# THE EFFECT OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON FIRM'S PROFITABILITY: A CASE OF LISTED MALAYSIAN INDUSTRIAL FIRMS

# by

# MOHAMAD KHAN BIN RAJI JAMAL

A Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Management)

January 1994

Copyright Mohamad Khan b. Hj. Jamal, 1994
All right reserved.

### - ACKNOWLEDGEMENT -

# In the name of Allah, the most Beneficent, the most Merciful

Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, and Peace be upon the Master of the Apostles, his Family and Companions.

I am indebted to all my lecturers who have charished their knowledge, efforts and dedication relentedly. I owe a very special thanks to Dr. Mohamad Hanapi Mohamad, my chief supervisor and Puan Ku Nor Izah Ku Ismail, my supervisor for being a constant source of illuminating ideas, continuous encouragement and guidance during the course of this study. I owe special thanks to the staffs of the Graduate School, particularly Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Salleh Haji Din who was then the Dean, the staffs of Computer Center, and the library staffs for being so considerate, friendly and helpful.

I would also like to record my gratitude to my employer, University Utara Malaysia, for the grant and the study leave. Special note of thanks to Dr. Mohd. Salmi, Dr. Rushami Zien, Mr. Mohd. Sobri Minai, Mr. Bidin Yatim, Mr. Abdul Halim and my friends who have helped me sincerely.

My very special thanks to my wife and family for their support and understandings.

### ABSTRACT

This paper attempts to examine the influence of capital structure, particularly in the presence of market imperfections on firm's profitability. The effect of corporate taxes, interest expense, debt level and equity size is analyzed using OLS estimates and correlation analysis. The research model justifies that capital structure changes in response to market imperfections which subsequently affect profitability. This findings empirically implies that:

- (a) higher debt level result in a lower profitability;
- (b) higher profitability associates positively with taxation expense but negatively with interest expense.

# - TABLE OF CONTENTS -

				Page
Chapter	One:		Introduction	1
		1.1	General Discussion	1
		1.2	Research Objectives	5
		1.3	Methodology	6
		1.4	Scope of this Research	7
		1.5	Format of this Research	8
Chapter	Two:		Capital Structure	9
		2.1	Capital Structure in The Lights of Firm's Profita- bility	9
		2.1.1	Summary	18
		2.2	Variables	20
Chapter	Three:		Methodology and Data Collection	23
		3.1	Theoretical Underpin- nings	23
		3.2	The Method and Model	26
		3.3	Data Collection	28
Chapter	Four:		Findings	30
		4.1	Profile of Listed Malaysian Industrial Firms	30
		4.1.1	1986	31
		4.1.1.A	Total Assets	31
		4.1.1.B	Shareholders Equity	34

4.1.1.c	Total Debt	36
4.1.1.D	Equity to Total Assets	38
4.1.1.E	Debt to Total Assets	39
4.1.1.F	Interest Expense	40
4.1.1.G	Tax Expense	41
4.1.1.H	Return on Investment	42
4.1.2	1987	44
4.1.2.A	Total Assets	44
4.1.2.B	Shareholders Equity	47
4.1.2.C	Total Debt	49
4.1.2.D	Equity to Total Assets	50
4.1.2.E	Debt to Total Assets	51
4.1.2.F	Interest Expense	53
4.1.2.G	Tax Expense	54
4.1.2.H	Return on Investment	55
4.1.3	1988	56
4.1.3.A	Total Assets	56
4.1.3.B	Shareholders Equity	59
4.1.3.c	Total Debt	61
4.1.3.D	Equity to Total Assets	62
4.1.3.E	Debt to Total Assets	63
4.1.3.F	Interest Expense	65
4.1.3.G	Tax Expense	66
4.1.3.H	Return on Investment	67
4.1.4	1989	69
4.1.4.A	Total Assets	69

	4.1.4.B	Shareholders Equity	71
	4.1.4.c	Total Debt	73
	4.1.4.D	Equity to Total Assets	74
	4.1.4.E	Debt to Total Assets	75
	4.1.4.F	Interest Expense	76
	4.1.4.G	Tax Expense	77
	4.1.4.H	Return on Investment	78
	4.1.5	1990	80
	4.1.5.A	Total Assets	80
	4.1.5.B	Shareholders Equity	82
	4.1.5.c	Total Debt	84
	4.1.5.D	Equity to Total Assets	86
	4.1.5.E	Debt to Total Assets	87
	4.1.5.F	Interest Expense	88
	4.1.5.G	Tax Expense	90
	4.1.5.H	Return on Investment	91
	4.1.s	Summary	93
	4.2	Statistical Analyses	101
	4.2.1	Overview of The Results	101
	4.2.2	Statistical Inferences	106
Chapter Five:		Conclusion and Recommendations	107
	5.1	General Conclusion	107
	5.2	Recommendations	108
		Selected Bibliography	109

