*, 19(3): 271-290.
- Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik, (2002), The impact of entrepreneurship on economic growth, in D.B. Audretsch and Z.J. Acs (eds.) *Handbook of Entrepreneurship*, Boston/Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, forthcoming.
- Cassis, Youssef, and Minoglou, I. P. (2005). *Entrepreneurship in Theory and History*. New York: Palgrave.
- Castrogiovanni, G. (1991). Environmental munificence: A theoretical assessment. Academy of Management Review, 16(3): 542-565.
- Chandrachai, A., Bangorn, T., and Chockpisansin, K. (2004). *Total Factor Productivity Growth: Survey Report*. Tokyo: Asian Productivity Organization.
- Chantavanich (1993). From Gonsi to Business Corporatism: Socio-cultural and Political Conditions of Ethnic Chinese Big Business Families' Success" Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Charoenloet, V. (1993). Informal Sector: the Economy of the Poor? *Paper presented* at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Chuenchoksan, S. and D. Nakornthab (2008). Past, present and prospect for thailand.s growth: A labor market perspective. Bank of Thailand, Discussion Paper: DP/06/2008.

- Clarke, M. (2001). *Does Economic Growth Reduce Poverty? A Case Study of Thailand.*, poster prepared for UNU/WIDER Development Conference, Growth and Poverty, Helsinki, 25-26 May.
- Collier, P. (2007). The Bottom Billion, Oxford University Press: Oxford.
- Couyoumdjian, J.P and C. Larroulet (2009). Entrepreneurship and Growth: A Latin American Paradox? *The Independent Review* 14(1): 81-100.
- Deaton, A. (1989). Rice Prices and Income Distribution in Thailand: A Non-parametric Analysis, *Economic Journal, Royal Economic Society, vol.* 99(395), pages 1-37.
- Deolalikar, A. B. (2002). Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand. *Erd Workingpaper Series No. 8 Economics And Researchdepartment*, Asian Development Bank.
- Deutsch, Joseph, and Jacques Silber (2004). Measuring the Impact of Various Income Sources on the Link between Inequality and Development: Implications for the Kuznets Curve. *Review of Development Economics* 8, 110-127.
- Dixon, C. (1996). Thailand's Rapid Economic Growth: Causes, Sustainability and Lessons. in M.Parnwell (ed.), Uneven Development in Thailand, Avebury, Aldershot.
- Dixon, C. (1999), *The Thai Economy. Uneven Development and Internationalism*, Routledge, London.
- Engle, Robert F. and C.W.J. Granger, (1987), Cointegration and error correction: Representation, estimation and testing, *Econometrica* 55, 251-276
- Evans, D., and Leighton, L. S. (1989). Some empirical aspects of entrepreneurship. *American Economic Review*, 79(519-535).

- Folster, S. (2000). Do entrepreneurs create jobs? *Small Business Economics*, 14(2): 137-148.
- Foster, J., J. Greer and E. Thorbecke (1984). A Class of Decomposable Poverty Measures. *Econometrica* 52 (3): 761-66
- Friijs, Christian, Thomas Paulsson and Charlie Karlsson (2002). *Entrepreneurship* and Economic Growth: A Critical Review of Empirical and Theoretical Research. Östersund, Sweden: Institutet för tillväxtpolitiska studier.
- Fritsch, M., and Mueller, P. (2004). Effects of new business formation on regional development over time. *Regional Studies*, *38*(8): 961-975.
- Furuoka, Fumitaka (2009). Population growth and economic development: New empirical evidence from Thailand. *Economics Bulletin* 29(1), 1-13.
- Gartner, W. B. (1985). A conceptual framework for describing the phenomenon of new venture creation. *Academy of Management Review*, *10*(4): 696-706.
- Gartner, W. B., (1989), Who is an Entrepreneur? is the Wrong Question, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 13, 47–68.
- Getahun T. (1999). Poverty and Poverty Reduction is Ethiopia. In Tegegne, et.al (eds), *Aspects of Development Issues in Ethiopia*. Proceedings of a workshop on the 25th Anniversary of the Institute of Development Research.
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. (2005). 2004 Executive Report, Edited by Zoltan J. Acs, Pia Arenius, Michael Hay, Maria Minniti, USA and UK.
- Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica*, 46(6):1251–1272.
- Hayek, F. A. (1945). The use of knowledge in society. *American Economic Review, vol.* 35, pp. 519–30.

