

The copyright © of this thesis belongs to its rightful author and/or other copyright owner. Copies can be accessed and downloaded for non-commercial or learning purposes without any charge and permission. The thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted as a whole without the permission from its rightful owner. No alteration or changes in format is allowed without permission from its rightful owner.



**ERGONOMICS EFFECT OF PROLONG STANDING AT WORK  
(STATIC AND DYNAMIC) IN MANUFACTURING DIVISION**

**By**

**MOHD SHAHRIR @ SHAHRIL BIN MD NOOR**



**Thesis submitted to  
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,  
University Utara Malaysia,  
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Master of  
Sciences(Management)**

## **DECLARATION**

The author is responsible for the accuracy of all opinion, technical comments, factual report, data, figures, illustrations and photographs in this dissertation. The author bears full responsibility for the checking whether material submitted is subject to copyright or ownership right. Universiti Utara Malaysia does not accept any liability for the accuracy of such comments, report and other technical and factual information and the copyright or ownership right claims.

The author declares that this dissertation is original and his own except those literatures, quotations, explanations and summarizations that are duly identified and recognized. The author hereby granted the copyright of this dissertation to College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia for publishing if necessary.

Date:

Signature:

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my project paper. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my dissertation/project paper.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation/project paper in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman



**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## **ABSTRACT**

Standing at work is a common practice in today's manufacturing environment. It is believed that standing at work produce higher productivity as it is not restrain workers movement compares to sitting at work.

In this research study, the researcher is exploring the ergonomics effect of prolong standing at work (static and dynamic) in Peripheral Device manufacturing Division of Sony EMCS (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. In this research study, the variables influence the ergonomics effect of standing at work had been explored and analysed.

There are three (3) independent variables identified, they are Management Commitment, Employee Behaviour and Workplace Design and one (1) dependent variable for this project paper, in the Ergonomic effect of prolong standing at work which is measured by using Body part symptoms. Total of 168-sample size has been taken from various level groups that consist of manufacturing operators, supervisors, line leaders and repairers.

Study survey has been conducted through stratified sampling. Quantitative survey has been used for this study. From analysis result it shows that two (2) hypotheses supported with significant value. The result concludes that Management Commitment, and Workplace Design give significant impact in influencing the ergonomic effect of prolong standing at work while Employee Behaviour does not have significant impact to the ergonomic effect of prolong standing at work.

The study has revealed that the working condition can be further improves by taking action in the areas of study especially involving management awareness and commitment, and by improving the workplace design.

## ABSTRAK

Bekerja sambil berdiri adalah merupakan satu amalan yang menjadi kebiasaan di bidang pembuatan. Adalah dipercayai, bekerja sambil berdiri dapat meningkatkan daya produktiviti kerana ianya tidak mengekang keupayaan pergerakan berbanding ketika bekerja sambil duduk.

Di dalam kajian ini, penyelidik meneroka kesan-kesan ergonomik terhadap pekerja-pekerja yang bekerja sambil berdiri (secara pegun ataupun secara bergerak) di bahagian pembuatan “Peripheral Device” di Sony EMCS (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd. Di dalam kajian ini juga, pelbagai pemboleh ubah yang mempengaruhi kesan-kesan ergonomik ketika bekerja sambil berdiri telah diambil kira dan dikaji.

Terdapat tiga (3) pembolehubah tidak bersandar iaitu komitmen pihak pengurusan, perlakuan pekerja serta rekabentuk tempat kerja, serta satu (1) pembolehubah bersandar iaitu Kesan ergonomic terhadap pekerja yang diukur melalui penggunaan simptom pada bahagian badan. Pada keseluruhannya, 168 saiz sampel telah diambil dari pelbagai lapisan jawatan iaitu pekerja am pembuatan, penyelia, ketua barisan dan jurubaik-pulih.

Pemilihan sampel dibuat berasaskan pemilihan secara berstrata dan kajian secara kuantitatif telah dipilih dalam kajian ini. Berdasarkan analisa keputusan kajian ini, terdapat hubungan yang signifikan terhadap dua (2) pembolehubah tidak bersandar. Keputusan telah merumuskan komitmen pihak pengurusan dan rekabentuk tempat kerja memberikan hubungan yang signifikan dalam mempengaruhi kesan-kesan ergonomik akibat bekerja sambil berdiri, manakala perlakuan pekerja tidak memberikan kesan yang signifikan keatas kesan-kesan ergonomik ketika bekerja sambil berdiri.

