The copyright © of this thesis belongs to its rightful author and/or other copyright owner. Copies can be accessed and downloaded for non-commercial or learning purposes without any charge and permission. The thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted as a whole without the permission from its rightful owner. No alteration or changes in format is allowed without permission from its rightful owner.



THE EFFECTS OF INSTITUTIONAL OWNERSHIP, LEVERAGE AND EARNINGS PER SHARE ON OFFER PRICE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IPO IN MALAYSIA



MASTER OF SCIENCE (FINANCE) UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA JUNE 2016

THE EFFECTS OF INSTITUTIONAL OWNERSHIP, LEVERAGE AND EARNINGS PER SHARE ON OFFER PRICE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IPO IN MALAYSIA



Thesis Submitted to
School of Economics, Finance and Banking (SEFB)
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of Science (Finance)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation/project paper in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this dissertation/project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence, by the Dean of School of Economics, Finance and Banking (SEFB). It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation/project paper parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my dissertation/project paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation/project paper in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of School of Economics, Finance and Banking (SEFB)
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini mengkaji kesan penglibatan institusi, penghutangan dan pendapatan sesaham terhadap harga tawaran pada 71 buah tawaran awam awal (TAA) di Malaysia dalam tempoh dari tahun 2011 hingga 2015. Kajian tersebut menguji hipotesis kajian dengan menggunakan kaedah regresi berganda keratan-rentas. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa hubungan negatif antara penglibatan institusi dan harga tawaran IPO. Ini menunjukkan bahawa peratusan penglibatan pelabur institusi yang tinggi dalam pasaran TAA membawa risiko rendah kepada TAA, oleh itu harga tawaran yang rendah ditetapkan sejak pelabur yang kurang arif meminta pulangan awal yang tinggi daripada pelaburan, di mana menyokong Teori Sumpahan Pemenang. Selain itu, didapati bahawa pendapatan sesaham berhubungan secara positif terhadap harga tawaran TAA. Ini menunjukkan bahawa firma yang berkualiti dapat menjana keuntungan yang baik pada masa akan datang, di mana menyokong Teori Isyarat. Di sebaliknya, tiada hubungan antara penghutangan dan harga tawaran TAA daripada keputusan kajian tersebut.

Kata Kunci: Tawaran awam awal (TAA); Harga tawaran; Penglibatan institusi; Penghutangan; Pendapatan Sesaham; Teori Sumpahan Pemenang ;



ABSTRACT

This study examines the effects of institutional ownership, leverage and earnings per share on the offer price in 71 Malaysian IPOs within the periods from year 2011 to 2015. This research examines the hypotheses by employing cross-sectional multiple regression. The finding indicates that there is a negative relationship between institutional ownership and IPO offer price. This implies that high percentage of institutional investors involve into IPO markets lead to less uncertainty of IPOs, and hence low offer price is issued since uninformed investors require high initial returns from investing, which supports Rock's Winner's Curse Theory. Moreover, earnings per share is positively related to the IPO offer price. This indicates that a good quality firms able to generate favorable profits in future, in which support signaling theory. However, there is no relationship between leverage and IPO offer price is found from the results.

Keywords: IPO; Offer Price; Institutional Ownership; Leverage; Earnings per Share; Winner Curse Theory; Signaling Theory



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to all those who have rendered their assistance and encouragement to me to finish my research paper. First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Rasidah binti Mohd Rashid who has provided me the guidance, support, and encouragement to complete this research.

On top of that, I am grateful to lecturer, Dr Sabri bin Nayan, who has shared his knowledge regarding research methodology in which helpful for analysing the methodology that applied in research paper. This allows me to share his knowledge with other research students.