- Hébert, R. F. and A. N. Link, (1989), In Search of the Meaning of Entrepreneurship, Small Business Economics 1, 39–49.
- Hébert, R. F. and Link, A. N., (1988), *The Entrepreneur: Mainstream Views and Radical Critiques*, 2nd ed., Praeger, NewYork.
- Henderson, Jason, (2006), Understanding Rural Entrepreneurship at the County Level: Data Challenges, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, Omaha.
- Ho, Y.-P., and Wong, P.-K. (2007). Financing, regulatory costs and entrepreneurial propensity. *Small Business Economics*, 28, 187–204.
- Holcombe, S (1995). Managing to Empower: the Gramean Bank's Experience of Poverty Alleviation, London: Zed Press.
- Hulme, D and Mosley, P. (1996). Finance Against Poverty, volumes 1 and 2, London: Routledge
- Igel, B. (1993). The Economy of Survival in the Slums of Bangkok. Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Ikemoto, Yukio. (1991). *Income Distribution in Thailand: Its Changes, Causes, and Structure*. Tokyo: Institute of Developing Economies.
- Ingram, James C. (1971). *Economic Change in Thailand: 1850-1970*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Islam, Nazrul, (1995). Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, November, 1127-1170.
- Iyigun, M. F., and Owen, A. L. (1998). Risk, entrepreneurship and human capital accumulation. *American Economic Review*, Papers and Proceedings, 88: 454-457.

- Jackson, L.F. (1984), Hierarchic Demand and the Engle Curve for Variety, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 66, 8-15.
- Jansen, K. (1995). *The macroeconomic effects of direct foreign investment: The Case of Thailand*. World Development, 23(2), p.193-210.
- Jiranyakul, K. (2007). The Relationship between Government Expenditure and Economic Growth in Thailand, *Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 93-102.
- Jitsuchon, S. (2006). *Development of Fiscal Policy after 1997 Economic Crisis*.

 Bangkok: Thailand Development Research Institute, December.
- Kakwani, N. (1997), On measuring growth and Inequality components of poverty with application to Thailand. Discussion Paper. School of Economics, The University of New South Wales.
- Kakwani, N. (1999). Poverty and Inequality During the Economic Crisis in Thailand., *Indicators of Well-being and Policy Analysis*, Vol. 3, No. 1, January, NESDB, Bangkok.

Universiti Utara Malavsia

- Kakwani, N. and Krongkaew, M. (1998). Poverty in Thailand: Defining, Measuring, and Analyzing. Working Paper No. 4, Asian Development Bank and Development Evaluation Division, National Economic and Social Development Board.
- Kakwani, Nanak (2003). Issues in Setting Absolute Poverty Lines. Poverty and Social Development Paper No. 3, June, Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- Kakwani, Nanak and Medhi, Krongkaew (1997). Some Good news on Poverty and Income Inequality. University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. Processed.
- Kermel-Torres and Schar (1993). Entrepreneurship and Dynamics of Rural Systems:

 A Comparative Study on Southern India and North-Eastern Thailand. *Paper*

- presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Kihlstrom, R. E., Laffont, J.-J. (1979): A general equilibrium entrepreneurial theory of firm formation based on risk aversion. *Journal of Political Economy* 87, 719-748.
- Kimhi, Ayal (2009). Entrepreneurship and Income Inequality in Southern Ethiopia, Research Paper No. 2009/05. UNU-WIDER.
- Kirchhoff, B. A., (1994), *Entrepreneurship and Dynamic Capitalism*, Westport CT: Praeger.
- Kirzner, I. M. (1979). *Perception, Opportunity and Profit*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kirzner, I. M. (1973). *Competition and entrepreneurship*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Knippenberg, L. (1993). "Conditions for a Successful Transition to an Industrialized Country: Thailand as a Case." A Preliminary Theoretical Framework. *Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia.*, Bangkok.
- Krongkaew, M. (2002). Economic growth and social welfare: Experience of Thailand after the 1997 economic crisis. Mimeo.
- Krongkaew, M. and Kakwani, N. (2003): The Growth-Equity Trade-Off in Modern Economic Development: The Case of Thailand. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 14: 735-757.
- Krongkaew, M., P. Tinakorn, and S. Suphachalasai, (1996). Thailand. In M. G. Quibria ed., *Rural Poverty in Developing Asia. Vol.* 2. Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines.