Kajian telah merumuskan keadaan tempat kerja masih boleh diperbaiki terutamanya membabitkan komitmen pihak pengurusan serta rekabentuk tempat kerja.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim...All praises be to Allah S.W.T, The Al Mighty, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful.

First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks and deepest appreciation to my project paper supervisors, Dr Nor Azimah Chew Binti Abdullah, College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, for her generous advices, guidance, comment, patience, commitments and encouragement that was given to me in preparing and completing this project paper.

Special thanks to Peripheral Device Manufacturing Division Manager, Human Resource Manager, Safety and Health Officer, Safety Committee and Supervisors participating in the researcher survey. This project paper would not have been possible without co-operations from all the named above.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my all my supporting friends, participants in the questionnaire survey and those who have contributed, either directly or indirectly towards the successful compilation and completion of this project paper.

Last but not least, I am most thankful to my beloved family for their support, understanding and encouragement that was given to me throughout my years of study in MSc. Occupational Safety and Health Management. Certainly, without the supports and contributions of all that being above, this project paper would not be materialized.

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

Content	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	i
DISCLAIMER	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	5
1.3 Research question	8
1.4 Research objectives	8
1.5 Scope of the study	9
1.6 Summary and organization of the report	10
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Background of the organization	11
2.3 Definition	12



2.3.1	Management commitment	12
2.3.2	Employee behaviour	13
2.3.3	Workplace design	14
2.3.4	Ergonomics effect of prolong static and dynamic standing	15
2.4	Overview of health and safety legislation	16
2.5	Theory related to research	17
2.5.1	Accident/incident theory of accident causation	17
2.6	Review of previous research	21
2.6.1	Management commitment	21
2.6.2	Employee behaviour	23
2.6.3	Workplace design	25
2.6.4	Ergonomics effect of prolong static and dynamic standing	26
2.7	Summary	27
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>28</b>
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	The research framework and the hypotheses	28
3.3	Research design	30
3.4	Sampling procedure	32
3.4.1	Population	32
3.4.2	Sampling method	33
3.5	Development of survey instruments	33
3.5.1	Questionnaire design	33
3.5.2	Reverse-scored item and back-translation	36
3.6	Data collection procedure	38

3.7	Data analysis	39
3.7.1	Data entering	39
3.7.2	Instruments reliability	39
3.7.3	Descriptive statistics	39
3.7.4	Hypotheses testing	40
3.8	Summary	40
CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS		41
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Summary of data collection	41
4.2.1	Number of returns	41
4.2.2	Typographical error and missing data	42
4.2.3	Normality test	42
4.3	Demography of respondents	42
4.4	Reliability of the instrument	44
4.5	Hypotheses testing	44
4.5.1	Correlation between IV's and DV	44
4.5.2	Multiple regression between dependent variable and independent variables	47
4.6	Cross tabulation analysis	48
4.6.1	Year of service and management commitment	48
4.6.2	Education level and management commitment	49
4.6.3	Job position and management commitment	50
4.6.4	Year of service and workplace design	51
4.6.5	Education level and workplace design	51
4.5.6	Job position and workplace design	52

4.6.7	Year of service and employee behaviour	53
4.6.8	Education level and employee behaviour	54
4.6.9	Job position and employee behaviour	55
4.7	Summary of hypothesis	57
4.8	Conclusion	57
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECCOMENDATION		58
5.1	Introduction	58
5.2	Discussion	58
5.2.1	Management commitment and prolong static and dynamic standing ergonomics effect	59
5.2.2	Employee behaviour and the static and dynamic standing ergonomics effect	60
5.2.3	Workplace design and prolong static and dynamic standing ergonomics effect	62
5.2.4	Management commitment, employee behaviour and workplace design and prolong static and dynamic standing ergonomics effect	62
5.3	Research contributions	64
5.3.1	Theoretical implications	64
5.3.2	Practical implication	64
5.4	Limitation and future research directions	65
5.4.1	Limitation	65
5.4.2	Suggestion for future research	66
5.5	Recommendations	67

