A STUDY OF THE TERM 'TRUE AND FAIR VIEW' IN THE CONTEXT OF ACCOUNTABILITY

Rosliza Mat Zin

Submitted in partial fulfihnent of the requirements for the award of the degree of

MA in Accounting and Financial Management (Department of Accounting and Financial Management)

(Un a spot & Pr, United kindles

) ; ·

UNIT KOLEKSI KHAS

1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements Abstract		iii iv
	1.1 Introduction1.2 Rationale of Study1.3 Methodology	1 4 5
2	AUDITING AND ACCOUNTABILITY	
	2.1 Accountability and the Role of Auditing2.2 Accountability to Whom?2.3 The Changing Role of Audit	7 9 12
3	AN ANALYSIS OF 'TRUE AND FAIR VIEW' IN CORPORATE AND PUBLIC SECTOR ORGANISATIONS	
	3.1 Overview of The Requirement of TFV3.2 Literature Review3.3 Overview of the Audit and Reporting Requirement in Public Sector	14 18 22
4	AN ANALYSIS ON THE AUDITOR'S OPINION AND THE NOTIC OF ACCOUNTABILITY IN BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR ORGANISATIONS	NC
	4.1 A Critical Review 4.2 Reasons for the Undefined Nature	31 34
5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	4 (
References		43

Acknowledgements

I am particularly grateful to Stuart Manson for his encouragement and suggestion during the preparation of this dissertation and for his helpful criticism on the drafts. I alone remain responsible for the errors and omissions.

Abstract

Historically, as companies grows in size, become more complex and involved in society, so to whom and for what their managers are accountable has also been extended. Until **the** 19th Century, managers of companies and part of public bodies were required to produce accountability reports and submit them for audit. This is because the funtion of financial report has shifted from stewardship function to performance evaluation and decision usefulness funtion. Therefore, the auditor's opinion is very important now since the accountability report audited is being used in making investment decision. A discussion over the need for an interpretation of the term used by auditors in expressing their opinion appears in the accounting literature. But, this does not change the inherent and long-standing vagueness and ambiguity of the undefined term, 'true and fair' view which has been used since it was introduced in the 1948 U.K. Companies Act. The term has a legal origin in the 18th Century, and it appears to have been translated into a legal definition concerning corporate accountability in the **20th** Century. This change does not only apply in the private sector, but also in some parts of public sector bodies. From this historical study, it is apparent that the changes in the requirement of **the** disclosure and debate in searching the true definition of 'true and fair' was developed together with the accountability process in both sectors. The practitioners were also argued to give certain mystique in the opinion of TFV as a means of demonstrating their professionalism.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER

1.1 Introduction

In agency theory, a principal delegates decision-making responsibility to an agent, in the case of a company the agents are the directors/managers. The theory implies trusting resources to the agent and in turn these agents must usually produce a report regarding the use of resources both in quantitative and qualitatitive manner. Those entrusted with decision-making authority are generally regarded as having a duty of 'accountability', a duty to demonstrate how they managed the resources entrusted to them. This duty of accountability arises both in public and private sectors wherever a steward is entrusted with the authority to use and manage the resources of others. Since the principal cannot trust the agents (i.e. managers), they need an expert to assess and report on them. This lack of trust exists because they may differ with respect to preferences, beliefs and information. Not trusting or not having total confidence in the mangement, the principal need an expert to check or to verity the accounts produced or the quality (effectiveness and efficiency) of the stewardship.

The need to verity the information produced by management and evaluate their performance suggests a need for mechanisms to accomplish this task. One of the mechanisms that has evolved is an audit. Essentially, an [external] audit is an examination of the accounts of a business. Its purpose is to verify the veracity of the accounts of the organisation. It is clear that auditing must have occurred thousand years ago, the day that an owner entrusted his resources to an agent, or steward.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

Audit Commission (1984), Code of Local Government Audit Practice for England and Wales, HMSO: London.

Audit Commission (1985), Report and Accounts Year Ended 31st March 1985, The Audit Commission for Local Authorities in England and Wales: London.

Auditing Standards and Procedures, AICPA.

Benston, G. J. (1982), "Accounting and Corporate Accountability", Accounting. Organizations and Society, Vol. 7(2) pp. 87-105.

Campbell, W.G. (1948), "The Accounting and Auditing Implications of The Companies Act", The Accountant, August, Vol. CXIX(3842), pp. 102-10.

Chambers, R. J. and P. W. Wolnizer (1989), "A True and Fair View of Financial Position", Working Paper Deakin University.

Chastney, J. G. (1975), 'True and Fair View: History, Meaning and the Impact of Fourth Directive', ICAEW Research Committee Grassional Paper No. 6, London: ICAEW.