- Krongkaew, M., S. Chamnivikorn, and I. Nitithanprapas. (2006). *Economic growth, Employment, and poverty reduction linkages: The case of Thailand* (Online). www.ilo.org/public/english/employment/recon/poverty/download/disc20.pdf.
- Krugman, P. (1991). Increasing returns and economic geography. *Journal of Political Economy*, 99: 483-499.
- Kuznets, S. (1955): Economic growth and Income Inequality. *American Economic Review*, 45: 1-28.
- Lal, D. and Myint, H. (1996), *The Political Economy of Poverty, Equity and Growth*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Lee, S. Y., Florida, R., and Acs, Z. J. (2004). Creativity and entrepreneurship: A regional analysis of new firm formation. *Regional Studies*, *38*(8): 879-891.
- Leff, N. (1979). Entrepreneurship and Economic Development: The Problem Revisited. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 17: 46–64.
- Leibenstein, Harvey, (1968). Entrepreneurship and Development. *American Economic Review* 58, May: 72—83.
- Lucas, R. (1978). On the size distribution of firms. *BELL Journal of Economics*, 9: 508-523.
- Lucas, R. (1993), Making a Miracle, Econometrica, 61, 251–72.
- Lucas, R.E., (1988), On the Mechanics of Economics Development, *Journal of Monetary Economics* 22, 3-42.
- Lundberg, Mattias, and Lyn Squire (2003). The Simultaneous Evolution of Growth and Inequality. *The Economic Journal 113*, 326-344.
- Maki, W., and Lichty, R. W. (2000). *Urban regional economics: Concepts, tools, applications. Ames:* Iowa State University Press.

- Manarungsun, Sompop (1989), Economic development of Thailand, 1850–1950: responses to challenges of the world economy, PhD dissertation, Groningen University.
- Mankiw, N. Gregory, David Romer, and David N. Weil. (1992). A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107, May, 407-37.
- Marshall A. (1930). The Pure Theory of Foreign Trade The Pure Theory of Domestic Values, London, The London School of Economics and Political Science, University of London.
- Martin M., Picazo M. and Navarro J., (2010). *Entrepreneurship, Income Distribution and Economic Growth*. Springer Science, Bussiness Media.
- Mathur, V. K. (1999). Human capital-based strategy for regional economic development. *Economic Development Quarterly*, *13*(3): 203-216.
- Meehan, F. (1999). Microfinance in Rural Development: The Impact of Credit Provision by the Dedebit Savings and Credit Institution on Economic Activity and Household Income in Tigray. M.Sc. Thesis: Ethiopia.
- Meh, Cesaire A. (2005). Entrepreneurship, Wealth Inequality, and Taxation. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 8, 688-719.
- Menger, C. (1976 (1871)) *Principles of Economics* (New York: New York University Press). Translation of Grundstitze der Volkswirtschaftslehre.
- Minniti, M., and Bygrave, W. D. (1999). The microfoundations of entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 23(4): 41-52.
- Mises, Ludwig von. (1998 (1949)). *Human Action: A Treatise on Economics*. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press.
- Murphy, K. M., Shleifer, A., and Vishny, R. W. (1991). The allocation of talent: Implications for growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2): 503-530.

- Naudé, W.A. (2007). *Peace, Prosperity, and Pro-Growth Entrepreneurship*, WIDER Discussion Paper 2007/02, UNU-WIDER: Helsinki.
- Naude, Wim, (2009). Out with the Sleaze, in with the Ease: Insufficient for Entrepreneurial Development? Research Paper 2009/01. Helsinki: UNU-WIDER.
- Naude, Wim, (2008). *Entrepreneurship in Economic Development*, Working Papers RP2008/20, World Institute for Development Economic Research (UNUWIDER).
- Nelson, R. (1981). Research on productivity Growth and Productivity Differences: Dead Ends and New Departures', *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 19, pp. 1209-64.
- Nickell, S., Nicolitsas, D, and Dryden, N. (1997). What Makes Firms Perform Well? European Economic Review, Vol. 41, pp. 783-796.
- Nickell, S.J. (1996). Competition and corporate performance, *Journal of Political Economy*, 104, 724-746.

Universiti Utara Malavsia

- Noorderhaven, N., Thurik, R., Wennekers, S., and Van Stel, A. (2004). The role of dissatisfaction and per capita income in explaining self-employment across 15 European countries. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 28(5): 447-466.
- OECD (1998), Human Capital Investment: An International Comparison, OECD, Paris.
- Oudin, X. (1993). Education and Career Patterns among Small Scale Entrepreneurs in Thailand. *Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia*, Bangkok.
- Paulson, A. L., and Townsend, R. (2004). Entrepreneurship and financial constraints in Thailand. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 10, 229-262.