5.5.1	Suggestions for implementation	67
5.6	Conclusion	73
	References	74

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table		Page
Table 1.1	Malaysia non-permanent disability accident trend	3
Table 3.1	Sampling plan	33
Table 3.2	Source of questionnaire design	36
Table 4.1	Length of service	42
Table 4.2	Education level	43
Table 4.3	Job position	43
Table 4.4	Cronbach's Alpha for all respondents	44
Table 4.5	Correlation between IV's and DV	46
Table 4.6	Coefficient	48
Table 4.7	Year of service & management commitment cross tab	49
Table 4.8	Education level & management commitment cross tab	50
Table 4.9	Job position & management commitment cross tab	50
Table 4.10	Year of service & workplace design cross tab	51
Table 4.11	Education level & workplace design cross tab	52
Table 4.12	Job position & workplace design cross tab	53
Table 4.13	Year of service & employee behaviour cross tab	54
Table 4.14	Education level & employee behaviour cross tab	55
Table 4.15	Job position & employee behaviour cross tab	56
Table 4.16	Summary of result of hypothesis testing	57

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure		Page
Figure 1.2	Musculoskeletal disorder trend (2005-2014)	4
Figure 2.1	Peterson's accident/incident theory	18
Figure 2.2	Major contributors to decision to err	19
Figure 3.1	Theoretical framework model	28

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendices		Page
Appendix 1	Sample size for a given population size	89
Appendix 2	Questionnaires	90
Appendix 3	Standing at work risk assessment form	103
Appendix 4	Workspace envelope: The ideal measurements of workspace envelope	107
Appendix 5	Employees performing traditional highly repetitive single task compared to multitasking	108

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

1	U.S	United States of America
2	Sony Corp.	Sony Corporation
3	Blu-Ray Disc	Optical disc format jointly developed by the Blu-ray Disc Association (BDA)
4	P.D	Peripheral Device
5	SOCSSO	Social Security Organization
6	OD	Occupational Disease
7	WRMSD's	Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders
8	MSD's	Musculoskeletal Disorders
9	ILO	International Labour Organization
10	MODAPTs	Modular Arrangement of Predetermined Time Standard
11	PMTS	Predetermined Motion Time System
12	DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia
13	IV	Independent Variable
14	DV	Dependent Variable
15	SPM	Sijil Persekolahan Malaysia
16	STPM	Sijil Tinggi Persekolahan Malaysia
17	HIRADC	Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment, Determining Control

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the Study

Ergonomics is a combination of Greek words that carry the meaning of law of work effort or the way of works to be carry out. Ergonomics is also defined by the International Ergonomics Association as the interaction of employees to the surrounding elements such as machines, workplace and tools (Karwowski, 2012). Ergonomics deals with people or employees in the workplace and the working environment. Everything the worker handles, the surrounding environment, including the temperature and humidity of the shop floor, illumination brightness, workbench height, and proximity between employees falls under the domain of ergonomics studies. Therefore, ergonomics is an application of knowledge, based on the understanding of employee's capability, their limitation and behaviour in designing the work system (Czaja & Sankaran, 2012)

In the manufacturing sector, employees use synchronized physical body movements to complete tasks. For the purposes of productivity and efficiency, job are breaks into several smaller task and employees will concentrate doing this task repetitively. Specialization of task enables the worker to learn faster and efficient. Smaller and simpler task makes the training process easier for the new employees (Adeyoyin et al, 2015). Task specialization in assembly production is causing repetitive of movement that will over-exerting certain single muscles and leading to ergonomics problem.

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only



## References

- Abdelhamid, T. S. & Everett, J. G. (2000). Identifying root causes of construction accidents. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 126(1), 52–60. doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9364(2000)126:1(52)
- Adeyoyin, S.O., Agbeze-Unazi, F., Oyewunmi, O.O., Adegun, A. I., & Ayodele, R. O. (2015). Effects of job specialization and departmentalization on job satisfaction among the staff of a Nigerian University Library, *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. Paper 1295. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1295>
- Aksorn, T. & Hadikusumo, B. (2007). The unsafe acts and the decision-to-err factors of Thai construction workers. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 12(1), 1–25. Retrieved July 17, 2013 from [http://www.hbp.usm.my/jcdc/images/JCDC%2012\(1\)/001-07\\_Thanet%20&%20Hadikusumo\\_.2doc.pdf](http://www.hbp.usm.my/jcdc/images/JCDC%2012(1)/001-07_Thanet%20&%20Hadikusumo_.2doc.pdf)
- Alphinston, A. (2013). *Health and safety: Pre-employment screening in Malaysia*. *Safetydoctor.blogspot.my*. Retrieved December 12, 2013 from <http://safetydoctor.blogspot.my/2013/06/pre-employment-screening-in-malaysia.html>
- Ansari, N.A., Shende, P.N., Sheikh, M.J., & Vaidya, R.D. (2013). Study and justification of body postures of workers working in SSI by using Reba. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, 2(3), 505–509. Retrieved August 24, 2016 from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.678.292&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