Last but not least, I am thankful to my beloved family and friends that gives the encouragement and support to me in completing research paper.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION	PAGE
TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION	ii
PERMISSION TO USE	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 IPO Pricing Mechanism	4
1.3 Problem Statement	6
1.4 Research Questions	10
1.5 Objectives of Research	10
1.6 Scope of this Study	10
1.7 Significance of Study	11
1.8 Organization of Study	12
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Theories Related to Literature	13

2.2.1 The Winner's Curse Hypothesis	14
2.2.2 Signaling Theory	16
2.3 IPO Offer Price	18
2.4 The Key Factors of IPO Pricing and its Prior Studies	21
2.4.1 Institutional Ownership	21
2.4.2 Leverage	24
2.4.3 Earnings Per Share	27
2.5 Control Variables	29
2.5.1 Price-to-earnings (P/E) Ratio	29
2.5.2 Supply of IPOs	30
2.5.3 Lock-up Ratio	30
2.6 Summary of the Chapter	31
CHAPTER THREE: DATA AND EMPIRICAL METHOD	33
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Data Universiti Utara Malaysia	33
3.3 Sample Description	34
3.4 Dependent Variable – Offer Price	35
3.5 Independent Variables	36
3.5.1 Institutional Ownership	36
3.5.2 Leverage	36
3.5.3 Earnings Per Share	37
3.6 Control Variables	37
3.6.1 Price-to-Earnings (P/E) ratio	38
3.6.2 Supply of IPOs	38
3.6.3 Lock-up Ratio	39

3.7 Hypotheses Development	39
3.7.1 Institutional Ownership	40
3.7.2 Leverage	40
3.7.3 Earnings Per Share	41
3.8 Research Framework	42
3.9 Model Specification of Research	42
3.10 Techniques of Data Analysis	43
3.10.1 Normality Test	44
3.10.2 Correlation Coefficient Analysis	44
3.10.3 Multicollinearity Test	45
3.10.4 Autocorrelation Issue	45
3.10.5 Heteroskedascity Issue	46
3.11 Summary of Chapter	46
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND EMPIRICAL FINDINGS	47
4.1 Introduction Universiti Utara Malaysia	47
4.2 Descriptive Statistics	47
4.3 Correlation Analysis	50
4.4 Results of Diagnostic Testing	51
4.4.1 Normality of Distributions	53
4.4.2 Multicollinearity	53
4.4.3 Autocorrelation	54
4.4.4 Heteroskedascity Test	54
4.5 Results from Regression Analysis	55
4.5.1 Effect of Independent Variables on Offer Price	56
A. Institutional Ownership	56

B. Leverage	57
C. Earnings Per Share	58
4.5.2 Effect of Control Variables on Offer Price	59
4.6 Summary of the Chapter	60
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	62
5.1 Introduction	62
5.2 Summary of the Study	62
5.3 Limitation of Study	64
5.4 Recommendation of the Future Research	64
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	73



LIST OF TABLES

TABLES		PAGE
Table 3.1	The Number of IPOs List Regarding to the Category of Sector	34
	from Year 2011 to 2015.	
Table 3.2	The Distribution of IPOs Sample from Year 2011 to 2015.	35
Table 4.1	Results of Descriptive Statistics of Each Variables For 71	48
	Malaysian IPOs Listed in 2011- 2015.	
Table 4.2	The Coefficient Correlation of Variables	52
Table 4.3	Results of Cross-Sectional Regression to Explain Offer Price	55



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES		PAGE
Figure 1.1	Statistics of the total number of companies listed on Main	2
	Market and Ace Market in Malaysia as from year 2009 to	
	2015.	
Figure 3.1	The Relationships Between the Dependent Variable and	42
	Independent Variables.	
Figure 4.1	Results of Normality Test	53



LISTS OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACE Market = Access, Certainty, Efficiency Market

 β_0 = The regression intercept

 β = The regression coefficients of respective variables

 ε = Error term of regression

EPS = Earnings Per Share

Eq = Equation

 H_1 = First hypothesis

 H_2 = Second hypothesis

 H_3 = Third hypothesis

IPO = Initial Public Offering

LEV = Leverage

LR = Lock-up Ratio

MESDAQ = Malaysian Exchange of Securities Dealing and Automated

Quotation

OFFER = IPO offer size

OFFSZ = Supply of IPOs

P/E = Price-to-earnings Ratio

PRIV = Institutional Ownership

SC = Securities Commission

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

The definition of initial public offering (IPO) is the sale of securities to public that performed first time to the public by going through the primary market (Brealey et al., 2008), with the aim of raising capital (Boonchuaymetta and Chuanrommanee, 2013). The firm who is private hands will be transformed as public share after being traded equity capital market (Younesi et al., 2012). According to Costa et al. (2013), IPO provides opportunity to examine a critically important issue in the liveliness of a company, whereby typically large price movements or returns are observed over a very short event window. Normally, the issuing IPOs are normally done by small and younger companies that would like to expanse their capital.