- Pena, I., (2002), Intellectual capital and business start-up success, *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, June 202 Volume: 3 Issue: 2 Page: 180-198.
- Phelinas, P. (1993). Rice Entrepreneurs Facing Land Constraints: Some Empirical Evidence. *Paper presented at the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia*, Bangkok.
- Pholphirul, P. (2005). Competitiveness, Income Distribution, and Growth in Thailand: What Does the Long-run Evidence Show? International Economic Relations Program Thailand Development Research Institute. Thailand.
- Phongpaichit, P. and Baker, C. (1995), *Thailand: Economy and Politics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Phongpaichit, P. and Baker, C. (1996), *Thailand.s Boom, Allen and Unwin*, Bangkok.
- Phongpaichit, P., Piriyarangsan, S. and Treerat, N. (1998), *Guns, Girls, Gambling, Ganja: Thailand.s Illegal Economy and Public Policy*. Silkworm Books, Chiang Mai.

Universiti Utara Malavsia

- Pongsapich, A. (1993). Some Theoretical Consideration on Chinese Entrepreneurs and Their Dominant Role in Responding to the Thai Development Ideology. Paper presented at the the Entrepreneurship and socio-economic transformation in Thailand and Southeast Asia, Bangkok.
- Porter, M. (1990). The competitive advantage of nations. New York: Free Press
- Porter, M.; Sachs, J. and McArthur, J. (2002). Executive summary: competitiveness and stages of economic development, in P orter, M.; Sachs, J.; Cornelius, P. K.; McArthur, J. W., and Schwab, K. (eds.), *The Global Competitiveness Report 2001-2002*. Oxford University P ress, New York, pp. 16-25.
- Poungsomlee, A. and Ross, H. (1992), *Impacts of Modernisation and Urbanisation* in *Bangkok*, Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University, Bangkok.

- Powell, B. (2008). Making Poor Nations Rich: Entrepreneurship and the Process of Economic Development. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Quadrini, Vincenzo (1999). The Importance of Entrepreneurship for Wealth Concentration and Mobility. *Review of Income and Wealth 45*, 1-19.
- Rapoport, Hillel (2002). Migration, Credit Constraints and Self-Employment: A Simple Model of Occupational Choice, Inequality and Growth. *Economics Bulletin 15*, 1-5.
- Reynolds, P. D., D. J. Storey, and P. Westhead, (1994). Cross-national variations in new firm formation rates, *Regional Studies* 28, 443-456.
- Reynolds, P. D., Miller, B., and Maki, W. (1995). Explaining regional variation in business births and deaths: U.S. 1976-88. *Small Business Economics*, 7: 389-407.
- Reynolds, P., Bygrave, W.,Erkko, A. and Hay, M. (2002). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2002 Summary Report*. Babson Park, MA: Babson College, Ewing Marison Kauffman Foundation and the London Business School.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Romer, P. (1986). Increasing returns and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94: 1002-1037.
- Romer, P. (1994). The origins of endogenous growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 8(1): 3-22.
- Salerno, J. (1999). The place of Mise's Human action in the development of modern economic thought. *Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics*, 2, 35-65.
- Sanders, H. J. (1993). What infrastructure crisis? *Public Interest* (Winter): 3-18.
- Sandy, D.M. (2004). *Determinants of Successful Entrepreneurship in Sierra Leone*, in K. Wohlmuth, A. Gutowski, T. Knedlik, M. Meyn, and S. Pitamber (eds) African Development Perspectives Yearbook 2002/2003: African Entrepreneurship and Private Sector Development. Münster: Lit Verlag.