- Barnette, J. J. (2000). Effects of stem and Likert response option reversals on survey internal consistency: If you feel the need, there is a better alternative to using those negatively worded stems. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60(3), 361–370. doi:10.1177/00131640021970592
- Beynon, C. & Reilly, T. (2001). Spinal shrinkage during a seated break and standing break during simulated nursing tasks. *Applied Ergonomics*, 32(6), 617–622. doi:10.1016/s0003-6870(01)00038-2
- Bjerkan, A.M., 2010. Health, environment, safety culture and climate-analyzing the relationships to occupational accidents, *Journal of Risk Research*, 13(4): 445-477.
- Blaikie, N. W. H. (2000). *Designing social research*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Bohr, P. C. (2002). Office ergonomics education: A comparison of traditional and participatory methods. *Work*, 19(2), 185–191. Retrieved from <http://content.iospress.com/articles/work/wor00253>
- Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) (2014). *Basic information on Standing at work*. Retrieved from [http://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/ergonomics/standing/standing\\_basic/html](http://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/ergonomics/standing/standing_basic/html)
- Callaghan, J. P. & McGill, S. M. (2001). Low back joint loading and kinematics during standing and unsupported sitting. *Ergonomics*, 44(3), 280–294. doi:10.1080/00140130118276
- Colosi, R. (2005). Negatively worded questions cause respondent confusion. *Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section, American Statistical Association (2005)*, 2896-2903.

- Czaja, S.J & Sankaran, N.N. (2012). The discipline of human factors and ergonomics. In Salvendy, G.(Ed.), *Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*(4<sup>th</sup> Ed)(p 38). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ISBN: 978-0-470-52838-9
- Dasinger, L. K., Krause, N., Brand, R., Kaplan, G. A., & Salonen, J. T. (2005). *Standing, walking and climbing stairs at work associated with 11 year progression of atherosclerosis*. Paper presented at the 4th International Conference on Work Environment and Cardiovascular Diseases, Newport Beach, California, USA. Retrieved from <http://www.coeh.uci.edu/ICOH%20abstracts%20final.pdf>
- Dul J. and Weerdmeester B. (2008) Ergonomics for Beginners: A Quick Reference Guide, Third Edition. New York : Taylor & Francis ISBN-13: 978-1420077513
- Dedobbeleer, N. & Béland, F. (1998). Is risk perception one of the dimensions of safety climate? In A. Feyer & A. Williamson (Eds.), *Occupational Injury: Risk, Prevention and Intervention* (pp. 73–81). London, England: Taylor & Francis.
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health [DOSH]. (2002). *Guidelines on Occupational Safety and Health for Standing at Work*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Department of Occupational Safety and Health. ISBN 983-2014-21-2
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health [DOSH]. (2005). *Occupational Disease Statistic 1997 – 2005*. Retrieved April 26, 2016 from [http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=398:statistics-socso&catid=349&Itemid=760&lang=en](http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=398:statistics-socso&catid=349&Itemid=760&lang=en)
- Difford, P. (2011) Redressing the Balance: A Common-sense Approach to Causation.

Ebben, J. M. (2003). Improved ergonomics for standing work. *Occupational Health & Safety*, 72(4), 72-76. Retrieved from

<http://eserv.uum.edu.my/docview/220998678?accountid=42599>

doi:10.1002/1529-0131(199902)12:1<8::aid-art3>3.0.co;2-2

Fiske, S. & Taylor, S. (1991). *Social cognition* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Flin, R., Mearns, K., O'Connor, P., & Bryden, R. (2000). Measuring safety climate: identifying the common features. *Safety Science*, 34(1-3), 177-192.

doi:10.1016/s0925-7535(00)00012-6

Garbie, I. H. (2001). An experimental study on assembly workstation considering ergonomically issues. *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Computers & Industrial Engineering, Los Angeles*. Retrieved March 15, 2013 from