Nowadays, IPOs have become more popular investment choices for both small and large investors. Unlike debt market, especially the bond market, IPO becomes more popular investment choices for institutional investors and retail investors (Abdul-Rahim and Yahya, 2015). This is due to the expectation of the group of potential investors and their diversity in which able to prompt companies to have chances to acquire the expected amount of capital. IPOs provides opportunities for investors to obtain more profit once the shares are issued and traded publicly, in which able to enhance liquidity in order to allow firm for raising capital on the favorable term (Ritter, 1998). According to Mello and Parsons (1998), the purpose of firms going to public in which to enlarge their borrowing power by virtue of a dispersed ownership.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Rahim, R., Che-Embi, N.A. & Yong, O. (2012). Winner's curse and IPO initial performance: New evidence from Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 4(2), 151-159.
- Abdul-Rahim, R. & Che-Yahya, N. (2015). Moderating Effect of Information Asymmetry on the Signalling Role of IPO Lockup Provision. *Proceedings of Sydney International Business Research Conference 2015, University of Western Sydney Campbelltown, Australia.*
- Aggarwal, R., Bhagat, S. & Rangan, S. (2009). The Impact of Fundamentals on IPO Valuation. *Financial Management*, 38(2), 253-284.
- Akyol, A.C., Cooper, T., Meoli, M. & Vismara, S. (2014). Do regulatory changes affect the underpricing of European IPOs? *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 45, 43-58.
- Allen, F., & Faulhaber, G. (1989). Signaling by underpricing in the IPO market. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 23, 303–323.
- Bartov E., & Mohanram, P. & Seethamraju, C. (2002). Valuation of Internet Stocks An IPO Perspective. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 40(2), 321-418.
- Benveniste, L.M. & Spindt, P.A. (1989). How investment bankers determine the offer price and allocation of new issues. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 24, 343–361.
- Betani, L. & Asghari, F. (2014). Study of Factors Affecting the Initial Public Offering (IPO) Price of the Shares on the Tehran Stock Exchange. *Research in World Economy*, 5(2), 68-73.
- Boonchuaymetta, E. & Chuanrommanee, W. (2013). Management of the IPO performance in Thailand. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 23, 272-284.
- Booth, J.R. & Chua, L. (1996). Ownership dispersion, costly information and IPO underpricing. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 24, 213-232.
- Brau, J.C. & Fawcett, S.E. (2006). Initial Public Offerings: An Analysis of Theory and Practice. *Journal of Finance*, *61*(1), 399-436.
- Brav, A. & Gompers, P. (2003). The role of lockups in initial public offerings. *Review of Financial Studies*, 16, 1-29.
- Brealey, R.A., Myers, S.C. & Allen, F. (2008). *Principles of Corporate Finance*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Brennan, M.J. & Frank, J. (1997). Underpricing, ownership and control in initial public offerings of equity securities in the UK. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 45, 391-413.
- Busaba, W.Y. & Chang, C. (2010). Bookbuilding vs. fixed price revisited: The effect of aftermarket trading. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *16*, 370-381.
- Carey, P., Fang, V. & Zhang, H.F. (2016). The role of optimistic news stories in IPO pricing. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*, 41, 16-29.
- Chang, K & Tang, Y. (2007). Pricing Taiwan's Initial Public Offerings. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics*, 14, 69–84.
- Chanine, S. (2002) Issuing firm value and IPO discount: are investment bank valuation models accurate? *Working Paper, Audencia-Nantes School of Management*.
- Che-Yahya, N., Abdul-Rahim, R. & Rashid, R.M. (2015). Impact of Lock-Up Provision on Two IPO Anomalies in the Immediate Aftermarket. *Capital Markets Review*, 23, 25-39.
- Chen, H., Shu, P. & Chiang, S. (2011). The choice between bookbuilding and fixed-price offering: Evidence from SEOs in Taiwan. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*, 21, 28-48.
- Chen, L. (2015). A Study on the Influencing Factors of IPO Pricing and Policy Research in Chinese Stock Market. *International Conference on Education, Management and Computing Technology*.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Chemmanur, T.J. (1993). The Pricing of Initial Public Offerings: A Dynamic Model with Information Production. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(1), 285-304.
- Chenmanur, T.J., Hu, G. & Huang, J. (2010). The Role of Institutional Investors in Initial Public Offerings. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 23(12), 4496-4540.
- Clarkson, P.M. (1994). The underpricing of initial public offerings, ex ante uncertainty, and proxy selection. *Accounting & Finance*, *34*(2), 67-78.
- Connelly, B.L. Certo, S.T., Ireland, R.D. & Reutzel, C.R. (2011). Signaling Theory: A Review and Assessment. *Journal of Management*, *37*(1), 39-67.
- Cornelli, F. & Goldreich, D. (2003). Bookbuilding: how informative is the order book? *Journal of Finance*, 58, 1415–1443.
- Costa, B.A., Crawford, A. & Jakob, K. (2013). Does culture influence IPO underpricing? *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 23, 113-123.
- Cotter, J., Goyen, M. & Hegarty, S. (2005). Offer pricing of Australian industrial Initial Public Offerings. *Accounting and Finance*, 45, 95-125.