- Say, J. B., (1880), *Treatise on Political Economy*, (Trans) Prinsep, C. R., John Crigg, Philadelphia.
- Schumpeter, Joseph. (1942), *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*, Harper and Row, New York.
- Schumpeter, J.A. (1934), *The Theory of Economic Development*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Shan, J. (2002). 'A VAR Approach to the Economics of FDI in China'. *Applied Economics*, 7 (34): 885-93.
- Shane, S. A. (2000). Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Organization Science*, 11(4): 448-469.
- Shane, S.A (2009). Why encouraging more people to become entrepreneurs is a bad policy, *Small Business Economics*, *33*. 141P149.
- Sharma, P., and Chrisman, J. J. (1999). Toward a reconciliation of the definitional issues in the field of corporate entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 23(3): 11-28.
- Singer, A.E. (2006). Business Strategy and Poverty Alleviation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 66(2): 225-31.
- Solow, R. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70: 65-94.
- Solow, R. (1957). Technical change and the aggregate production function. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, *39*: 312-320.
- Specht, P. H. (1993). Munificence and carrying capacity of the environment and organization formation. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 17(2): 77-86.
- Tamvada, P. (2010). Entrepreneurship and Welfare. *Small Business Economics Journal*, 34 (1).

- Thungsuwan, S. and Thompson, H. (2003). Exports and economic growth in Thailand: An empirical analysis. *BU Academic Review*, *2*(1), 10-13.
- Thurik, A.R., M.A. Carree, A.J. Van Stel and D.B. Audretsch (2008). Does Self-employment Reduce Unemployment?, *Journal of Business Venturing 23 (6)*, 673-686.
- Thurik, Roy, and Sander Wennekers (2001). A Note on Entrepreneurship, Small Business and Economic Growth. Rotterdam: Erasmus Research Institute of Management Report Series.
- Tinakorn, P. (2002): Disparities in Income Distribution During Four Decades of National Development, 1961-2001. *Thammasat Journal of Economics*, 20 (2-3): 141-208.
- Todaro, M. (2000). Economic development. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Turgot A.R.J. (1973 [1766]). Reflections on the Formation and Distribution of Wealth, in R.L. Meek (ed) Turgot on Progress, Sociology and Economics
- Van Praag, C.M., (1996). *Determinants of successful entrepreneurship*,. Thesis Publishers, Amsterdam.
- Van Stel AJ, and Suddle, K. (2008). The impact of new firm formation on regional development in the Netherlands. *Small Business Economics* 30: 31-47
- Van Stel, A., and Storey, D. (2004). The link between firm births and job creation: Is there a Upas tree effect? *Regional Studies*, 38(8): 893-909.
- Van Stel, A., Carree, M., and Thurik, R. (2005). The effect of entrepreneurial activity on national economic growth. *Small Business Economics*, 24(3): 311-321.
- Van Stel, A.J., D.J. Storey and A.R. Thurik (2007). The Effect of Business Regulations on Nascent and Young Business Entrepreneurship, *Small Business Economics* 28, 171-186.

- Vines, D. and Warr, P. (2000), Thailand.s Investment-driven Boom and Crisis, Working Paper No.00/11, Asia Pacific School of Economics and Management, Australian National University, Canberra.
- Warr, P. (1993). *The Thai Economy. in P.Warr (ed.), The Thai Economy in Transition*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Warr, P. (2001). *Poverty Reduction and Sectoral Growth: Evidence from Southeast Asia.*, paper presented for the WIDER Development Conference Growth and Development, Helsinki, 25-26 May 2001.
- Warr, P. (2007). Long-term Economic Performance in Thailand. *ASEAN Economic Bulletin*, vol. 20, no. 1. 138- 163, April.
- Warr, P. (1998). Thailand, in R. H. McLeod and R. Garnaut (eds), East Asia in Crisis: From Being a Miracle to Needing One? London and New York: Routledge.
- Wennekers, A.R.M. and A.R. Thurik, (1999). Linking Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth. *Small Business Economics* 13(1), pp. 27-55.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Wennekers, S., van Stel, A, Carree, M and Thurik, R (2010). The relationship between entrepreneurship and economic development: is it U-shaped? *Foundations and Trends in Entrepreneurship*, 6 (3). 167-237.
- Wennekers, S., Van Stel, A., Thurik, R., and Reynolds, P. (2005). Nascent entrepreneurship and the level of economic development. *Small Business Economics*, 24: 293-309.
- Williams, G. (2009). Finance and entrepreneurial activity in higher education in the knowledge society. *In Entrepreneurialism in universities and the knowledge economy*, ed. M. Shattock, 9–33. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- World Bank (2001). World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty.

 Oxford University Press, New York.

- World Bank. (1990). World Development Report 1990: Poverty. New York. Oxford University Press.
- World Bank. (2012). World Development Report 2012: Poverty. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Yamada, G. (1996). Urban informal employment and self-employment in developing countries: Theory and evidence. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 44: 289-314.