<http://www.usc.edu/dept/ise/caie/Checked%20Papers%20%5Bruhi%2012th%20sept%5D/word%20format%20papers/REGISTRATION%20PAID%20PAPERS%20FOR%20PROCEEDINGS/pdf/92%2015%20AN%20EXPERIMENTAL%20STUDY%20ON%20ASSEMBLY%20WORKSTATION%20CONSIDERING%20ERGONOMICALLY%20ISSUES.pdf>

Geller, E. (2005). Behavior-based safety and occupational risk management. *Behavior Modification*, 29(3), 539-561. doi:10.1177/0145445504273287

- Gilleard W. and Smith T. (2006) Effect of obesity on posture and hip joint moments during a standing task, and trunk forward flexion motion. *International Journal of Obesity* (2007) 31, 267–271. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803430
- Ghosh, S., Sen, D., Bandyopadhyay, P., & Bagchi, A. (2011). Ergonomics: A bridge between fundamentals and applied research. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 15(1), 14–17. doi:10.4103/0019-5278.83000
- Greenberg, J. & Baron, R.A. (2000). *Behavior in organization* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Griffin, M. & Neal, A. (2000). Perceptions of safety at work: A framework for linking safety climate to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(3), 347–358. doi:10.1037/1076-8998.5.3.347
- Hayes, B., Perander, J., Smecko, T., & Trask, J. (1998). Measuring perceptions of workplace safety: Development and validation of the work safety scale. *Journal of Safety Research*, 29(3), 145–161. doi:10.1016/S0022-4375(98)00011-5
- Haro M.S (2011) Behavior Safety: A Safety Program's Missing Link. Retrieved from <http://incident-prevention.com/ip-articles/behavior-safety-a-safety-programs-missing-link>
- Hildebrandt, M. (2000). *Human factors analysis of U.S. Navy afloat hazardous material mishaps* (Unpublished master's thesis). Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA. Retrieved from <http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf&AD=ADA379552>

- Horan, P., DiStefano, C., & Motl, R. (2003). Wording effects in self-esteem scales: Methodological artifact or response style?. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 10(3), 435–455. doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1003\_6
- Hughes, N., Nelson, A., Matz, M., & Lloyd, J. (2011). AORN Ergonomic Tool 4: Solutions for prolonged standing in perioperative settings. *AORN Journal*, 93(6), 767–774. doi:10.1016/j.aorn.2010.08.029
- Health and Safety Executive (n.d.). *Human factors/ergonomics – Introduction to human factors*. Health and safety executive. Retrieved June 2, 2014 from <http://www.hse.gov.uk/humanfactors/introduction.htm>
- Halim, I., A.R. Omar & Othman, I. (2011). A review on health effects associated with prolonged standing in the industrial workplaces. *International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies*, 8(1), 14–21. Retrieved March 12, 2013 from [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Isa\\_Halim/publication/266908557\\_A\\_REVIEW\\_ON\\_HEALTH\\_EFFECTS\\_ASSOCIATED\\_WITH\\_PROLONGED\\_STANDING\\_IN\\_THE\\_INDUSTRIAL\\_WORKPLACES/links/559f33e308aeffab5687dc0c.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Isa_Halim/publication/266908557_A_REVIEW_ON_HEALTH_EFFECTS_ASSOCIATED_WITH_PROLONGED_STANDING_IN_THE_INDUSTRIAL_WORKPLACES/links/559f33e308aeffab5687dc0c.pdf)
- International Labour Organisation (2011) Human factor in accident modelling: *Encyclopaedia of Occupational health and Safety*. Retrieved Jun 5, 2014 from <http://www.iloencyclopaedia.org/part-viii-12633/accident-prevention/92-56-accident-prevention/human-factors-in-accident-modelling>
- Khandan, M., Maghsoudipour, M., & Vosoughi, S. (2012). Ergonomic behavioral and workers' safety perception in a Khuzestan petrochemical company. *Iranian Rehabilitation Journal*, 10, 26–30.

