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ABSTRACT

Faced with a tight budget, public universities are now looking at alternative ways to raise funds and one possible source is the university alumni. The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between the attitude of donor behaviour, peer pressure, university brand personality and religiosity with donor behavioural intention of Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) alumni. A set of questionnaire, based on the extended theory of planned behaviour model which included religiosity, was distributed to the alumni. The opinions of relevant experts and professors were used to validate the questionnaire. The data were analysed using Smart PLS-a software with graphical user interface for variance-based structural equation modeling (SEM) using the partial least squares (PLS) method. The finding reveals that attitude of donor behaviour, peer pressure; university brand personality and religiosity have a significant positive relationship with donor behavioural intention. The findings are useful for the university management to design strategies according to the behaviour of the alumni which could help increase the donation percentage. The funds raised will enable the university to maintain its reputation and quality. It is suggested that future studies should include alumni from all public universities in Malaysia.

Keywords: Attitude towards donor behaviour, peers pressure, university brand personality, religiosity, donor behavioural intention.
ABSTRAK

Peruntukan belanjawan yang terbatas yang diberikan kepada universiti awam di Malaysia mendesak penggunaan kaedah lain untuk memperoleh dana daripada alumni universiti. Oleh yang demikian, niat tingkah laku penderma dikaji dalam penyelidikan ini. Kajian ini menyelidik hubungan antara sikap terhadap tingkah laku penderma, tekanan rakan sebaya, personaliti jenama universiti dengan kewarakan (religiosity) terhadap niat tingkah laku penderma alumni Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). Dalam kajian ini, soal selidik dihasilkan dengan menghubungkan teori lanjutan model tingkah laku yang dirancang yang merangkumi aspek kewarakan. Soal selidik diedarkan dalam kalangan alumni UUM. Pandangan pakar dan profesor yang berkaitan telah digunakan untuk menyemak keesahan soal selidik. SmartPLS yang menggunakan perisian berbantuan antara muka pengguna grafik untuk model persamaan struktur (SEM) berasaskan varian yang menggunakan kaedah kuasa dua terkecil separa (PLS) pula diupayakan untuk menganalisis data. Dapatan kajian memperlihatkan bahawa sikap terhadap tingkah laku penderma, tekanan rakan sebaya, personaliti jenama universiti dan kewarakan mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan lagi positif dengan niat tingkah laku penderma. Dapatan kajian berguna untuk membantu pihak pengurusan UUM membentuk strategi berdasarkan tingkah laku alumni UUM dan memperoleh lebih banyak derma serta mempunyai peruntukan yang cukup untuk mengekalkan reputasi dan kualiti universiti. Niat tingkah laku penderma perlu dianalisis dan dikaji dalam kajian akan datang. Persampelan juga perlu lebih meluas dan melibatkan semua universiti awam di Malaysia.

Kata kunci: Sikap terhadap tingkah laku penderma, Tekanan rakan sebaya, Personaliti jenama universiti, Kewarakan, Niat tingkah laku penderma
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CHAPTER 1

RESEARCH OVERVIEW

1.0 Introduction

This section delivers the fundamental information of the study. It begins with the background and issues of study followed by the problem statement. Research objective and questions are the next in the section of the chapter. Besides, it is including significance and scope of the research. The final point of this chapter covered the definition of the main terms.

1.1 Background of the Study

Brand management is no longer a new practice for the profit-oriented organisation. Interestingly, today, in non-profit-oriented society such as universities, branding and brand management have become a major emphasis throughout the globe (Stone, 2016). A branding initiative such as consistent brand delivery through teaching, researchers and publications, employability, internalisation as well as providing an excellent conducive environment and had a strong bond with the community become major concerns of universities specifically in maintaining their ranking. University effort in sustaining and improving their ranking strongly associate to display favourable brand image and reputation (Kim, Kim, & An, 2003) and could position as a core competitive advantage in operating in today’s challenging higher education environment.

Public universities in Malaysia faced a tight budget from the government thus demands universities to be more creative to maintain the competitive advantage such as on ranking requirement. Contrary to Western countries which have the alternative
The contents of the thesis is for internal user only
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