# List of Tables

		Page
4.1.1	KLSE: Industrials 1986	32
4.1.1.A	Total Assets by Sector to Industrials Total Assets 1986	34
4.1.1.B	Shareholders* Equity by Sector to Industrials Shareholders' Equity 1986	36
4.1.1.c	Total Debt by Sector to Industrials Total Debt 1986	37
4.1.1.D	Equity to Total Assets by Sector 1986	38
4.1.1.E	Debt to Total Assets by Sector 1986	40
4.1.1.F	Interest Expense by Sector to Total Interest Expense 1986	41
4.1.1.G	Tax Expense by Sector to Total Tax Expense 1986	42
4.1.1.H	Return on Investment by Sector 1986	43
4.1.2	KLSE: Industrials 1987	45
4.1.2.A	Total Assets by Sector to Industrials Total Assets 1987	47
4.1.2.B	Shareholders' Equity by Sector to Industrials Shareholders' Equity 1987	48
4.1.2.C	Total Debt by Sector to Industrials Total Debt 1987	5 (

4.1.2.D	Equity to Total Assets by Sector 1987	51
4.1.2.E	Debt to Total Assets by Sector 1987	52
4.1.2.F	Interest Expense by Sector to Total Interest Expense 1987	53
4.1.2.G	Tax Expense by Sector to Total Tax Expense 1987	54
4.1.2.H	Return on Investment by Sector 1987	56
4.1.3	KLSE: Industrials 1988	57
4.1.3.A	Total Assets by Sector to Industrials Total Assets 1988	59
4.1.3.B	Shareholders' Equity by Sector to Industrials Shareholders' Equity 1988	60
4.1.3.c	Total Debt by Sector to Industrials Total Debt 1988	62
	Equity to Total Assets by Sector '1988	63
4.1.3.E	Debt to Total Assets by Sector 1988	64
4.1.3.F	Interest Expense by Sector to Total Interest Expense 1988	65
4.1.3.G	Tax Expense by Sector to Total Tax Expense 1988	66
4.1.3.H	Return on Investment by Sector 1988	68
4.1.4	KLSE: Industrials 1989	70

4.1.4.A	Total Assets by Sector to Industrials Total Assets 1989	71
4.1.4.B	Shareholders' Equity by Sector to Industrials Shareholders* Equity 1989	72
4.1.4.c	Total Debt by Sector to Industrials Total Debt 1989	73
4.1.4.D	Equity to Total Assets by Sector 1989	75
4.1.4.E	Debt to Total Assets by Sector 1989	76
4.1.4.F	Interest Expense by Sector to Total Interest Expense 1989	77
4.1.4.G	Tax Expense by Sector to Total Tax Expense 1989	78
4.1.4.H	Return on Investment by Sector 1989	79
4.1.5	KLSE: Industrials 1990	81
4.1.5.A	Total Assets by Sector to Industrials Total Assets 1990	82
4.1.5.B	Shareholders' Equity by Sector to Industrials Shareholders' Equity 1990	83
4.1.5.c	Total Debt by Sector to Industrials Total Debt 1990	85
4.1.5.D	Equity to Total Assets by Sector 1990	87
4.1.5.E	Debt to Total Assets by Sector 1990	88
4.1.5.F	Interest Expense by Sector to Total Interest Expense 1990	89

4.1.5.G	Tax Expense by Sector to Total Tax Expense 1990	91
4.1.5.H	Return on Investment by Sector 1990	92
4.1.S(a)	Industrials Total Assets	93
4.1.S(b)	Industrials Shareholders Equity	94
4.1.S(c)	Industrials Total Debt	95
4.1.S(d)	Industrials Equity to Total Assets	96
4.1.S(e)	Industrials Debt to Total Assets	97
4.1.S(f)	Industrials Interest Expense	98
4.1.S(g)	Industrials Tax Expense	99
4.1.S(h)	Industrials Return on Investment	100
4.2.1.1	Results of Correlation Analysis Between Profitability and Market Imperfection Variables	104
4.2.1.2	Results of Regression Estimates Between Profitability and Market Imperfection Variables	105

### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General Discussion

Firms experiencing poor performance respond either operationally, by making changes in top management (Gilson, 1989) or in organizational strategy and structure (Chandler, 1980 and Wruck, 1990), or financially through capital restructuring (Aharoni, 1971). The capital structure of a company is an important element influencing on its profitability and stability. While a high proportion of debt may make a company highly profitable as it is growing, it also increases the probability of bankruptcy and ruin, especially if that growth slows down or temporarily becomes negative. Why firms choose capital restructuring over other responses, however, is largely unexplored. (Hanapi, 1993). Analyzing this response may shed light on how to hasten a firm's reaction to distress, (specifically, given the alleged differences in capital structure among firms in Malaysia, especially compared to such relations in OECD countries). To what extent do these considerations regarding capital structure apply to Malaysian companies? What factors influence debt ratios among these companies? For example, is capital structure in Malaysia influenced by factors such as size and industry classification? If so, to what extent? This paper attempts to answer these questions.

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

### SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Barclay, M. J. and R. H. Litzernberger (1988) "Announcement Effects of New Equity Issues and the Use of Intraday Data," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 21, pages 71-79.
- Barges, Alexander (1962) The Effect of Capital Structure on the Cost of Capital: A Test and Evaluation of the Modigliani and Miller Propositions, Ph.D. Thesis, Northwestern University; Illinois, USA.
- Blazenko, George (1987) "Managerial Preference, Asymmetric Information, and Financial Structure, " Journal of Finance Vol. 42, pages 839-862.
- Bradley, Michael, Gregg Jarrell, and E. Han Kim (1984) "On the Existence of an Optimal Capital Structure: Theory and Evidence," Journal of Finance, Vol. 39, pages 857-878.
- Brender, James A. and Tracy R. Lewis (1986) "Oligopoly and Financial Structure: The Limited Liability Effect," American Economic Review, Vol. 76, pages 956-970.
- Brennan, Michael and Alan Kraus (1987) "Efficient Financing Under Asymmetric Information," Journal of Finance Vol. 42, pages 1225-1243.
- Cheung, Joseph K., Roy, S. Paul and Gordon, Irene (1989) "Financing Policies of Large Canadian Corporations\*', CMA Magazine (RIA), Vol. 63, No. 4, pages 26-31.
- Constantinides, George M. and Bruce D. Grundy (1989) "Optimal Investment with Stock Repurchase and Financing as Signals,"

  The Review of Financial Studies, Vol.2, pages 445-466.
- Diamond, Douglas W. (1989) "Reputation Acquisition in Debt Markets", Journal of Political Economy, Vol.97, pages 828-862.

- Eckbo, B. Espen (1986) "Valuation Effects of Corporate Debt Offerings," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 15, pages 119-151.
- Ellsworth, Richard R. (1985) "Capital Markets and Competitive Decline", Harvard Business Review, Vol. 63, No. 5, pages 171-183.
- Fischer, O. Edwin, Heinkel, R. and Zechner, J. (1989) "Dynamic Capital Structure Choice: Theory and Tests", The Journal of Finance, Vol. 44, No. 1, pages 19-40.
- Glazer, Jacob (1989) "Live and Let Live: Collusion Among Oligopolists With Long-term Debt," (Working Paper, Boston University).
- Hanapi, M. (1992) "The Development of The Multinational Corporations: Some Evidence On Forms' Ownership-Specific Model", Ph.D Thesis, University of Wales; Aberystwyth, U.K.
- ---, (1993) "Capital Structure In Large Malaysian Companies", paper was presented at EAMSA 10th Annual Conference, Nuremberg, Germany.
- Harris, M. and Raviv, A. (1988) "Corporate Control Contests and Capital Structure", Journal of Financial Economic, Vol. 20, pages 55-86.
- ---, (1990a) "Capital Structure and The Information Role of Debt", The Journal of Finance, Vol. 45, pages 321-349.
- ---, (1991) "The Theory of Capital Structure", The Journal of Finance, Vol. XLVI, NO.1, pages 297-355.
- Haugen, Rand Senbert L. W. (1988) "Bankruptcy and Agency Costs: Their Significance to the Theory of Capital Structure", Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Vol. 23, No. 1, pages 39-56.
- Heinkel, Robert (1982) "A Theory of Capital Structure Relevance Under Inferfect Information," Journal of Finance Vol.37, pages 1141-1150.