- Kilbom, A. (2000). Repetitive work of the upper extremity: Part II- the scientific basis (knowledge base) for the guide in Mital, A., Kilbom, Å. & Kumar, S. (Eds) Ergonomics Guidelines and Problem Solving (Vol.1). Elsevier. ISBN 0080531229, 9780080531229
- SeaBright. (2006). Identifying and correcting unsafe employee attitudes and behavior. Supervisor's safety update. EEUU. Retrieved November 23, 2014 from <http://www.sbic.com/resourcelibrary/2006/02/supervisors%E2%80%99-safety-update-library-identifying-and-correcting-unsafe-employee-behavior/>
- Kanten, S. (2013) The Macrotheme Review: *The Relationships among Working Conditions, Safety Climate, Safe Behaviors and Occupational Accidents: An Empirical Research on the Marble Workers*. Retrieved from [http://macrotheme.com/yahoo\\_site\\_admin/assets/docs/14KantenMR24.13191910.pdf](http://macrotheme.com/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/14KantenMR24.13191910.pdf)
- Konz, S. A., & Johnson, S. (2000). *Work design: Industrial ergonomics*. Scottsdale, AZ: Holcomb Hathaway.
- Krause, N., Lynch, J., Kaplan, G. A., Cohen, R., Salonen, R., & Salonen, J. T. (2000). Standing at work and progression of carotid atherosclerosis. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 26(3), 227–236. doi:10.5271/sjweh.536
- Krejcie R.V. & Morgan D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. Retrieved from [http://home.kku.ac.th/sompong/guest\\_speaker/KrejcieandMorgan\\_article.pdf](http://home.kku.ac.th/sompong/guest_speaker/KrejcieandMorgan_article.pdf)
- Langford, J. (1998). In search of the right fit: What is ergonomics? *The Safety &*

- Health Practitioner*, 16:9. 20-22 in Miles, A. K. (2000). *The ergonomics and organizational stress relationship* (Order No. 9994574). Available from ABI/INFORM Collection. (304590505). Retrieved from <http://eserv.uum.edu.my/docview/304590505?accountid=42599>
- Lapidus, R. A., & Waite, M. J. (1995). *Safety management perception survey*. Retrieved from <http://www.sipeonlinetraining.com/A-ZResources/Safety%20Management%20Perception%20Survey.pdf>
- Lee, S. Y., & Brand, J. L. (2005). Effects of control over office workspace on perceptions of the work environment and work outcomes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 25(3), 323–333. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2005.08.001
- Leech, J. A., Nelson, W. C., Burnett, R. T., Aaron, S., & Raizenne, M. E. (2002). It's about time: A comparison of Canadian and American time–activity patterns. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 12(6), 427–432. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500244
- Leigh, J. P. (1986). Individual and job characteristics as predictors of industrial accidents. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 18(3), 209–216. doi:10.1016/0001-4575(86)90005-9
- Lim, S. S. (1997). Ergonomic evaluation of manual assembly operations using direct and indirect observation workstation in sitting and standing position (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Manitoba: Winnipeg, Canada. Retrieved from <http://mspace.lib.umanitoba.ca/xmlui/bitstream/handle/1993/943/mq23386.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>



- Mahmud, N., Kenny, D. T., Zein, R. M., & Hassan, S. N. (2011). Ergonomic training reduces musculoskeletal disorders among office workers: Results from the 6-month follow-up. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 18(2), 16–26. Retrieved from <https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/bitstream/1807/54668/1/mj11017.pdf>
- Messing, K., Tissot, F., & Stock, S. (2008). Distal lower-extremity pain and work postures in the Quebec population. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(4), 705-13. Retrieved from <http://eserv.uum.edu.my/docview/215095240?accountid=42599>
- Metgud, D., Khatri, S., Mokashi, M., & Saha, P. (2008). An ergonomic study of women workers in a woollen textile factory for identification of health-related problems. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 12(1), 14. doi:10.4103/0019-5278.40810
- Michael, J. H., Evans, D. D., Jansen, K. J., & Haight, J. M. (2005). Management commitment to safety as organizational support: Relationships with non-safety outcomes in wood manufacturing employees. *Journal of Safety Research*, 36(2), 171–179. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2005.03.002
- Middleton, M. (2014). *Success stories - Intel Corporation*. Retrieved August 29, 2016 from [https://www.osha.gov/dcsp/success\\_stories/ergonomics/intel.html](https://www.osha.gov/dcsp/success_stories/ergonomics/intel.html)
- Monroe, K. A. (2006). Ergonomics 101. *Industrial Engineer*, 38(3), 41-45. Retrieved from <http://eserv.uum.edu.my/docview/231393909?accountid=42599>