Chua, W. F. (1986), "Radical Developments in Accounting Thought", <u>The Accounting Review</u>, October, Vol. LXI(4), pp. 601-27.

Cohen Report (1945), Report of the Committee on Company Law Amendment, Cmd. 6659, H.M.S.O.

Companies Act 1900, 1907, 1929, 1948, 1967, 1980, 1985: United Kingdom.

Cooper, VRV. (1969), Manual of Auditing, 2nd. Ed.

Cowan, T. K. (1965), "Are Truth and Fairness Generally Acceptable?", <u>The Accounting Review</u>, October, pp. 788-94.

Dicksee, L. R. (1969), Auditing, 18th Ed.

DR Scott (1941), "The Basis for Accounting Principles", <u>The Accounting Review</u>, December.

Edwards, J. R. (1980), ed., <u>British Company Legislation and Company Accounts 1844- 1976</u>, Arno Press:New York.

- Edwards, J. R. (1989), History of Financial Accounting, Routledge: London.
- Flint, D. (1971), "The Role fo Auditor in Modem Society: An Exploratory Essay", Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 4, Autumn, pp. 287-93.
- ----- (1982), A True and Fair View in Company Accounts, Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland, Gee and Co: London.
- Gallhoffer, S. and J. Haslam (1993), "Approaching Corporate Accountability", Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 23(91A), pp. 320-30.
- Glynn, J. J. (1993), <u>Public Sector Financial Control and Accounting</u>, 2nd Ed, **Blackwell:** Oxford
- Greenough, J. (1991), "The Audit Commission", Chpt. 17, pp. 240-47, in M. J. Sherer and S. Turley (eds) <u>Current Issues in Auditing</u>, 2nd Ed., PCP Ltd.: London.
- Grenside, (1974), "Fourth Directive...What's in it for us?", Accountancy, Vol. 85(791), July.
- Henley, D. (1982), "Current Issues in Public Sector Auditing", pp. 167-77, in A. G. Hopwood, M. Bromwich and J. Shaw (eds), <u>Auditing Research: Issues and Gnuortunities</u>, Deloitte Haskins and Sells: London.
- -----, A. Likierman, J. **Perrin,** M. Evans, I. Lapsley and J. **Whiteoak (1992)**, <u>Public Sector Accounting and Financial Control</u>, 4th Ed., Chapman and Hall: London.
- Houghton, K. A. (1987), "TFV: An Empirical Study of Connotative Meaning", Accounting, Organization and Society, Vol. 12(2), pp. 143-52.
- Humphrey, C. (1991), "Audit Expectations", Chpt. 1, pp. 3-21, in M. J. Sherer and S. Turley (eds) <u>Current Issues in Auditing</u>, 2nd Ed., PCP Ltd.: London.
- Jones, R. and M. Pendlebury (1991), "The Published Accounts of Local Authorities Revisited", Financial Accountability and Management, Vol. 7(1), Spring, pp. 15-33.
- Kitchen, J. (1982), "Auditing: Past Development and Current Practice", pp. 25-51, in A. G. Hopwood, M. Bromwich and J. Shaw (eds), <u>Auditing Research: Issues and Opportunities</u>, Deloitte **Haskins** and Sells: London.
- Lapsley, I. (1988), "Research in Public Sector Accounting: An Appraisal", Accounting. Auditing and Accountability Journal, Vol. 1(1/2), pp. 21-33.

- ----- (1992), "User Needs and Financial Reporting A Comparative Study of Local Authorities and the National Health Service", <u>Financial Accountability and Management</u>, Vol. 8(4), Winter, pp. 28 1-97.
- Lasok, K. P. E. and E. Grace (1989), "The True and Fair View", The Company Lawver, Vol. 10(1), pp. 13-9.
- Lee, T. (1994), "Financial Reporting Quality Labels: The Social Construction of the Audit Profession and the Expectations Gap", <u>Accounting</u>, <u>Auditing</u> and <u>Accountability Journal</u>, Vol. 7(2), pp. 30-49.
- McGee, A. (1992), "The 'True and Fair View' Debate: A Study in the Legal Regulation of Accounting", pp. 10620, in J. **Freedman** and M. Power (eds) <u>Law and Accountancy: Conflict and Co-oneration in the 1990s</u>, PCP Ltd.: London.
- **McSweeney** and M. J. Sherer (1990), "Value for Money Auditing: Some Observations on its **Origin** and Theory", Chpt. 15, pp. 294-312, in D.J.Cooper and T. M Hopper (eds) <u>Critical Accounts</u>, MacMillan: London.
- Miller, P. and M. Power (1992), "Accounting, Law and Economic Calculation", Chpt. 13, pp. 230-53, in M. Bromwich and A. G. **Hopwood** (eds) <u>Accounting and the Law</u>, Prentice Hall: London.
- Mouck, T. (1994), "Corporate Accountability and Rorty's Utopian Liberalism", Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, Vol. 7(1), pp. 6-30.
- Nobes, C. (1993), "The True and Fair View Requirement: Impact on and of the Fourth Directive", Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 24(93), pp. 35-48.
- ----- and R.H. Parker (1991), "True and Fair: A Survey of UK Financial Directors", <u>Journal of Business Finance and Accounting</u>, April, pp. 359-75.
- Omrod, P. and K. C. Cleaver (1993), "Financial Reporting and Corporate Accountability", Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 23(91A), pp. 43 1-39.
- Parker, R. H. (1984), The Study of Accounting History in <u>Paners on Accounting</u>; <u>History</u>, Garland: New York, Chpt. 11.
- ----- and C. W. Nobes (1991), "True and Fair: UK Auditors' View", Accounting and Business Research. Autumn, Vol. 21(84), pp. 349-61.
- **Popoff,** B. (1983), "Some Conceptualizing on the TFV", <u>International Journal of Accounting</u>, Fall. pp. 43-54.