- Daily, C.M., Certo, S.T. & Dalton, D.R. (2005). Investment bankers and IPO pricing: does prospectus information matter? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20, 93-111.
- Degeorge, F. Derrien, F. & Womack, K.L. (2010). Auctioned IPOs: The US evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 98, 177-194.
- Edelen, R.M. & Kadlec, G. B. (2005). Issuer surplus and the partial adjustment of IPO prices to public information. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 77, 347-373.
- Espinasse, P. (2014). *IPO: A Global Guide, Expanded Second Edition*. Hong Kong: Paramount Printing Co., Ltd.
- Fernando, C.S., Krishnamurthy, S. & Spindt, P.A. (2004). Are share price levels informative? Evidence from the ownership, pricing, turnover and performance of IPO firms. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 7, 377-403.
- Firth, M. (1998). IPO earnings forecasts and their role in signaling firm value and explaining post-listing returns. *Applied Financial Economics*, 8, 29-39.
- Gao, F. & Siddiqi, M.A. (2012). The Rationale of IPO Lockup Agreements: Agency or Signaling? *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 15(3), 1-18.
- Ghicas, D.C., Iriotis, N., Papadaki, A. & Walker, M. (2000). Fundamental Analysis and the Valuation of IPOs in the Construction Industry. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 35(2), 227-241.
- Ghosh, S. (2005). Underpricing of Initial Public Offerings: The Indian Experience. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 41(6), 45-57.
- Grinblatt, M., & Hwang, C. (1989). Signaling and the pricing of unseasoned new issue. *Journal of Finance*, 44, 393–420.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Gujarati, D.N. (2003). Basic Econometrics. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hair, J.F., Tatham, R.L. & Anderson, R.E. (1998). *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 5th *edition*. Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J. & Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. London: Pearson Education, Limited.
- Hand, J.R.M. (2003). Profits, Losses and the Non-Linear Pricing of Internet Stocks," in J.R.M. Hand and B. Lev, Eds., *Intangible Assets: Values, Measures and Risks*. New York, NY, Oxford University Press.
- Hanley, K. (1993). Underpricing of initial public offerings and the partial adjustment phenomenon. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *59*, 313-346.
- Hanley, K.W. & Hoberg, G. (2010). The Information Content of IPO Prospectuses. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 23(7), 2821-2864.