- Moser, K., Schuler, H., & Funke, U. (1999). The moderating effect of raters “opportunities to observe ratees” job performance on the validity of an assessment centre. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 7(3), 133–141. doi:10.1111/1468-2389.00113
- Motl, R. W., & DiStefano, C. (2002). Longitudinal invariance of self-esteem and method effects associated with negatively worded items. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 9(4), 562–578. doi:10.1207/s15328007sem0904\_6
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- OSHA (1994) Occupational Safety and Health Act and Regulation (22<sup>nd</sup> ed) : *Section 4: Objects of the Act*. Kuala Lumpur: MDC Publisher ISBN 978-967-70-1460-9
- O’Neill, R. (2005). Standing problem: Humans are not made just to stand and work. *Hazards*, 91. Retrieved July 11, 2016 from <http://www.hazards.org/standing/standingproblem.pdf>
- Petersen, D. (1975). *Safety management*. Englewood, NJ: Aloray.
- Pil, F. K., & Fujimoto, T. (2007). Lean and reflective production: The dynamic nature of production models. *International Journal of Production Research*, 45(16), 3741–3761. doi:10.1080/00207540701223659
- Posthuma, R. A. (2000). The dimensionality of supervisor evaluations of job performance. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 14(3), 481–487. doi:10.1023/a:1022984301410
- Ryan, G. A. (1989). The prevalence of musculo-skeletal symptoms in supermarket workers. *Ergonomics*, 32(4), 359–371. doi:10.1080/00140138908966103

- Karwowski, W. (2012). The discipline of human factors and ergonomics. In Salvendy, G.(Ed.), *Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*(4<sup>th</sup> Ed)(pp 3). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ISBN: 978-0-470-52838-9
- Sartika, S. J., & Dawal, S. Z. (2010). A comparison of the effect of using sit/stand stool on prolonged standing task. In S. I. Ao, O. Castillo, C. Douglas, D. D. Feng, & J-A Lee (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2010*. Paper presented at the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, Hong Kong (pp. 1958–1962). Hong Kong: Newswood Academic Publishing. Retrieved June 12, 2015 from [http://www.iaeng.org/IMECS2010\\_pp1958-1962.pdf](http://www.iaeng.org/IMECS2010_pp1958-1962.pdf)
- Schermerhorn, J. R. (2001). *Management: The management mosaic* (6th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., & Outerbridge, A. N. (1986). Impact of job experience and ability on job knowledge, work sample performance, and supervisory ratings of job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 432–439. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.71.3.432
- Schweizer, C., Edwards, R. D., Bayer-Oglesby, L., Gauderman, W. J., Ilacqua, V., Juhani Jantunen, M., ... Künzli, N. (2006). Indoor time–microenvironment–activity patterns in seven regions of Europe. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*, 17(2), 170–181. doi:10.1038/sj.jes.7500490
- Seifert, A. M., Messing, K., & Dumais, L. (1997). Star Wars and strategic defense initiatives: Work activity and health symptoms of unionized bank tellers during work reorganization. *International Journal of Health Services*, 27(3), 455–477. doi:10.2190/7kuk-2d4l-0g1n-8dny

- Sekaran, U. S., & Bougie, R. (2009). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (5th ed.). Chichester, England: Wiley, John & Sons.
- Shahraki, S., & Nooh, A. B. (2011). Relationship between human factors engineering and productivity. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(3), 706.
- Shappell, S. A., & Wiegmann, D. A. (2003). *Human error and general aviation accidents: A comprehensive, fine-grained analysis using HFACS*. Retrieved from [http://www.faa.gov/about/initiatives/maintenance\\_hf/library/documents/media/human\\_factors\\_maintenance/human\\_error\\_and\\_general\\_aviation\\_accidents.a\\_comprehensive.fine-grained\\_analysis\\_using\\_hfacs.pdf](http://www.faa.gov/about/initiatives/maintenance_hf/library/documents/media/human_factors_maintenance/human_error_and_general_aviation_accidents.a_comprehensive.fine-grained_analysis_using_hfacs.pdf)
- Social Security Organisation (2005) Statistic Reports: *2005 Annual Report*. Retrieved Jun 16, 2016 from <http://www.perkeso.gov.my/en/report/annual-reports.html>
- Social Security Organisation (2012) Statistic Reports: *2012 Annual Report (p 257)*. Retrieved Jun 16, 2016 from <http://www.perkeso.gov.my/en/report/annual-reports.html>
- Stack, T., Ostrom L.T, Wilhelmsen C.A. (2016) Occupational Ergonomics: A Principal Approach, First Edition. New York. John Wiley & Son
- Stewart, J. M. (2001). The turnaround in safety at the Kenora pulp & paper mill. *Professional Safety*, 46(12), 34–45.
- Stranks, J. W. (1994). *Human factors and safety*. London, England: Pitman.