- Hirshliefer, D. and Thakor, A.V. (1989) \*\*Managerial Reputation Project Choice and Debt", working paper #14-89, Anderson Graduate School of Management at UCLA, in Harris, M. and Raviv, A. (1991) "The Theory of Capital Structure\*', The Journal of Finance, Vol. 46, #1, pages 297-355.
- Israel, Ronen (1991) "Capital Structure and The Market For Corporate Control: The Defensive Role of Debt Financing", Journal of Finance, Vol. 46, No. 4, pages 1391-1409.
- Jensen, Michael C. and William Meckling (1976) "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behaviour, Agency Costs, and Capital Structure", Journal of Financial Economics, Vol.3, pages 305-360.
- John, Kose (1987) "Risk-shifting Incentives and signalling Through Corporate Capital Structure," Journal of Finance Vol. 42, pages 623-641.
- Kalay, A. and Shimrat, A. (1987) "Firm Value and Seasoned Equity Issues: Price Pressure, Wealth Redistribution, or Negative Information", Journal of Financial Economic, Vol. 19, pages 109-126.
- Krasker, William (1986) "Stock Price Movements in Response to Stock Issues under Asymmetric Information," Journal of Finance Vol. 41, pages 93-105.
- Leland, Hayne and David Pyle (1977) "Information Asymmetrics, Financial Structure, and Financial Intermediation, " Journal of Finance, Vol.32, pages 371-388.
- Lewis, M.C. and J.S. Schallheim (December 1992) "Are Debt and Leases Substitute?" Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Vol.27, NO. 4, pages 497-511.
- Linn, Scott C. and J. Michael Pinegar (1988) "The Effect of Issuing Preferred Stock on Common and Preferred Stockholder wealth," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 22, pages 155-184.
- Lucas, Deborah and Robert McDonald (1990) "Equity Issues and Stock Price Dynamics," Journal of Finance, Vol. 45, pages 1019-1043.

- Maksimovic, Vojislav (1988) "Capital Structure in Repeated Oligopolies," Rand Journal of Economics Vol. 19, pages 389-410.
- Modigliani, F. and M. H. Miller (1958) "The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and The Theory of Investment", American Economic Review Vol. 48, pages 261-297.
- ---- (1963) "Corporate Income Taxes and the Cost of Capital: A Correction," American Economic Review Vol. 64, pages 433-42.
- Myers, Steward C. (1984) "The Capital Structure Puzzle," Journal of Finance Vol. 39, pages 575-92.
- ----, and Nicholas S. Majluf (1984) "Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions when Firm have Information that Investors Do Not Have," Journal of Financial Economics Vol. 13 pages 187-223.
- Narayanan, M. P. (1988) "Debt Versus Equity under Asymmetric Information," Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis Vol.23, pages 39-51.
- Nassir, Md. Annuar and Shamsher Mohamad (1993) "An Empirical Study of the Capital Structure of Malaysian Listed Firms," Capital Market Review, Vol. 1, No.1, pages 96-108.
- Noe, Thomas (1988) "Capital Structure and Signaling Game Equilibra," Journal of Financial Studies, Vol. 1, pages 331-356.
- Poiterin, Michel (1989) "Financial Signalling and the "Deep-pocket" Argument," Rand Journal of Economics Vol. 20, pages 26-40.
- Ravid and Oded H. Sarig (1989) "Financial Signalling by **Precom**mitting to Cash Outflows," (Working Paper, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey).
- Ross, Stephen (1977) "The Determination of Financial Structure: The Incentive Signalling Approach," Bell Journal of Economics, Vol.8, pages 23-40.
- Sarig, Krishna G. (1986) "Predicting Takeover Targets: A Methodological and Empirical Analysis," Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol.1, pages 3-37.

- Schlosser, Michel (1989) Corporate Finance: A model-building approach, (Hertfordshire: Prentice Hall).
- Sultz, R. (1988) "Managerial Control Voting Rights: Financing Policies and the Market Corporate Control'\*, Journal of Financial Economic, Vol. 20, pages 25-54.
- ---, (1990) "Managerial Discretion and Optimal Financing Policies", Journal of Financial Economic, Vol. 26, pages 3-27.
- Titman, S. and R. Wessels (March 1988) "The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice," Journal of Finance, Vol. 43, NO. 1-2.
- Titman, Sheridan (1984) "The Effect of Capital Structure on a Firm's Liquidation Decision," Journal of Finance Vol. 3, pages 137-151.
- Van Horne, C.J. and Wachowicz, Jr., J.M. (1992) Fundamentals of Financial Management, (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall International).