- Puxty, A. G. **(1990),** "The Accountancy Profession in Class Structure", Chpt. 17, pp. 332-65, in **D.J.Cooper** and T. M Hopper (eds) <u>Critical Accounts,</u> MacMillan: London.
- Radford, M. **(1992)**, "Auditing for Change: Local Government and the Audit Commission", pp. 144-64, in J. Freedman and M. Power (eds) <u>Law and Accountancy</u>: Conflict and Co-operation in the **1990s**, PCP Ltd.: London.
- **Robson,** K. and D. Cooper (1990), "Understanding the Development of the Accountancy Profession in the United Kingdom", Chpt. 18, pp. 366-90, in D.J.Cooper and T. M Hopper (eds) <u>Critical Accounts</u>, MacMillan: London.
- Rutherford, B. A. (1983), <u>Financial Reporting in the Public Sector</u>, Butterworths: London.
- ----- (1985), "The TFV Doctrine: A Search for Explication", <u>Journal of Business Finance and Accounting</u>, Vol. 12(4), Winter, pp. 483-94.
- ----- (1988), "The Explication of the TFV Doctrine: A Reply", <u>Journal of Business Finance and Accounting</u>, Vol. 15(1), Spring, pp. 125-7.
- -----, M. Sherer and R. Wearing(1992), <u>Cases in Public Sector Accounting</u>, PCP Ltd.: London.
- Ryan, F.J. 0. (1974), "A True and Fair View Revisited", <u>The Australian Accountant</u>, February, pp. 8-16.
- Sherer, M. J. and D. Kent (1983), <u>Auditing and Accountability</u>, Pitman: London.
- Stewart, I. C. (1988), "The Explication of the TFV Doctrine: A Comment", Journal of Business Finance and Accounting, Vol. 15(1), Spring, pp. 115-23.
- Tinker, T. and M. Neimark (1988), "The Struggle over Meaning in Accounting and Corporate Research: A Comparative Evaluation of Conservative and Critical Historiography", Accounting, Auditing and Accountability, Vol. 1 (1), p. 55-74.
- Tomkins, C. (1986), "Local Authority Audit Under the Audit Commission and What it Means to one Private Sector Professional Firm", <u>Financial Accountability and Management</u>, Vol. 2(1), Spring, pp. 35-51.
- ----- and R. Groves (1983), "The Everyday Accountant and Researching His Reality", Accounting. Organization and Society, Vol. 8(4), pp. 361-74.

- Treasury (1988), Central Government: Financial Accounting and Reporting Framework, HM Treasury: London.
- Turley, S. and M. J. Sherer (1991), "Regulating the Auditing Profession", Chpt. 4, pp. 47-59, in M. J. Sherer and S. Turley (eds) <u>Current Issues in Auditing</u>, 2nd Ed., PCP Ltd.: London.
- Walton, P. J. (1991), The TFV: A Shifting Concept, London: Gcassional Research Paper no. 7. ACCA.
- ----- (1993), "Introduction: The TFV in British Accounting", <u>European Accounting Review</u>, No. 1, pp. 49-58.
- Willmot, H. (1990), "Serving the Public Interest? A Critical Analysis of a Professional Claim", Chpt. 16, pp. 315-3 1, in D.J. Cooper and T. M. Hopper (eds) Critical Accounts. MacMillan: London.
- Whittington, G. (1993), "Corporate Governance and the Regulation of Financial Reporting", Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 23(91A), pp. 311-19.
- Wolnizer, P.W. (1985), "Correspondence with the Facts" A Recurrent Theme in Commentaries on the Statutory Quality Standard of Truth and Fairness in Accounts", Company and Securities Law Journal, August, pp. 147-61.