- Heinkel, R. & Zechner, J. (1990). The role of debt and preferred stock as a solution to adverse investment incentives. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 25, 1-24.
- Hiau Abdullah, N.A. & Taufil Mohd, K.N. (2004). Factors Influencing the Underpricing of Initial Public Offerings in an Emerging Market: Malaysia Evidence. *IIUM Journal of Economics and Management, 12*(2), 1-21.
- Jagannathan, R., Jirnyi, A. & Sherman, A.G. (2015). Share auctions of initial public offerings: Global evidence. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 24, 283-311.
- Jain, B. A. & O. Kini (1994). The Post-Issue Operating Performance of IPO Firms. *The Journal of Finance*, 49(5), 1699-1726.
- Jones, S.L. & Yeoman, J.C. (2014). Initial uncertainty and the risk of setting a fixed-offer price: Implications for the pricing of bookbuilt and best-efforts IPOs. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 27, 194-215.
- Kaplan, S.N. & Ruback, R.S. (1995). The valuation of cash flow forecasts: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Finance*, 50, 1059–1093.
- Keasey, K. & McGuinness, P.B. (2008). Firm value and its relation to equity retention levels, forecast earnings disclosures and underpricing in initial public offerings in Hong Kong. *International Business Review*, 17, 642-662.
- Khurshed, A. (2011). *Initial Public Offerings: The mechanics and performance of IPOs.* Britian: Harriman House Ltd.
- Kim, J., Krinsky, I & Lee, J. (1995). The role of financial variables in the pricing of Korean initial public offerings. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *3*, 449-464.
- Kim, J., Pukthuanthong-Le, K. & Walker, T. (2007). Leverage and IPO under-pricing: high-tech versus low-tech IPOs. *Management Decision*, 46(1), 106-130.
- Kim, M & Ritter, J.R. (1999). Valuing IPOs. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 53, 409-437.
- Kirmani, A., & Rao, A. R. (2000). No pain, no gain: A critical review of the literature on signaling unobservable product quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(2), 66-79.
- Klein, A. (1996). Can investors use the prospectus to price initial public offerings? *The Journal of Financial Statement Analysis*, 2, 23-39.
- Krishnamurthy, S., Spindt, P., Subramaniam, V., & Woidtke, T. (2005). Does investor identity matter in equity issues? Evidence from private placements. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 14, 210–238.
- Kutsuna, K. & Smith, R. (2004). Why Does Book Building Drive out Auction Methods of IPO Issuance? Evidence from Japan. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 17(4), 1129-1166,

- Leland, H. E., & Pyle, D. H. (1977). Information Asymmetries, Financial Structures and Financial Intermediation. *Journal of Finance*, *32*, 371-387.
- Loughran, T., Ritter, J. R. & Rydqvist, K. (1994). Initial public offerings: international insights. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 2, 165–199.
- Low, S. & Yong, O. (2011). Explaining over-subscription in fixed-priced IPOs Evidence from the Malaysian stock market. *Emerging Markets Review*, 12, 205-216.
- Lowry, M. & Schwert, G.W. (2004). Is the IPO pricing efficient? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 71, 3-26.
- Mello, A.S. & Persons, J.E. (1998). Going public and the ownership structure of the firm. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 49(1), 79-109.
- Michaely, R. & Shaw, W.H. (1994). The Pricing of Initial Public Offerings: Tests of Adverse-Selection and Signaling Theories. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 7(2), 279-319.
- Mills, R.W. (2005). Assessing growth estimates in IPO valuations—a case study. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 17, 73–78.
- Nachman, D. & Noe, T. (1994). Optimal design of securities under asymmetric information. *Review of Financial Studies*, 7(1), 1-44.
- Peng, Y., & Wang, K. (2007). IPO underpricing and flotation methods in Taiwan A stochastic frontier approach. *Applied Economics*, *39*, 2785–2796.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Purnanandam, A.K. & Swaminanthan, B. (2004). Are IPOs underpriced? *Review of Financial Studies*, 17(3), 811-848.
- Ramasamy, R. & Abar, S.K. (2015). Influence of Macroeconomic Variables on Exchange Rates. Journal of Economics, *Business and Management*, 3(2), 276-281.
- Rashid, R.M. & Rahim, R.A. (2012). Does Shareholder Retention Matter in Explaining the Under-Pricing Phenomenon of Malaysian IPOs? *The Journal of American Academy of Business*, 18(1), 293-299.
- Reber, B. & Vencappa, D. (2016). Deliberate premarket underpricing and aftermarketmispricing: New insights on IPO pricing. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 44, 18-33.
- Ringim, K.J., Razalli, M.R., & Hasnan, N. (2012). A Framework of Business Process Re-engineering Factors and Organizational Performance of Nigerian Banks. *Asian Social Science*, 8(4), 203-216.
- Ritter, J.R. (1998). Initial Public Offerings. Contemporary Finance Digest, 2(1), 5-30.