- Stuart, M., Tooley, S., & Holtman, K. (2004). *The effects of ergonomics, lean manufacturing, and reductions in workforce on musculoskeletal health*. Retrieved June 17, 2016 from [https://www.iienet2.org/uploadedfiles/ergo\\_community/Case\\_Studies/tooley.pdf](https://www.iienet2.org/uploadedfiles/ergo_community/Case_Studies/tooley.pdf)
- Tissot, F., Messing, K., & Stock, S. (2005). *Standing, sitting and associated working conditions in the Quebec population in 1998*. *Ergonomics*, 48(3), 249–269.
- Tissot, F., Messing, K., & Stock, S. (2009). Studying the relationship between low back pain and working postures among those who stand and those who sit most of the working day. *Ergonomics*, 52(11), 1402–1418. doi:10.1080/00140130903141204
- Van Dieen, J. H. (1998). Evaluation of work-rest schedules with respect to the effects of postural workload in standing work. *Ergonomics*, 41(12), 1832–1844. doi:10.1080/001401398186009
- Veitch, J. (2011). Workplace design contributions to mental health and well-being. *HealthcarePapers*, 11(sp), 38–46. doi:10.12927/hcpap.2011.22409
- Walter, L. (2009). *Safety and the science of human behavior*. Retrieved June 2, 2016 from EHS Today, <http://ehstoday.com/safety/management/safety-science-human-behavior-0922>
- Waters, T. R. and Dick, R. B. (2015), Evidence of Health Risks Associated with Prolonged Standing at Work and Intervention Effectiveness. *Rehabil Nurs*, 40: 148–165. doi:10.1002/rnj.166

- Washington State Department of Labor and Industries (2002). *Office ergonomics: Practical solutions for a safer workplace*. Retrieved March 14, 2013 from <http://www.lni.wa.gov/IPUB/417-133-000.pdf>
- Weber, A. (2001). *Ergonomics: Issues & trends*. Retrieved March 14, 2013 from Assembly Magazine, <http://www.assemblymag.com/articles/84114-ergonomics-issues-trends>
- Werner, R.A., Gell, N., Hartigan, A., Wiggermann, N., & Keyserling, W.M. (2010). *Risk factors for foot and ankle disorders among assembly plant workers. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 53, 1233–1239.*
- Wild, D., Grove, A., Martin, M., Eremenco, S., McElroy, S., Verjee-Lorenz, A. and Erikson, P. (2005), Principles of Good Practice for the Translation and Cultural Adaptation Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures: *Report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation. Value in Health, 8: 94–104. doi:10.1111/j.1524-4733.2005.04054.x*
- WISHA Services Division Washington State Department of Labor and Industries (2002). *Office Ergonomics: Practical solutions for a safer workplace*. Retrieved April 2, 2013 from <http://www.lni.wa.gov/IPUB/417-133-000.pdf>
- Wong, N., Rindfleisch, A., & Burroughs, J. E. (2003). Do reverse-worded items confound measures in cross-cultural consumer research? The case of the material values scale. *Journal of Consumer Research, 30*(1), 72–91. doi:10.1086/374697
- Yule, S., Flin, R., & Murdy, A. (2007). The role of management and safety climate in preventing risk-taking at work. *International Journal of Risk Assessment and Management, 7*(2), 137–151. doi:10.1504/ijram.2007.011727

Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: Theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65(1), 96–102.

doi:10.1037/0021-9010.65.1.96

Zohar, D. (2002). Modifying supervisory practices to improve subunit safety: A leadership-based intervention model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 156–163. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.87.1.156

Zohar, D., & Luria, G. (2003). The use of supervisory practices as leverage to improve safety behavior: A cross-level intervention model. *Journal of Safety Research*, 34(5), 567–577. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2003.05.006