- Ritter, J.R. & Welch, I. (2002). A review of IPO Activity: Pricing and Allocations. *Journal of Finance*, 57, 1795-1828.
- Rock, K. (1986). Why New Issues are Underpriced. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 15, 187-212.
- Roosenboom, P. (2012). Valuing and Pricing IPOs. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *36*, 1653-1664.
- Ross, S. (1977). The determination of financial structure: the incentive-signaling approach. *Bell Journal of Economics*, 8, 23-40.
- Sahoo, S. & Prabina, R. (2012). Determinants of Pricing IPOs: An Empirical Investigation. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 19(4), 59-87.
- Sarkar, J. & Sarkar, S. (2008). Debt and Corporate Governance in Emerging Economics: Evidence from India. *The Economics of Transition*, 16(2), 293-334.
- Schreiner, A. (2007). Equity Valuation Using Multiples: An Empirical Investigation. Academic Network, Roland Berger Strategy Consultants, Wiesbaden: DUV Gabler Edition, Wissenschaff, Vol. 25, p. 170.
- Spence, M. (2002). Signaling in retrospect and the informational structure of markets. *American Economic Review*, 92, 434-459.
- Su, D. (2004). Leverage, insider ownership, and the underpricing of IPOs in China. *International Financial Markets, Institutional and Money*, 14, 37-54.
- Tajuddin, A.H., Rashid, R.M., Hiau Abdullah, N.A. & Abdul-Rahim, R. (2015). An Empirical Examination of Over-Subcription in the Malaysian IPO Market. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 9(S), 81-102.
- Taylor, J.J. (2013). Confusing Stats Terms Explained: Heteroscedasticity. Retrieved on 31 March 2016 from http://www.statsmakemecry.com/smmctheblog/confusing-stats-terms-explained-heteroscedasticity-heteroske.html
- Wan Hussin, W.N. (2005). The Effects of Owners' Participation and Lockup on IPO Underpricing in Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 10(1), 19-36.
- Wan Hussin, W.N. (2007). IPO Valuation, Investor Protection and Deregulation: Evidence from Bursa Malaysia. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1, 1-45.
- Wang, G.C.S. & Akabay, C.K. (1995). Autocorrelation: Problems and Solutions in Regression Modeli. *Journal of Business Forecasting Methods & Systems*, 13(4).
- Wang, K. (2005). Auditor and underwriter industry specialization/differentiation: evidence from IPO underpricing and long-term performance. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, University of Texas A&M University, US.

- Welch, I. (1989). Seasoned offerings, imitation costs and the underpricing of initial public offerings. *Journal of Finance*, 44, 421–449.
- Welch, I. (1992). Sequential sales, learning and cascades. *Journal of Finance*, 47, 695-732.
- Yong, O. (2009). Winner's curse, bandwagon effect and size effect in IPOs: Evidence from private placement IPOs in Malaysia. *Discussion Paper Series*, 4, 2-23.
- Yong, O. (2011a). Investor Demand, Size Effect and the Immediate Post-Listing Behavior of Malaysian IPOs. *Universiti Tun Abdul Razak Ejournal*, 7(2), 23-32.
- Yong, O. (2011b). Winner's Curse and Bandwagon Effect in Malaysian IPOs: Evidence from 2001- 2009. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 32, 21-26.
- Yong, O. (2015). What is the "True" value of an initial public offering? *Journal of Scientific Research and Development*, 2(10), 78-85.
- Yoo, W., Bae, S., Signh, K. Peter, Q. & Lillard Jr, J.W. (2014). A Study of Effects of Multicollinearity in the Multivariable Analysis. *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 4(5), 9-19.
- Younesi, N., Ardekani, A.M. & Hashemijoo, M. (2012). Performance of Malaysian IPOs and Impact of Return Determinants. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 4(2), 140-158.
- Yu, T. & Tse, Y.K. (2006). An empirical examination of IPO underpricing in the Chinese A-share market. *China Economic Review*, 17(4), 363-382.
- Yung, C. & Zender, J.F. (2010). Moralhazard, asymmetric information and IPO lockups. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *16*, 320-332.
- Zikmund, W.G., Babin, B.J., Carr, J.C. & Griffin, M. (2013). *Business Research Methods*. 9th edition. Cengage Learning: South-Western, Canada.
- Zhang, F. (2012). Information precision and IPO pricing. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 18, 331-348.
- Zheng, S. X. & D. A. Stangeland (2007). IPO Underpricing, Firm Quality, and Analyst Forecasts. *Financial Management*, 36(2), 1-20.